Abstract—Harmonics have been considered as one of the major issues in future power grids. With the increasing demand in advanced control functions, power electronic converter interfaced Distributed Generators (DGs) are expected to perform harmonic compensation when necessary. It has been demonstrated in a number of studies that DG converters operating in Voltage-Controlled Mode (VCM) can be easily configured to realize voltage harmonic suppression utilizing naturally embedded voltage control loop. While for DG converters operating in Current-Controlled Mode (CCM), such function was rarely studied. Considering that CCM is commonly used in renewable energy based generators and energy storage systems, it has certain significance to achieve the same function with CCM operated converters. Aiming at such objective, this paper proposes a voltage detection based embedded Harmonic Compensator (HC) for CCM converters. The novelty and main advantages of the proposed method include: 1) it realizes seamless interface of HC with inner fundamental current control loop; 2) compared with conventional active power filtering method, it does not require remote load harmonic current measurement since it is based on local voltage detection; 3) compared with conventional voltage detection based method, it offers better performance because of direct harmonic voltage regulation. Experimental results are presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of the method.

Keywords—distributed generator; current-controlled mode; active power filtering; voltage harmonic compensation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Current-controlled power converters are usually used for interfacing renewable energy sources (RES) and energy storage systems (ESS) into power grid. Apart from basic current/power control, advanced functions, such as reactive power compensation and active power filtering [1], can also be realized by properly programming those converters.

Focusing on harmonic compensation issues, a number of research works have been proposed. In [2], a load current detection based active power filtering strategy is proposed to compensate the harmonic load current. However, since the load in a real power grid is highly distributed, the load current can hardly be measured and thus the application of this scheme is relatively limited. To overcome this issue, a harmonic compensation strategy based on local voltage measurement is proposed in [3], but this scheme suffers from poor performance issues. A critical load bus voltage harmonic mitigation method is proposed using a hierarchal control scheme in [4]. Although the power quality at the selected bus can be improved, it can hardly be applied to DG converters since it requires the converters operating in Voltage-Controlled Mode (VCM). Similar approach can be also found in [5] with VCM based control.

Considering that Current-Controlled Mode (CCM) is commonly used in renewable energy based generators and energy storage systems, it has certain significance to achieve the same function with CCM based converters. The novelty of this paper is to realize voltage harmonic compensation by using CCM converters in either islanded or grid connected systems. The converter is programmed to act as a multi-functional device which has the capability of both regulating power and voltage harmonic suppression when necessary. A critical load bus voltage harmonic mitigation method is proposed using a hierarchal control scheme in [4]. Although the power quality at the selected bus can be improved, it can hardly be applied to DG converters since it requires the converters operating in Voltage-Controlled Mode (VCM). Similar approach can be also found in [5] with VCM based control.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II gives a brief introduction to the conventional harmonic mitigation strategies while Section III illustrates the proposed control scheme. Section IV provides the design and stability analysis of the proposed control scheme. Experimental results is analyzed and discussed in Section V. Finally, Section VI presents the conclusion of the paper.

II. CONVENTIONAL HARMONIC COMPENSATION STRATEGY

The common idea of Point of Common Coupling (PCC) voltage harmonic suppression is achieved by controlling the DG converters to generate harmonic current which has the same amplitude and phase angle with load harmonic current, therefore only the fundamental current flows into the grid resulting in a better PCC voltage. The conventional harmonic
The converter is shunt connected to the grid through an \textit{LCL} filter, and \(Z_g\) stands for the grid impedance. Nonlinear loads are connected at PCC.

As can be seen from Fig. 1, the control block includes a current loop controller and a current reference generation block. In general, repetitive controller (RC) or proportional + resonant (PR) controller can be used as the current controller to provide high gains at harmonic order frequencies, achieving accurate tracking of harmonic current components. In harmonic current reference generation block, two different approaches are usually applied. The first approach measures the load current \(i_L\) and extracts the harmonic components for using as compensation references. In this case, the DG converter operates similarly with a shunt active power filter (APF). In the second approach, the DG converter is controlled to absorb a current proportional to the instantaneous PCC harmonic voltage. Thus, the DG converter can be regarded as a resistive APF which providing a low-impedance path for harmonic currents.

