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Abstract—In order to suppress the zero sequence circulating currents (ZSCCs) between parallel operating three level voltage source inverters with common AC and DC buses, a common mode voltage reduction PWM (CMVR-PWM) technique and neural point potentials (NPPs) control based method is proposed in this paper. An equivalent model of ZSCC is developed, and two excitation sources of ZSCC, differences of common mode voltages (CMVs) and NPPs between paralleled inverters, are analyzed. A CMVR-PWM method is investigated to reduce the CMV, and a simple electric circuit is adopted to control the NPPs. With this two strategies, ZSCCs between parallel inverters can be eliminated effectively. This strategy has the advantage of without carrier synchronization and can be utilized to parallel operating inverters with different types of filter. Simulation result validate the proposed ZSCC elimination schemes.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, renewable energy generation is increasing developed, especially the photovoltaic power generation and wind power generation [1]. Three phase voltage source inverter (VSI) is an important equipment for renewable energy sources connecting to utility grid. Because of the limitation of existing devices, switching frequency and many other constraining factors, inverters parallel operating is an attractive solution to extend power capacity of inverters. It also has the advantage of good fault-tolerant ability and can be used in the application of high reliability situation. However, when the inverters are connected with AC and DC bused directly, circulating currents are generated due to the small total impedance within the zero-sequence circuit of parallel inverter systems and causes some problems, such as unbalanced current distribution, output current distortion and system loss increasing etc.

In order to reduce the ZSCC, many researches have been carried out in the past few years. Before 2002, methods concerning ZSCC between parallel inverters with common AC and DC buses are high impedance methods [2][3] and synchronized control methods [4][5]. However, in the high impedance approach, the overall parallel system is bulky and costly because of additional reactors in main circuit. The synchronized control approach is not suitable for modular inverters design. When more inverters are in parallel operating, the system becomes very complicated to design and control. In 2002, Z. Ye analyzed the model of ZSCC between parallel operating inverters, and pointed out that the exciting source is the difference of CMVs. The ZSCC feedback control is also investigated [6]. Then, many hybrid methods are developed. Increasing impedance and reduce CMV methods [7-10]: In [7], a common mode choking coil is used to increase the impedance of ZSCC, and DPWM method is utilized to reduce the CMV. In [8-10], interleaving inductance and DPWM methods are used. However, there are open loop control methods. And carrier synchronization is essential. Method of Reduce CMV and ZSCC feedback control [11-15]: In these papers, AZPWM, RSPWM and SHEPWM are used to reduce the amplitude or frequency of the CMV fluctuations, and ZSCC feedback control, similar with [6], is utilized. However, for two level inverters, the CMV reduced PWM will cause big harmonic current distortion and carrier synchronization is also essential. The approaches mentioned above are focus on two-level inverters. But the approaches for three level inverters are very few. For three level inverters, the exciting sources are more complicated, including CMV, neural point potential and hybrid source. For instance, the CMVs of three level inverters with SVPWM or DPWM method are step change, and have many harmonic components. With regard to the elimination of high-frequency and low-frequency harmonic composition of ZSCCs, [16-17] proposed a modified LCL filter to eliminate the former, and a ZSCC control loops to suppress the latter. Moreover, a modified modulation method with two level SVPWM similarly modulating wave is used to reduce the high-frequency components of ZSCC caused by CMV. This approach can be effective to eliminate the ZSCC of three level inverters, and carrier synchronization is not essential. However, this approach can only be used in the situation of inverters with LCL or LLCL filters.

In order to suppress the ZSCCs of parallel operating three level inverters with common both AC and DC buses, this paper proposes an CMVR-PWM and ZSCC feedback control based method. A CMVR-PWM method is proposed to eliminate the high-frequency components, and ZSCC feedback control is put forward to suppress the low-frequency components. Furthermore, the ZSCC feedback control is carried out by the control of the NPPs. The effectiveness of the proposed strategy is validated by simulation. Compared to the existing strategies, this strategy has the advantage of without carrier.
synchronization and can be utilized to inverters with different types of filter.

