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A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled pilot trial to determine the efficacy and safety of ibudilast, a potential glial attenuator, in chronic migraine
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Background: Chronic migraine (CM) is problematic, and there are few effective treatments. Recently, it has been hypothesized that glial activation may be a contributor to migraine; therefore, this study investigated whether the potential glial inhibitor, ibudilast, could attenuate CM.

Methods: The study was of double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, two-period crossover design. Participants were randomized to receive either ibudilast (40 mg twice daily) or placebo treatment for 8 weeks. Subsequently, the participants underwent a 4-week washout period followed by a second 8-week treatment block with the alternative treatment. CM participants completed a headache diary 4 weeks before randomization throughout both treatment periods and 4 weeks after treatment. Questionnaires assessing quality of life and cutaneous allodynia were collected on eight occasions throughout the study.

Results: A total of 33 participants were randomized, and 14 participants completed the study. Ibudilast was generally well tolerated with mild, transient adverse events, principally nausea. Eight weeks of ibudilast treatment did not reduce the frequency of moderate to severe headache or of secondary outcome measures such as headache index, intake of symptomatic medications, quality of life or change in cutaneous allodynia.

Conclusion: Using the current regimen, ibudilast does not improve migraine with CM participants.
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Introduction

Chronic migraine (CM) is a disabling and undertreated disorder which represents a clinically challenging problem that impacts significantly on the quality of life of affected individuals and imposes a large economic burden upon society due to lost productivity. Despite this burden, the pathophysiology behind migraine and especially the processes of migraine chronification remain poorly understood. A growing body of preclinical and clinical evidence suggests that acquired sensitization plays a significant role in the pathology of migraine and the process of chronification.

Recent evidence supports the importance of neuronal–glial interactions as a potential mechanism for chronic pain. Glia are located in the central nervous system, and under basal condition, they perform housekeeping functions and maintain neural homeostasis. However, when glia are activated, they become immunoresponsive and modulate pain by releasing neuroexcitatory signals that can increase neuronal excitability, activate neighboring glia, and produce nociceptive mediators (such as...
nitric oxide, excitatory amino acids, and proinflammatory cytokines.8

Nonclinical models support a role of neuron–glia interactions in the initiation of migraine attacks, as glia have been shown to release a range of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in animal models evoking some features of migraine.9 In nonclinical models, blockade of glial activation and proinflammatory mediators released by glia caused reduction in hypersensitivity and allodynia, respectively.10,11 Additionally, a clinical study has reported elevated levels of proinflammatory cytokines in the cerebrospinal fluid of CM participants.12 Such tonically increased glial activity may underlie the progression from episodic to CM.13 Furthermore, in a separate study, levels of the chemokine interleukin 8 were found to be elevated in the jugular venous blood of patients during a migraine attack.14 Interleukin 8 can be synthesized by glia in response to other proinflammatory mediators including tumor necrosis factor α, lending additional support to the neurogenic inflammation model of migraine.15

Thus, it seems likely that nociceptive signaling during migraine attacks releases mediators that activate glia. Activated glia then release a range of proinflammatory mediators such as cytokines, chemokines, reactive oxygen species, and prostaglandins, which not only facilitate pain transmission but also activate further glia, creating a positive feedback loop. After a stimulus is resolved, experimental evidence suggests that microglia may remain “primed,” entering a state in which they do not actively produce proinflammatory substances, yet they over-respond to subsequent stimuli, resulting in increased proinflammatory cytokine release and an exaggerated pain response.16 This could lead to an increase in migraine frequency as triggers that were previously subthreshold may now initiate headache.

Although glial involvement in the pathogenesis of migraine and in the transformation of episodic migraine to CM has been suggested by a number of authors,9,13,17–19 to our knowledge no treatments targeting this mechanism have been trialed specifically in high frequency migraineurs. However, encouraging results from an open-label retrospective study in participants with varying chronic daily headache phenotypes following treatment with the potential glial attenuator minocycline have been reported.20 This study, published only in abstract form, found a significant decrease in headache frequency following 2 months of minocycline administration when used as an adjunctive preventative medication.20 However, the efficacy of minocycline in animal studies, suggests that it is only effective before chronic pain is established and not subsequently,19 which may not be applicable to chronic pain patients.

