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1. Introduction
The Hydraulics and Coastal Engineering Laboratory at Aalborg University, Denmark was commissioned by Puerto Deportivo Botafoc (Ibiza, Spain) to perform a series of wave reflection model tests.

The investigation concerns the design of a new internal breakwater in the main port of Ibiza. The objective of the model tests was in the first hand to optimize the cross section to make the wave reflection low enough to ensure that unacceptable wave agitation will not occur in the port. Secondly wave overtopping was studied as well.

Besides a reference structure three different breakwater cross sections were tested in May and June 2005. The present report summarises the four status reports delivered along with the model tests.

Representatives of the client Mr. Celestino Moline and Mr. Pedro Puigdengoles visited the laboratory in the period 15 – 17/06, 2005 for inspection and discussion of the tests.

Prof. dr. techn. Hans F. Burcharth of Aalborg University was in charge of the model tests, assisted by M. Sc. Brian Juul Larsen. Engineer assistants Niels Drstrup, Kurt Sørensen and Abdul Sidighi assisted in the laboratory.

For further information on the conducted test programme contact Hans F. Burcharth (phone: +45 21 42 05 22, email: i5hfb@civil.aau.dk) or Brian Juul Larsen (phone: +45 96 35 72 31, email: i5bjl@civil.aau.dk).

All measures given in this report are in prototype values unless otherwise is stated.

Aalborg 1. August 2005

Hans F. Burcharth
Prof. dr. techn., dr. h. c.
2. Model Test Set-Up

2.1 Wave Flume
All tests were conducted in a 25 m long and 1.5 m wide wave flume. Figure 1 shows the model setup in the flume.

![Wave Flume Setup Diagram](image)

Figure 1. Wave flume.

2.2 Length Scale
The breakwater models were build in scale 1:15, large enough to avoid significant scale effects.

2.3 Cross Sections Tested
Four different models have been tested. Figure 2, 3, 4 and 5 show the tested cross sections.

![Cross Section Diagram](image)

Figure 2. Reference model.
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Figure 3. Existing structure.

Figure 4. Proposed breakwater, Alternative 1.

Figure 5. Proposed breakwater, Alternative 2.

For each model the variation of the reflection has been investigated through a test matrix of various wave heights and wave periods.

2.4 Stone Material
The data of the applied stone material, all with mass density 2.65 t/m³ are given in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model $D_{n50}$</th>
<th>Prototype $D_{n50}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>0.003 m</td>
<td>0.05 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>0.016 m</td>
<td>0.24 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour</td>
<td>0.046 m</td>
<td>0.69 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Stone material.
3. Wave Generation and Analysis

3.1 Wave Generation
2D irregular waves corresponding to a JONSWAP spectrum with a peak enhancement factor of 3.3 were generated on-line by the AWASYS system developed by the laboratory. This includes on-line compensation for reflected waves.

3.2 Incident Wave in Front of the Breakwater and Wave Reflection
For the analysis of the waves near the model three gauges were placed in front of it. The incident wave spectrum was calculated using the method presented by Mansard et al. 1990. An example of separation of the measured wave spectrum into incident and reflected spectra is given in figure 6. Both frequency and time domain analysis were used.

![Figure 6. Incident and reflected wave spectra.](image)

The wave parameters given in the presentation of the model test results refer to the incident spectrum in front of the breakwater, i.e. significant wave height ($H_s$) is defined by $H_s = 4 \sqrt{m_0}$, where $m_0$ is the zero moment of the incident spectrum, and the peak period ($T_p$) is the one corresponding to the peak frequency of the incident wave spectrum. The reflection coefficient is defined as:

$$\text{Reflection coefficient} = \frac{\text{Incident wave energy}}{\sqrt{\text{Reflected wave energy}}}$$
4. Overtopping Measurements
For Alternatives 1 and 2 the overtopping across the superstructure was collected in a tank and measured. In cases with extreme overtopping amounts it was simply stated that the amounts were much more than commonly accepted, i.e. more than approximately 10 l/m/s.

