Abstract | Continuously expanding deployments of distributed power-generation systems (DPGSs) are transforming the conventional centralized power grid into a mixed distributed electrical network. The modern power grid requires flexible energy utilization but presents challenges in the case of a high penetration degree of renewable energy, among which wind and solar photovoltaics are typical sources. The integration level of the DPGS into the grid plays a critical role in developing sustainable and resilient power systems, especially with highly intermittent renewable energy resources. To address the challenging issues and, more importantly, to leverage the energy generation, stringent demands from both utility operators and consumers have been imposed on the DPGSs. Furthermore, as the core of energy conversion, numerous power electronic converters employing advanced control techniques have been developed for the DPGS to consolidate the integration. In light of the above, this paper reviews the power-conversion and control technologies used for DPGSs. The impacts of the DPGS on the distributed grid are also examined, and more importantly, strategies for enhancing the connection and protection of the DPGSs are discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, because of the foreseen exhaustion of conventional fossil-based energies (e.g., coal, oil, and natural gas), considerable worldwide attention has been paid to making societies sustainable. Additionally, the exploitation and utilization of conventional fossil energy resources pollute the natural environment and appear to affect the temperature on the Earth. Thus, traditional centralized power generation using fossil fuels is considered unsustainable in national long-term strategic plans. Consequently, many efforts globally have been directed towards developing more renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar photovoltaics (PVs), solar thermal power, hydropower, bioenergy, and ocean power [1]-[4]. Typically, the renewable energy sources are integrated in the form of distributed power-generation systems (DPGS), as shown in Fig. 1. The power generation in Denmark has changed from centralized to decentralized with the widespread use of windfarms [5]. Fig. 2 depicts the evolution of worldwide renewable energy capacity from 2000 to 2015, where hydropower ranks first with regard to total installed capacity, followed by wind and solar PV power. However, the most favorable sources are wind and solar PV power, as evidenced by the growth rates for 2010–2015 shown in Fig. 3 [4].

The special requirements of hydropower (e.g., physical locations for river or lake resources) have slowed its utilization and development. In contrast, wind and solar PV power is easier to access, with less physical location dependency. Hence, it has become dominant in DPGSs, as demonstrated in Fig. 1. Furthermore, it has less impact on the environment and a larger untapped capacity. As shown in Fig. 3, among the major renewable energy technologies, the worldwide wind and PV power generation achieved the fastest growth rates of 17% and 28%, respectively, in 2015 [4]. As a specific example, in 2015,
the wind power share of the total net generation in Denmark was >50% [6]. Many other countries are catching up with a high growth rate [7]-[9]. Thus, these two renewables (wind and PV energy) will continue to be the major resources of DPGSs [9]. Consequently, wind and PV-based DPGS are the focus of this study.

Beyond clean energy generation for more sustainable societies, the integration of massive DPGSs poses many challenging issues to the distribution power grid and to the utility [10]-[14]. For instance, owing to the energy resource intermittency, the power injected into the distribution networks by the DPGS is always time-varying and fluctuating, which may affect the network stability, especially at a high penetration degree of renewables under the current mixed energy infrastructure (i.e., conventional and decentralized generation systems) [8]. Additionally, for operation in harsh environments (e.g., off-shore wind DPGS), the DPGS should be capable of withstanding abnormal interruptions. With these considerations, the transmission system operators (TSOs) and/or distributed system operators (DSOs), together with other stakeholders, have issued stringent interconnection codes to guide the commissioning and operation of DPGSs [15]-[17]. The relevant standards have helped to harmonize the way in which the TSOs/DSOs and other businesses have worked to increase the penetration of DPGSs for a more eco-friendly society. In this case, these guidelines have been the main design and planning benchmarks for DPGSs. On one hand, as the power electronics technology is the key to connecting distributed energy resources [18], the development of the DPGS is driven by the fast advancement of power converter technologies. On the other hand, the aforementioned challenges limit the focuses of DPGS research and development to the reliability, affordability, scalability, flexibility, stability, and efficiency of the technology [19], [20].

However, as previously mentioned, the modern DPGS is mixed with highly penetrated renewable energy sources and is vulnerable to severe weather/climate conditions. Therefore, concerns regarding the resiliency have been raised, and the responses of the DPGS to extreme weather conditions should be addressed [19]-[23]; otherwise, power outages might occur. For instance, an estimated 679 widespread power outages occurred in U.S. between 2003 and 2012 [21], where the power interruption incidents were caused by extreme climate conditions. Accordingly, highly resilient DPGSs are required. In contrast to system reliability, the resilience mainly involves the ability of the DPGS to
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II. CONFIGURATIONS OF TYPICAL DPGS

A. Wind Power-Generation Technology (Wind DPGS)

A typical configuration of a wind power system connected to distributed grids (Wind DPGS) is shown in Fig. 4. As shown, the input of such a DPGS is wind, which is converted into mechanical energy by the turbine. Then, the turbine drives an electrical machine (generator) that is controlled by power electronic converters. An electrical power conversion stage ensures that the output currents are in phase with the grid voltages. In general, to maximize the energy harvested, a maximum power pointing tracking (MPPT) scheme should be employed to control the wind turbine speed and/or the pitch angle. Clearly, the captured power fluctuates as the input wind speed changes. Depending on the impedance of the distributed grid, the power fluctuation can affect the stability of the entire system, e.g., influence the voltage variations.

As shown in Fig. 4, a gearbox is typically adopted in the wind DPGS. For multi-megawatt (multi-MW) wind turbines, the rotational speed of the turbine rotor is low. Hence, bulky generators are needed to capture the wind energy, which may incur a high installation cost. As a consequence of using a gearbox, the mechanical power can be converted with a higher speed and lower torque, reducing the size and weight of the electrical generator [33]. Currently, the use of power electronic converters is inevitable. They provide controllability of the electrical power and allow the implementation of advanced functions (e.g., enhancing the resilience). Finally, a transformer is used to boost up the voltage level so that a more efficient power transmission in the distributed grid is achieved.

1) Requirements for Wind DPGS

The power electronic converter is the core of the Wind DPGS. Its role is becoming increasingly critical with the fast growth of the capacity of individual wind turbines (now close to 10 MW). Many advanced functions can be realized through the control of the power electronic converter. This indicates that far more stringent requirements should be considered than ever before. Fig. 5 summarizes the demands of wind power systems at different levels.

The current \( i_{\text{em}} \) flowing in the generator rotor or stator should be regulated in order to control the electromagnetic torque. This has two major purposes: 1) maximizing the power extracted from the wind turbine and 2) balancing the energy flow in the case of dynamics because of the inertia mismatch between the mechanical and electrical power conversions. For the grid side, the power converter should be able to emulate the behaviors of conventional power plants regardless of the wind

speeds. That is, the power electronic converter should maintain the frequency (Freq.) as well as the voltage amplitude of the distributed grid ($V_g$). More importantly, under severe conditions, the Wind DPGS should withstand grid faults or even contribute to the grid voltage recovery [33]-[38]. This requirement in response to distribution grid faults indirectly reflects the need for high resilience for the Wind DPGS. Upon demand, the wind power system should also be able to exchange reactive power with the distributed grid.

