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ABSTRACT Owing to the filter resonance and background harmonics, the current control for the LCL-filtered grid-connected inverter should be carefully designed to ensure stable operation. Prior-art current control methods normally require extra sensors to achieve the damping of the resonance, or sophisticated active damping should be employed. Both increase the overall system cost and complexity. In this context, an improved control strategy is proposed for LCL-filtered inverters. The proposed control method utilizes a novel reduced-order observer in a way that only one current sensor is required for stable operation (i.e., resonance and harmonics are effectively attenuated). More specifically, the reduced-order observer embeds the dynamics of the grid voltage, where the estimated grid information is used for synchronization in a phase-locked loop. The estimated state variables of the observer are then used for the controller design. Furthermore, to achieve active damping and suppress the influence of grid voltage distortions on the current quality, a multi-resonant state-space controller is proposed, where a linear quadratic regulator method is employed to obtain the optimal gain. The simulations and experimental tests are performed on a 3-kW grid-connected inverter system with an LCL filter. The results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method in terms of robust active damping and strong harmonic attenuation, and thus the inverter achieves a good power quality with only one current sensor.

INDEX TERMS Active damping, linear quadratic regulator, multi-resonant controller, reduced-order observer, state-space control.

I. INTRODUCTION

Compared with the single inductor filter (L filter), the LCL filter has been increasingly used in grid-connected inverters due to its superior performance in terms of switching-frequency harmonics attenuation, size and hardware cost. However, as a high-order filter, the LCL filter has a resonant peak, which may bring instability to the inverter.

To mitigate the instability, the most commonly used method is to enhance the damping of the system. In general, the LCL-resonance damping can be achieved either in a passive way (i.e., adding resistors in parallel with capacitors [1]) or in an active way (i.e., modifying the control algorithm as active damping [2]). In contrast to the passive damping ways, the active ones are more popular due to lower power losses. As a result, a lot of active damping schemes have been reported in the literature, which can further be categorized into two groups: digital filter-based methods [3]–[5], and state-feedback based methods [6]–[11]. Although no extra sensors have been required in digital filter-based methods, parameter uncertainties and sensitivity are of concern. In contrast, the state-feedback based active damping methods need more state variables, which usually require additional sensors and even decrease reliability.

To reduce the sensor numbers, Luenberger observer [12]–[14] and Kalman filter observer [15] were used to estimate the unmeasured LCL filter state variables. However, a sensor for the grid voltage is still required for synchronization. To further reduce the sensor number, some grid voltage sensorless control techniques have been
reported [9], [16]–[26]. The sensorless methods in [16] and [17] were proposed for the inverter with L filter, the others (i.e., [9], [18]–[26]) were designed for the inverter with LCL filters. The voltage sensorless operations were obtained based on the instantaneous power theory [9] and virtual flux estimator [18]. However, both of them are direct open-loop estimation techniques, which depend on parameter uncertainties and initial state uncertainties. Alternatively, the grid voltage was estimated by observers [19]–[26]. In [19]–[22], adaptive observers were proposed to achieve the grid voltage sensorless control for three-phase converter. More specifically, adaptive full-order observers in discrete time domain [19], [20] were proposed for the grid voltage estimation. In [21], a frequency-adaptive observer was proposed, where an extra frequency estimator was designed to adapt to the frequency variation of the grid voltage. Besides, a robust line-voltage observer based on gradient descent method were presented in [22]. Kalman filter have also been proposed to estimate grid voltages in [23]–[25]. Furthermore, an extended state observer (ESO) was proposed in [26], where the grid voltage was considered as an external disturbance. Since the dynamic of external disturbance is not considered in the ESO [26], the estimation accuracy of the ESO is relatively low. Moreover, it is sensitive to measurement noise. In [25], a 14-state observer embedding the dynamic behavior of the grid voltage was established, but the computation burden increases greatly.

In addition to the LCL resonance, the grid current quality should also be maintained using advanced current controllers. For instance, as reported, a sliding mode control [27], model predictive control [28], repetitive control [29], proportional integral (PI) control [30], proportional-resonant (PR) control [31]–[34] and state feedback control [35] can be adopted. Moreover, the observer-based state space control with an extended structure has also been discussed in several of the aforementioned literatures [12]–[15], [21]. For the non-linear controllers, most of them are model-dependent, and thus the control performance is affected by the system model (which can happen in practice, e.g., grid impedance variations). Compared with the PI controller, the PR controller [31] is more common to obtain zero tracking error of AC variables. However, additional attempts should be made to compensate the harmonics, when either PI or PR controller is adopted. Especially, the multi-resonant controller [21], [33], can be a good alternative for selective harmonic rejection in grid-connected applications. Nevertheless, it is difficult to tune the parameters of multi-resonant controllers, when higher order harmonics should be compensated. In [12]–[15], the PI-based state-space control was tuned based on direct pole placement method. However, it is still difficult for the multi-resonant controllers. In [21], an optimum PR control combined with the state feedback control was designed separately. A linear quadratic regulator (LQR) method was used to obtain the optimal control coefficients in LCL-filtered inverters [35]. However, this approach has several drawbacks. For example, a state space model transformation is required, increasing the system complexity. Furthermore, a clear principle has not been developed to design the weights of the cost function, where, however, there may be coupling effects.

