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Abstract—In this paper we propose a novel sequential error concealment algorithm for video and images based on sparse linear prediction. Block-based coding schemes in packet loss environment are considered. Images are modelled by means of linear prediction and missing macroblocks are sequentially reconstructed using the available groups of pixels. The optimal predictor coefficients are computed by applying a missing data regression imputation procedure with a sparsity constraint. Moreover, an efficient procedure for the computation of these coefficients based on an exponential approximation is also proposed. Both techniques provide high quality reconstructions and outperform the state-of-the-art algorithms both in terms of PSNR and MS-SSIM.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Block-based video coding standards, such as MPEG-4 or H.264/AVC, are widely used in multimedia applications. Video signals are split into macroblocks that are coded using inter- or intraframe prediction. Quantization is carried out in the DCT domain and lossless arithmetic compression is applied [1]. This leads to low distortions at moderate bit-rates. However, achieving high quality reception is a challenging task since data streams are usually transmitted over error-prone channels.

For real-time transmission applications, the H.264/AVC standard has introduced several error resilience tools, such as arbitrary slice order (ASO) and flexible macroblock ordering (FMO) [2]. Macroblocks within a frame can be split into several slices. A slice forms the payload of a network abstraction layer unit (NALU), which is a data sequence that can be decoded independently [1]. The loss of a NALU will therefore not affect other macroblocks within the current frame. However, due to temporal interframe prediction, error propagation does occur.

H.264/AVC allows both bit- and packet-oriented delivery. For bit-oriented transmissions, an error burst that surpasses the channel-coding protection may result in loss of synchronization as well as fatal data damage since H.264/AVC utilizes variable length coding (VLC) or Exponential-Golomb coding for lossless compression [3]. Errors would thus propagate throughout the packet, making the current slice unusable. In packet oriented delivery, damaged packets, containing NALUs, are usually detected and discarded by network or transmission layers. Also, there may be packets which are not received at all due to congestion, routing problems, etc. In both cases, we are facing the problem of the loss of, at least, one slice.

Error concealment (EC) techniques form a very challenging field, since QoS is of utmost importance for the users. In many cases, retransmission of lost data is not possible due to real-time constraints or lack of bandwidth. This last case also applies to additional transmission of media-specific forward error correction (FEC) codes which, in addition, may not be standard compliant [4]. In contrast to channel coding techniques, which are carried out at the encoder and are designed to minimize the negative impact of packet losses, EC is applied at the decoder and can significantly improve the quality of the received stream [5]. EC algorithms can be classified into two categories: spatial EC (SEC), which relies on the information provided within the current frame and temporal EC (TEC), which utilizes temporal information such as motion vectors (MV) and previous or already available future frames. Some TEC techniques use both temporal and spatial information for image restoration and they are often referred to as combined or hybrid SEC/TEC algorithms. Both categories, SEC and TEC, exploit the redundancy due to the high spatial and temporal correlation within a video sequence. Temporal correlations tend to be higher than the spatial ones, so TEC techniques usually provide better results. This would be the straightforward choice when concealing a P/B-frame (intercoded). However, utilizing temporal information for the recovery of I-frames (intracoded) is not always possible, since they may be inserted to reset the prediction error when a change of scene occurs. Thus, when all the available temporal information belongs to a different scene or there is no temporal information available, SEC algorithms are necessary. Every I/P-frame in the video sequence usually serves as a prediction template for, at least, one intercoded frame. Thus, high quality concealment is required since any reconstruction error will be propagated until the next I-frame arrives and resets the prediction error.

Several SEC techniques have been proposed for block-coded video/images. Many of them are based on some type of interpolation, trying to exploit the correlations between adjacent pixels. In [6], a simple spatial interpolation is used. In [7] a directional extrapolation algorithm was proposed,
which exploits the fact that high frequencies, and especially edges, are visually the most relevant features. An algorithm for preservation of edges and borders in the transformed domain based on projections onto convex sets has been also proposed [8]. A technique including edge detectors combined with a Hough transform, a powerful tool for edge description, was utilized in [9]. A more advanced Hough transform based method was proposed in [10]. However, the performance of these methods drops when multiple edges or fine textures are involved. Modelling natural images as Markov random fields for EC was treated in [11]. This scheme produces relatively small squared reconstruction errors at the expense of an over-smoothed (and, therefore, blurred) image. The authors in [12] combined edge recovery and selective directional interpolation in order to achieve a more visually pleasing texture reconstruction. A content adaptive algorithm was introduced in [13]. A simple interpolation is applied if there are only a few edges crossing the missing macroblock and a best-match approach is applied if the macroblock is decided to contain texture. For this algorithm, and in general for all switching SEC techniques, a correct classification is critical since an erroneous decision on the macroblock behaviour could have a very negative effect on the final reconstruction. Inpainting-based methods can also be adopted for SEC purposes [14] [15]. Sequential pixel-wise recovery based on orientation adaptive interpolation is treated in [16]. As we will show later, pixel by pixel recovery usually suffers from smoothing high frequency textures. In [17], Bayesian restoration is combined with DCT pyramid decomposition. Bilateral filtering exploiting a pair of Gaussian kernels is treated in [18]. The algorithm seems quite competitive although some high frequency textures may be found overfiltered. Recently, SEC techniques in transform domains [19] have shown promising results although ringing can be observed in some cases.