Because of the relatively large power capacity of an individual wind turbine, failures of wind power conversion systems can occur in operation. Downtime of the entire DPGS challenges the grid stability and incurs additional maintenance costs. As a result, the reliability of the power electronic converters is important in the modern Wind DPGS. Design for reliability has been introduced to such systems [39], [40]. Additionally, at the same power level, the voltage level of the wind generators may need to be increased to facilitate the power transmission; thus, step-up transformers are normally connected to the medium voltage, as previously mentioned. Furthermore, the space of the nacelle and/or tower of wind turbines is limited, necessitating a high power density and strong cooling for power converters. Finally, the energy balancing is an important issue in the control and may result in extra costs for the entire DPGS.

2) Wind DPGS Concepts

Wind power system designs include several constraints, such as the generator types, the rating and topology of the power converters, and the speed controllability [8], [33], [34]. As previously discussed, the power electronic unit plays an important role in the Wind DPGS. Depending on the generator rating, the power electronic converters vary significantly. Therefore, the configuration of the power conversion stage depends on the generator type. The doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) has dominated the market in the past decades. Fig. 6 shows a Wind DPGS employing a DFIG, where the stator windings of the DFIG are directly connected to the power grid. In contrast, the power electronic converters are the link between the rotor windings and the distribution grid. Normally, the power processed by the converters accounts for 30% of the capacity of the wind turbine [41]-[43]. The small capacity of the power converters makes this DFIG concept attractive from the viewpoint of cost-saving. However, the DFIG system uses slip-rings, and in the case of grid faults, the power controllability is difficult to maintain [44]-[46]. That is, the DFIG system adopts partial-scale power electronics, and the controllability is limited under abnormal operation.

To increase the controllability and power processing flexibility, asynchronous generators (AGs) and synchronous generators (SGs) with full-scale power electronics have gained an increasing market share, dominating the current wind power market [8]. A Wind DPGS with AG or SG is represented in Fig. 7, which shows that the full-scale power electronics are the direct link between the distributed grid and the stator windings of the generators. Hence, the power generated by the wind turbine can be flexibly regulated. The generator can be a squirrel-cage induction generator (IG), a direct current (DC)-excited SG (DCSG), or a permanent-magnet SG (PMSG). The elimination of slip rings, a simpler or even eliminated gearbox, full power and speed controllability, and better grid support ability are the main advantages of this wind power concept in contrast to the DFIG-based wind systems. The main drawbacks include the more stressed and expensive power electronic components and higher power losses in the power electronic converter stage compared with the previous concept.

3) General Control of Wind DPGS

Controlling a wind-turbine DPGS involves both fast and slow dynamic controllers, as indicated in Fig. 5, because both the mechanical and electrical conversion subsystems should be controlled. The control functions can be categorized into three levels, as shown in Fig. 8. In general, the power flowing in and out of the generation system must be properly managed. The power generated by the wind turbines should be controlled using the mechanical systems (e.g., to adjust the pitch angle of blades, yawing system, etc.). When the DSO has sent out certain demands, the entire DPGS must satisfy these demands through the control of the mechanical and electrical systems. In addition, the currents injected into the distributed grid should be synchronized with the grid voltage.

As the input wind speed is not constant, the available power also varies. Hence, more advanced functions should be considered, such as the maximization of the generated power (MPPT) and the ride-through operation of the grid faults and grid support (injecting or absorbing reactive power). For instance, the currents in the generators can be controlled by adjusting the rotational speed of the wind turbine to maximize the power production. In some cases, excessive power injection may drive the distributed grid voltage level beyond the boundaries; thus, the wind-turbine DPGS should be able to limit the active power injection. Additionally, for operation under grid faults, the coordinated control of several subsystems in the wind turbine, such as the generator/grid side converters, breaking chopper/crowbar, and pitch angle controller is necessary.

Notably, various control functions can be achieved through the control and adjustment of the entire Wind DPGS system. Thus, the resilience enhancement is also possible in the control DPGS. The basic control functions, such as current regulation, DC-link stabilization, and grid synchronization must be rapidly performed to ensure stable and safe operation.
B. PV Power-Generation Technology (PV DPGS)

The fast development of PV cell technologies, the continuous cost reduction of PV modules, and advancements in power electronics have been the main driving forces for the intensive deployment of PV DPGSs [47]-[50]. It is expected that the cost of PV technology will continue declining, which will make PV systems competitive among other renewable energy systems. Hence, more PV DPGS will be seen in the future.

In contrast to the Wind DPGS, the DPGS with PVs as the input does not involve mechanical conversion, as shown in Fig. 9. Instead, the power generation is achieved by exploiting the photoelectric effect that converts solar energy to electrical energy. Because the mechanical parts of the wind turbine wear out, the PV DPGS is more reliable than the Wind DPGS. Nonetheless, both these DPGS technologies share the same electrical conversion stages. That is, the power electronics are the key to the efficient and reliable conversion of the solar energy, which is highly dependent on the environmental conditions (e.g., solar irradiance level and ambient temperature). Thus, in a similar way, many advanced functions of the PV DPGS can be achieved through the control of the power electronic converters. For instance, the maximum power extraction from PV panels in response to extreme weather conditions, anti-islanding (AI), the ride-through of distributed grid faults, etc. can be accomplished by properly controlling the PV converters.

1) Requirements for PV DPGS

Distributed PV power-generation systems are being rapidly developed. In some countries, such as Germany, a large proportion of the electricity generation is from distributed PV systems, and the proportion continues increasing [50]-[57]. Although the continuous deployment of PV DPGS to some extent resolves the high energy demands across the globe, the variability and non-dispatchability of PV DPGS (similar to the Wind DPGS) affect the stability and economical operation of distributed grids. To ensure the reliable, efficient, and less harmful transfer of solar PV energy to the distributed grid, the PV DPGS must comply with far stricter requirements than ever before [51], [52], [58]. Fortunately, the control of PV power electronic converters can enable these functions by using smart inverters [53]-[57]. In general, the demands of the PV DPGS can be categorized into three types, as shown in Fig. 10.

First, as previously mentioned, the power capacity of the PV power-generation system is not as large as that of the wind power system. Moreover, the power characteristic of the PV DPGS is compatible with the behavior of the distributed grid; thus, the requirements are easier to satisfy than those of the Wind DPGS. For the PV side (i.e., the power generator side), the current or voltage of the PV panels should be controlled to capture as much energy as possible. That is, the MPPT control should be performed for all PV DPGSs, regardless of the power rating. The power rating determines the configuration of PV power systems, as shown in Fig. 11. In some cases, DC–DC power converters are required. Nonetheless, as the PV panels are degraded or develop growing defects during operation, panel-level diagnosis and monitoring are also necessary for the PV DPGS. For the grid side (i.e., the distributed grid side), the requirements are not as stringent as those for the Wind DPGS; however, the power quality should normally be maintained at a satisfactory level. The similarities between the PV DPGS and the Wind DPGS for the distribution grid side include 1) stabilizing the distribution grid voltage by providing ancillary services and 2) riding-through grid voltage faults. Both are related to the grid resilience of the DPGS.