5. Test Procedure and Test Programme
For each model the sea state in terms of wave height and wave periods were increased in steps, combining the relevant ranges of significant wave heights, $H_s$ and $H_{mo}$, and spectral peak wave period, $T_p$. The tested sea states are listed in table 2, also showing the results of the reflection investigations.

6. Wave Reflection Test Results
The wave reflection coefficients for the four models as obtained in the model tests by time domain and frequency domain analyses are given in table 2. It is seen that the results of the two types of analyses compare very well.
### Wave Reflection Model Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Depth [m]</th>
<th>$T_p$ [s]</th>
<th>$H_{m0}$ [m]</th>
<th>$H_s$ [m]</th>
<th>Reflection coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>time domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.4577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.4257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.4466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.3555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.6666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.6512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.5059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.4313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.4666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.4856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.7595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.7466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.7072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.7956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.7635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.7039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.1268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.1113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.2056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.2103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.2222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.4195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.4004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.3551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.1273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.1811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.2666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.2059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.1695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.4095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.3812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.5439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.5603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.5033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.1626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.2754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.4963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Test programme with results.

Details of all the test results are given in Annex 1 – 4.
## 7. Overtopping

The average overtopping discharge per second and per metre of the crest, $\bar{q}$, measured for alternatives 1 and 2 are given in table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>$h$ [m]</th>
<th>$R_c$ [m]</th>
<th>$T_p$ [s]</th>
<th>$H_{m0}$ [m]</th>
<th>$H_s$ [m]</th>
<th>$\bar{q}$ [l/m/s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>a few drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>a few drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>more than 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>more than 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>a few drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>a few drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>a few drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>a few drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>a few drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Average overtopping discharge. $R_c$ is the freeboard.

## 8. Conclusions

The model tests show that the wave reflection coefficients for the proposed two alternatives are significantly lower than for the existing structure. Figure 7 shows the results of the wave reflection tests for the existing structure and the two proposed alternatives.

Evaluation of the overtopping test results for determination of the superstructure design crest level must be based on statistics of waves in front of the structure and the planned use of the breakwater crest.
Figure 7. Result of wave reflection tests.
Annex 1 – Data Sheets for Testing of Reference Structure

Test 1.1

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4577, $H_s = 0.35$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.68$ m, $T_m = 4.5$ s, $T_{H/3} = 4.8$ s, 1094 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.023$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.045$ m, $T_m = 1.2$ s, $T_{H/3} = 1.3$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4537, $H_{m0} = 0.36$ m, $T_p = 5.2$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.024$ m, $T_p = 1.3$ s)
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Test 1.2

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4257, $H_s = 0.64$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.39$ m, $T_m = 4.6$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 4.8$ s, 1079 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.043$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.093$ m, $T_m = 1.2$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 1.2$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4175, $H_{m0} = 0.66$ m, $T_p = 4.9$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.044$ m, $T_p = 1.3$ s)

![Graph](image1)

Test 1.3

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4466, $H_s = 0.94$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.69$ m, $T_m = 4.4$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 4.9$ s, 1132 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.063$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.112$ m, $T_m = 1.1$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 1.3$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4253, $H_{m0} = 0.99$ m, $T_p = 5.2$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.066$ m, $T_p = 1.3$ s)

![Graph](image2)
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Test 1.4

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.3555, $H_s = 1.26 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 2.33 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 4.4 \text{ s}$, $T_{H1/3} = 4.8 \text{ s}$, 1124 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.084 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.155 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 1.1 \text{ s}$, $T_{H1/3} = 1.2 \text{ s}$)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.3293, $H_{m0} = 1.29 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 5.0 \text{ s}$
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.086 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 1.3 \text{ s}$)

Test 1.5

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.6666, $H_s = 0.40 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.76 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 6.8 \text{ s}$, $T_{H1/3} = 7.7 \text{ s}$, 1183 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.027 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.051 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 1.8 \text{ s}$, $T_{H1/3} = 2.0 \text{ s}$)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.6402, $H_{m0} = 0.38 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 7.9 \text{ s}$
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.025 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 2.0 \text{ s}$)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