Ibudilast is a nonselective phosphodiesterase inhibitor that has been used in Japan for 20 years to treat bronchial asthma,21 post-stroke dizziness,22 and ocular allergies.23 Ibudilast inhibits tracheal smooth muscle contractility24 and platelet aggregation,25 improves cerebral blood flow,22 and attenuates allergic reactions.26,27

As ibudilast is administered orally, is well tolerated, and has good blood–brain barrier permeability,28–30 it is a suitable candidate for the treatment of chronic pain. In nonclinical models, ibudilast attenuated microglial activation (reduced withdrawal behaviors), reduced production of proinflammatory cytokines, enhanced the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines, and improved the safety profile of opioids (reduced tolerance and attenuated reward and dependence).23,31–33 Moreover, ibudilast exhibited immunomodulatory actions in multiple sclerosis patients30 and also displayed inhibition of proinflammatory cytokines from peripheral leukocytes.34

In the present study, the efficacy of the putative glial attenuator ibudilast in participants with high frequency migraine was investigated. The study investigated the efficacy of ibudilast on the frequency of moderate to severe headache and other headache indices (such as headache index, headache-related questionnaires, and acute symptomatic medication intake) in CM patients over 8 weeks of ibudilast treatment compared with placebo treatment.

Methods

Study approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia. All the participants gave their written informed consent before the commencement of the study. The study was conducted at the Pain and Anaesthesia Research Clinic, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, Australia, and in accordance with the Principles of International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice, the Declaration of Helsinki, and the Australian National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans.

The study was of double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, two-period crossover design. Participants were randomized to initially receive either ibudilast or placebo treatment for 8 weeks. Subsequently, the participants underwent a 4-week washout period followed by a second 8-week treatment block with the alternative treatment (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT01389193).

Participant selection

Participants were recruited from June 2013 to May 2015. Criteria for CM were defined by the revised second edition
of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-II).\(^3^5\) Key inclusion criteria were:

1. Men and women aged between 18 and 65 years
2. Migraine with or without aura, as diagnosed according to the ICHD-II\(^3^6\)
3. Onset of migraine before 50 years of age
4. Headache for \(\geq 15\) days per month
5. Migraine-like headache for \(\geq 8\) days per month, as per the International Headache Society (IHS) guidelines\(^3^7\)

**Key exclusion criteria**
Participants were excluded from the study on the basis of the following criteria: change in type or dose of migraine prophylactic medication in last 3 months, medication overuse headache as diagnosed according to the ICHD-II\(^3^5\), posttraumatic headache as diagnosed according to the ICHD-II\(^3^6\), another dominant chronic pain condition, an active inflammatory disease such as rheumatoid arthritis, a history of recent cerebrovascular disorder, inability to provide written informed consent, inability to read and write in English, presence of severe psychological/psychiatric disorders, recent history of significant trauma, recent history of drug or alcohol abuse, clinically significant findings on screening blood sample results, current malignancy, known hypersensitivity to ibudilast or excipients in Ketas\(^8\) formulation, renal or hepatic impairment, pregnancy, lack of adequate contraception, and breastfeeding.

**Study medication**
The study used a delayed-release ibudilast product, Ketas\(^8\), which was obtained from Kyorin Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd (Tokyo, Japan). To blind the treatment allocation, the Ketas\(^8\) capsule was overencapsulated with the space filled with microcrystalline cellulose. The placebo capsule was of the same size and color and filled with microcrystalline cellulose, so that the active and placebo medications were identical in appearance and weight. Each active capsule contained 10 mg ibudilast. Four capsules were self-administered by participants orally, twice daily for each 8-week treatment block. No treatment was administered during the washout.

**Study schedule**

4 weeks prior to baseline visit
At screening, potential participants underwent,
- Medical history including medication history
- Headache diagnosis based upon retrospective information from the participant confirmed using baseline headache diary data

Baseline visit
During the baseline visit, the headache diary was collected, and participants who were eligible to continue in the study were randomized to either ibudilast or placebo treatment in the first period. Participants were asked to complete two brief self-administered questionnaires. The 6-point Headache Impact Test (HIT-6) evaluated the impact of headache on the quality of life, reflecting upon the previous month,\(^3^8\) and the 12-point Allodynia Symptom Checklist (ASC-12) indicated whether, and quantified how, increased pain sensitivity impacted upon activities of day-to-day life.\(^3^9\)

About 14 mL of blood was collected to assess safety blood profile (blood biochemistry [including the assessment of renal function], liver function tests and hematology). Participants were advised to take only the minimum amount of medications required to control their pain; however, they were not specifically informed to reduce their analgesic intake. Participants were asked to complete another headache diary for the 8-week treatment period. The study medication was dispensed to the participants prior to discharge.
Participants were required to complete the headache diary throughout the two treatment periods, during the washout phase and for 4 weeks after ceasing the study medication.

In study visits
Inspection of headache diary, assessment of adverse events, completion of questionnaires, and safety bloods were taken at weeks 2, 4, and 8 of each period. Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the study design.

