Catastrophic Failure and Fault-Tolerant Design of IGBT Power Electronic Converters - An Overview
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Abstract—Reliability is one of the key issues for the application of Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBTs) in power electronic converters. Many efforts have been devoted to the reduction of IGBT wear out failure induced by accumulated degradation and catastrophic failure triggered by single-event overstress. The wear out failure under field operation could be mitigated by scheduled maintenances based on lifetime prediction and condition monitoring. However, the catastrophic failure is difficult to be predicted and thus may lead to serious consequence of power electronic converters. To obtain a better understanding of catastrophic failure of IGBTs, the state-of-the-art research on their failure behaviors and failure mechanisms is presented in this paper. Moreover, various fault-tolerant design methods, to prevent converter level malfunctions in the event of IGBT failure, are also reviewed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, power electronics play an important role in motor drives, utility interfaces with renewable energy sources, power transmission (e.g. high-voltage direct current systems, and flexible alternating current transmission systems), electric or hybrid electric vehicles and many other applications. Therefore, the reliability of power electronics becomes more and more vital, and should draw more attention [1]. According to a survey, semiconductor failure and soldering joints failure in power devices take up 34% of power electronic system failures [2]. Another survey shows that around 38% of the faults in variable-speed ac drives are due to failure of power devices [3]. A recent questionnaire on industrial power electronic systems also showed that all the responders regard power electronic reliability as an important issue, and 31% of the responders selected the “semiconductor power device” as the most fragile component [4]. It can be seen that studying the reliability of power devices is important.

Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBTs) are hybrid bipolar-metal-oxide semiconductor, which have the advantages of low on-state resistance, voltage control of the gate and wide safe operating area. IGBTs are also one of the most critical components as well as the widely used power devices in power electronic systems in the range above 1 kV and 1 kW. According to the survey, the most used power devices for industrial applications are IGBTs [4]. Therefore it is worth investigating IGBT’s failure and exploring the solutions to improve the reliability of IGBT power electronic converters.

The failure of IGBTs can be generally classified as catastrophic failure and wear out failure. IGBT wear out failure is mainly induced by accumulated degradation with time, while catastrophic failure is triggered by single-event overstress, such as overvoltage, overcurrent, overheat and so on. Prognostics and Health Management (PHM) method can monitor the degradation of IGBTs and estimate wear out failure [5]. However, PHM is not applicable for catastrophic failure, which is more difficult to be predicted.

Several overview papers have covered the topics on IGBT failure and fault diagnosis, and protection methods [6-12].

In [6], C. Busca et al discuss the major wear out failure mechanisms of IGBTs in wind power application. It covers the bond wire lift-off, solder joint fatigue and bond wire heel cracking due to coefficients of thermal expansion (CTEs) mismatch, aluminum reconstruction, and cosmic ray induced failure for IGBT modules. The fretting damage, spring fatigue, spring stress relaxation and cosmic ray induced failure of press-pack IGBTs are summarized. The aforementioned failure mainly occurs due to long time operation or power/thermal cycling, which can be classified as wear out failure, while no catastrophic failure is investigated.

In [7], M. Ciappa gives a comprehensive overview on IGBT module wear out failure mechanisms, such as bond wire fatigue, aluminum reconstruction, substrate cracking, interconnections corrosion, and solder fatigue and voids, while IGBT catastrophic failure is not discussed in detail except for the mechanism of latch-up. In particular, the bond wire lift-off mechanism is also discussed and modeled in [8].

In [9], S. Yang et al review the condition monitoring for semiconductor devices in power electronic converters. Some general failure mechanisms of power devices are also described. Bond wire lift-off and solder fatigue are investigated in detail, while only latch-up, gate oxide breakdown are mentioned for IGBT catastrophic failure. Diagnosis and prognosis methods for power devices degradation are also investigated.
In [10], J. Flicker et al discuss IGBT failure in detail, including all wear out failure mechanisms mentioned above, as well as some catastrophic short-circuit failure mechanisms. However, the failure mechanisms such as second breakdown, high voltage breakdown and the open-circuit catastrophic failure have not been covered.

IGBT failure is also generally mentioned in references [11] and [12], which are more focused on IGBT fault diagnosis, detection and protection methods.

As discussed above, previous review papers on IGBT failure are mainly focused on wear out failure. A detailed and comprehensive review on IGBT catastrophic failure is still lacking, though. Moreover, it is also worth having an overview on the fault-tolerant designs to deal with or isolate IGBT catastrophic failure in power electronic converters.

Therefore, the aim of this paper is to provide an unbiased review of the major types of IGBT catastrophic failure due to overstresses and the corresponding fault-tolerant designs to deal with the failure at converter level. The paper is organized as follows: Section II classifies the IGBT catastrophic failure types. Section III summarizes the catastrophic failure of IGBTs in terms of failure mode and failure mechanism. Section IV discusses modern redundancy techniques for catastrophic failure tolerance. The detailed failure mechanisms will be illustrated in the next section.

