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Long-Term Stroke Risk Prediction in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: Comparison of the ABC-Stroke and CHA₂DS₂-VASc Scores

José Miguel Rivera-Caravaca, RN, MSc; Vanessa Roldán, MD, PhD; María Asunción Esteve-Pastor, MD; Mariano Valdés, MD, PhD; Vicente Vicente, MD, PhD; Gregory Y. H. Lip, MD,* Francisco Marín, MD, PhD*  

Background—The ABC-stroke score (age, biomarkers [N-terminal fragment B-type natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity troponin], and clinical history [prior stroke/transient ischemic attack]) was proposed to predict stroke in atrial fibrillation (AF). This score was derived/validated in 2 clinical trial cohorts in which patients with AF were highly selected and carefully followed-up. However, the median follow-up was 1.9 years in the trial cohort; therefore, its long-term predictive performance remains uncertain. This study aimed to compare the long-term predictive performances of the ABC-stroke and CHA₂DS₂-VASc (cardiac failure or dysfunction, hypertension, age ≥75 [doubled], diabetes mellitus, stroke [doubled]—vascular disease, age 65 to 74 years and sex category [female]) scores in a cohort of anticoagulated patients with AF.

Methods and Results—We recruited 1125 consecutive patients with AF who were stable on vitamin K antagonists and followed-up for a median of 6.5 years. ABC-stroke and CHA₂DS₂-VASc (cardiac failure or dysfunction, hypertension, age ≥75 [doubled], diabetes mellitus, stroke [doubled])—vascular disease, age 65 to 74 years and sex category [female]) scores were calculated and compared. Median CHA₂DS₂-VASc and ABC-stroke scores were 4 (interquartile range 3–5) and 9.1 (interquartile range 7.3–11.3), respectively. There were 114 ischemic strokes (1.55% per year) at 6.5 years. The C-index of ABC-stroke at 3.5 years was significantly higher than CHA₂DS₂-VASc (0.663 versus 0.600, P=0.046), but both C-indexes were nonsignificantly different at 6.5 years. Integrated discrimination improvement showed a small improvement (<2%) in sensitivity at 3.5 and 6.5 years with ABC-stroke. For ABC-stroke, net reclassification improvement was nonsignificantly different at 3.5 years, and showed a negative reclassification at 6.5 years compared with CHA₂DS₂-VASc. Decision curve analyses did not show a marked improvement in clinical usefulness of the ABC-stroke score over the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score.

Conclusions—In anticoagulated patients with AF followed-up over a long-term period, the novel ABC-stroke score does not offer significantly better predictive performance compared with the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e006490. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.006490.)
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Stroke risk is increased in patients with atrial fibrillation, but this risk is not homogeneous and depends on the presence of various risk factors. The more common stroke risk factors have been used to formulate risk scores, such as the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score, to help select patients who need oral anticoagulant therapy.¹ The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score has been widely validated and its use for stroke risk prediction is recommended by current guidelines for the management of AF.²⁻⁴ For many years, the role of biomarkers in predicting adverse events and improving risk stratification in cardiovascular disease has been investigated.⁵ Biomarkers have been
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Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- Recent guidelines suggest the use of biomarkers for risk stratification in atrial fibrillation.
- In this first study comparing the ABC-stroke score (age, biomarkers [N-terminal fragment B-type natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin], and clinical history [prior stroke/transient ischemic attack]) and CHA2DS2-VASc scores in a "real-world" cohort of patients with atrial fibrillation, the biomarker-based ABC-stroke score loses much of its prognostic value in the long term (by 6.5 years).
- Hence, there is little difference in the clinical utility of the ABC-stroke score compared with the clinical risk factor–based CHA2DS2-VASc score.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Although measuring biomarkers may help improve prediction of patients at high risk (at least statistically), this is at the cost of adding substantial complexity, expense, and lack of practicality.
- CHA2DS2-VASc score has the advantage of simplicity and performed better than the ABC-stroke score in categorizing patients at low risk, thus allowing clinicians to rapidly estimate whether oral anticoagulation is indicated.

Blood Samples and Laboratory Analysis

At entry, blood samples were drawn atraumatically and without stasis into syringes preloaded with trisodium citrate (0.011 mol/L). Platelet-poor plasma fractions were obtained by centrifugation at 4°C for 20 minutes at 2200g. Aliquots were stored at −80°C to allow batch analysis. High-sensitivity troponin T and NT-proBNP levels were assessed at the time of patient inclusion by electrochemiluminescence in an automated analyzer (Cobas e 601, Roche Diagnostica). The intra-assay variation coefficient was 5.6% and the lower limits of detection of these assays were 3.0 pg/mL for high-sensitivity troponin T and 5.0 pg/mL for NT-proBNP.