Statistical analysis
All the participants who received at least one dose of the study medication were included in the safety population. However, the efficacy data were only analyzed for completers, given the crossover nature of the trial.

Graphpad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software; San Diego, CA, USA) was used for all statistical analysis. The Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test was used to calculate the study medication adherence between the CM participants while in different treatment groups. Two-way analysis of variance tests with repeated measures and with Sidak adjustments were used to determine the differences between treatments in multiple comparisons were used to calculate frequency of moderate to severe headache experienced, headache index, frequency of symptomatic medication intake, average opioid intake, HIT-6 scores, and ASC-12 scores. Missing values were replaced by the last observed value for that variable (last observation carried forward). All results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) unless stated otherwise. Significance was set at $P<0.05$.

Results
Demographics of CM participants
A total of 33 patients were randomized to treatment. Fourteen CM participants completed both the treatments of the study and returned completed headache diaries suitable for analysis. Four CM participants completed both the treatments of the study but did not return adequate diaries for analysis and therefore were excluded from the analysis (Figure 2).

Fifteen participants (nine received ibudilast) did not complete the study and hence were also excluded from the analysis. Of these fifteen participants, two participants lost interest in the study; one was excluded due to work commitment; four were excluded because of loss to follow-up; one was excluded as that participant had changed his medication; one was excluded after receiving 1 week of treatment as she was found to be pregnant (she was on placebo); one was excluded after experiencing heart palpitation; four participants were withdrawn by the investigator because of worsening headaches; and one participant was excluded by the investigator as the patient experienced tingling sensation.

Demographics of the participants are listed in Table 1. The mean age was 46 years (SD 13). The study consisted of 11 men and 22 women. The average duration of headache was 21 years (SD 15). The mean daily morphine equivalent dose was 4.2 mg (SD 10).

Compliance to study medication and safety
In this study, all the participants had good adherence to study medications as demonstrated with the return of unused study capsules. There was no treatment group difference ($P=0.6$) with the number of capsules returned (placebo vs ibudilast [mean {SD}: 2.4 {2.4} vs 7.7 {15.6}]).

Adverse events experienced in the study were of mild intensity, and all the reported adverse events are listed in Table 2. Seventeen of the twenty-three (60%) CM participants who were on ibudilast treatments reported adverse events, whereas eight of the twenty-three (35%) CM participants reported adverse events during placebo treatments. The most frequent
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Figure 2 Flow diagram of numbers of participants in different phases of the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomized population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics ( n=33 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male ( n )</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS – anxiety score</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS – depression score</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset of headache disorder (years)</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache disorder duration (years)</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily morphine usage (mg)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics ( n=14 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male ( n )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS – anxiety score</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS – depression score</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset of headache disorder (years)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache disorder duration (years)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily morphine usage (mg)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Demographics of CM participants

Abbreviations: CM, chronic migraine; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; SD, standard deviation.

When CM participants were on ibudilast treatment, they did not experience reduced frequency of moderate to severe headache compared with placebo treatment \( (P=0.9) \) in either treatment weeks 1–4 (mean difference –0.9, 95% confidence interval [CI] –9.1 to 7.3) or treatment weeks 5–8 (mean difference 0.1, 95% CI –8.1 to 8.3). However, irrespective of treatments, in treatment weeks 5–8 of each block, there was a significant reduction \( (P=0.02) \) in the frequency of moderate to severe headaches (Figure 3).

Likewise, when CM participants were on ibudilast treatment, they did not experience a reduction in headache index when compared with placebo treatment \( (P=0.9) \) in either treatment weeks 1–4 (mean difference –5.7, 95% CI –56.6 to 45.2) or treatment weeks 5–8 (mean difference –0.4, 95% CI –51.3 to 50.5). However, in treatment weeks 5–8, there was a significant reduction in the headache index \( (P=0.003) \) (Figure 4).

Acute symptomatic medication intake and self-reported questionnaires

No treatment differences were detected in the frequency intake of symptomatic medication \( (P=0.6) \) in either treatment weeks 1–4 (mean difference –2.6, 95% CI –11.7
### Table 2 AEs reported by CM participants (n=33) during treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gastrointestinal disorders</th>
<th>Ibudilast</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>4 (14%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloating</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of participants experiencing an AE</strong></td>
<td>Total (n=28)</td>
<td>Possibly or probably related to study medication (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possibly or probably related to study medication (%)</strong></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nervous system disorders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased frequency of headache</td>
<td>4 (14)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased frequency of migraine</td>
<td>4 (14)</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paresthesia</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infections and infestations</strong></td>
<td>Total (n=23)</td>
<td>Possibly or probably related to study medication (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder infection</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral infection/sore throat</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood and lymphatic system disorders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General disorders and administration site conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart palpitations</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent dreams</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal itching</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethargic</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower back pain</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart burn symptoms</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling depressed (due to increased migraine)</td>
<td>1 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Values represent event experienced during two treatment periods.

