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A Decision Support Tool for Healthcare Professionals in the Management of Hyperphosphatemia in Hemodialysis

Sisse H. LAURSENa,b,1, Amanda A. BUUSc,d, Lisbet BRANDIe, Peter VESTERGAARDf,g and Ole K. HEJLESENb

Abstract. Hyperphosphatemia is known as one of the more challenging conditions in end-stage renal disease patients. This study set out to present and evaluate a healthcare-oriented decision support tool in the management of hyperphosphatemia within hemodialysis therapy. A prototype module was designed to fit into the interface of a modern dialysis machine (Fresenius 5008). The prototype included three main functions: 1) immediate bedside blood sample access, 2) a model based prognosis tool with estimates of P-phosphate and 3) an overview of the user’s phosphate related activities during dialysis treatments. The prototype was evaluated by a) heuristic evaluation with five human computer interaction experts and b) user testing with think-aloud by three users as (clinical) domain experts. The two evaluation procedures identified a total of 103 usability problems and led to some specific amendments to improve its practical potential. The overall results will guide further development of the decision support tool to ensure that the functions will support the user’s needs. In conclusion, the prototype was evaluated to be relevant and potentially beneficial in the management of hyperphosphatemia in hemodialysis patients. Furthermore, it was found that some of the functions could be used for educational purposes or as decision support for some patient groups, e.g. for patient doing home-dialysis.
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Introduction

Hyperphosphatemia represents one of the most challenging and frequently observed electrolyte disturbances in end-stage renal disease patients [1]. The condition is associated with severe complications such as secondary or tertiary hyperparathyroidism, 
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renal osteodystrophy and vascular calcification [1]. Prevention and treatment of the
case include dietary restrictions, oral phosphate binders and dialysis [2]. However,
the management of hyperphosphatemia seems challenging due to a number of factors
e.g. compliance problems related to diet restrictions and inadequate phosphate binder
intake. One study indicates non-adherence in 80% of patients according to diet
recommendations [3]. Other studies show that the prescription of a standard phosphate
binder regimen only covers about 30% of the meals [4]. An alternative approach
includes extended dialysis regimes [5]. However, there is currently no standardised
guidelines of, how long the dialysis should continue in order to achieve an optimal
phosphate balance. Therefore, hemodialysis (HD) patients often follow a standard
treatment program of HD four hours three times weekly.

The removal of phosphate during a dialysis are depending on patient individual
factors and the P-phosphate level before dialysis [6]. Hence, the regulation of dialysis
treatment to achieve an optimal phosphate balance would require some suggestions
about the phosphate kinetics of the individual patient. An approach to increased
understanding of individual intra- and interdialytic phosphate behaviour could be the
use of physiological models. Different kinetic models [7-8] have been proposed but
only cautious suggestions have been made about the practical use of these models [7-9].

The objective of this study was, using a model based approach, to develop and
present a decision support tool to help healthcare professionals in the prescriptions of
HD therapy in order to achieve an optimal phosphate removal in the individual patient
case during a specific dialysis.

1. Methods

1.1. Concept and design

A prototype was developed to support healthcare professionals in the management of
hyperphosphatemia in HD patients. The prototype consisted of a module for the
software of modern dialysis machines. Table 1 presents the three main functions of the
module. The interface of the machine Fresenius 5008 was used as a proof-of-concept to
present the prototype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“PO₄ samples”</th>
<th>“PO₄ prognoses”</th>
<th>“PO₄ activities”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provides immediate</td>
<td>Provides decision support for the</td>
<td>Provides an overview of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bedside access to P-</td>
<td>healthcare professional: a P-</td>
<td>user’s activities related to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphate sampling results.</td>
<td>phosphate prognosis tool with</td>
<td>phosphate during the ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>results. → the user to</td>
<td>predictions about intra- and</td>
<td>or previous dialysis treatments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare sampling results</td>
<td>interdialytic P-phosphate levels</td>
<td>This includes time for blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of different treatments</td>
<td>based on model simulation. This</td>
<td>samples, use of the prognosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including the ongoing HD.</td>
<td>function presupposes point-of-care</td>
<td>tool and changes in HD time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or machine blood sampling.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2. Heuristic evaluation and think-aloud

The prototype was evaluated by heuristic evaluation (HE) and user testing with think-
aloud (TA) to identify usability problems and to improve user experience. Alternating
HE and TA produces the best result, as the methods identify different flaws [10].
HE aims to identify and correct user interface design flaws. It involves experts evaluating a prototype according to recognised usability principles ("heuristics"). The participants included five human computer interaction experts (HCIs). Three of the HCIs had a MSc in Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, and two had a MSc in Clinical Science and Technology. All HCIs had an understanding of the healthcare sector in general, and three had experience with usability testing. The HCIs were asked to evaluate the prototype individually against Nielsen’s ten heuristics [11]: 1 – System status, 2 – Match between system and the real world, 3 – User control and freedom, 4 – Consistency and standards, 5 – Error prevention, 6 – Recognition rather than recall, 7 – Flexibility and efficiency of use, 8 – Aesthetic and minimalist design, 9 – Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors, 10 – Help and documentation. The usability problems were evaluated on a severity scale from 1-4 (cosmetic problem to catastrophe) [11]. If a problem was found to fit different heuristics, it was evaluated individually for each heuristic. Results can lead to ideas for refinements of the system.