In light of the above, this paper proposes a novel reduced-order observer in the current control of LCL-filtered inverters. The proposed observer requires only one sensor. Additionally, the harmonics of the grid voltage and the corresponding quadrature-phase signals are considered as extended state variables in the observer model. This further simplifies the phase-locked loop (PLL) for the system. Compared with the above-mentioned grid voltage sensorless control strategies, the proposed reduced-order observer-based method can provide better estimation accuracy while maintaining lower complexity, thus leading to improved system performance. Furthermore, a state-space controller with augmented multi-resonant state variables, aiming at active damping, stability and harmonic rejection, is designed using the LQR. To achieve so, the capacitor current is taken as a state variable in the control, which makes the selection of the weights of the cost function intuitive and simple. Compared with the PI-based [12]–[15] and PR-based [21], [35] state space current control methods, the proposed control can achieve good harmonics suppression ability with simple design. In summary, the proposed control can achieve a good power quality and effective active damping with only one sensor.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, a grid-connected inverter system with an LCL filter is described and its observability analysis is studied. Then, the proposed reduced-order state observer is given in Section III. Following, the state-space controller design based on the LQR is analyzed and detailed in Section IV. Section V then provides the simulations and experimental tests on the 3-kW system, which validate the proposed control method. Finally, concluding remarks are given.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND OBSERVABILITY ANALYSIS

A. LCL-FILTERED GRID-CONNECTED INVERTER

A single-phase grid-connected inverter with an LCL filter is shown in Fig. 1. The dynamic of the converter system can be described as

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = AX + B_1u_{\text{inv}} + B_gu_g
\]

where

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
R_1 & -1/L_1 & 0 \\
1/C & 0 & -1/C \\
0 & 1/L_{g1} & -R_{g1}/L_{g1}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad L_{g1} = L_2 + L_g,
\]

\[
R_{g1} = R_2 + R_g,
\]

\[
B_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1/L_1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}^T, \quad B_g = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & -1/L_{g1}
\end{bmatrix}^T
\]
FIGURE 1. A single-phase grid-connected inverter system with an LCL filter, where $L_1$, $R_1$, $C$, $L_2$, and $R_2$ are the inverter-side inductance and its parasitic resistance, the filter capacitor, the grid-side inductance, and its parasitic resistance, respectively. Here, $L_g$ and $R_g$ are the inductance and the resistance of the grid. $u_{dc}$, $u_{inv}$, $u_c$, $u_{pcc}$, and $u_g$ are the DC-link voltage, the inverter output voltage, the voltage on the filter capacitor, the voltage at the point of common coupling (PCC) and the grid voltage. Additionally, $i_1$, $i_2$ and $i_g$ are the inverter-side current, the capacitor current and the grid-side current.

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Additionally, $X = \begin{bmatrix} i_1 & u_c & i_g \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the state vector and $Y = i_1$ is the output of the system. When neglecting the parasitic resistance in (1) (i.e., $R_1$, $R_2$ and $R_g$), the LCL filter resonance is considered as the worst case. Accordingly, the transfer function in the $s$-domain from $u_{inv}$ to $i_g$ can be expressed as

$$G_{inv}(s) = \frac{i_g(s)}{u_{inv}(s)} = \frac{1}{L_1 L_g C s^3 + (L_1 + L_g) s} (2)$$

It is indicated that there are a pair of poles in (2), which means that the system has resonance. The corresponding resonance frequency $\omega_{res}$ can be calculated as

$$\omega_{res} = \sqrt{\frac{L_1 + L_g}{L_1 L_g C}}.$$

In order to suppress the resonance and ensure the system stability, the current controller is required to improve the system damping. Generally, a state feedback controller can be adopted, which requires at least one current and one voltage information feedback. However, in Section III, an observer will be developed for the inverter control with only one sensor to estimate the state feedback information.

B. OBSERVABILITY ANALYSIS

As shown in (1), the grid voltage $u_g$ is an external disturbance in the grid-connected inverter model. Without loss of generality, the $h^{th}$ order harmonic $u_{gh}$ of the grid voltage and the resultant grid voltage $u_g$ can be expressed as [25]

$$\begin{bmatrix} du_{gh} \\ du_{sh} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h_o g \\ -h_o g & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{gh} \\ u_{sh} \end{bmatrix} (3)$$

$$u_g = u_{g1} + \cdots + u_{gh} (4)$$

where $u_{gh} = V_{mh} \sin(h_o t)$ is the $h^{th}$ order harmonic component, $u_{sh} = V_{mh} \cos(h_o t)$ represents the quadrature signal of $u_{gh}$, $V_{mh}$ is the amplitude of the $h^{th}$ order harmonic, and $o_{gh}$ is the fundamental frequency of the grid voltage ($h = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ being the harmonic order). Equations (3) and (4) describe the dynamic of the grid voltage. Combining (3) and (4) to (1), the extended system model can be expressed as