The second approach avoids remote load current measurement, since only local voltage information is needed. However, since the DG converter presents a resistive feature at harmonic frequencies, the injected harmonic current will not be in phase with the voltage drop across the grid impedance, as shown in Fig. 2, which degrades the effectiveness of the compensation performance. In this figure, \(\theta\) denotes the angle of grid impedance, \(i_h\) represents the harmonic current injected by the DG converters, \(v_{PCC}\) stand for the harmonic voltage at PCC while \(v_{2h}\) denotes the voltage drop across the grid impedance. Otherwise, the phase angle of the injected harmonic current needs to be optimized based on the accurate measurement of grid impedance [6], which is considered not practical.

**III. PROPOSED HARMONIC COMPENSATION STRATEGY**

Due to the aforementioned drawbacks of the conventional harmonic compensation scheme, a novel control algorithm, which consists of a voltage Harmonic Compensator (HC) as well as a fundamental current controller, is proposed in this paper, and the corresponding control scheme is shown in Fig. 3. The studied system consists of a CCM converter connected to PCC through a \textit{LCL} filter, a three phase diode rectifier based nonlinear load, and a voltage terminal which can be either a power grid or a VCM converter. Note that \(K\) is the harmonic voltage feedback gain. To achieve harmonic mitigation by only using locally measurable signals, the capacitor voltage is taken as the feedback control variable.

Single-line equivalent circuit of the studied system is illustrated in Fig. 4, where \(i_{oh}\) and \(i_{Lh}\) are the harmonic components of the converter output current and load current, respectively, \(Z_{oh}\) is the converter output impedance at \(h^{th}\) order harmonic, and \(v_{ch}\) is the harmonic voltage of filter capacitor. Assuming that the converter can track the harmonic voltage without steady-state error, the phasor diagram of the system can be shown in Fig. 5. Note that \(i_{PCCh}\) denotes the grid harmonic current, and the sign of \(m\) and \(n\) is determined by \(K\). As illustrated in Fig. 5, if \(v_{ch}\) is counter phase with \(v_{PCCh}\), the voltage difference between filter capacitor and PCC increases which results in the harmonic compensation operation of the converter. Otherwise, if \(v_{ch}\) is in phase with \(v_{PCCh}\), the voltage difference between filter capacitor and PCC decreases, consequently, the converter will operate in harmonic rejection mode. It is worth noting that when \(v_{ch}\) equals to \(v_{PCCh}\) the harmonic current will only be provided by the grid. However, since the capacitor voltage cannot perfectly track its reference in real cases, part of the harmonic current will still flow into the converter. The influence of \(K\) on \(i_{oh}\) is illustrated by deriving the following equation:
From (1), operation modes of the HC can be concluded as: 1) harmonic compensation mode, if $K < 0$ ($m < 0$); 2) harmonic rejection mode, if $0 < K \leq 1$ ($0 < n \leq 1$). Note that $K > 1$ is not preferred, since it may induce harmonics into the system.

To ensure efficient and accurate harmonic voltage extraction, the Sliding Window Discrete Fourier Transform (SDFT) [7] is utilized in this paper. The corresponding calculation algorithm is given as

$$X_h = \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} x(n) \cdot \cos \left( \frac{2\pi nh}{N} \right) - j \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} x(n) \cdot \sin \left( \frac{2\pi nh}{N} \right)$$

(2)

where $X_h$ denotes the complex Fourier vector of the $h$th harmonic, $N$ denotes the number of samples per fundamental period, $x(n)$ denotes the input signal at the $n$th sample point. From (2), the amplitude and phase angle of the $h$th harmonic can be calculated after one fundamental cycle. And then, the harmonic voltage reference can be obtained after scaled by $K$.