Study Outcomes

To investigate clinical outcomes at 3 and 6 years, we analyzed stroke events at a median of 3.5 years (interquartile range [IQR] 3.1–3.6) of follow-up, and a final analysis at a median of 6.5 years (IQR 4.3–7.9). Follow-up was performed by personal interview at each visit to the anticoagulation clinic and through medical records, and no patient was lost to follow-up. The primary end point for the present analysis was ischemic stroke and was defined as the sudden onset of a focal neurological deficit in a location consistent with the territory of a major cerebral artery resulted of an obstruction documented by imaging, surgery, or autopsy. Other adverse events were recorded, such as major bleeding (based on 2005...
International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis criteria and all-cause deaths. The investigators identified, confirmed, and recorded all adverse events, as well as other clinical outcomes.

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee from University Hospital Morales Meseguer and was performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. All patients gave informed consent to participate in the study.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Continuous variables were tested for normality by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and presented as mean±SD or median and IQR, as appropriate.

The Pearson chi-square test was used to compare proportions. Cox proportional hazard regression models were performed to determine the association between higher values of the ABC-stroke and CHA2DS2-VASc scores and ischemic stroke. Differences in event-free survival between patients with different risk categories of ABC-stroke and CHA2DS2-VASc were reflected by Kaplan–Meier curves. Discrimination and reclassification performance of the 2 scores were evaluated by calculating the integrated discrimination improvement and the net reclassification improvement, as described by Pencina et al. We also estimated the clinical usefulness and net benefit of the ABC-stroke score in comparison to CHA2DS2-VASc using the decision curve analysis, according to the method proposed by Vickers et al.

A P<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS Inc), MedCalc version 16.4.3 (MedCalc Software bvba), and STATA version 12.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX) for Windows.

Results

We included 1125 patients (49.7% men) with a median age of 76 years (IQR 71–81). A summary of baseline clinical characteristics is shown in Table 1. Median CHA2DS2-VASc and ABC-stroke scores were 4 (IQR 3–5) and 9.1 (IQR 7.3–11.3), respectively. The median time in the therapeutic range at 6 months after entry was 80% (IQR 66–100) and the median HAS-BLED score was 2 (IQR 2–3). During 6.5 years (IQR 4.3–7.9) of follow-up, there were 203 major bleeding events (18%, 2.77% per year) and 450 patients died (40%, 6.15% per year).

At the interim 3.5 years (IQR 3.1–3.6) of follow-up, 58 patients experienced an ischemic stroke (5.2%, an annual rate of 1.50% per year). Of these, 98.3% were “high risk” by CHA2DS2-VASc category (ie, score ≥2) and 70.7% were high risk by ABC-stroke category (ie, predicted event rate >2%). At the end of follow-up, ie, at 6.5 years (IQR 4.3–7.9), 114 patients experienced an ischemic stroke (10.1%, annual rate of 1.55% per year). Of these, 99.1% were high risk by CHA2DS2-VASc category and 68.4% by ABC-stroke (Table 2).

On Cox regression analyses, the overall risk at 3.5 years for each score point was 1.28 (95% CI, 1.10–1.60; P=0.003) for CHA2DS2-VASc and 1.22 (95% CI, 1.13–1.31; P<0.001) for ABC-stroke. At 6.5 years, corresponding figures were 1.40 (95% CI, 1.25–1.57; P<0.001) for CHA2DS2-VASc and 1.24 (95% CI, 1.17–1.30; P<0.001) for ABC-stroke (Table S1).

Survival analyses by the Kaplan–Meier curves within different risk categories of ABC-stroke and CHA2DS2-VASc are shown in Figure 1. The Kaplan–Meier curves show that the “low-risk” category as defined by CHA2DS2-VASc were clearly low risk, with virtually no events at 3.5 and 6.5 years. In contrast, the low-risk group defined by ABC-stroke experienced 17 and 36 ischemic stroke events at 3.5 and 6.5 years, respectively (annual rates of 0.43% per year and 0.50% per year). Kaplan–Meier analysis of the low-moderate risk category defined by CHA2DS2-VASc and ABC-stroke scores also show a significant difference at 6.5 years (CHA2DS2-VASc: log-rank test 7.31, P=0.007; ABC-stroke: log-rank test 27.08, P<0.001) (Figure S1).