The power capacity per generating unit is low, but the cost of energy is currently high; thus, there is great demand for high-efficiency power conversion in order to achieve an acceptable price per produced kWh for the PV DPGS. In addition, as the power electronic converter is the core, similar to the Wind DPGS, the reliability of PV power converters is important, and also it is motivated by extending the total energy production (service time) and reducing the cost. Finally, owing to exposure or a smaller housing chamber, the PV power...
converters must be more temperature-insensitive, which may accelerate the degradation. Therefore, proper temperature management may be needed for the PV DPGS. Additionally, to enhance the operation at a system level (coordinated control), communication is essential.

2) PV DPGS Concepts

Most of the aforementioned demands for the PV DPGS can be realized by the control of the power electronic systems, i.e., PV inverters. Hence, an overview of the basic configurations for connecting PV panels to alternating-current (AC) networks is presented in Fig. 11. As previously discussed, unlike the wind power technology, the solar PV produces far less power per generating unit (e.g., a single PV panel or string). Therefore, the PV DPGS normally consists of many panels or strings connected in parallel and/or series in order to increase the output power within an acceptable range, as shown in Fig. 11(b) and (c). In these two cases, the string-/multi-string inverters and center inverters are adopted as the interface to the distributed grid.

The central inverter technology is the most widely adopted alternative for distributed power grids, as it is the simplest way to collect DC power from PV panels with a low construction cost. However, there are significant drawbacks for this configuration, including the following:
- High DC-link voltage (750–1,500 V)
- Long DC cables (power losses)
- Losses due to a common MPPT and mismatch of panels
- Losses and reliability of the diodes
- Reliability of the DPGS depending on one inverter

Nevertheless, for a high-power and high-voltage PV DPGS, multi-level power converters can be employed. In addition, several central inverters can be connected in parallel to increase the power-generation flexibility.

3) General Control of PV DPGS

According to the demands shown in Fig. 10, and according to the previous discussions, the PV DPGS should be controlled to perform these functions reliably and efficiently. Although the variability of the PV inverter topologies and system configurations increases the control difficulty, the general control objectives for a PV DPGS system are universal, including MPPT, grid synchronization, voltage/current control, active power control, AI protection, system condition monitoring (e.g., PV panels), and ancillary services (especially for resilience enhancement), as summarized in Fig. 12. With the increasing PV capacity, the power flowing in and out of the PV DPGS must be managed using other systems (e.g., energy storage systems) or even through itself; otherwise, the distributed grid voltage level and frequency may be violated. As previously mentioned, the entire Wind DPGS must follow the set-point commands given by the DSO for system stability concerns. This also applies for PV DPGS.

That is, the more advanced features required for the Wind DPGS in the past are now considered for the PV DPGS, as the power capacity is drastically increasing in many areas. For instance, delta power production control, frequency control through active power, voltage control through reactive power, the ride-through operation of the distributed grid faults, and the provision of grid support in both normal and abnormal conditions to the grid have been adopted [57]-[60]. Typically, those features can be implemented in the control loops of the power converters. Regarding the fault ride-through operation, because the PV DPGS has far lower physical inertia (no rotating components) than the Wind DPGS, the control is simpler. However, in this case, the excessive active power from PV generators should be dispatched by a) modifying the MPPT.
control, b) activating the DC chopper to absorb power, and c) managing the power exchange between the PV panels and the extra energy-storage systems. Notably, in these cases, the basic functions, such as current regulation, DC-link voltage stabilization, grid synchronization, and AI, must be quickly performed. Regarding resilience enhancement, the high-level coordinative control and operation among various DPGS may also be needed.

III. RESILIENCE-RELEVANT DEMANDS AND CONTROL STRATEGIES

Renewable energy sources are variable, uncertain, and non-dispatchable. Consequently, the DPGS based on wind and PV resources may create severe issues, especially in response to extreme weather and in variable working conditions. This property is referred to as the resilience of the DPGS. The resilience of the DPGS characterizes the capacity to tolerate disruptions and the ability to recover from events [19]-[21]. To enhance the grid resilience, there are major three steps: 1) distribution grid planning, 2) enhanced operation, and 3) emergency management. Fig. 13 shows possible operational sequences of a typical DPGS. Many control demands at the converter level and/or system level are related to the resilience of the distribution grid during the operation or in contingent situations. In normal operation mode, the DPGS should be controlled at the converter level, while it should also be coordinated among the entire distribution system. In the case of unexpected temporary grid incidents, the grid voltage level

---

**Fig. 12. General control structure of a PV power system connected to the distribution grid (i_{pv}: PV output current, v_{pv}: PV output voltage, v_{dc}: DC-link voltage, S_{i}: solar irradiance level, T_{a}: ambient temperature, i_{g}: grid current, v_{g}: grid voltage, X_{filter}: filter impedance).**

**Fig. 13. Operating time sequences for DPGS in connection with the resilience of the distribution grid, where the control (resilience-related control functions) should be implemented at different levels, as indicated.**
may decrease significantly. During this period, the distributed generators should remain connected in a short period (being the fault ride-through operation). Beyond this short period, the protection (e.g., islanding) schemes should be enabled. Both operation modes are achieved at the converter level, as observed in Fig. 13. Furthermore, when the grid is completely out of service, the distributed generators may still power the critical load; alternatively, together with the backup energy, they can help to restore the distribution grid. In this case, coordination between the transmission system (system-level) or the storage systems (converter-level) and the distribution system may be necessary. As indicated in Fig. 13, at different stages, the required response time may vary (e.g., protection requires fast response).

Nonetheless, according to the discussions in Section II, it can be anticipated that the power electronic converters will be heavily involved in future DPGSs, making them power-converter-dominant. In light of this, and because the resilience is not specifically included in the design phase, the resilience-related demands should be met by properly and intelligently controlling the power electronic converters, which are also called smart inverters. As shown in Fig. 13, system-level control and coordination are also important. In this section, the operational boundaries are reviewed, and then control strategies for regulating the frequency and voltage of the DPGS are discussed. Finally, the unintentional islanding issue and the restoration capability of the DPGS are briefly explored. More specifically, the response to abnormal conditions of the distributed grid is covered in this part.

A. Tolerance of Frequency and Voltage Deviations

For the DPGS, the grid requirements under normal operations include its tolerance to frequency and voltage deviations, i.e., how much the frequency and voltage can vary without requiring actions from the DPGS. Accordingly, how the corresponding active power control and reactive power control are performed is a focus. For instance, the operational windows related to the frequency and voltage deviations are shown in Fig. 14, where the Wind DPGSs installed in Denmark and China should be able to operate within a range around the rated voltage and frequency [61]-[63]. In general, as shown in Fig. 14, the frequency and voltage deviation windows can be divided into the following three zones:

- continuous operation zones (normal operation);
- constrained operation zones (shaded areas); and
- immediate disconnection zones.