IV. DESIGN AND STABILITY ANALYSIS OF THE PROPOSED HC

A. Fundamental Current Control loop

The basic function of DG converter is regulating the power generation from the RES, and providing a unity power factor current to the grid. Generally, the converter output current $I_o$ is controlled by a PR controller with a high gain at fundamental frequency. Thus, both positive and negative sequence output current can track its reference accurately. It is worth noting that 5th, 7th, or higher order resonant controllers are not involved, otherwise the current loop controller will be coupled with HC, and then instability issues may occur. Note that the DC voltage loop is not discussed in this paper, since it is usually designed with low bandwidth, and will not interact with the inner current loop. The current loop transfer function can be expressed as

$$G(s) = k_{ip} + k_\omega \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2}$$

(3)

where $k_{ip}$ and $k_\omega$ are the proportional coefficients and resonant coefficients of the current controller, respectively. $\omega$ is the fundamental angular frequency.

The $LCL$ filter can be approximate as a single $L$ filter at fundamental frequency, since the filter capacitor presents as high impedance for low frequency components. $\tau$ is tuned to cancel out the pole of the filter while $k_{pi}$ is set to achieve the desired control bandwidth [8]. Note that this bandwidth should be smaller than 0.3 times of the $LCL$ filter resonant frequency to avoid instability issues [9]-[10]. The controller parameters can be calculated as follows

$$k_{pi} = 2\pi f_c(L + L_g)$$

(4)

$$\tau = \omega_c^2(L + L_g)(r + r_g)$$

(5)

where $f_c$ is the desired crossover frequency, $L$ and $L_g$ are the...
converter side and grid side inductor, respectively, $r$ and $r_g$ are
the parasite resistance of the converter side and grid side filter
inductor, respectively. By using the system parameters listed in
Table I, together with $f_s = 250$ Hz, the corresponding control
parameters are eventually determined as $k_{pi} = 5.6$, and $\tau = 0.009$.

B. Active Damping

Since the resonant frequency of LCL filter in this case lies
out of the inherent stable range, i.e. the filter resonant
frequency is lower than one sixth of the system sampling
frequency [11]-[12], an extra active damping term is needed to
stabilize the system. In this paper, capacitor current feedback
based active damping is utilized [12]. By neglecting parasitic
parameters of the LCL filter, the damped power plant model is
shown in Fig. 7, and then the transfer function from converter
output voltage $v_m$ to grid current $i_o$ in s-domain is derived in (6)
and (7).

$$G(s) = \frac{i_o}{v_m} = \frac{1}{s(L_L C_f s^2 + K_d L_g C_f s + (L + L_g))}$$
$$K_d = 2^{\xi L_0} L_g \omega_{res} = 2^{\xi L_0} L_g \frac{L + L_g}{LL_g C_f}$$

where $C_f$ is the filter capacitor, $K_d$ is the capacitor current
feedback gain, $\xi$ is the damping ratio while $\omega_{res}$ is the LCL
filter resonant frequency. From (6), it can be seen that by
proper tuning of the feedback gain $K_d$, a second order system
with desired damping ratio can be obtained.

![Fig. 7. Bode plot of the damped system.](image)

Finally, $K_d$ is determined as 9 with the desired damping
ratio 0.4.

C. Harmonic Voltage Control Loop

Although the active damping is implemented in this paper
to damp the power plant for fundamental current control loop,
unfortunately extra phase lag is also introduced in the capacitor
voltage feedback loop. The induced phase shift can be
neglected at fundamental frequency, however, this issue
becomes worse in high frequency applications, such as active
filtering field. To clarify this issue, the power plant transfer
function for harmonic compensation loop is derived as