III. IGBT CATASTROPHIC FAILURE MECHANISMS

Generally, catastrophic failure mechanisms are more related to semiconductor physics and overstress working conditions. As mentioned in Fig. 1, there are two failure mechanisms of open-circuit and four of short-circuit failure.

A. Open-circuit Failure

IGBT open-circuit failure can happen after external disconnection due to vibration, as well as bond wires lift-off or rupture due to high short-circuit current. It may lead to pulsating current, output current/voltage distortion, and result in secondary failure of other components after some time. Open-circuit can also be due to absence of gate drive signal. The common reasons could be the damage of components in drivers and the disconnection between driver board and IGBTs.

B. Short-circuit Failure

IGBT short-circuit failure modes can be classified with respect to time-sequence as shown in Fig. 2 [13]. IGBT short circuit during turn-on can be caused by high gate voltage and external failure. Failure during on-state may be caused by static latch-up or the rapid increase of intrinsic temperature caused by second breakdown, as well as by energy shocks. Failure during turn-off can be caused by dynamic latch-up and high voltage breakdown. Failure during off-state may be due to thermal runaway phenomenon. The detailed failure mechanisms will be illustrated in the next section.

II. CLASSIFICATION OF IGBT CATASTROPHIC FAILURE

IGBT catastrophic failure behaviors can be classified as open-circuit failure and short-circuit failure. Normally, open-circuit failure is considered as not fatal to converters, since the converter can operate with lower quality of output. On the contrary, short-circuit failure is almost fatal to converters, as the uncontrolled short-circuit current may destroy the failed IGBT and/or other components in the circuit. IGBT catastrophic failure can be classified as shown in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure type</th>
<th>Open-circuit</th>
<th>Short-circuit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Fig. 1. Overview of IGBT catastrophic failure.

A. Open-circuit Failure

IGBT open-circuit failure can happen after external disconnection due to vibration, as well as bond wires lift-off or rupture due to high short-circuit current. It may lead to pulsating current, output current/voltage distortion, and result in secondary failure of other components after some time. Open-circuit can also be due to absence of gate drive signal. The common reasons could be the damage of components in drivers and the disconnection between driver board and IGBTs.

B. Short-circuit Failure

IGBT short-circuit failure modes can be classified with respect to time-sequence as shown in Fig. 2 [13]. IGBT short circuit during turn-on can be caused by high gate voltage and external failure. Failure during on-state may be caused by static latch-up or the rapid increase of intrinsic temperature caused by second breakdown, as well as by energy shocks. Failure during turn-off can be caused by dynamic latch-up and high voltage breakdown. Failure during off-state may be due to thermal runaway phenomenon. The detailed failure mechanisms will be illustrated in the next section.
There are various causes of gate driver failure, such as power stage devices (e.g. BJTs or MOSFETs) damaged; wires between drive board and IGBT disconnected [15]. The driver failure may result in IGBT intermittent misfiring, degraded output voltage, and overstress of other IGBTs and capacitors.

Abnormal work conditions in power terminals of IGBT can also lead to driver failure. Continued narrow overvoltage spikes between collector and emitter may open the gate-emitter resistance, while over-current of IGBT’s collector may lead to gate-emitter resistance degradation [16]. Gate open-circuit failure can result in thermal runaway or high power dissipation [17]; however detailed research on the physical failure mechanism is still lacking. Moreover, modern IGBTs can work at 175°C junction temperature, which means the case temperature could reach 100°C or more, while most components in the driver cannot work normally at such high temperature. Thus this is a challenge for gate driver working at high temperature.

B. Short-circuit Failure Mechanisms

IGBT short-circuit failure can lead to potential destruction to the failed IGBT, remaining IGBTs, and other components, as it induces uncontrolled high current through the circuit. As shown in Fig. 1, short-circuit failure can be classified as the following four different types.

1) High voltage breakdown.

High voltage spikes induced by high falling rate of collector current ($I_{C}$) and stray inductance can destroy IGBT during turn-off, especially under repetitive spikes [18,19]. Due to the high turn-off voltage spike, electric field can reach the critical field and break down one or a few IGBT cells first, and lead to high leakage current as well as high local temperature. Subsequently, the heat-flux radically diffuses from the over-heated region to the neighboring cells. Collector-emitter voltage ($V_{CE}$) collapses after the voltage spike, and then $I_{C}$ rises again. Also, the gate terminal may also fail, which results in gate voltage ($V_{GE}$) rising up.