When the frequency and voltage of the distributed grid are within 49–51 Hz (49.5–50.2 Hz for China) and 0.9–1.1 p.u., respectively, the Wind DPGS should remain connected in the normal operation mode. In addition, 100% power injection is required. However, because of certain events, the frequency and/or voltage can exceed the boundaries. In this case, the Wind DPGS should perform power control to regulate the frequency and/or voltage, which will be discussed later in this section. Nevertheless, as the power capacity of the Wind DPGS is high, the frequency and voltage of the distributed grid are dictated by the power injected from the Wind DPGS, as in the case with the conventional central power plants.

In most countries, for the PV DPGS, the capacity of a single PV DPGS is small compared with that of the Wind DPGS, but it has recently increased drastically. With this background, the DSO imposes basic requirements (i.e., grid codes) on these systems in order to guarantee the quality of the generated power and ensure a stable connection. For example, in IEEE Std. 929-2000 [64], the boundaries of the grid voltage and frequency are specified as shown in Fig. 15. In normal operation, the PV DPGS should maximize the output power, which is known as MPPT control. At the same time, the power quality should be maintained, e.g., a total harmonic distortion (THD) level lower than 5%. However, when a PV DPGS with a higher power capacity is connected to MV/HV distributed networks, the story may be revised. In this case, the power injection from the PV DPGS can significantly affect the
frequency and voltage profiles of the distributed grid. As a result, similar measures for the PV DPGS should be taken in order to regulate the frequency and voltage.

Furthermore, owing to various eventualities (e.g., climate related lightning strike and short circuit), the distributed grid may enter a faulty condition. In this case, the distributed grid voltage can be increased or decreased (i.e., voltage swells or sags). As more renewables (wind and PV) have been connected to the distributed grid, the grid code requires the DPGS to ride through grid faults (low- and high-voltage faults) for the stability concerns of the entire distributed network [61], [62], [65]. Fig. 16 exemplifies the low-voltage ride through (LVRT) for DPGSs in Spain and Denmark. Generally, the LVRT requirements can be divided into three zones. Taking the requirement for the Wind DPGS in Denmark as an example, in Zone A, the DPGS should remain connected to the distribution grid and maintain the power production. When the distributed grid voltage level is in Zone B, the Wind DPGS must also stay connected to the grid. Simultaneously, the DPGS must provide maximum voltage support by injecting reactive currents. The reactive-current support is for stabilizing the entire faulty distributed grid. In contrast, the Wind DPGS is allowed to disconnect only when the grid voltage level sags into Zone C (or the fault duration exceeds the limit), as shown in Fig. 16. Similarly, in the case of high-voltage ride through (HVRT), in some countries, the DPGS should also remain connected and be able to provide reactive current support upon demand. Nevertheless, when the grid fault is cleared, the production of the DPGS should be resumed at a limited rate.

As previously mentioned, reactive currents from the DPGS are required in the case of distributed grid faults. Fig. 17 shows examples of the required reactive current delivery in Denmark and Spain in the case of fault ride-through operation [61], [62], [65]. When the voltage level exceeds the range (0.9–1.1 p.u.), the reactive-current supply is prioritized to support the distributed grid voltage recovery. During these periods, the active power production should be maintained or possible, but it may trigger the inverter protection. Additionally, in extreme conditions, recurring faults may occur; in this case, the DPGS should also stay connected within certain defined periods [61], [62]. Overall, the fault ride-through operation is a scheme for tolerating voltage dips and rises in the distributed grid and thus preventing the collapse of the entire system. Considerable research has focused on the fault ride-through capability [8], [14], [41]-[46], [58], [66]-[68], which can be achieved through the control of the power electronic converters of the DPGS. Notably, depending on the system structure, in some cases, extra equipment may be required to assist the DPGS to ride-through grid faults.

B. Frequency and Voltage Regulation

As renewable energy sources are variable and uncertain, the injection of fluctuating power by the DPGS can affect the stability of the distributed grid. Either the grid frequency or the voltage level may be of outside of the boundaries, as previously discussed. In the case of frequency deviations, the DPGS should be able to automatically change the active power production and must also perform frequency control in order to stabilize the distributed grid frequency. These are known as frequency response and frequency regulation, respectively, and are achieved through the frequency–active power droop relationship.

Fig. 18 shows the frequency response and frequency control curves for the DPGS in Denmark. The DPGS should be able to reduce the active power production in the range of 2–12% of the nominal power [61], [62], according to any critical frequency point (50 Hz ≤ \( f_{\text{set}} \) ≤ 52 Hz; typically, the frequency is set as \( f_{\text{set}} = 50.2 \) Hz). Upon demand, the DPGS must also
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**Fig. 16.** Voltage profiles in the case of LVRT for Wind (solid lines) and PV DPGSs (dashed lines) in Spain (blue) and Denmark (red) [61], [62], [65].

**Fig. 17.** Reactive current \( I_q \) demands for DPGSs in Spain (blue) and Denmark (red) [61], [62], [65], where \( I_q \) is the required current during the grid fault and \( V_{\text{PCC}} \) is the voltage level at the PCC.

**Fig. 18.** Frequency response and frequency regulation profiles for the DPGS in Denmark [61], [62], where \( P_{\text{avail}} \) is the present available power, \( P_{\text{min}} \) is the minimum power, \( P_{\text{delta}} \) is the power difference, \( f_{\text{set}} \) is the frequency set by the TSOs, and \( f_{\text{min}} \) and \( f_{\text{max}} \) are the minimum and maximum distributed grid frequencies, respectively.
enable the frequency-control functions, as demonstrated in Fig. 18. That is, all the frequency points and thus the droop curves should be implemented when the frequency is measured. Clearly, an accurate frequency measurement is necessary to ensure stable operation and fast dynamics.

In addition, to further alleviate the impacts of the fluctuating power generated by the DPGS, different active power control constraints have been introduced in recent grid codes. As stated in [61], [62], these active power control functions are defined in order to prevent the instability or overloading of the distributed grid. For example, it is necessary to keep the active power constant during wind-speed changes (or solar-irradiance changes) or limit the ramp rate of the active power. Fig. 19 presents different constraint functions required for the Wind and PV DPGSs, where the absolute production constraint, the delta production constraint, and the power gradient constraint are also included. Notably, all these active power constraints can be realized through the control of the power electronic interfaces in the DPGS.

Another grid-stability index is the voltage level. The reactive power exchange between the distributed generators and the distributed grid can change the voltage profile at the point of common coupling (PCC). By controlling the reactive power, the voltage level is regulated, which is known as automatic voltage regulation (AVR). In general, the reactive power requirement is usually expressed in three different ways [61]:

- \( Q \) control. In this control function, the reactive power should be controlled independently of the active power at the PCC.
- Power factor control. The reactive power is controlled proportionally to the active power at the point of connection, which results in a constant \( \cos \phi \), where \( \phi \) is the power angle.
- Voltage control. This function controls the voltage at the voltage reference point by changing the reactive power generation. Fig. 20 shows an example of the voltage control through the reactive power adjustment of the DPGS.