Prediction of Patients at High Risk Who Sustain Strokes

With regard to predictive performance, the C-index of the ABC-stroke at 3.5 years was higher from that of CHA2DS2-VASc which was statistically significant (0.663 versus 0.600, P=0.046) (Table 3). At 6.5 years, the C-index of the ABC-stroke was 0.662, while the C-index of CHA2DS2-VASc was 0.620, and nonsignificantly different (Table 3, Figure S2). Based on the integrated discrimination improvement, the ABC-stroke score had a poor improvement (<2%) in sensitivity at 3.5 and 6.5 years. Net reclassification improvement analyses demonstrated a nonsignificant improvement in reclassification at 3.5 years and a (significant) negative reclassification at 6.5 years with the ABC-stroke score compared with CHA2DS2-VASc (Table 3).

When we plotted decision curve analyses to assess the clinical usefulness in real practice, use of the ABC-stroke score showed an approximate net benefit of 0.5% at 3.5 years.
Table 1. Baseline Clinical Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N=1125</th>
<th>CHA2DS2-VASc Score</th>
<th>ABC-Stroke Score (at 3 y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Risk</td>
<td>Medium Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, No. (%)</td>
<td>13 (100.0)</td>
<td>44 (88.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), y</td>
<td>61 (55.5–63.5)</td>
<td>63 (57.7–71.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>16 (32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of stroke/TIA</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal impairment</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>3 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>5 (38.5)</td>
<td>7 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant antiplatelet treatment</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>7 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-sensitivity troponin T, ng/mL</td>
<td>6.8 (4.9–10.5)</td>
<td>9.2 (5.4–12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP, ng/mL</td>
<td>339.4 (205.9–561.9)</td>
<td>369.5 (158.2–552.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTR at 6 mo, median (IQR), %</td>
<td>80 (73–100)</td>
<td>80 (60–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTR &lt;65% at 6 mo, No. (%)</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
<td>13 (26.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABC-stroke indicates age, biomarkers (N-terminal fragment B-type natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity troponin), and clinical history (prior stroke/transient ischemic attack); CHA2DS2-VASc, cardiac failure or dysfunction, hypertension, age ≥75 (doubled), diabetes mellitus, stroke (doubled)—vascular disease, age 65 to 74 years and sex category (female); IQR, interquartile range; NT-proBNP, N-terminal fragment B-type natriuretic peptide; TIA, transient ischemic attack; TTR, time in therapeutic range.
and 1% at 6.5 years, over the CHA2DS2-VASc score (Figure 2).

Prediction of Low Risk Patients

The proportion of patients categorized as low-medium risk by the CHA2DS2-VASc and ABC-stroke scores were 5.6% and 48.2%, respectively. Importantly, a high proportion (89.3%) of patients classified as low-medium risk according to the ABC-stroke score could be categorized as high risk (score ≥2) with the CHA2DS2-VASc score (Figure S3). As expected, the ABC-stroke score and CHA2DS2-VASc score showed a moderate correlation (Spearman’s rho: 0.539; 95% CI, 0.496–0.580 [P<0.001]). Only 1 of the patients categorized as having low-moderate risk by CHA2DS2-VASc score experienced an ischemic stroke.

Figure 1. Event-free survival for ischemic stroke according to the risk categories of each score. Blue line=low risk; green line=medium risk; red line=high risk. CHA2DS2-VASc (cardiac failure or dysfunction, hypertension, age ≥75 [doubled], diabetes mellitus, stroke [doubled]—vascular disease, age 65 to 74 years and sex category [female]) categories were defined as low risk (score=0), medium risk (score=1), and high risk (score ≥2). ABC-stroke (age, biomarkers [N-terminal fragment B-type natriuretic peptide, highsensitivity troponin], and clinical history [prior stroke/transient ischemic attack]) categories were defined as low risk (<1% predicted 1-year risk of stroke), medium risk (1–2% predicted 1-year risk of stroke), and high risk (>2% predicted 1-year risk of stroke). IQR indicates interquartile range.
at 6.5 years (0.31% per year); however, with the ABC-stroke score, patients in the low-moderate risk category had 36 strokes at 6.5 years (1.1% per year). This means that at 6.5 years, 6.64% of patients categorized as having low-medium risk with the ABC-stroke score experienced an ischemic stroke, while only 1.59% of patients categorized as having low-medium risk with the CHA2DS2-VASc score experienced an ischemic stroke. Patients at low-medium risk in the ABC-stroke group still had a median CHA2DS2-VASc score of 3 (IQR 2–4) and a high risk of stroke per every CHA2DS2-VASc score point (hazard ratio, 1.3; 95% CI, 1.09–1.70; \( P = 0.007 \)).