II. MODELING OF ZERO SEQUENCE CIRCULATING CURRENT

This paper considers two three-phase three-level T-type VSCs parallel operating for example. As illustrated in Fig. 1, two inverters with the same structures are connected with both DC and AC power supply directly. \( C \) denotes the DC-bus capacitor. \( L_{g1} \) and \( L_{g2} \) are the grid side filter inductance. \( L_{i1} \) and \( L_{i2} \) are the inverter side filter inductance. \( r_1 \), \( r_2 \), \( r_{g1} \) and \( r_{g2} \) are equivalent series resistance (ESR) of three-phase inductance \( L_{i1} \), \( L_{i2} \), \( L_{g1} \) and \( L_{g2} \) respectively. \( C_f \) denotes the filter capacitor. \( O_1 \) and \( O_2 \) denote the neural point of inverter 1\# and inverter 2\# respectively. \( n \) denotes the potential of the star point of utility grid.

According to the Kirchhoff's Voltage Law (KVL), the following equation can be obtained as follows:

\[
\Delta U_i = \frac{L_{g1} di_{i1}}{dt} + R_{i1} i_{i1} + L_{g2} di_{i2} + R_{i2} i_{i2}
\]

Where, \( i_{mj} \) is the inverter-side phase current of the \( j \)th inverter; \( i_{oj} \) is the grid-side phase current of the \( j \)th inverter; \( U_{nOj} \) is the voltage of phase leg \( mj \) to the neural point \( O_j \); \( \Delta U_i \) = \( U_{pi} - U_{ni} \) and \( \Delta U_2 \) = \( U_{p2} - U_{n2} \) represent the voltage difference of the DC capacitor; \( m \epsilon \{A,B,C\} \); \( n \epsilon \{a,b,c\} \); \( j \epsilon \{1,2\} \).

According to the definition of ZSCC[6], the ZSCC of the proposed parallel system can be obtained as follows:

\[
l_{0j} = (i_{ao} + i_{bo} + i_{co}) = (i_{a1} + i_{b1} + i_{c1}) = i_{o1}
\]

\[
l_{0j} = -(i_{a2} + i_{b2} + i_{c2}) = -(i_{a1} + i_{b1} + i_{c1})
\]

Where, \( i_{0j} \) denotes the ZSCC of inverter \( j \# \).

CMV of the inverter is defined as the potential of the star point \( n \) of the load to the neural point \( O \). It can be expressed as follows:

\[
U_{nOj} = \frac{U_{nO1} + U_{nO2} + U_{GnO}}{3}
\]

Where, \( U_{nOj} \) is the CMV of the \( j \)th inverter.

The following ZSCC equation can be obtained by adding the three equations from (1) together and substituted by (2) and (3):

\[
(\Delta U_i) = \frac{3}{2}(\Delta U_i - \Delta U_2) + 3(U_{nO2} - U_{nO1})
\]

As \( \Delta U_1 = U_{p1} - U_{n1}, \Delta U_2 = U_{p2} - U_{n2}, U_{d} = U_{p1} + U_{n1} \) and \( U_{d} = U_{p2} + U_{n2}, \) the following equation can be obtained:

\[
(\Delta U_i) = 3(U_{nO2} - U_{nO1})
\]

According to (5), the transform function of ZSCC can be obtained as follows:

\[
i_{0j}(s) = \frac{3(U_{nO2}(s) - U_{nO1}(s)) + 3(U_{nO2}(s) - U_{nO1}(s))}{(L_{i1} + L_{g1} + L_{i2} + L_{g2}) s + (R_{i1} + R_{g1} + R_{i2} + R_{g2})}
\]

Formula (4) or (5) is the differential equation model of ZSCC between paralleled inverters. The equivalent circuit of circulating current can be obtained, as shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 1 Structure of proposed parallel system

In order to simplify explanation, assume that the inductor current and the dc bus voltage are constant in a switch cycle, and ignore the influence of dead time. According to the Kirchhoff's Voltage Law (KVL), the following equation can be obtained:

\[
\frac{U_{d} - \Delta U_i}{2} + U_{nO1} + \frac{L_{i1} di_{i1}}{dt} + R_{i1} i_{i1} + \frac{L_{g2} di_{i2}}{dt} + R_{i2} i_{i2} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{U_{d} - \Delta U_2}{2} + U_{nO2} + \frac{L_{i1} di_{i1}}{dt} + R_{i1} i_{i1} + \frac{L_{g2} di_{i2}}{dt} + R_{i2} i_{i2} = 0
\]

According to the analysis above, the following conclusions can be obtained:

1) The ZSCC is related to the impedance of loop circuit and the excitation source.