The reactive power control and voltage control functions are mutually exclusive, which means that only one of the three aforementioned functions can be activated at a time. There are several additional power control functions defined for the DPGS. All of these are designed to ensure the frequency and voltage stability of the DPGS.

C. Unintentional-Islanding

Unintentional islanding can be one of the main technical issues for Wind and PV DPGSs. Islanding operation occurs when the power supply from the main distributed grid is interrupted—which can happen for several reasons—but the DPGS continues to supply power to the networks or the local loads. Islanding operation results in 1) re-tripping the line or damage to connected equipment due to the out-of-phase closure and 2) safety hazards for distributed-system personnel that assume de-energized lines during the islanding. Islanding occurs more easily in highly penetrated DPGS networks. To avoid these serious consequences, safety measures called AI requirements have been issued and embodied in the Wind and PV DPGSs [69]. The main approaches for islanding detection include the following:

- Grid-resident detection—requiring either an advanced communication system or an external switched capacitor at the PCC, which increases the entire system complexity and costs.
- External switch capacitor detection—based on the concept that an external capacitor being periodically switched on in parallel with the grid produces a zero-crossing delay proportional to the grid impedance.
- Inverter-resident detection—relying exclusively on software implementation inside the DPGS control system, which does not require any hardware modification.

As discussed in Section II, power electronic converters are widely used in DPGSs. Hence, the inverter-resident detection approach has gained popularity. Commonly, it can be categorized into three groups: passive detection, active detection, and hybrid solutions (combination of passive and active detection). The reliability of the islanding detection methods can be represented by the non-detection zone (NDZ) defined in the power mismatch space, where the islanding is not detectable and there is potential for parasitic trips. Fig. 21 shows the NDZ. Different AI detection methods are presented as follows.

Passive Islanding Detection Methods—under/over frequency (UF/OF) and under/over voltage (UV/OV) islanding detection. Voltage and frequency monitoring of the distributed...
the grid is typically performed in order to trip the inverter in case of UF/OV or UV/OV protections. The worst case for islanding detection is represented by the condition where the active power and reactive power are balanced, in which there is no change in the amplitude or frequency. The passive methods have several advantages, such as a low cost and a simple and straightforward structure, as only the monitored voltage and frequency are used. This results in a large NDZ, which can be precisely determined in practice. However, in most cases, passive islanding detection is considered insufficient for AI protection in the DPGS.

**Active Islanding Detection Methods**—generation of small perturbations to detect islanding in PV systems. The active methods are based on the generation of small perturbations at the output of the DPGS inverter. Small changes in one of the power-system parameters (e.g., frequency, phase, and harmonics) can be identified. If islanding occurs, the small changes are amplified. Hence, compared with the passive methods, the active islanding methods can quickly detect islanding with a smaller NDZ. The most commonly used techniques are a) frequency drift, b) voltage drift, and c) grid-impedance estimation (output-power variation). However, even small perturbations can push the distributed grid voltage and frequency out of the nominal range [9]. In the case of a weak network, the small changes can cause the instability of the entire distributed grid. Hence, further efforts should be directed towards designing proper active AI methods for large DPGSs.

**Hybrid Islanding Detection Methods**—exploiting the strengths of the passive and active methods. Here, the hybrid islanding detection overcomes the limitations of the active and passive techniques and exploits the advantages of these techniques. In most cases, passive detection is used to detect islanding first. If no clear disturbance is detected, perturbations are injected (i.e., active methods are enabled). However, this may lead to a larger NDZ.

When the distributed power grid is down, the distributed generators (wind turbines or PV panels) may be required to power critical loads. In this case, islanding and grid-connected operation should be seamlessly switched. More important, the detection of the distributed grid failures and resynchronization are essential to the operation transition. Overall, distributed generation systems can operate in the islanded mode. To some extent, the employment of distributed generators improves the grid resilience, as critical loads can still be powered on when the distributed grid is under disruption.

**D. Extreme Climate Disaster and Restoration**

After a disaster, a resilient grid should be able to restore service to critical loads as soon as possible, including hospitals, street lighting, water stations, and other infrastructures that are associated with basic human needs [70]. However, a conventional restoration process usually is initiated at the transmission level and proceeds towards the distribution level, as shown in Fig. 22. Thus, load restoration is performed as the last step in the process. Because severe natural disasters, such as hurricanes, floods, thunderstorms, and blizzards, can impose a significant influence on the entire power system and may even damage the large and centralized power plants, bulk transmission lines, substations, and transformers [71], the conventional restoration strategies face many difficulties and take a long time to complete the load restoration [72]. To cope with these challenges, new techniques, such as distributed-generation decentralized restoration strategies, may provide promising solutions for enhancing the resilience of the grids.

As previously mentioned, the DPGS can be isolated from damaged portions of the main distribution grid in the case of disturbances and sustain the power supply through the optimal management of multiple available distributed generation resources [73]. That is, the local generation, storage, and control of energy without the need for distant generating units and long transmission lines can make the DPGS less vulnerable to disasters and allow it to respond to emergencies in a far more quickly and efficiently. Moreover, the DPGS can provide an initial source of power [74] during system restoration in cases where the main generation is unavailable or does not have black-start capability [75]. Therefore, with the help of the DPGS, the entire grid restoration can be started in a simultaneous bidirectional way, as shown in Fig. 22, which includes conventional top-down starting from the transmission level and bottom-up starting from the distribution side. This bidirectional restoration procedure can significantly shorten the restoration time and reduce the unserved electric energy during major grid failures [76]. Specifically, the DPGS can play an essential role in both aiding local critical load restoration and supporting upstream grid black-start.

**1) Aiding Local Critical Load Restoration**

When DPGSs are used to restore critical loads after an extreme event, the major concern is to pick up more loads and reduce the restoration time. Several technical topics have been studied regarding distribution system service restoration in the presence of a DPGS, including the development of optimal energizing strategies and the enhancement of the restoration ability and reconfiguration algorithms.
To maximize the amount restored by the distributed generators, a multi-stage restoration procedure was proposed in [77]. The dynamic constraint of distributed generators and the limitation of the frequency deviation were considered. In [78], graphical theories were used in the DPGS-based load restoration procedure to serve more loads and reduce the switching operations. In [79], the sequence of actions was defined to coordinate multiple DPGSs for load restoration.

Because the centralized restoration strategies are highly dependent on the communication infrastructure and are prone to the single point of failure, several decentralized methods have been proposed for enhancing the restoration ability. In [80], a multi-agent system was proposed for load restoration to determine a feasible restoration path. In [81], a distributed algorithm was developed for load restoration in the consideration of fault detection, location, and isolation, as well as a practical load restoration procedure.