TA was performed to evaluate the interface and functions of the refined version of the prototype through analysis of user interactions with the system [12]. During the test procedure, the participants were encouraged to think-aloud as they were performing specified work-related tasks. The participants were guided individually by a test leader through the prototype in sessions of 30–45 minutes. A test assistant observed and noted each session. The participants included two dialysis nurses and one nephrologist. They were included as work-domain professionals (WDPs) and were all familiar with the Fresenius 5008 software. Results can lead to ideas for refinements.

2. Results

2.1. Presentation of the prototype

Figure 1 provides a screen dump of the “PO₄ prognoses” tool to illustrate part of the user interface and content of the prototype.

![Figure 1. Screen dump of the “PO₄ prognoses” tool. The graph shows P-phosphate samples (red dots) and estimates (blue dots), end of HD (dotted black line) and current HD time (dotted red line). “HD time” is treatment time the user chooses to see P-phosphate estimates, and “Estimate” is length of the estimate.](image-url)
2.2. Heuristic evaluation

The HE identified 66 usability problems after 27 duplicates were removed. The heuristics were rated 104 times on the severity scale. Figure 2 shows how the violations were distributed against the ten heuristics and the four severity grades. The severity grades 1-4 were used 21, 36, 30 and 17 times, respectively, and the average severity rating for the ten heuristics was 2.6. Heuristic 8 was assigned the highest number of violations with a total of 23. However, 18 of the violations were rated 1. The most severe usability problems included heuristics number 2, 6 and 7.

Figure 2. Number of times the HCIs used each of the ten heuristics and the distribution of heuristic violations for each heuristic against the four severity grades (1-4).

2.3. Think Aloud

The TA identified 37 usability problems related to the design and functions of the prototype. Table 2 summarises the function related feedback from the WDPs.

Table 2. Summary of the function related feedback from the think-aloud evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possibilities</th>
<th>“P-phosphate samples”</th>
<th>“Phosphate prognoses”</th>
<th>“Phosphate activities”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) Bedside information about the efficiency of the ongoing HD, 2) educational tool.</td>
<td>1) Indicate if the patient could benefit of a prolonged HD, 2) educational tool, 3) tool for some patients, e.g. home-HD.</td>
<td>Overview of the phosphate activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations</td>
<td>Frequent blood sampling would provide the most applicable tool.</td>
<td>Requires fast blood analysis, e.g. point-of-care or machine sampling.</td>
<td>Questionable, if the function would be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggesions</td>
<td>Accessibility of numerous Pre-HD P-phosphate levels → educational tool.</td>
<td>1) Requires profound information, 2) an app to improve self-management skills in patients on home-HD.</td>
<td>Could include blood sampling plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Discussion

This study set out to develop and test a decision support tool to help healthcare professionals in the management of hyperphosphatemia in HD therapy.

The evaluation results revealed 103 usability problems. More participants would likely have increased the significance of the results. However, according to a statistical formula, 3-5 experts will identify 75-80% of usability flaws [13].
The overall results indicate that the decision support was functioning. This tool could be beneficial to both healthcare professionals and, as suggested by WDPs, HD patients. Access to repeated bedside P-phosphate analysis gives opportunity to individualise the duration of HD for the single patient at each dialysis. The patient will be able to see how pre-dialysis P-phosphate influences duration of the dialysis. This may motivate the patient to stay on the recommended diet and take the oral phosphate binders as prescribed. A possibility could be to develop a patient app with the tool. This would be a help, especially for patients doing home-HD. However, some challenges are present and need to be addressed. One issue includes the required point-of-care or machine blood sampling, as this feature is not currently available. Another issue is how well the integrated model will fit the patient’s actual P-phosphate levels. This part would require further evaluation of current phosphate models [7]. A third issue is how the estimates can consider dietary intake during HD and residual kidney function.

In conclusion, it was possible by use of HE and TA to develop a prototype of a phosphate decision support tool for healthcare professionals in the management of hyperphosphatemia within HD. However, it remains to be tested whether the patients will choose an extended treatment regimen based on model estimates.
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