**Test 1.6**

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.6512, \( H_s = 0.72 \text{ m}, H_{\text{max}} = 1.32 \text{ m}, T_m = 6.9 \text{ s}, T_{H_{1/3}} = 7.8 \text{ s}, 1169 \text{ waves} \)
(In model scale: \( H_s = 0.048 \text{ m}, H_{\text{max}} = 0.088 \text{ m}, T_m = 1.8 \text{ s}, T_{H_{1/3}} = 2.0 \text{ s} \))

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.6199, \( H_{m0} = 0.64 \text{ m}, T_p = 8.3 \text{ s} \)
(In model scale: \( H_{m0} = 0.043 \text{ m}, T_p = 2.2 \text{ s} \))

![Frequency Distribution for Test 1.6](image)

**Test 1.7**

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.5059, \( H_s = 1.05 \text{ m}, H_{\text{max}} = 1.97 \text{ m}, T_m = 6.8 \text{ s}, T_{H_{1/3}} = 7.8 \text{ s}, 1191 \text{ waves} \)
(In model scale: \( H_s = 0.070 \text{ m}, H_{\text{max}} = 0.131 \text{ m}, T_m = 1.7 \text{ s}, T_{H_{1/3}} = 2.0 \text{ s} \))

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4709, \( H_{m0} = 1.02 \text{ m}, T_p = 7.7 \text{ s} \)
(In model scale: \( H_{m0} = 0.068 \text{ m}, T_p = 2.0 \text{ s} \))

![Frequency Distribution for Test 1.7](image)
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Annex 2 – Data Sheets for Testing of Existing Structure

Test 2.1

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4313, H₅ = 0.30 m, Hₘₐₓ = 0.57 m, Tₘ = 4.5 s, Tₜₜₐₜᵢₜ ≤₃ = 4.9 s, 1100 waves
(In model scale: H₅ = 0.020 m, Hₘₐₓ = 0.038 m, Tₘ = 1.2 s, Tₜₜₐₜᵢₜ ≤₃ = 1.3 s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4257, Hₘ₀ = 0.31 m, Tₚ = 5.0 s
(In model scale: Hₘ₀ = 0.021 m, Tₚ = 1.3 s)

Test 2.2

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4666, H₅ = 0.61 m, Hₘₐₓ = 1.14 m, Tₘ = 4.4 s, Tₜₜₐₜᵢₜ ≤₃ = 4.9 s, 1114 waves
(In model scale: H₅ = 0.041 m, Hₘₐₓ = 0.076 m, Tₘ = 1.1 s, Tₜₜₐₜᵢₜ ≤₃ = 1.3 s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4531, Hₘ₀ = 0.64 m, Tₚ = 4.8 s
(In model scale: Hₘ₀ = 0.043 m, Tₚ = 1.2 s)
Test 2.3

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4856, $H_s = 1.02 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.83 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 4.4 \text{ s}$, $T_{H/3} = 4.9 \text{ s}$, 1118 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.068 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.122 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 1.1 \text{ s}$, $T_{H/3} = 1.3 \text{ s}$)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4603, $H_m0 = 1.07 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 5.0 \text{ s}$
(In model scale: $H_m0 = 0.071 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 1.3 \text{ s}$)

Test 2.4

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7595, $H_s = 0.36 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.61 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 6.9 \text{ s}$, $T_{H/3} = 7.7 \text{ s}$, 1168 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.024 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.041 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 1.8 \text{ s}$, $T_{H/3} = 2.0 \text{ s}$)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7335, $H_m0 = 0.37 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 8.6 \text{ s}$
(In model scale: $H_m0 = 0.025 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 2.2 \text{ s}$)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 2.5

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7466, $H_s = 0.71$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.25$ m, $T_m = 6.8$ s, $T_{H/3} = 7.7$ s, 1189 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.047$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.083$ m, $T_m = 1.7$ s, $T_{H/3} = 2.0$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7222, $H_m0 = 0.73$ m, $T_p = 8.6$ s
(In model scale: $H_m0 = 0.048$ m, $T_p = 2.2$ s)