TEC techniques take advantage of temporal and/or spatial redundancy as well. A joint video team (JVT) reference software TEC algorithm includes frame copying and motion vector copying [20]. A more advanced recovery of lost motion vectors is based on the boundary matching algorithm (BMA) [21] that minimizes the squared error between the outer boundary of the lost macroblock and the inner boundary of macroblocks found in the reference frame. A slight modification of BMA, overlapping BMA (OBMA), matches the outer boundaries of both the missing macroblock and the reference, leading to more accurate reconstructions [21]. These techniques, however, consider a linear movement and assume that the entire macroblock has been moved the same way. This issue is palliated by a multi-hypothesis approach (based on BMA) [22] which, however, lacks in generality. In [23], MV’s are estimated by a Lagrangian interpolation of previously extrapolated MV’s. This technique is entirely based on MV’s so maintaining spatial continuity may be an issue. An edge-directed hybrid EC algorithm was proposed in [24]. Strong edges are estimated first and regions along these edges are recovered afterwards. Another combined EC technique is presented in [25]. It is a modification of the classic BMA under spatio-temporal constraints with an eventual posterior refinement based on partial differential equations. However, the improvement over the BMA is rather moderate. A MAP estimator, using an adaptive Markov random field process, is used to conceal the lost macroblocks in [26]. A statistically driven technique, based on a Gaussian mixture model is obtained in [27] from spatial and temporal surrounding information. This model, however, requires an extensive offline training. A computationally lighter version is described in [28]. Interesting results are obtained in [29] where a sparse representation based on local dictionaries is used for image reconstruction. This method, however, lacks in flexibility when complex textures are present and the concealment in scanning order may not always be appropriate. Recently, refinement technique [30] based on spatial and temporal AR models has been proposed. However, it is highly dependent on the previous MV estimate (using BMA, for example) and it assumes that (small) groups of macroblocks can be modelled using the same AR process which for low resolution videos or complex scenes may be inaccurate.

In this paper we propose an error concealment technique that automatically adapts itself to SEC [31], TEC or a combined SEC/TEC scheme according to the available information. Our proposal tries to fix or palliate some of the weak points of the previously referenced work such as blurring, blocking or filling order. The lost regions are recovered sequentially using a linear predictor whose coefficients are estimated by an adaptive procedure based on sparsity and a missing data imputation approach. First, we formulate the problem of estimating the predictor coefficients (only for SEC) as a convex optimization problem and then we derive an efficient alternative based on an exponential approximation. Although different exponential estimators have been used in EC algorithms [17] [18], a thorough treatment, combined with a linear prediction model, sparse recovery and sequential filling is proposed in this paper. This leads to a more generic and flexible EC technique. We also show that our EC scheme can be straightforwardly extended to also account for temporal correlations in video sequences (TEC and SEC/TEC). The experimental results show that our proposals provide better performance than other existing state-of-the-art algorithms on a wide selection of images and video sequences. In particular, the exponential approximation provides the best perceptual results.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we formulate the problem and introduce the linear prediction image model employed in the optimization process as well as the estimator (linear predictor) used for EC. The convex optimization based error concealment algorithm and its exponential approximation are presented in Section III. The model for video sequences is treated in Section IV. Simulations results and comparisons with other SEC and TEC techniques are presented in Section V. The last section is devoted to conclusions.

II. LINEAR PREDICTION MODELLING AND ITS APPLICATION TO ERROR CONCEALMENT

Our aim is to conceal a lost region by optimally exploiting the correlations with the correctly received and decoded pixels in its neighbouring area. These correlations will be modelled
and exploited by means of vector linear prediction as it is described in the next section.