**Discussion**

In this analysis of anticoagulated patients with AF, our principal finding was that the ABC-stroke score did not provide better predictive accuracy for stroke in patients with AF followed-up over a long-term period, in comparison to the CHA2DS2-VASc score. Second, the CHA2DS2-VASc score performed well in identifying patients at “low risk,” better than ABC-stroke.

The role of biomarkers in the prediction of adverse outcomes in patients with AF has been extensively investigated. These include some biomarkers related to hemostasis (fibrin D-dimer, plasminogen activator inhibitor, tissue factor, and P-selectin), in inflammation (C-reactive protein, interleukin 6, galectin-3, tumor necrosis factor-\( \alpha \)), myocardial stress or injury (cardiac troponins and natriuretic peptides), endothelial damage or dysfunction (thrombomodulin, E-selectin, and von Willebrand factor), fibrosis and extracellular matrix turnover (transforming growth factor-\( \beta \), myeloperoxidase, and metalloproteinases and their inhibitors), renal function (Cystatin C), or genetic factors (micro-RNA and single-nucleotide polymorphisms). Indeed, inflammatory and hemostatic markers such as plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, thrombin-antithrombin, and D-dimer have all been shown to be associated with stroke and thromboembolic events.

**Table 2. Distribution of Ischemic Strokes According to the Stroke Risk Scores Categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Categories</th>
<th>CHA2DS2-VASc Score</th>
<th>ABC-Stroke Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. (%) Annual Rate (% per y)</td>
<td>No. (%) Annual Rate (% per y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At 3.5 y</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>0 (0.0) 0</td>
<td>1 (1.7) 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium risk</td>
<td>1 (1.7) 0.58</td>
<td>16 (27.6) 0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>57 (98.3) 1.55</td>
<td>41 (70.7) 2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At 6.5 y</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>0 (0.0) 0</td>
<td>1 (0.9) 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium risk</td>
<td>1 (0.9) 0.31</td>
<td>35 (30.7) 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>113 (99.1) 1.64</td>
<td>78 (68.4) 2.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABC-stroke indicates age, biomarkers (N-terminal fragment B-type natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity troponin), and clinical history (prior stroke/transient ischemic attack). CHA2DS2-VASc, cardiac failure or dysfunction, hypertension, age \( \geq 75 \) (doubled), diabetes mellitus, stroke (doubled)—vascular disease, age 65 to 74 years and sex category (female).

**Table 3. C-Indexes of the ROC Curves, ROC Curves Comparison, IDI, and NRI of the ABC-Stroke Score in Comparison With CHA2DS2-VASc Score at 3.5 and 6.5 Years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-Index</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
<th>( z ) Statistic*</th>
<th>( P ) Value*</th>
<th>IDI</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
<th>NRI</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>At 3.5 y</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC-stroke score</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.634 to 0.690</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.998</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA2DS2-VASc score</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.567 to 0.625</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At 6.5 y</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC-stroke score</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.633 to 0.690</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.574</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA2DS2-VASc score</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.590 to 0.648</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABC-stroke indicates age, biomarkers (N-terminal fragment B-type natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity troponin), and clinical history (prior stroke/transient ischemic attack). CHA2DS2-VASc, cardiac failure or dysfunction, hypertension, age \( \geq 75 \) (doubled), diabetes mellitus, stroke (doubled)—vascular disease, age 65 to 74 years and sex category (female); IDI, integrated discriminatory improvement; NRI, net reclassification index; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.

*For C-index comparison.
Similarly, interleukin 6 has been demonstrated to be related to mortality, thromboembolic events, and major bleeding in patients with AF, while C-reactive protein was associated with myocardial infarction. In the ARISTOTLE biomarker substudy (Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation), a high level of growth differentiation factor 15, a member of the transforming growth factor-β cytokine family, was an independent risk factor for major bleeding, mortality, and stroke in patients with AF.

One of the most well-studied biomarkers in AF is the von Willebrand factor, which is a marker of endothelial damage/dysfunction. In 2006, the von Willebrand factor was first reported to refine clinical stroke risk stratification using the CHADS2 and Birmingham (the precursor of CHA2DS2-VASc) scores. More recently, we confirmed the prognostic value of the von Willebrand factor in a contemporary cohort of patients with AF and how its addition to the CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores improved the prediction of cardiovascular events (including cardiovascular mortality, stroke, and major bleeding); however, the clinical utility of adding this biomarker to clinical scores remains limited given the marginal net benefit, especially after a long-term follow-up.