$$G_p(s) = \frac{v_m}{v_m} \frac{1}{LC_f s^2 + K_d C_f s + 1}$$

Since the controller is commonly implemented in a digital
manner, the plant transfer function is thus discretized through
Zero-Order Hold (ZOH) method before being used for stability
analysis and the derivation is listed in the appendix. Bode plot
of the discretized plant transfer function is depicted in Fig. 7
with different damping ratio $\xi$. It can be seen that the resonance
peak is effectively damped thanks to the active damping loop.
However, a noticeable phase lag appears in the loop gain at
frequencies lower than the resonant frequency. Thus, it would
be critical to compensate those phase lags to obtain a better
compensation performance. In this paper, HC based on
resonant controllers [13] is proposed to compensate the phase
lag induced by active damping as well as computational delay
and PWM process. Transfer function of the resonant controller
can be expressed in the $z$-domain as

$$G_{res}(z) = k_{res} T_s \frac{\cos \phi_c - z^{-1} \cos (\phi_c - \text{har} T_s)}{1 - 2z^{-1} \cos (\text{har} T_s) + z^{-2}}$$

where $k_{res}$ is the gain of the resonant controller, $h$ is the order of
the harmonic, $T_s$ is the sampling period, and $\phi_c$ is the leading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. System Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid Side Inductors $L_g$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitors $C_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasite resistor in Inductors $r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Voltage $V$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Frequency $f_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Voltage $V_{DC}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching Frequency $f_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonlinear Load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To consider the system shown in (10), the system error is given by

$$E(z) = \frac{K \cdot v_{PCCb}(z)}{1 + G_s(z)} = S(z) \cdot K \cdot v_{PCCb}(z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $S(z)$ is the defined sensitivity function. In addition to being a factor of the system error, the sensitivity function $S(z)$ is also the reciprocal of the distance from the Nyquist trajectory, $G_{ol}(z)$, to the critical point. The maximum value of the sensitivity function is known as the sensitivity peak which offers a more accurate measure of stability margin than either phase or gain margin would indicate.

By combining the designed control gains with the passive component parameters listed in Table I, the open loop transfer function of the 7th order harmonic compensation loop is derived as

$$G_{ol}(z) = G_{rsl}(z)G_p(z)G_{delay}(z)$$

$$= \left(0.0043z^4 + 0.0036z^5\right)\left(\frac{\cos\phi_7 - \cos(cz - \frac{\text{han}}{z})}{z}\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\phi_7$ is the leading angle of 7th order resonant controller.

Nyquist diagram of (12) is then illustrated in Fig. 9. The orange curve corresponding to the open loop system without delay compensation, while the blue curve stand for the system with $\phi_7$ equals to the system phase lag at 7th order harmonic frequency. Fig. 9 shows that $S(z)$ of the compensated system (blue curve) is almost 2 times smaller than that of the uncompensated system (orange curve), which indicates the compensated system have a bigger stability margin. By further increasing $\phi_7$, the stability margin can be further improved and reach its maximum value when $\phi_7$ equals to 0.9. However, since $\phi_7$ will deviate from the real phase lag of the system, this improved stability margin is obtained at the expense of compensation accuracy degradation.

In order to dig out the influence of $\phi_7$ to stability margin, sensitivity function of the system is derived as

$$S_m(z) = \frac{1}{D_7(z)} = \frac{1}{1 + G_{rsl}(z)G_p(z)G_{delay}(z)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $D_7(z)$ denotes the vector from the Nyquist curve to the critical point. The modulus of $S_m(z)$, which is the reciprocal of the distance from Nyquist curve to the critical point, is shown in Fig. 10 with $\phi_7$ equals to 0 and 2, respectively. As it can be seen, $S_m(z)$ varies along with the changing of $\phi_7$. $|S_m(z)| = 0$ means the Nyquist curve is at infinite which is caused by the infinite gain of $G_{rsl}(z)$ at resonant frequency. Meanwhile, the
maximum value of $|S_v(z)|$ denotes minimum distance from the Nyquist curve to the critical point, and this value accurately indicates the stability margin of the system.