The following subsections describe how this model can be suitably estimated and applied to our concealment task.

A. Vector LP-based spatial modelling

Let us assume that our image can be modelled as a stationary random field. Then, we can expect that every pixel \( z \) can be linearly predicted from a small set of surrounding pixels. The corresponding linear prediction (LP) model is defined by,

\[ z = \sum_{(k,l) \in R_z} w(k,l)z(k,l) + \nu \]  

(1)

where \( w(k,l) \) are the LP coefficients, \( R_z \) is the region of surrounding pixels employed for prediction, and \( \nu \) is the residual error. We will assume integer pixel values belonging to \( \Psi = [0, 255] \) for each colour space component.

In our case, we are interested in LP-based reconstruction of groups of lost pixels. Thus, it is convenient to re-formulate the above LP spatial modelling into a vector form by replacing the pixels \( z(k,l) \) in (1) by pixel vectors. Let \( z \) be an arbitrarily shaped group of pixels that we want to express in terms of our LP model. Writing \( z \) as a column vector, we have that \( z \in \Psi^n \), where \( n \) is the number of pixels contained in \( z \). Also, let \( Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{|Z|}\} \) be the set of all possible spatially shifted versions of \( z \) which are employed to predict it. Then, the whole region employed to predict \( z \) is,

\[ \mathcal{N}_z = \bigcup_{j=1}^{|Z|} z_j. \]  

(2)

Again, we can expect that prediction can be carried out with a small number \( |Z| \) of neighbouring vectors. Now, Eq. (1) can be extended to a vector form as follows,

\[ z = \sum_{j=1}^{|Z|} w_j z_j + \nu, \]  

(3)

where \( \nu \) is the corresponding vector of residuals and \( w_j \geq 0 \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, |Z| \).

The previous LP model can be applied to estimate \( z \) from the known neighbour vectors in region \( \mathcal{N}_z \) as,

\[ \hat{z} = \sum_{j=1}^{|Z|} w_j z_j. \]  

(4)

In order to obtain optimal LP coefficients, the residual energy

\[ \epsilon(w) \triangleq ||\nu||^2 = \left\| z - \sum_{j=1}^{|Z|} w_j z_j \right\|_2^2 \]  

(5)

is usually minimized by solving a system of normal equations.

B. Application to error concealment: sparse LP

We will denote \( S \) as the set of known pixels and \( L \) will denote the set of lost pixels (see Fig. 1(a)). When applying the above LP estimator of Eq. (4) to compute a lost group of pixels \( z \), we are facing two problems:

1) Since \( z \) is not known, it is not possible to find the residual energy function \( \epsilon(w) \) exactly. In order to solve this problem, a solution based on missing-data imputation is proposed later in this section.

2) The region \( \mathcal{N}_z \) required for prediction is not known either. Instead, we have to employ a support area \( S \) of available (correctly received and decoded) pixels which provides us with a set \( Z' \) containing \( M = |Z'| \) available neighbour vectors \( z_j (j = 1, \ldots, M) \), that is,

\[ S = \bigcup_{j=1}^M z_j. \]  

(6)

Then, some pixels required for prediction in (4) may be missing. Also, since the image is, in general, non-stationary, the support area \( S \) may include a high number of alien pixels not useful for predicting \( z \) (\( M \gg |Z| \), typically). As a result, the usual least-squares solution based on solving a system of normal equations is not suitable in our case. Typically, this solution involves the inversion of a huge \( M \times M \) correlation matrix of small rank which would lead us to a poor solution. This small rank indicates that the number of vectors \( z_j \in S \) useful for prediction is quite small. In other words, we can say that the solution \( w = (w_1, \ldots, w_M)^T \) we are seeking will be a sparse vector.

In order to overcome this last problem, the classical least-squares estimation of the LP coefficients can be replaced by a joint optimization of the squared error of Eq. (5) and the level of sparsity of the solution (typically represented by the \( \ell_0 \)-norm), which leads to a sparse linear prediction (SLP) scheme [32]. This scheme yields an unconstrained minimization problem, that we will represent as the following constrained optimization [33]:

\[ \begin{array}{ll}
\text{minimize} & \epsilon(w) = \left\| z - \sum_{j=1}^M w_j z_j \right\|_2^2 \\
\text{subject to} & ||w||_0 \leq \delta_0 \text{ and } w \succeq 0.
\end{array} \]  

(7)

where \( \delta_0 \) is a parameter that controls the sparsity level and \( w \succeq 0 \) is imposed to prevent negative pixels from the estimator (9) which is introduced later in this section. Moreover, preliminary experiments have shown that not using this last constraint would yield a worse performance.