After an extreme event, multiple faults can damage the DPGS. Therefore, the reconfiguration of the DPGS can be exploited to limit the fault propagation and allow the load to be served by numerous electrical islands. In [82], a strategy for self-healing after natural disasters was proposed, which involved partitioning the distribution system into islanded DPGSs, as demonstrated in Fig. 23. In [83], a graph-theoretic restoration algorithm was designed to determine the optimal network configuration for a grid with distributed generators.

2) Supporting Upstream Grid Black-Start

DPGSs can provide valuable energy to support the black-start of the upstream grid. Compared with the local critical load restoration, the requirements for the DPGSs to be served as the initial black-start source are far higher in order to cope with the challenges of supporting upstream grid restoration.

When energizing the transmission lines, underground cables, and transformers, both sustained and transient overvoltages may be induced by the capacitive charging current flowing through them [84], [85]. Therefore, the distributed generators in the DPGS must have enough reactive power absorption capacity to absorb the reactive power during system restoration. Moreover, the DPGS must be able to withstand a voltage increase that may result from sustained or transient over-voltages.

In addition, large frequency deviations can occur when a large load, such as a transmission line, is switched on, which can trip the protective relays and lead to a failure of the restoration [86]. Thus, the DPGS should have a fast dynamic characteristic and be able to follow the load changes faster in order to avoid large fluctuations in the frequency and voltages.

Furthermore, the DPGS should be able to handle large inrush load currents at the start of the re-energizing process [87]. In this case, the role of the energy-storage elements in the DPGS, such as ultra-capacitors, batteries, or flywheels, is very critical for maintaining the transient power balance of the entire system, as illustrated in Fig. 23.

In summary, by integrating distributed generators into distribution networks, the restoration capability can be improved, along with the flexibility of the operation of the entire DPGS. Fig. 23 further exemplifies the operation flexibility of a power grid with distributed generators. According to Fig. 13 and Fig. 23, when there are disruptive events in the distribution and/or transmission networks, the entire power grid may be shut down to protect 1) the downstream equipment, 2) the distribution network, and 3) the upstream power grid. In the restoration period, the distributed generators, together with energy-storage elements and local combined heat power plants, may contribute to the grid.

---

Fig. 23. Examples of DPGS operations: (a) normal operation with frequency and voltage regulations, (b) islanded operation to power critical loads, and (c) restoration operation under distribution grid failures or disturbance. The green dashed line represents communication links and the red lines with arrows represent distribution networks. The arrow indicates the direction of the power flow.
recovery. This depends on the capacity of the distribution network. For PV systems, because there is no physical mass, the restoration contribution may be limited, as shown in Fig. 23. Notably, if the distribution grid cannot be restored, it can be changed to operate in the islanded mode in order to power critical loads in the system and prevent fault propagation to adjacent networks. In this case, all the distributed energy resources can be put into operation. Notably, as shown in Fig. 23, communication and coordination are important.

IV. DPGS PROTECTION

As the penetration of renewable-based DPGSs increases, traditional SGs are being excluded from the grid. Thus, protection and coordination issues must be properly addressed. Actually, the system protection has been defined in national grid codes [61], [62], which state that the protective function is mainly to protect the distributed generator (e.g., wind power plants) and the upward distribution grid from collapse.

A. Protection Issues in DPGS

The major problem when integrating distributed generators into the distribution power grid is that the distribution systems are designed as radial networks, delivering the power in a unidirectional way: from substations to consumers [88]. The coordinated operation of circuit breakers with overcurrent relays, re-closers, and fuses to protect this unidirectional radial power grid from both temporary and permanent faults has been well-established [89]. However, with a high penetration of distributed generators, new multi-source networks become active and are no longer radial, and the conventional protection is unsuitable for a high penetration level of distributed generators. The main impacts of the operation of distributed generators on the distribution system protection are as follows.

1) Fault-Current Contribution

In the conventional network based on SGs, phase–phase or phase–ground faults normally result in an overcurrent. As exemplified in Fig. 24, the fault current is significantly higher than the operational or nominal (rated) current, which is the basic precondition for traditional overcurrent-based protection techniques. However, owing to the limited current rating of power electronic devices, the fault current of the predesigned power converter-interfaced DPGS is normally limited to a maximum of about twice the nominal current [90]. Therefore, the fault current measured by the feeder protective relay, which is located at the front end of the feeder, decreases drastically compared with the case where no distributed generators are connected to the network. This may result in the delayed operation of the relay in order to detect the faults. Because of the fault-current contribution from the DPGS, the fraction of the fault currents measured by the overcurrent relays decreases. This reduction may cause the malfunction of the overcurrent relays [91]. In the worst case, the fault is not detected instantly. This can lead to high voltages, although the fault currents are low. Moreover, if the fault remains undetected for a long period, it can spread throughout the entire distributed system and thus induce severe damage to equipment. Additionally, as indicated in Fig. 24, distributed generators employing power converters can react to grid disturbances very fast. This may alleviate the impact of overloading on the distributed-grid components [9].

![Fig. 24. Comparison of the fault-current contributions from a conventional synchronous generator and an inverter-based distributed generator.](image-url)

Notably, the fault-current contribution from inverter-based distributed generators depends on the sizing of the power electronic converters. To increase the fault-current detection capability, the inverters must be oversized, which incurs additional costs. This means that a tradeoff between the protection capability and the overall system cost should be made during the planning phase. Additionally, cost-effective protection schemes should be developed.

2) Reduction in Reach of Impedance Relays

The reach of an impedance relay is the maximum fault distance that triggers the relay in a certain impedance zone or in a certain time because of its configuration. This maximum distance corresponds to a maximum fault impedance or a minimum fault current that is detected [92]. In case of a fault that occurs downstream of the bus where the DPGS is connected to the utility network, the impedance measured by an upstream relay is higher than the real fault impedance. This is equivalent to an apparently increased fault distance, which is due to the increased voltage resulting from an additional infed at the common bus. As a consequence, the relay may be triggered with a faster grading time response [93].

3) Auto Re-Closure

For a temporary fault in the distributed grid, re-closers are intended to operate in a fast mode, isolate the faulty feeder, and allow the fault to self-clear. To secure the proper operation of automatic reclosing and prevent out-of-phase re-closure, distributed generators must be disconnected completely before the re-closure [94]. When a distributed generation unit continues to operate after a single fault, two problems may arise if the utility reconnection (i.e., automatic re-closure) is initiated after a short interruption. First, the fault may not have been cleared, as the arc was fed from the distributed generation unit. As a result, the instantaneous re-closure may not succeed. Second, because of the active power unbalance, the frequency may change in the islanded part of the distribution grid. In this case, an attempt to reclose the switch will couple two asynchronously operating systems with active sources on both sides of the re-closer, which results in the failure of the re-closure [95].

To make the protection schemes work effectively and reliably in the presence of DPGSs, the following key technical challenges should be well addressed.

- Unique fault characteristics of the distributed generators—Because the short-circuit current levels of different distributed generators vary greatly depending on the
oversizing and control, it is desirable to accurately model the transient characteristics of the current and voltage when a single fault or multiple faults occur in DPGSs.