Renewed interest into biomarkers is consequent upon publication of substudies from the large randomized trials of anticoagulation in AF. For example, Hijazi et al determined that high-sensitivity cardiac troponins I and T, as well as NT-proBNP, provided important prognostic information in patients with AF. In a subanalysis of the ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 trial (Effective Anticoagulation With Factor Xa Next Generation in Atrial Fibrillation–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction Study 48), adding troponin I, NT-proBNP, and D-dimer levels to the CHA2DS2-VASc score incrementally enhanced risk assessment for stroke, systemic embolism, and death compared with traditional clinical risk stratification. In smaller real-world series, Providência et al demonstrated that the addition of troponin to clinical risk scores helped identify patients at greater risk of intracardiac thrombi, while Roldán et al demonstrated that increased blood concentrations of NT-proBNP identified patients with AF at risk for stroke and provided complementary prognostic information to the CHA2DS2-VASc score. Another biomarker, B-type natriuretic peptide, has been shown to be a predictor of incident AF and improved risk stratification in the Framingham cohort. In contrast, Potpara et al showed that adding troponin I, fibrinogen, and C-reactive protein to the CHA2DS2-VASc score did not significantly increase the predictive ability of the risk model.

Despite the increasing number of studies investigating biomarkers in AF, their value in the everyday real-world clinical evaluation of patients with AF is debated. For example, the ABC-stroke score was developed using data from patients with AF who had biomarkers measured at entry into the ARISTOTLE trial. This risk score demonstrated improved predictive performance (at least statistically) compared with CHA2DS2-VASc, but the follow-up was only to 3 years in the external validation cohort. In clinical trials, patients are often carefully selected with specific inclusion/exclusion criteria and followed-up in a protocol-based manner, whereas patients with AF in real-world clinical practice tend to be older, with many associated comorbidities and polypharmacy, and have variable treatment adherence and follow-up. In addition, many biomarkers are concurrently predictive of ischemic stroke, bleeding, myocardial infarction, venous...
thromboembolism, heart failure, and death, which may lead to uncertainty among clinicians over which end point should be the priority. Biomarkers are also subject to laboratory assay variability and some have a diurnal variation in levels. All of these factors may make accurate estimation of stroke and bleeding risk more difficult and less practical with a biomarker-based strategy.

Recently, the validation of risk scores in other populations who the score was not created for has been criticized, as validation studies are only valid for the data source in which they are performed\textsuperscript{29}; however, surprisingly, the recent European Society of Cardiology guidelines suggest the use of biomarkers based on the ABC scores.\textsuperscript{7,30} Hence, it would be highly relevant to see how this score performed in real-world patients in everyday clinical practice. Our study shows that in the long term (particularly from 3.5 years of follow-up) the biomarker-based ABC-stroke score loses much of its prognostic value and the clinical usefulness does not differ much from a simple clinical risk score, CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}-VASc. Indeed, improvement of the net benefit was marginal (≤1%) and measurement of (multiple) biomarkers may help improve the prediction of patients at high risk; however, this would be at the cost of adding substantial complexity, expense, and lack of practicality.\textsuperscript{14}

One of the advantages of the CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}-VASc score is its relative simplicity, which allows clinicians to rapidly estimate the risk of stroke in patients with AF and whether oral anticoagulant therapy is indicated. Indeed, the default should be to offer stroke prevention (ie, oral anticoagulants) unless the patient is initially shown to be at low risk and CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}-VASc performs well in categorizing those at low risk (stroke <1% per year).

Limitations
This study is limited by its recruitment of a white-based patient population and by its single-center design. At the beginning of the study, all patients were stable with a vitamin K agonist (INR 2.0 beginning of the study, all patients were stable with a vitamin
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Table S1. Univariate Cox regression analysis between stroke risk scores and ischaemic stroke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>At 3.5 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA₂DS₂-VASc score</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.10-1.50</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC-stroke score</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.13-1.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At 6.5 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA₂DS₂-VASc score</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.25-1.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC-stroke score</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.17-1.30</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio. *as per every score point.
Figure S1. Kaplan-Meier analysis for ischaemic stroke according to the low risk categories of each score.

Solid line = Low-medium risk; Dash line = High risk.

CHA2DS2-VASc categories were defined as low-medium risk (score = 0 or 1), and high risk (score = ≥2). ABC-stroke categories were defined as low-medium risk (<1% or 1-2% predicted 1-year risk of stroke) and high risk (>2% predicted 1-year risk of stroke).
Figure S2. Comparison of the ROC curves of the ABC-stroke and CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc scores.

At 3.5 years (IQR 3.1-3.6)
At 6.5 years (IQR 4.3-7.9)
Figure S3. Correlation of the ABC-stroke and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores. Focus on low-moderate risk patients with the ABC-stroke score.
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