**Abbreviations:** AEs, adverse events; CM, chronic migraine.

Scores on the HIT-6 and ASC-12 questionnaires did not differ between the treatment groups during any study time period (baseline, weeks 2, 4, and 8 of treatment; P=0.3 and P=0.9, respectively (data not shown).

### Discussion

Ibudilast caused no change in the frequency of moderate to severe headache when compared with placebo treatment. Furthermore, other headache indices such as headache index, frequency of acute symptomatic medication intake, HIT-6 scores or ASC-12 scores were not reduced when CM patients were on ibudilast treatment. Additionally, worsening migraine was reported at a higher frequency under ibudilast treatment rather than on placebo. However, given the small sample sizes used in this study, lack of statistical significance should be considered with caution, but there was no trend for a benefit with ibudilast. Interestingly, during treatment weeks 5–8 of each period, the frequency of moderate to severe headache and headache index for both the treatment groups were significantly reduced compared to treatment weeks 1–4. This observation could be an indicator of participants having a positive expectancy of the study drug.

Even though ibudilast may not be an effective treatment for CM, this study further provides a safety profile of ibudilast in a homogeneous migraine cohort. The adverse events experienced by participants were mild and transient in nature, and most of the adverse events were resolved once ibudilast was halted.

Our results are in agreement with a recently published finding by our group, which found ibudilast to have no effect in reducing headache index or in reducing opioid intake in medi-
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Frequency of moderate to severe headache (days)

Figure 3 Eight weeks of ibudilast usage did not reduce the frequency of moderate to severe headaches.

Notes: CM participants recorded the frequency and intensity of headache experience in a diary throughout the course of the study. When the frequency of moderate to severe headache experience was averaged over 4-week blocks, no significant difference was found between treatments \( (P=0.9) \) but a significant difference was found between visits \( (P=0.02) \). The values are presented as mean ± SD. Headaches were considered to be moderate if participants recorded ≥6 for “average pain intensity of headache out of 10.”

Abbreviations: CM, chronic migraine; SD, standard deviation.

Placebo
Ibudilast

**

1–4 Week 5–8

Average daily headache index (h x NRS)

0 25 50 75 100 125 150

Figure 4 Ibudilast did not reduce headache index.

Notes: The daily headache index, averaged over 4-week blocks detected no differences between the treatments \( (P=0.9) \); however, there was a significant reduction in the headache indices at treatment weeks 5–8 compared with treatment weeks 1–4 \( (P=0.003) \). The average headache index was calculated by the product of headache duration and headache intensity (11-point NRS), averaged over the number of days. The values are presented as mean ± SD.

Abbreviations: NRS, numerical rating scale; SD, standard deviation.

ciation overuse headache patients. However, the study also measured toll-like receptor (TLR) reactivity from peripheral blood mononuclear cells and found ibudilast to significantly reduce the proinflammatory cytokine (interleukin 1β) levels from ex vivo stimulation with TLR-2 or TLR-4 agonists. This finding confirmed that ibudilast was likely to be inhibiting an inflammatory pathway, at least peripherally. However, the peripheral target may be different to the mechanism that is responsible for the headache or the treatment period may have been insufficient to reverse the long-term changes associated with CM or may not have been acting centrally.

It is unclear from this study whether glia are involved in CM. Ibudilast has demonstrated good central nervous system partitioning but as it was not found to have any effect in the clinical endpoints, it suggests that the mechanisms responsible for CM may be signaling through another pathway that is currently unidentified, or neurons may play a stronger role. Thus more research would be required to address whether glial activation is a likely contributor for CM. The recent demonstration of imaging of activated glia in patients with chronic low back pain provides a potential biomarker for future studies.

Even though there have been reports of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines being a predictor of headaches, the examination of peripheral targets may not be adequate. In a CM study, elevation of tumor necrosis factor \( \alpha \) was found in the cerebrospinal fluid but not in the plasma, indicating that peripheral biomarkers might not be helpful.

Limitations

The sample size for this study was small as the required number of participants could not be recruited within the time frame, so the study ended prematurely (intended target was 40 CM participants). This was due to the difficulty in finding CM participants who fitted the criteria, and this is common in research involving chronic headache patients.

Conclusion

The present study showed ibudilast dosed at 40 mg twice daily for 8 weeks is not a promising treatment for CM. However, this study adds to the literature of the safety and tolerability of ibudilast in a CM cohort, which to our knowledge has not been investigated previously.
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