Test 2.6

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7072, $H_s = 1.20$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.97$ m, $T_m = 6.8$ s, $T_{H/3} = 7.6$ s, 1189 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.080$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.132$ m, $T_m = 1.7$ s, $T_{H/3} = 2.0$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.6746, $H_m0 = 1.21$ m, $T_p = 8.3$ s
(In model scale: $H_m0 = 0.080$ m, $T_p = 2.2$ s)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 2.7

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7956, $H_s = 0.37$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.71$ m, $T_m = 9.2$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 10.5$ s, 1156 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.024$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.047$ m, $T_m = 2.4$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 2.7$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7677, $H_{mn0} = 0.35$ m, $T_p = 10.9$ s
(In model scale: $H_{mn0} = 0.023$ m, $T_p = 2.8$ s)

![Graph of Incident and Reflected Spectral Density vs Frequency]

Test 2.8

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7635, $H_s = 0.72$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.32$ m, $T_m = 9.0$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 10.3$ s, 1189 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.048$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.088$ m, $T_m = 2.3$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 2.7$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7400, $H_{mn0} = 0.68$ m, $T_p = 10.9$ s
(In model scale: $H_{mn0} = 0.045$ m, $T_p = 2.8$ s)

![Graph of Incident and Reflected Spectral Density vs Frequency]
Test 2.9

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.7039, $H_s = 1.19$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.83$ m, $T_m = 8.3$ s, $T_{H/3} = 9.8$ s, 1286 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.079$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.122$ m, $T_m = 2.1$ s, $T_{H/3} = 2.5$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.6732, $H_{m0} = 1.14$ m, $T_p = 10.9$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.076$ m, $T_p = 2.8$ s)
Annex 3 – Data Sheets for Testing of Alternative 1

Test 3.1

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1268, $H_s = 0.40$ m, $H_{max} = 0.72$ m, $T_m = 4.6$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 4.8$ s, 1072 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.027$ m, $H_{max} = 0.048$ m, $T_m = 1.2$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 1.2$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1184, $H_{m0} = 0.41$ m, $T_p = 5.2$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.027$ m, $T_p = 1.3$ s)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 3.2

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1113, H_s = 0.80 m, H_{max} = 1.49 m, T_m = 4.6 s, T_{H1/3} = 4.8 s, 1078 waves
(In model scale: H_s = 0.053 m, H_{max} = 0.099 m, T_m = 1.2 s, T_{H1/3} = 1.2 s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1027, H_{m0} = 0.82 m, T_p = 5.0 s
(In model scale: H_{m0} = 0.055 m, T_p = 1.3 s)

Test 3.3

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1125, H_s = 1.32 m, H_{max} = 2.68 m, T_m = 4.7 s, T_{H1/3} = 4.9 s, 1062 waves
(In model scale: H_s = 0.088 m, H_{max} = 0.179 m, T_m = 1.2 s, T_{H1/3} = 1.3 s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.0911, H_{m0} = 1.37 m, T_p = 5.0 s
(In model scale: H_{m0} = 0.091 m, T_p = 1.3 s)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 3.4

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.2056, $H_s = 0.46 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.83 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 6.9 \text{ s}$, $T_{H_{1/3}} = 7.7 \text{ s}$, 1163 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.031 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.055 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 1.8 \text{ s}$, $T_{H_{1/3}} = 2.0 \text{ s}$)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1998, $H_{m0} = 0.47 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 8.1 \text{ s}$
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.031 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 2.1 \text{ s}$)

Test 3.5

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.2182, $H_s = 0.95 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.57 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 7.0 \text{ s}$, $T_{H_{1/3}} = 7.8 \text{ s}$, 1144 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.063 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.104 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 1.8 \text{ s}$, $T_{H_{1/3}} = 2.0 \text{ s}$)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.2103, $H_{m0} = 0.97 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 7.9 \text{ s}$
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.065 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 2.0 \text{ s}$)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 3.6

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.2222, $H_s = 1.55$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 2.87$ m, $T_m = 6.9$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 7.6$ s, 1172 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.103$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.191$ m, $T_m = 1.8$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 2.0$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.2123, $H_{m0} = 1.62$ m, $T_p = 8.1$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.108$ m, $T_p = 2.1$ s)

![Graph of Test 3.6](image)

