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Abstract: Enabling accurate prediction of the properties of aluminosilicate glasses and glass-forming liquids is important for the development of new glass compositions for high-tech applications. In this study, we use a combined topological and thermodynamic approach to connect the configurational heat capacity ($C_{p,\text{conf}}$) with the liquid fragility ($m$) and glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of calcium aluminosilicate glasses. To obtain glasses with different structural and dynamical features, we study two glass series; one at the tectosilicate join with varying SiO\textsubscript{2} content and one with constant CaO content but varying Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/SiO\textsubscript{2} ratio. $C_{p,\text{conf}}$ is determined using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), while $m$ and $T_g$ are determined through both DSC and direct viscosity measurements. The $C_{p,\text{conf}}$ model is found to generally predict the measured data well, but deviations between modelled and measured $C_{p,\text{conf}}$ values appear for the strongest glasses in the tectosilicate series and for the most peraluminous glasses in the constant CaO series. We discuss the origins of these model-data discrepancies based on the structural evolution in the glasses as determined through Raman spectroscopy measurements.
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