This optimization involves two problems that will be addressed in the next section. First, we have that the \( \ell_0 \)-norm is non-convex and unfortunately also computationally infeasible for problems of higher dimensions. This problem is usually solved through convex relaxation. Second, we have the problem of selecting a suitable maximum value for sparsity parameter \( \delta_0 \). We will shortly see that convex relaxation of (7) also provides a natural and smart solution to this issue which is proposed in Section III.

\[ ^1 \text{Note that the intraprediction scheme used the in H.264 codec is a particular case of (3).} \]
The LP formulation in (7) provides us with an adaptive procedure which dynamically obtains both the LP coefficients and the region of support $N_z$ (defined by those vectors $z_j$ with $w_j \neq 0$) for every image block $z$. We still have the problem of $z$ being unknown. As a consequence, the squared error $\epsilon(w)$ cannot be directly computed. In order to solve this, we will adopt a missing data approach where lost pixels can be imputed from known ones [34]. Instead of having a vector $z$ completely unknown, we will consider that it contains both known and unknown pixels. Without loss of generality, let $z$ be a group of pixels as shown in Fig. 1(a). Let the vector $z = x \cup y$ consist of the two subvectors $x$ and $y$, where $x$ denotes the missing pixels and $y$ denotes correctly received and decoded pixels and can be seen as the spatial context of $x$. Every $z_j \in Z'$ is split in a similar way, as shown in Fig. 1(a). Since $z$ is (locally) stationary and $y \subset z$, then we can approximate the weights obtained from (7) by means of the following procedure:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad \epsilon_y(w) = \| y - \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j y_j \|_2^2 \\
\text{subject to} & \quad \| w \|_0 \leq \delta_0 \text{ and } w \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\] (8)

Section III will be devoted to the search for solutions to this optimization problem.

Finally, according to (4) the concealed group of pixels, $\hat{x}$, can be approximated by a linear combination of blocks within its neighbourhood

\[
\hat{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j^* x_j,
\] (9)

where $w^* = (w_1^*, \ldots, w_M^*)^t$ is the vector of optimal weights (LP coefficients) obtained by (8).

C. Application to error concealment: sequential filling

The H.264/AVC encoder packetizes the stream by slices so a loss of one packet implies a loss of, at least, one 16×16 macroblock. Applying (9) to $x \in \Psi_{16 \times 16}$ would lead to significant imprecisions due to blocking as well as blurring since it is often not possible to find a combination of $x_j$‘s suitably matching $x$ due to the high number of dimensions in $\Psi_{16 \times 16}$. This means that the residual error from (3) may still carry significant energy. This is the reason why the H.264/AVC standard also includes submacroblock prediction [3]. In order to manage with this problem, we introduce sequential recovery. Thus, the macroblock is recovered using a set of square patches $\hat{x} \in \Psi_{p \times p}$ with $1 \leq p \leq 16$. Pixel-wise reconstructions ($p = 1$), as in [16], may introduce considerable blurring when high frequencies are involved (Fig. 11(b)). By using groups of pixels the correlation within a group is better preserved and so is the texture (Fig. 11(c)). Let us consider, without loss of generality, $p = 2$ and let $y$ include all the received and already recovered pixels within the 6×6 block with the lost pixels $x$ placed in its centre, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The macroblock is recovered sequentially by filling it with $\hat{x}$ obtained by applying (8) and (9). The filling order is critical and it should preserve the continuity of image structures [15]. In [15], the filling priorities of every patch are set in order to maintain the continuity of isophotes and according to the amount of information within the patch. Our proposal, due to the shape of the context $y$, can achieve an appropriate filling order in a much simpler way by using contexts reliabilities. We define the reliability $\rho$ of context $y$ as the sum of reliabilities of all its pixels. Initially, the reliability of a pixel is set to 1 if it has been correctly received and decoded. Missing pixels have reliability zero. When a pixel $x \in x$ is concealed, its reliability is set to $\alpha \rho / m$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $m$ is the number of pixels contained in $y$. We use $\alpha = 0.9$ in our simulations. The lost region $x$, whose context $y$ produces the highest reliability, is recovered first. The reliability is non-increasing and the reconstruction evolves from the outer layer towards the centre of the missing macroblock. Figure 1(b) shows the filling order of a 16×16 macroblock using 2×2 patches. Note that the first patches to be concealed are the corners as their contexts are the largest ones, and thereby providing more reliable information (which leads to a more accurate estimate of the LP coefficients).