In general, the leading angle is set to be equal to the system delay at the resonant frequency to achieve large stability margin as well as high compensation accuracy. However, a higher stability margin can be achieved by further reducing the sensitivity function at the expense of lower the resonant controller tracking accuracy. Thus, by following the same design procedure, resonant controllers tuned at 5th, 11th, and 13th order harmonics are designed by minimizing the sensitivity function, and all the designed control parameters are listed in Table II.

### V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to validate the proposed control strategy, experimental study is conducted and the results are included below. The experimental platform [18], which consists of two 2.2kVA converters in three-phase three-leg connection with LCL filters, is shown in Fig. 11. One of the converters is utilized to emulate DG converter while the other converter is programmed to emulate the grid. Three-phase diode rectifier with L filter and resistive load is connected to PCC to emulate the nonlinear load. Specifications of power stage and control system are given in Tables I and II. A real time control system is utilized to control the experimental platform while FLUKE 437-II power quality analyzer is employed to monitor the harmonic conditions.

Figs. 12 and 13 show the PCC voltage and its spectrum before and after the harmonic compensation, respectively. As it can be seen, PCC voltage becomes more sinusoidal after the proposed compensation strategy is activated and the corresponding total harmonic distortion (THD) drops significantly from 5.6% to 1.8%. Moreover, Fig. 13 illustrates that all the compensated harmonics (5th, 7th, 11th, and 13th order) drops dramatically. To clearly reveal the harmonic voltage mitigation performance, transient response of the PCC voltage harmonic distortion (defined by the quotient of harmonic amplitude divided by amplitude of fundamental harmonic)
Fig. 14. 5th, 7th, 11th, and 13th order voltage harmonic distortion.

component) is depicted in Fig. 14. It is observed that with the proposed controller, 5th, 7th, 11th, and 13th order voltage harmonic distortion have been significantly reduced, as expected in controller design part.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a novel voltage harmonic compensation strategy based on harmonic voltage control has been investigated. The control structure includes three parts: the fundamental current loop, harmonic voltage loop and active damping controller. The proposed voltage harmonic control loop can seamlessly integrate with the fundamental current control loop. Compared with the conventional harmonic compensation strategy, the proposed scheme has the advantage of no remote current measurement and high compensation accuracy. Moreover, it offers the possibility of compensation efforts sharing with other CCM or VCM operated units which is a desirable feature under the paradigm of distributed generation. The effectiveness of the control scheme has been validated with one converter connected to the grid through LCL filter. The experimental results show that the PCC voltage harmonics decreased significantly after the harmonic voltage control loop is enabled.

REFERENCES


[17] www.microgrids.et.aau.dk

APPENDIX

\[
G_p(z) = \frac{1 - e^{-\sigma T}}{s} G_s(s) = (1 - z^{-1}) \cdot \frac{G_s(s)}{s} \\
= (1 - z^{-1}) \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{s(LC^2 + KcCs + 1)}}{\frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{Kc}{L} + \frac{1}{LC}}} \\
= (1 - z^{-1}) \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{s(LC + KcCs + 1)}}{\frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{Kc}{L} + \frac{1}{LC}}} \\
= (1 - z^{-1}) \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{s \left( s^2 + 2 \omega_0^2 s + \omega_0^2 \right)}}{\frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{Kc}{L} + \frac{1}{LC}}} \\
= (1 - z^{-1}) \cdot \left\{ \frac{z \cdot \frac{1}{s^2 - \frac{2 \omega_0^2}{L} \cdot \frac{1}{s^2} - 2 \omega_0^2 s \cdot \cos(\omega_0 \cdot \frac{1}{s^2} T - \theta)}}{1 - \frac{2 \omega_0^2}{L} \cdot \frac{1}{s^2} + \cos(\omega_0 \cdot \frac{1}{s^2} T - \theta)}} \right\} \\
\]

where \( \omega_0 = 1 / \sqrt{LC} \), \( \zeta_0 = 0.5Kc \sqrt{C / L} \), and \( \theta = \arccos(\zeta_0) \).