- Highly adaptive fault identification algorithms—Because the DPGS can be operated in different modes (see Fig. 23) and its network can be reconfigured dynamically, adaptive fault identification algorithms that can effectively detect the change of the operational modes and network configurations and accordingly adjust the protection scheme in an adaptive manner are of great interest.

B. DPGS Protection to Improve Resilience

Protection for the DPGS is essential to enhance the grid resilience by quickly identifying the fault and then isolating faulty components with little human intervention. In recent years, different protection schemes have been proposed for improving the reliable protection when the DPGS is operating either in the islanded or grid-connected mode, aiming to protect the distributed generation sources and network within the DPGS, as well as the upstream grid network. This section briefly reviews the available protection schemes, which are categorized into six different types, as shown in Fig. 25.

1) Voltage-Based Protection

Fault detection in the case of low-fault current networks can be achieved using voltage-source components. It is possible to calculate the values of voltage-source components for different types of faults [96]. The common practice is to monitor the output voltages of the distributed generation sources and then transform the three-phase AC voltages into DC quantities using the Park and Clarke transformations [97]. Using the DC values, the disturbance signal can be calculated as the deviation of the voltage signal from a given reference. In the case of an asymmetrical fault, the DC components exhibit a ripple. Therefore, these components are first filtered out using notch filters. Then, they are compared with the references. In [98], a fault-detection method based on the monitoring of the positive sequence component of the fundamental voltage was proposed. Using this method, both symmetrical and asymmetrical faults in the DPGS can be detected. In [99], a technique for differentiating between three-phase, two-phase, and single-phase faults was presented. This scheme is suitable for DPGSs with a high penetration of distributed generators in islanded operation.

Numerous problems should be considered when implementing the voltage-based scheme for DPGS protection. The performance of the schemes can suffer owing to time delays and filtering processes. Moreover, the detection time changes depending on the type of the fault and the magnitude of the voltage depth during the fault occurrence. Finally, the scheme is highly dependent on the operational mode of the DPGS. Improper protections can be triggered by voltage fluctuations caused by non-fault events in islanded operation; the scheme is more robust in the grid-connected operational mode.

2) Improved Overcurrent Protection

To protect the power electronic device of the DPGS, the overcurrent protection should consider the device rating. Any fault in the DPGS must be cleared without relying on high fault currents.

Using symmetrical current components, an islanded DPGS can be protected against single line-to-ground and line-to-line faults [100]. A symmetrical approach for protection was proposed in [101]. The protection scheme utilizes a zero-sequence component to detect single-line-to-ground faults; the negative-sequence current is used to identify the line-to-line faults.

Furthermore, overcurrent protection schemes may benefit from the communication in the DPGS [102]. A symmetrical component-based scheme was proposed, which relies on communication and can locate both symmetrical and asymmetrical faults in a timely manner. The communication is established only for exchanging status information and not electrical measurements; thus, the required communication bandwidth is reduced [103]. Another instantaneous overcurrent-based scheme was developed [104], where an optical Ethernet cable was adopted. This scheme offers instantaneous protection for local lines and remote bus bars using two executive routines, regardless of where the distributed generators are located.

The main problems with these protection schemes are related to the high dependence on wide-area communication systems. Obviously, communication reliability problems can affect the protection performance. That is, in the case of a failure in the communication system, the entire protection scheme may become dysfunctional [105].

3) Differential Protection

Differential protection is based on comparing the currents entering and leaving the protected zone. As long as the difference between these currents exceeds a predefined fault value, the relays send a signal to the distribution generation source at the faulty zone, and then the protection is enabled. Differential protection has the fastest response time—approximately 5 ms—and the fault value of the differential protection can easily be resolved. Moreover, it can be modified for both modes of operation, which makes it suitable for the protection of DPGSs [106].

In [101], differential protection was used together with symmetrical-component calculations to detect faults and
determine fault types. In [107], differential relays were used to protect a DPGS in both grid-connected and islanded modes. By employing digital relays with communication, a differential protection scheme was developed in [108]. It addresses the problem of high impedance faults and is suitable for DPGSs with radial and meshed networks. In [109], the differential features were extracted from the fault current and voltages using the discrete Fourier transform, and a decision-tree data-mining model was developed to make the final decision. To reduce the cost of devices for differential protection, the optimal placements of protection zones and the protective devices for each zone were explored in [110].

A significant benefit of the differential protection principle for protecting the DPGS is that it can overcome the problems of a low fault-current level and a reverse power flow. However, protective devices must usually be installed at each line, and these devices rely on the communication infrastructure to receive measurements. This incurs additional costs and introduces communication reliability problems. Moreover, synchronized measurements are required, and unbalanced loads and transients may challenge the protection.

4) Distance Protection

Distance protection utilizes impedance/admittance measurements to effectively detect faults and then perform trip actions. The protection scheme was first developed by Dewadasa for DPGS protection in both grid-connected and islanded operation modes [111], [112]. In this scheme, faults are detected by employing a new type of admittance relay that has the characteristics of inverse time tripping. The main advantage of distance schemes is that they are not affected by changes in the current levels, as they mainly depend on the measured impedance.

A new scheme with two procedures for main and backup protection was established using the extracted impedance [113]. The first procedure is required to identify the fault occurrence and provide a time reference for the exchanged data. The latter operates in an automatic coordinated manner with an inverse-time characteristic to provide backup protection. In [114], the distance-protection approach for protecting the MV DPGS in both grid-connected and islanded modes is presented. Compared with the traditional overcurrent protection, the features of the distance protection change very little, even in different operational modes.

Distance protection also has drawbacks. During faults, the distributed generators located between the fault point and the measurement point act as intermediate in-feeds, which affects the accuracy of the measurements and hence the performance. Moreover, the fault resistance can affect the measured impedance. Finally, current transients, harmonics, and decaying DC currents have significant effects on the accuracy of the measurements.

5) Adaptive Protection

To fully utilize the DPGS for improving the grid resilience, the DPGS must usually operate reliably in both the grid-connected mode and the islanded mode. Thus, the mode transition has a significant impact on the protection scheme, and the design of the protection scheme is very challenging. Recently, adaptive protection schemes have been presented as promising solutions for DPGS protection in the case of a high penetration level. Such schemes allow the online adjustment of both the relay settings and the characteristics using external signals [115].

In [116], an adaptive fault current protection algorithm was developed by analyzing the fault behavior of a power converter-based DPGS. In this method, the settings of the instantaneous overcurrent protection scheme are automatically adjusted for the new situation by comparing the system impedance with the DPGS impedance. Another adaptive protection scheme using energy storage and isolation transformers was proposed in [117]. The protection scheme adaptively switches between overcurrent protection in the grid-connected mode and voltage-based protection in the islanded mode. The mode transition is ensured by comparing the zero sequence impedance angles.