III. LP PARAMETER ESTIMATION

The scheme proposed in the previous section requires the computation of a set of LP coefficients by solving the optimization problem of Eq. (8). In this section, we propose first a solution based on convex relaxation. Then, we derive a computationally less expensive algorithm by applying several approximations.

A. SLP via convex relaxation (SLP-C)

The main problem that arises when solving (8) is that the $\ell_0$-norm is non convex, so that this optimization usually requires exhaustive search and is therefore computationally prohibitive. Applying convex relaxation [35], the solution to the optimization defined by (8) can be modified in terms of the $\ell_1$-norm as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad \epsilon_y(w) = \| y - \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j y_j \|_2^2 \\
\text{subject to} & \quad \| w \|_1 \leq \delta_1 \text{ and } w \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\] (10)

In [15], the filling priorities of every patch are set in order to maintain the continuity of isophotes and according to the amount of information within the patch. Our proposal, due to the shape of the context $y$, can achieve an appropriate filling order in a much simpler way by using contexts reliabilities. We define the reliability $\rho$ of context $y$ as the sum of reliabilities of all its pixels. Initially, the reliability of a pixel is set to 1 if it has been correctly received and decoded. Missing pixels have reliability zero. When a pixel $x \in x$ is concealed, its reliability is set to $\alpha \rho / m$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $m$ is the number of pixels contained in $y$. We use $\alpha = 0.9$ in our simulations. The lost region $x$, whose context $y$ produces the highest reliability, is recovered first. The reliability is non-increasing and the reconstruction evolves from the outer layer towards the centre of the missing macroblock. Figure 1(b) shows the filling order of a 16×16 macroblock using 2×2 patches. Note that the first patches to be concealed are the corners as their contexts are the largest ones, and thereby providing more reliable information (which leads to a more accurate estimate of the LP coefficients).
error \( \xi \) would generate larger weights, where we define the squared well modelled by an exponential function.

Note that this normalization always forces the solution \( \hat{w} \) to have the maximum value of sparsity considered in (10), i.e. \( \delta_1 = 1 \). The corresponding LP estimator is obtained by replacing the optimal weights \( w^* \) by their exponential approximation \( \hat{w}_j \) in Eq. (9). The resulting EC technique will be referred to as SLP-C in the following.

### B. SLP with exponentially distributed weights (SLP-E)

Although there are efficient algorithms for solving convex optimization problems, such as the IP method employed above, the processing time still remains very high and far from real-time. In this section we develop a fast approximation for solving the minimization problem in (10). Specifically, we show that the optimal weights \( w^* \) obtained from (10) can be well modelled by an exponential function.

According to (10), every context \( y_j \) has a weight \( w^{*}_j \) associated. Due to the high spatial correlation of an image, it is likely that contexts that produce smaller squared error, \( \xi_j \), would generate larger weights, where we define the squared error \( \xi_j \) associated to a context \( y_j \) as,

\[
\xi_j = \frac{\|y - y_j\|_2^2}{m}.
\]  

(11)

Figure 2 represents the joint 2D histogram of pairs \((\xi_j, w^*_j)\) for the image of Lena. The loss pattern applied is the one shown in Fig. 6(b). The histogram suggests that there is an exponential relationship between the squared errors \( \xi_j \) and the weights \( w^*_j \). With this in mind, we propose the following approximation for the LP weights:

\[
\hat{w}_j = C \exp \left( -\frac{\xi_j}{\sigma^2} \right),
\]

(12)

where \( \sigma^2 \) is a decay factor that controls the slope of the exponential and \( C \) is a normalization factor that ensures the sparsity constraint \( \|w\|_1 = 1 \), that is,

\[
C = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \exp \left( -\frac{\xi_i}{2 \sigma^2} \right)}.
\]  

(13)

Note that this normalization always forces the solution \( \hat{w} \) to have the maximum value of sparsity considered in (10), i.e. \( \delta_1 = 1 \). The corresponding LP estimator is obtained by replacing the optimal weights \( w^*_j \) by their exponential approximation \( \hat{w}_j \) in Eq. (9). The resulting EC technique will be referred to as SLP-E in the following.

Let us analyze the approximation proposed in equations (12) and (13). We can see that the exponential trend observed in Fig. 2 cannot be written down as a single exponential function for the whole image. In fact, the figure shows lots of exponential contours. There are two reasons for this:

1. We must take into account the effect of the mild sparsity constraint applied in (10). Thus, given several similar contexts \( y_j \) (representing a certain context type) with small quadratic errors \( \xi_j \) (that is, relevant for reconstruction), the optimization algorithm picks one context and suppresses the others instead of using all of them. On the contrary, the exponential approximation relaxes the sparsity constraint and keeps all the relevant contexts.