Test 3.7

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4195, $H_s = 0.44$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.77$ m, $T_m = 9.0$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 10.1$ s, 1187 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.029$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.052$ m, $T_m = 2.3$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 2.6$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.418, $H_{m0} = 0.46$ m, $T_p = 10.6$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.031$ m, $T_p = 2.7$ s)

![Graph of Test 3.7](image)
Test 3.8

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4004, $H_s = 0.86$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.62$ m, $T_m = 9.0$ s, $T_{H/3} = 10.2$ s, 1183 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.057$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.108$ m, $T_m = 2.3$ s, $T_{H/3} = 2.6$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.402, $H_{m0} = 0.89$ m, $T_p = 10.6$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.059$ m, $T_p = 2.7$ s)

Test 3.9

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.3551, $H_s = 1.04$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 2.45$ m, $T_m = 6.9$ s, $T_{H/3} = 8.5$ s, 1548 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.070$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.164$ m, $T_m = 1.8$ s, $T_{H/3} = 2.2$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.329, $H_{m0} = 1.12$ m, $T_p = 10.6$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.074$ m, $T_p = 2.7$ s)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 3.10

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1273, $H_s = 0.41 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.78 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 4.6 \text{ s}$, $T_{H1/3} = 4.9 \text{ s}$, 1074 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.027 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.052 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 1.2 \text{ s}$, $T_{H1/3} = 1.3 \text{ s}$)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.119, $H_{m0} = 0.42 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 5.0 \text{ s}$
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.028 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 1.3 \text{ s}$)

Test 3.11

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1811, $H_s = 0.46 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.88 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 6.8 \text{ s}$, $T_{H1/3} = 7.7 \text{ s}$, 1179 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.031 \text{ m}$, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.059 \text{ m}$, $T_m = 1.8 \text{ s}$, $T_{H1/3} = 2.0 \text{ s}$)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1747, $H_{m0} = 0.49 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 8.1 \text{ s}$
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.033 \text{ m}$, $T_p = 2.1 \text{ s}$)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 3.12

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.338, $H_s = 0.46$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.82$ m, $T_m = 8.9$ s, $T_{H/3} = 10.0$ s, 1198 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.031$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.055$ m, $T_m = 2.3$ s, $T_{H/3} = 2.6$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.3301, $H_{\text{m0}} = 0.48$ m, $T_p = 11.8$ s
(In model scale: $H_{\text{m0}} = 0.032$ m, $T_p = 3.0$ s)
Annex 4 – Data Sheets for Testing of Alternative 2

Test 4.1

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.2666, \( H_s = 0.41 \) m, \( H_{\text{max}} = 0.75 \) m, \( T_m = 4.5 \) s, \( T_{H/3} = 4.8 \) s, 1109 waves
(In model scale: \( H_s = 0.027 \) m, \( H_{\text{max}} = 0.050 \) m, \( T_m = 1.1 \) s, \( T_{H/3} = 1.3 \) s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.239, \( H_{m0} = 0.43 \) m, \( T_p = 5.1 \) s
(In model scale: \( H_{m0} = 0.028 \) m, \( T_p = 1.3 \) s)

![Spectral Density Plot](image-url)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 4.2

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.2059, $H_s = 0.81$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.47$ m, $T_m = 4.6$ s, $T_{H/3} = 4.8$ s, 1081 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.054$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.098$ m, $T_m = 1.2$ s, $T_{H/3} = 1.2$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.2011, $H_{m0} = 0.82$ m, $T_p = 5.1$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.055$ m, $T_p = 1.3$ s)

Test 4.3

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1695, $H_s = 1.44$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 2.97$ m, $T_m = 4.5$ s, $T_{H/3} = 4.8$ s, 1091 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.096$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.198$ m, $T_m = 1.2$ s, $T_{H/3} = 1.2$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.1406, $H_{m0} = 1.46$ m, $T_p = 5.0$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.097$ m, $T_p = 1.3$ s)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 4.4

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4095, $H_s = 0.45$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.96$ m, $T_m = 6.9$ s, $T_{H/3} = 7.7$ s, 1162 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.030$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.064$ m, $T_m = 1.8$ s, $T_{H/3} = 2.0$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.3919, $H_m0 = 0.47$ m, $T_p = 8.1$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.032$ m, $T_p = 2.1$ s)