2) Since the ESR of the inductance is very small and the inductance of loop circuit can provide high impedance only in high frequency, the loop circuit of ZSCC is characteristic as a low-pass-filter.

3) Differences of CMVs and NPPs between parallel inverters are the excitation sources.

4) ZSCC can be eliminated by the method of increasing the impedance of loop circuit and decreasing the excitation sources.
Since the approach of increasing the impedance of loop circuit always makes the system costly and bulky. This paper investigates the approach of decreasing the excitation sources.

### III. STRATEGY OF ZERO SEQUENCE CIRCULATING CURRENT ELIMINATION

According to the analysis in Section II, the excitation sources of ZSCC are the differences of CMVs and NPPs between paralleled inverters. The strategy of zero sequence circulating current elimination is based on CMVR-PWM and Neural point potentials control.

#### A. CMVR-PWM

In order to acquire a good performance of output voltage waveform, the voltage of neural points must maintain at approximate $\frac{U_{dc}}{2}$, thus, the neural point voltages error must be very small and the difference of CMVs is the primary cause of ZSCC. However, the CMV will change for 6 times in a switching period when standard SVPWM method is adopted, and it give rise to big ZSCC.

According to the analytical result in [18], a CMVR-PWM method—2MV1Z(which takes two adjacent medium vectors and a zero vector to synthesize the target vector), can eliminate CMV effectively. This paper will use 2MV1Z method to decrease the excitation sources.

![Voltage vector space and pulse pattern of 2MV1Z](image)

Fig. 3. Voltage vector space and pulse pattern of 2MV1Z

Vector space of 2MV1Z method is shown in Fig.3(a), its voltage vector space is consisted by six medium vectors and a zero vector and the five stage pulse pattern is adopted. As we can see from the Fig.3(b) that zero CMV can keep at zero in a switching period. Its pulse patterns in every sector are summarized in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Pulse Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$-30^\circ &lt; \theta \leq 30^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>$30^\circ &lt; \theta \leq 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>$90^\circ &lt; \theta \leq 150^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>$150^\circ &lt; \theta \leq 210^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>$210^\circ &lt; \theta \leq 270^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>$270^\circ &lt; \theta \leq 330^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to evaluate the other performance of 2MV1Z, DC voltage utilization rate and harmonic distortion factor will be discussed.

**DC voltage utilization rate** is an important indicator for the performance of a modulation algorithm. It represents the magnitude range of reference output for AC voltage at a certain DC voltage. Linear modulation ratio $M$, defined in (7), is commonly used to evaluate DC voltage utilization rate. Bigger values of $M$ indicate better performance.

$$ M = \frac{\sqrt{3} | V_{ref} |}{U_{dc}} $$

According to (7), the linear modulation ratio of standard three-level and two-level SVPWM are range from 0 to 1. The reference vector that the 2MV1Z algorithm constructs must be within the solid hexagonal zone. Its linear modulation area is the incircle (the blue zone in Fig.3(a)) within the solid hexagonal zone. Thus, the linear modulation ratio of the 2MV1Z algorithm can be obtained:

$$ 0 \leq M_{2MV1Z} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} $$

![HDF curves of all the discussed PWM methods](image)

Fig. 4. HDF curves of all the discussed PWM methods

**Harmonic Distortion Factor (HDF)** is defined in [20] to evaluate the harmonic component of AC current output. Smaller values of HDF indicate better performance. HDF analysis is a carrier-based PWM tool which is widely utilized to illustrate and compare the performance characteristics of...
various PWM methods. HDFs of two-level and three-level SVPWM are introduced to compare with 2MV1Z.

As shown in Fig.4, the HDF of each method is unique. In the full linear modulation range, the three-level SVPWM methods provide lower HDF than the 2MV1Z methods. And the HDF performance of three-level SVPWM is better than two-level SVPWM. For M>0.8, the 2MV1Z methods even shows a better performance than two-level SVPWM method.

B. Neural point potentials control

As discussed above, 2MV1Z method is utility to reduce CMV and eliminate the ZSCC by decreasing the differences of CMVs between parallel operating inverters. Although it can maintain the CMV at zero most of the time, the ZSCC caused by the random and non-designed CMV fluctuations(caused by the dead time) may accumulate. So, zero sequence circulating current feedback control is essential.