2. We must also consider that Fig. 2 shows all the pairs \((\xi_j, w^*_j)\) for all the patch linear predictors in the image. However, clearly all these linear predictors are different and must have a different factor \( \sigma^2 \), since this is the only free parameter in Eq. (12).
Larger values of \( \sigma^2 \) putational saving with respect to SLP-C. For natural images, used. Simulations reveal that this simplification, along with the kernel-based regression, in particular, as a Nadaraya-Watson stationary).

In order to estimate a suitable value of \( \sigma^2 \) for every predictor, a logical solution is that of minimizing the prediction error \( \epsilon_y = \epsilon_y(\sigma^2) \) defined in (10) but constrained to the LP weights defined by Eqs. (12) and (13). Figure 3 illustrates two examples of the optimal weights and their corresponding exponential approximations with factors \( \sigma^2 \) estimated as described above. In the first example, the exponential function mainly follows the most relevant optimal weights. However, in the second one, the exponential approximation leads to weights which are smaller than the optimal ones. In order to understand this, we must take into account that there is a considerable number of zero-valued optimal weights in the small squared error area, which is due, as previously explained, to the mild sparsity constraint. On the contrary, the exponential approximation introduces a sparsity relaxation and the weight assigned to a certain type of context is distributed among the contexts of that type through the normalization in (13) and the selection of a suitable \( \sigma^2 \). We must point out that the sparsity relaxation just described is quite limited. In order to see this, the histograms for both optimal and exponential weights are depicted in Fig. 4. We can see that although the exponential approximation reduces sparsity, most of the weights are still close to zero.

Table I shows the mean value and the standard deviation of \( \sigma^2 \) for several tested images. \( \epsilon_y(\sigma^2) \) minima have been obtained by exhaustive search. In the following and for the sake of computational simplicity, a fixed value of \( \sigma^2 \) will be used. Simulations reveal that this simplification, along with the exponential approximation, leads to a factor of 100 of computational saving with respect to SLP-C. For natural images, \( \sigma^2 \) values around 10 lead to visually good results (Fig. 7(b)). Larger values of \( \sigma^2 \) may lead to oversmoothing (Fig. 7(c)) while smaller values may lead to numerical instability and should be avoided (Fig. 7(a)) (unless the image is extremely stationary).

Finally, we must also point out that the approach developed here can be alternatively interpreted as a non-parametric kernel-based regression, in particular, as a Nadaraya-Watson estimator.

IV. TEMPORAL MODEL OF A VIDEO SEQUENCE

The importance of temporal correlations is reflected by the fact that they are a crucial issue in video coding. However, in the temporal domain, video signals tend to be non-stationary due to motion. That is, the pixel \( z(i,j) \) in the current frame usually cannot be predicted using the pixels with the same location in previous frames [37]. This can be palliated by applying motion compensation. In fact, the H.264/AVC standard encodes the submacroblock \( sMB(i,j) \) belonging to the current P-frame \( n \) as

\[
sMB(n)_{(i,j)} = sMB((n-\tau)_{(i+MV(i),j+MV(j))} + r \tag{14}
\]

where \( MV(i,j) \) is the motion vector, \( r \) is the residual error and \( \tau \) is the temporal lag to the reference frame \( n \). Note that \( \tau \) depends on visual properties of the video as well as the dimension of the prediction buffer. Moreover, regardless of the buffer size, the encoder selects the sparsest set of weights since only one reference submacroblock is taken into account. For B-frames and P-frames where weighted prediction is applied, two reference submacroblocks are utilized.

The estimation scheme of Section II can be straightforwardly extended in order to account for both temporal and spatial correlations. In this case, Eq. (3) could be seen as a generalization of (14). The stationary region \( \mathcal{N} \) will now not only comprise pixels from the current frame but also pixels from the previous frames. As in the case of SEC, the stationary 3D region is unknown and the whole support area \( S \) needs to be searched. We will set the support area to include all the available neighbouring macroblocks from the current frame (as in the previous section) and all the corresponding macroblocks from the previous frame. For the sequences of Foreman and Stefan, more than 99% of MV have \( \tau = 1 \), so considering only the previous frame is a reasonable simplification. Figure 8 illustrates an example where the corrupted frame utilizes dispersed slicing and the previous frame is received without errors.