In [118], an algorithm based on numerical relays was proposed to coordinate different relays in a specific micro-grid. The overcurrent relay settings are calculated offline and then stored in the relays. The scheme has the ability to detect faults with far smaller short-circuit levels in the DPGS. In [119], an adaptive overcurrent protection strategy consisting of a real-time conventional block was introduced. An adaptive protection system that monitors and updates the setting of relays online according to the operating modes of the DPGS was proposed in [120]. It employs communication links to collect data from intelligent electronic devices, and then the data are sent to a centralized controller for real-time analysis. A new adaptive scheme based on a centralized architecture was presented [121]. It performs offline fault calculations to determine the directional and non-directional overcurrent relay settings, which are then updated periodically.

Although adaptive relays provide flexibility, they have drawbacks. Replacing all the existing relays with adaptive ones is very expensive and requires the existing protection schemes currently used for distribution systems to be upgraded. Additionally, adaptive relays usually need communication infrastructures for reliable and fast operation. Moreover, prior-art knowledge of all possible DPGS configurations should be tuned according to the adaptive adjusting rules, which makes adaptive relays very difficult to implement in the case of large-scale DPGSs.

6) Fault-Current Compensation

Because the fault-current levels of the DPGS differ between grid-connected and islanded operation, especially with inverter-interfaced distribution generation, it is challenging to design a protection scheme that operates well in both modes. Hence, an additional fault-current source (FCS) can be used to compensate the fault-current levels of different operational modes to the similar level, which allows the overcurrent protection to function well with the conventional method [122]. The synchronous condensers or the storage devices, such as flywheels, batteries, and ultra-capacitors, can be used as FCSs for injecting high currents during faults [9]. The storage device-based FCS usually contains a storage element, a power electronic converter, a triggering circuit, and a charging module [123]. As soon as a fault is detected, the FCS is used to restore the system voltage, injecting as much current as necessary. Once the fault is cleared, the FCS is switched off. The major problem with fault-current compensation in the DPGS is that it requires significant investments.
V. CONCLUSION

The technological developments in DPGSs were explored. It was revealed that the DPGS-based wind and PV technologies will be dominant in the future market and in future power systems. This paper first provided an overview of the power electronic technologies for Wind and PV DPGSs, as the power electronics are the core of the energy conversion. More importantly, as the wind and PV energies are variable, uncertain, and non-dispatchable, connecting these renewables to the distributed grid may cause instability. Therefore, stringent demands have been placed on the DPGSs. These were also reviewed in this article, and control strategies were discussed. The investigation revealed that multiple control functions can be provided by the DPGSs in order to improve the reliability, performance, and resilience of the entire grid. The constraints can be implemented by properly controlling the power electronic converters of the DPGSs. This has become one important aspect for inverter-based DPGSs. However, it also introduces side effects. As the inverter-dominated DPGS does not have much physical inertia, the DPGS must be oversized in order to provide a satisfactory amount of fault currents, which increases the total cost. Nonetheless, DPGS protection is challenging. In this paper, the challenging issues regarding the DPGS were summarized, and the state-of-the-art protection techniques that can be applied to the DPGS were reviewed. Table I lists the advantages and disadvantages of the protection schemes discussed for the DPGSs.

It can be concluded that the DPGS can increase the grid resilience, as it can operate in both the grid-connected mode and the islanded mode. In the case of an islanded DPGS, critical loads can be supplied upon demand when the main grid is absent. Additionally, the DPGS can help to restore the transmission system after disruptions; in return, the DPGS benefits from the transmission grid when it must be restored after failures. Communication and data processing technologies may be critical for ensuring the reliable, efficient, and resilient operation of distributed grids.

REFERENCES


Blaabjerg et al.: Distributed Power-Generation Systems and Protection


ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Frede Blaabjerg (Fellow, IEEE) was with ABB-Scandia, Randers, Denmark, from 1987 to 1988. From 1988 to 1992, he was a Ph.D. Student at Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark and received the PhD in Electrical Engineering in 1995. He became an Assistant Professor in 1992, Associate Professor in 1996, and Full Professor of power electronics and drives in 1998 at the same place. His current research interests include power electronics and its applications such as in wind turbines, PV systems, reliability engineering, power quality and adjustable speed drives.

He has received 18 IEEE Prize Paper Awards, the IEEE PELS Distinguished Service Award in 2009, the EPE-PEMC Council Award in 2010, the IEEE William E. Newell Power Electronics Award 2014 as well as the Villum Kann Rasmussen Research Award 2014. In 2017, he became Villum Investigator. He was an Editor-in-Chief of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER ELECTRONICS from 2006 to 2012. He is nominated in 2014, 2015 and 2016 by Thomson Reuters to be between the most 250 cited researchers in Engineering in the world. In 2017, he became Doctor Honoris Causa at University of Politehnica in Timisoara, Romania.

Yongheng Yang (Member, IEEE) received the B.Eng. degree in electrical engineering and automation from Northwestern Polytechnical University, China, in 2009 and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Aalborg University, Denmark, in 2014.

He was a postgraduate with Southeast University, China, from 2009 to 2011. In 2013, he was a Visiting Scholar at Texas A&M University, USA. Since 2014, he has been with the Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, where currently he is an Assistant Professor. His research includes grid integration of renewable energies, power electronic converter design, analysis and control, and reliability in power electronics. Dr. Yang has published more than 100 technical papers and co-authored a book - Periodic Control of Power Electronic Converters (London, UK: IET).

Dr. Yang is a Member of the IEEE Power Electronics Society (PELS) Students and Young Professionals Committee. He served as a Guest Associate Editor of IEEE JOURNAL OF EMERGING AND SELECTED TOPICS IN POWER ELECTRONICS and a Guest Editor of Applied Sciences. He is an Associate Editor of CPSS TRANSACTIONS ON POWER ELECTRONICS AND APPLICATIONS.

Dongsheng Yang (Student Member, IEEE) was born in Jiangsu Province, China, in 1984. He received the B.S., M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics (NUAA), Nanjing, China, in 2008, 2011 and 2016 respectively. Since 2016, he has been with the Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark, where he is currently a Postdoc in the Department of Energy Technology. His main research interests include the design and control of grid-connected inverters, harmonic analysis and mitigation of power-electronic-based power systems, online impedance measurement techniques.

Xiongfei Wang (Member, IEEE) received the B.S. degree from Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao, China, in 2006, the M.S. degree from Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China, in 2008, both in electrical engineering, and the Ph.D. degree in energy technology from Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark, in 2013. Since 2009, he has been with the Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark, where he is currently an Associate Professor in the Department of Energy Technology. His research interests include modeling and control of grid-connected converters, harmonics analysis and control, passive and active filters, stability of power electronic based power systems.

Dr. Wang serves as an Associate Editor for the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER ELECTRONICS, the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUSTRY APPLICATIONS, and the IEEE JOURNAL OF EMERGING AND SELECTED TOPICS IN POWER ELECTRONICS. He received the second prize paper award and the outstanding reviewer award of IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER ELECTRONICS in 2014 and 2016, respectively, and the best paper award at IEEE PEDG 2016.