Test 4.5

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.3812, $H_s = 0.82$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.73$ m, $T_m = 6.9$ s, $T_{H/3} = 7.6$ s, 1157 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.055$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.115$ m, $T_m = 1.8$ s, $T_{H/3} = 2.0$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.3616, $H_m0 = 0.86$ m, $T_p = 8.1$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.057$ m, $T_p = 2.1$ s)
Test 4.6

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.327, $H_s = 1.54$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 2.66$ m, $T_m = 6.9$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 7.6$ s, 1165 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.102$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.177$ m, $T_m = 1.8$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 2.0$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.3183, $H_{m0} = 1.60$ m, $T_p = 8.1$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.107$ m, $T_p = 2.1$ s)

Test 4.7

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.5439, $H_s = 0.45$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.92$ m, $T_m = 9.0$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 10.0$ s, 1186 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.030$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.062$ m, $T_m = 2.3$ s, $T_{H1/3} = 2.6$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.5299, $H_{m0} = 0.47$ m, $T_p = 10.2$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.031$ m, $T_p = 2.6$ s)
Wave Reflection Model Tests

Test 4.8

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.5603, $H_s = 0.90$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 1.84$ m, $T_m = 9.0$ s, $T_{H_1/3} = 10.4$ s, 1183 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.060$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.122$ m, $T_m = 2.3$ s, $T_{H_1/3} = 2.7$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.5444, $H_{m0} = 0.95$ m, $T_p = 10.6$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.063$ m, $T_p = 2.7$ s)

Test 4.9

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.5033, $H_s = 1.52$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 2.51$ m, $T_m = 8.8$ s, $T_{H_1/3} = 10.0$ s, 1215 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.101$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.167$ m, $T_m = 2.3$ s, $T_{H_1/3} = 2.6$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4913, $H_{m0} = 1.59$ m, $T_p = 10.6$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.106$ m, $T_p = 2.7$ s)
**Wave Reflection Model Tests**

**Test 4.10**

**Time Domain Analysis:**
Reflection = 0.1626, \(H_s = 0.40\) m, \(H_{\text{max}} = 0.71\) m, \(T_m = 4.5\) s, \(T_{H/3} = 4.9\) s, 1092 waves
(In model scale: \(H_s = 0.026\) m, \(H_{\text{max}} = 0.047\) m, \(T_m = 1.2\) s, \(T_{H/3} = 1.3\) s)

**Frequency Domain Analysis:**
Reflection = 0.1226, \(H_{m0} = 0.41\) m, \(T_p = 5.3\) s
(In model scale: \(H_{m0} = 0.027\) m, \(T_p = 1.4\) s)

![Graph](image1)

**Test 4.11**

**Time Domain Analysis:**
Reflection = 0.2754, \(H_s = 0.48\) m, \(H_{\text{max}} = 0.85\) m, \(T_m = 7.0\) s, \(T_{H/3} = 7.7\) s, 1151 waves
(In model scale: \(H_s = 0.032\) m, \(H_{\text{max}} = 0.057\) m, \(T_m = 1.8\) s, \(T_{H/3} = 2.0\) s)

**Frequency Domain Analysis:**
Reflection = 0.2613, \(H_{m0} = 0.50\) m, \(T_p = 8.1\) s
(In model scale: \(H_{m0} = 0.033\) m, \(T_p = 2.1\) s)

![Graph](image2)
Test 4.12

Time Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4963, $H_s = 0.45$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.84$ m, $T_m = 8.9$ s, $T_{H_1/3} = 10.0$ s, 1207 waves
(In model scale: $H_s = 0.030$ m, $H_{\text{max}} = 0.056$ m, $T_m = 2.3$ s, $T_{H_1/3} = 2.6$ s)

Frequency Domain Analysis:
Reflection = 0.4831, $H_{m0} = 0.47$ m, $T_p = 10.2$ s
(In model scale: $H_{m0} = 0.032$ m, $T_p = 2.6$ s)