In practice, the loss of a NALU implies that residual errors as well as motion vectors are lost (unless data partitioning is applied at the encoder side at the expense of a higher bit-rate) [3]. In order to obtain high quality predictions, the support area \( S \) should include all the motion compensated pixels located within the corrupt macroblock. For a standard frame rate of 30 fps, the motion vectors between two consecutive frames are likely to be moderate. In fact, Fig. 9 shows the histograms of motion vectors norm for four different 30-frame video sequences. It follows from the histograms that the support area composed as described above covers more than 95% of motion vectors. In other words, in less than 5% of cases the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \sigma^2 )</th>
<th>Lena</th>
<th>Clown</th>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Barbara</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>9.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>35.53</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>20.09</td>
<td>21.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I

ESTIMATED VARIANCE (MEAN VALUE AND STANDARD DEVIATION) FOR TESTED IMAGES.
motion compensated macroblock lies (completely or partially) outside the support area (MV amplitude greater than 16). For the sake of computational simplicity, we assumed that the motion vectors were calculated using only the previous frame. The more motion vectors that are covered, the better reconstructions would be obtained as a more complete set of motion compensated pixels (useful for prediction) is used. However, the processing time increases with $|S|$ so applying the proposed support area is a reasonable trade-off. Using this support area, the weights will be computed in the same way as in (12).

Note that pixels from the surroundings (within the current frame) of the missing macroblock are also included. Thus, the algorithm automatically decides whether to use SEC, TEC or combined concealment. This is the consequence of dynamically obtaining the LP coefficients and estimates the stationary area $\mathcal{N}$, as discussed in Section II-B. For example, if the previous frame belongs to a different scene, all relevant
weights calculated by (12) will most likely come from the current frame and the contribution of pixels from the previous (uncorrelated) frame will be negligible. Nevertheless, temporal correlation is usually higher than the spatial one and this phenomenon is observed in the reconstruction process. Figure 10 shows the average weight associated with each pixel within the support area for two different video sequences. We see that the contribution of pixels belonging to the previous frame is considerably higher than the contribution of those within the current frame. Simulations show that for standard video test
samples, composed by a single shot, the amount of information (pixels) gathered from the previous frame is higher than 70%. Moreover, in some particular cases there will be almost no good template matches within the current frame, as shown in Fig. 10(c) and 10(d). Unlike the pure spatio-temporal hybrid algorithms, our proposal is applicable both for still images (or I-frames) and video. Since temporal correlations tend to be higher than spatial correlations, then smaller values of $\sigma^2$ are preferred. Moreover, due to the same reason, larger patches may be utilized to speed up the algorithm and obtain higher quality reconstructions. Here, $\sigma^2$ is set to 5 and 8×8 patches are employed.

Figure 5 shows a comparison of our proposal using only spatial information, temporal information and a combination of both with other techniques. In fact, it is observed, at both objective and subjective levels, that using only spatial information achieves poorer quality. The improvement of the combined method over the pure TEC is small, as can be also deduced from Fig. 10. Nevertheless, including spatial information may provide a noticeable visual improvement as can be observed comparing Fig. 5(h) and 5(i).

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In order to better take into account the perceptual quality, the multi scale structural similarity (MS-SSIM) index [38] is used for comparison along with the PSNR measure. In the former case, the image is sequentially low-pass filtered and subsampled, so a set of images is obtained, including the original resolution. Then, the SSIM index is applied for every subimage within the set. The SSIM index aims at approximating the human visual system (HVS) response looking for similarities in luminance, contrast, and structure [39]. This index can be seen as a convolution of a fixed-sized mask with the residual error between the reference image and the concealed image [40]. A unique mask size is used for each of the images within the set. Therefore fine as well as coarse textures and objects are taken into account.

As shown in Fig. 11, the PSNR does not respond to perceptual visual quality as well as the MS-SSIM index does, since PSNR is a quality criterion merely based on the mean squared error. In spite of that, the weights $w^*$ are obtained according to the squared error (12) since the SSIM index tends to marginalize the influence of changes in intensity [41]. This is a desirable behaviour when measuring the overall perceptual image quality but not when computing predictor coefficients. Thus, the squared error is used when computing the weights while the MS-SSIM index is preferred for an overall quality measure. ²

The performance of our proposals in SEC mode is tested on the images of Lena (512 × 512), Barbara (512 × 512), Baboon (512 × 512), Goldhill (576 × 720), Clown (512 × 512), Matlab built-in images Cameraman (256 × 256), Office

²Note that the MS-SSIM index lies in [-1; 1]. In this section, we have scaled the index by 100 in order to better illustrate the differences.
We set the quantization parameter \( q \) to 25 and the prediction buffer is one frame deep.