High value of $V_{CE}$ and $V_{GE}$ can also lead to short-circuit during turn-on. An abrupt destruction and peak current happen after several microseconds during turn-on. The hole current caused by avalanche generation concentrates on a certain point (usually high-doped p+ region). The destruction point is always located at the edge of the active area close to device’s peripheral region [20]. Therefore, it is critical to clamp $V_{GE}$ and $V_{CE}$ during switching transients.

2) Static/ dynamic latch-up.

Latch-up is a condition where the collector current can no longer be controlled by the gate voltage. With respect to Fig. 3, latch-up happens when the parasitic NPN transistor is turned on, and works together with the main PNP transistor as thyristor, and then the gate loses control of $I_{C}$. IGBT latch-up can be divided into two types, static and dynamic latch-up [21].

Static latch-up happens at high collector currents, which turn on the parasitic NPN transistor by increasing the voltage drop across the parasitic resistance $R_{S}$.

Dynamic latch-up happens during switching transients, usually during turn-off, when the parasitic NPN transistor biased by the displacement current through junction capacitance $C_{cb}$ between the deep P+ region and the N-base region. There are two distinct conditions that may lead to dynamic latch-up [22-27]. One is when the gate voltage drops very fast and induces excessive displacement current through the gate oxide that flows through the parasitic resistance. The other one is when the off-state collector-emitter voltage is quite high and induces excessive charging currents within the IGBT during the switching transient, which will flow through the parasitic resistance. Both conditions may trigger the parasitic NPN transistor and eventually lead to latch-up. It should be noticed that the collector current leading to dynamic latch-up is lower than that of static latch-up.

When latch-up happens, IGBT will be almost inevitably damaged due to the loss of gate control, as confirmed by the 2D finite element simulations [28,30]. Therefore, several methods are proposed to predict latch-up, especially based on the collector-emitter on-voltage $V_{CE(on)}$ and turn off time [28,29].

It is worth mentioning, though, that latest-generation IGBTs with trench-gate structure and heavily doped P-base region under N-emitter, have been proved to have good latch-up immunity [31], and latch-up is not a common failure in the latest devices anymore.

3) Second breakdown.

Second breakdown is a kind of local thermal breakdown for transistors [32] due to high current stresses, which can also happen to IGBTs during on-state and turn-off.

The failure mechanism of second breakdown is as follows: with the increase of current, the collector-base junction space-charge density increases, and the breakdown voltage decreases, resulting in a further increase in the current density. This process continues until the area of the high current density region reduces down to the minimum area of a stable current filament. Then, the filament temperature increases rapidly due to self-heating and a rapid collapse in voltage across IGBT occurs. This has been comprehensively simulated and measured in [33]. How to improve IGBT ruggedness at high current density and prevent second breakdown is still an interesting research topic [34].

4) Energy shocks.

During short circuit at the on-state, failure may happen due to high power dissipation. The high power dissipation within a short time is defined as energy shock. The high short-circuit
IGBT may survive for more than $10^4$ times repetitive short-circuit operations. When short-circuit energy is below $E_C$, IGBTs may fail after first short-circuit due to thermal runaway. With further increase of temperature, the silicon die may become fatigued damaged and the contact metal may also migrate into the junctions.

Even the short-circuit current is successfully turned-off, short-circuit failure could still happen after several microseconds, which is called delayed failure in [31]. It is verified by experiments and numerical simulation that large leakage current leads to the thermal runaway [37]. A “critical energy ($E_C$)” is proposed to explain the mechanisms of catastrophic failure and wear out failure under repetitive short-circuit operations [38]. When short-circuit energy is below $E_C$, IGBTs may survive for more than $10^4$ times repetitive short-circuit operations failed. However, when short-circuit energy is far beyond $E_C$, IGBT may fail after first short-circuit due to thermal runaway. A further experimental investigation show that IGBTs could be open-circuit after some time, due to the interaction of molten aluminum (Al), molybdenum (Mo) and Si leading to various intermetallics, following with poor conductivity as open-circuit [48].