As from Fig.2 and Fig.6, there is no source is the differences of the NPPs. Although it should be very small for good voltage waveform, it can be used to eliminate the ZSCC by adjusting its magnitude and action time. In this paper, an electric circuit, as shown in Fig.5, is used to eliminate the ZSCC by adjusting its magnitude and action time. Furthermore, each ZSCC control inverter is not essential [6]. Furthermore, each ZSCC control loop is a double loop, inner loop is the neural point potentials control loop, outer loop is the zero sequence circulating current control loop. The set point of outer loop is zero, and the output of outer loop controller is set as the set value of inner loop via a limiter. The control command of the total control loop is the duty cycle of the switches in electric circuit. This double-loop design can realize the control of the NPP and ZSCC. Note that when N inverters paralleled, just N-1 inverters should control ZSCCs, the ZSCC control of the Nth inverter is not essential [6]. Furthermore, each ZSCC control loops are implemented within individual inverters, and does not need any additional interconnected circuits, even carrier synchronization busses. It is very convenient for modular design.

As from Fig.2 and Fig.6, there is no C_I in the ZSCC model and control loop. So, the proposed method is not affected by C_I. That means that the proposed method can be utilized to the applications of paralleled inverters with different types of filter.
Taking inverter 1# as example, we consider the effect of \( \Delta U_1 \) on the \( i_{01} \), the \( \Delta U_1 \) and \( (U_{nO2} - U_{nO1}) \) can be seen as disturbances. In order to simplify the analysis, disturbances can be regarded as zero. So, the control block diagram is shown in Fig.7.

![Fig.7. the control block diagram](image)

\( G_i(s) \) can be obtained from (5), and \( G_o(s) \) is the typical transform function of Buck/Boost bidirectional circuit. The controller adopts Proportional-Integral (PI) control. The control loop, shown in Fig.7, is a typical cascade control and parameters of PI can be easily obtained. The control bandwidth of the zero sequence circulating current can be designed to be high and a strong loop suppressing the ZSCC can be achieved.

IV. SIMULATION RESULT

A MATLAB/Simulink model is set up to verify the analytical results. Inverters parameters and control parameters are shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated power</td>
<td>10kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC voltage</td>
<td>( U_{dc}=600V )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid frequency</td>
<td>50Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC voltage</td>
<td>220V(line to line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>10kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverter side inductance</td>
<td>( L_{i1}=L_{i2}=1.2mH )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid side inductance</td>
<td>( L_{g1}=L_{g2}=0.2mH )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter capacitor</td>
<td>( C_{f1}=C_{f2}=20\mu F )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping Resistance</td>
<td>( 0.5\Omega \text{ (in series with } C_f) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buck/Boost inductance</td>
<td>1mH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to validate the effectiveness of the proposed strategy of ZSCC elimination, a simulation result is shown in Fig.8 and Fig.9.

Fig.8 shows the simulated waveforms of 2MV1Z method without ZSCC control. The phase currents are distorted by ZSCC. And the ZSCC is fluctuation in low frequency. The high frequent parts of ZSCC are eliminated effectively by 2MV1Z method.

![Fig.8. Simulated currents of 2MV1Z method without ZSCC control](image)

Fig.9 shows the simulated currents of 2MV1Z method with ZSCC control. It can be seen that the ZSCC is almost completely eliminated and the phase currents shows very well. The RMS value of \( i_{01} \) is 329mA. The total harmonic distortion of \( i_{a1} \) is 2.09%, the total harmonic distortion of \( i_{a2} \) is 2.16%.

![Fig.9. Simulated currents of 2MV1Z method with ZSCC control](image)

V. CONCLUSION

This paper proposed a ZSCC eliminating method for parallel operating three level inverters with common both AC and DC buses. A CMVR-PWM is investigated to reduce the CMV, and a simple electric circuit is adopted to control the neutral point potentials. ZSCC between paralleled inverters is eliminated effectively with these two strategies. Simulation result validated the proposed ZSCC elimination schemes. This method has the advantage of simple implementation and carrier synchronization is not essential. Furthermore, the application of this method is not limited by the filter, it can be utilized to the applications of paralleled inverters with different types of filter.
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