Extended to other slicing modes. The quantization parameter \( q \) within a frame. However, note that our proposal can be easily extended to other slicing modes. The quantization parameter \( q \) within a frame. However, note that our proposal can be easily extended to other slicing modes.

The proposed SLP-E technique in the combined SEC/TEC mode is tested for H.264 coded video sequences of Foreman, Stefan, Ice, Football, Bus, Irene, Flower and Highway. All sequences employ the common intermediate format (CIF, 352 × 288) and they comprise 30 frames, where only the first frame is intracoded and the remaining frames are predictive coded. An aggressive block loss-rate is applied by utilizing a dispersed slicing structure with two slices per frame (the so-called chessboard structure, see Fig. 5(b)). In this scenario, a loss of one packet implies a loss of 50% of the macroblocks within a frame. However, note that our proposal can be easily extended to other slicing modes. The quantization parameter \( q \) is set to 25 and the prediction buffer is one frame deep.

Implementations of most of these techniques, as well as the implementation of our algorithm, is available online at [43].

Packet losses are randomly generated at rates of 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%. For each packet loss rate (PLR), the sequence is transmitted 20 times and the average PSNR and MS-SSIM values are calculated. The proposed technique is compared with other TEC algorithms, namely BMA [21], OBMA [21] and multi-hypothesis EC (MHEC) [22]. The search range for BMA, OBMA and MHEC is [-16, 16] using the zero MV as the starting point, i.e. BMA, OBMA, MHEC and our proposal all work with the same information gathered from the previous frame. The proposed SLP-E outperforms the other techniques for all the tested sequences both in terms of PSNR and MS-SSIM. The results for half of the eight sequences are shown in Fig. 12. Finally, a subjective comparison is shown in Fig. 5.

Regarding the computational complexity, Table IV shows the processing time ratios of [24], [15] and SLP-E to BMA. We can observe that our proposal requires less processing time than some of the state-of-the-art techniques. Moreover, the average gains of [24] over BMA are approximately 2dB for Foreman and 1dB for Irene and it outperforms [15] for both cases. Utilizing the same simulation setup as in [24] (dispersed slicing, quantization parameter set to 25 and PLR of 3%, 5%, 10% and 20%), SLP-E achieves average gains over BMA of 2.55dB and 1.20dB, respectively. Thus, SLP-E outperforms both [24] and [15] with less computational burden. Due to the nature of our algorithm, the processing time per MB is approximately constant regardless of the sequence and its resolution, as has been confirmed by the simulations.

Finally, given a multi-scene sequence, the error may occur in the border frame (usually intracoded). In such a case, MV

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>[24]</th>
<th>[15]</th>
<th>SLP-E</th>
<th>BMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IV

Average error concealment time for a corrupted frame compared to BMA.
based techniques fail since they try to extract the concealment information from the previous, and therefore uncorrelated, frame. Modified BMA and OBMA are able to gather the information from the current frame although the reconstructions tend to be of poor quality since both algorithms seek the best match for the entire missing macroblock and this approach usually does not lead to the lower residual energy. Note that the H.264/AVC codec overcome this problem by allowing submacroblock prediction. Moreover, OBMA cannot be applied for all the slicing modes, e.g. this method is unable to conceal the chessboard loss pattern utilizing only the spatial information. On the contrary, due to the sequential filling and the dynamic adaptation to the available information, none of the aforementioned scenarios is an issue for our proposal in the combined SEC/TEC mode.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have developed a sparse linear prediction estimator, which recovers lost regions in images by filling them sequentially with a weighted combination of patches that are extracted from the available neighbourhood. The weights are obtained by solving a convex optimization problem that arises from a spatial image model. Moreover, we show that the weights can be approximated by an exponential function, so that the resulting method can be alternatively interpreted as a kernel-based Nadaraya-Watson regression. The proposed techniques automatically adapt themselves to SEC, TEC or a combined scenario and can be thus successfully applied to both still images and video sequences.

Our proposals achieve better PSNR and perceptual reconstruction quality than other state-of-the-art techniques. SLP-C is optimized for squared error so it achieves better PSNR than the approximated method. Simulations reveal, however, that SLP-E provides better MS-SSIM. Finally, by applying the approximated algorithm SLP-E the processing time is reduced in a factor of 100.
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