TABLE I. Summary of IGBT Short-circuit Failure Mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure Mechanisms</th>
<th>Failure Behavior</th>
<th>Failure Location</th>
<th>Thermal Behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High voltage breakdown</td>
<td>During turn-off: $V_{CE}$ collapses and $I_t$ rises after voltage spike</td>
<td>At the edge of active area</td>
<td>Overheating on few peripheral cells at first, and then spreads to the whole chip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>During turn-on: peak $I_t$ results in destruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latch-up</td>
<td>Static latch-up during on-state: high $I_t$ leading to loss of gate control</td>
<td>Active area</td>
<td>Overheating on a stable subset of cells of the device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dynamic latch-up during transients: high $dv/dt$ leading to loss of gate control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second breakdown</td>
<td>Local thermal breakdown due to high currents</td>
<td>Emitter regions</td>
<td>Very high local temperature spots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy shocks</td>
<td>$E &gt; E_C$: thermal runaway after successfully turned-off</td>
<td>Emitter regions</td>
<td>Very high local temperature spots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$E &lt; E_C$: degradation of die metallization</td>
<td>Al metallization layer, bond wires lift-off</td>
<td>Local overheating of Al metallization layer after $10^4$ cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A recent research also demonstrates this failure mechanism in trench gate field-stop structure IGBT by comprehensive experiments [40]. Since trench-gate field-stop IGBT has a smaller heat capacity [31,37], a state-of-the-art process has been proposed which can increase $E_C$ by $80\%$ according to simulations [41]. The basic principle is to use a thicker front side metallization, made of copper instead of aluminum, and a newly developed diffusion soldering process to attach the direct bonded copper (DBC) substrates.

Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that IGBTs can fail into short-circuits due to external causes, like the dynamic avalanche of freewheeling diodes; therefore it is also crucial to design high performance freewheeling diodes [42-44].

A summary of IGBT short-circuit failure mechanisms is presented in Table I. As discussed before, short-circuit currents inevitably introduce high energy and temperature to IGBT chips, therefore it is important to design an efficient thermal management to improve the ability of withstanding short-circuits in order to have time to detect failure and protect IGBTs.

IV. FAULT-TOLERANT CIRCUITS

Broadly speaking, it is worth to note first as a general principle that whatever fault-tolerant circuit or topology adds complexity and cost to the converter, and may fail by itself. For this reason, the final reliability-level is a trade-off between enhancing fault tolerance and increasing weaknesses. With the above in mind, different fault-tolerant designs at circuit level have been proposed, which are classified as shown in Fig. 4.

A. Device Redundancy

Contrary to modules, press-pack IGBTs intrinsically short after a catastrophic failure, due to the absence of bond wires and direct connection between die and metal contacts [45]. This feature can be profitably used for series redundancy, where several devices work as a single-switch. Nowadays it is widely used in traction, high power drives and power transmission systems [46,47]. However, for the sake of completeness, it should be pointed out that failed press-pack IGBTs could be open-circuit after some time, due to the interaction of molten aluminum (Al), molybdenum (Mo) and Si leading to various intermetallics, following with poor conductivity as open-circuit [48].

![Fig. 4. Classification of typical fault-tolerant circuits.](image-url)
Another way is using bypass switches, as shown in Fig. 5 [49]. The bypass switches are located between the neutral point and phase output. Failure occurred in switching devices (e.g. \( S_{\text{mp}} \)) can be cleared by blowing fuses (e.g. \( F_{\text{i}} \)) through turning on the bypass switch (e.g. \( T_{\text{R}} \)). The circuit operates as a four-switch three-phase inverter, with lower quality of the output. This topology can also handle open-phase failure in motor drive application, which needs to turn on \( T_{\text{R}} \) and change the phase currents to maintain motor’s torque constant. This method has been applied in neutral point clamped (NPC) converters [50]. Similar solutions are also presented in [51-56].

The other method with both series redundancy and bypass switches is cascaded H-bridge multilevel (CHBM) converter [57,58] or modular multilevel converter (MMC) [59]. When a fault occurs to a switch, the faulty H-bridge (or half H-bridge) cell is bypassed. The output voltage magnitude can be maintained with an increase of harmonic distortion.

### B. Phase Redundancy

This concept consists of introducing an additional phase leg to replace a faulty phase leg, as shown in Fig. 6 [60]. The fault-tolerant control scheme is as follows: firstly, the gate driving signals of the two switches in the faulty leg (e.g. \( S_{\text{a}} \) and \( S_{\text{b}} \)) are set to be zero level; secondly, the suited bidirectional switch is triggered (e.g. \( t_{\text{i}} \)); finally, the two switches in redundant phase (e.g. \( S_{\text{c}} \) and \( S_{\text{d}} \)) are controlled by gate driving signals to resume the role of the two switches in faulty leg. This method has been applied in three-level converters [61,62]. Five-leg [63,64] and six-leg converter [65] also have similar fault redundancy.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

Catastrophic failure of IGBT is fairly important issues both in design phase and in operation phase of power electronic converters. The failure mechanisms of two open-circuit modes and four short-circuit modes are reviewed in this paper. Even though the initial triggering factors for those failure modes are different, the final destruction is almost due to over-temperature, which reveals the importance of thermal design and fine thermal management of IGBTs in reliability-critical applications. At present, plenty of circuit-level fault-tolerant solutions are available to isolate faulty IGBTs and enhance converter reliability, which have been discussed in this work. Exploration of fault-tolerant solutions with reduced complexity and reduced cost is a hot theme and progresses in this field are highly expected in the near future.
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