$$\frac{dX_e}{dt} = A_e X_e + B_e u_{inv}$$

where

$$A_e = \begin{bmatrix} A & P_g & \cdots & P_g \\ 0 & A_{g1} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{gh} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_e = \begin{bmatrix} B_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$C_e = \begin{bmatrix} C_e \hat{A}_e \ldots \hat{A}_e \end{bmatrix}^T$$

with $X_e = [i_1 \ u_c \ i_g \ u_{g1} \ u_{g2} \ u_{g3} \ u_{g4} \ u_{gh} \ u_{gh}]^T$ being the extended state vector and $Y_e$ being the output of the extended system. Considering that the single-phase grid mainly contains the third, fifth, and seventh harmonics [36], the grid voltage in (4) is given as $u_g = u_{g1} + u_{g3} + u_{g5} + u_{g7}$. The extended system model in (5) becomes an 11-order system. The observability matrix of the converter system can then be obtained as

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} C_e \\ C_e \hat{A}_e \\ \vdots \\ C_e \hat{A}_e^{10} \end{bmatrix} = 11 (6)$$

which is a full-rank matrix, meaning that the system is observable with only the inverter-side current information.

In fact, whether it has only the inverter-side current information or only the grid-side current information, the system is always observable [13]. However, the sensor of the inverter-side current is more preferred in hardware designing to obtain fast inverter current protection in practice. Thus, the proposed observer will be built using the actual inverter-side current feedback in the following section.

III. PROPOSED REDUCED-ORDER STATE OBSERVER

A. REDUCED-ORDER STATE OBSERVER

Since the inverter-side current can be measured, the reduced-order state observer is mainly used to estimate the other state variables (i.e., the capacitor voltage and capacitor current) and the quadrature signals of the grid voltage. The proposed state observer can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\hat{X}_o}{dt} = A_o \hat{X}_o + B_o i_1 + L(u_c - \hat{u}_c) (7)$$

where

$$A_o = \begin{bmatrix} A_t & P_{gr} & P_{gr} & P_{gr} & P_{gr} \\ 0 & A_{g1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{g3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{g5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{g7} \end{bmatrix}.$$
\[
A_t = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\frac{1}{C} \\
\frac{1}{L_{g1}} & \frac{R_{g1}}{L_{g1}}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P_{gr} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{L_{g1}} & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
B_o = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{C} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}^T,
\]

\[
L = [l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 \ l_4 \ l_5 \ l_6 \ l_7 \ l_8 \ l_9 \ l_{10}]^T,
\]

and \( \hat{X}_o = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{u}_c \ \hat{g}_1 \ \hat{u}_g1 \ \hat{u}_g3 \ \hat{u}_g5 \ \hat{u}_g7 \ \hat{x}_4 \ \hat{x}_5 \ \hat{x}_7
\end{bmatrix}^T \) is the estimated state vector. Furthermore, \( \hat{u}_c, \hat{g}_1 \) are the estimated capacitor branch voltage and the estimated grid-side current, and \( \hat{u}_{g1}, \hat{u}_{g3}, \hat{u}_{g5}, \hat{u}_{g7} \) are the estimated fundamental, 3rd, 5th, 7th order component of the grid voltage. In addition, \( \hat{x}_4, \hat{x}_5, \hat{x}_7 \) corresponds to the estimated fundamental, 3rd, 5th, 7th order quadrature signal of the grid voltage, and \( L \) is the observer feedback gain.

Based on (4), the estimated grid voltage \( \hat{u}_g \) and the estimated quadrature signal \( \hat{x}_c \) can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{u}_g &= \hat{u}_{g1} + \hat{u}_{g3} + \hat{u}_{g5} + \hat{u}_{g7} \\
\hat{x}_c &= \hat{u}_{x1} + \hat{u}_{x3} + \hat{u}_{x5} + \hat{u}_{x7}
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

According to (5), the voltage \( u_c \) of the filter capacitor in (7) can be obtained as

\[
u_c = u_{inv} - L_1 \frac{di_1}{dt} - i_1 R_1
\]

(9)

It is difficult to calculate and implement the derivative term in (9). To avoid doing so, the estimated state variables can be reconstructed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{X}_t &= \hat{X}_o + LL_1i_1 \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{u}_{cr} \ \hat{g}_{gt} \ \hat{u}_{g1r} \ \hat{u}_{g3r} \ \hat{u}_{g5r} \ \hat{u}_{g7r} \ \hat{x}_{5r} \ \hat{x}_{7r}
\end{bmatrix}^T
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

in which \( \hat{u}_{cr}, \hat{g}_{gt}, \hat{u}_{g1r}, \hat{u}_{g3r}, \hat{u}_{g5r}, \hat{u}_{g7r}, \hat{x}_{5r}, \hat{x}_{7r} \) are the reconstructed variable of \( \hat{u}_c, \hat{g}_1, \hat{u}_g1, \hat{u}_g3, \hat{u}_g5, \hat{u}_g7, \hat{x}_5, \hat{x}_7 \), respectively.

By substituting (9) and (10) into (7), the proposed reduced-order state observer can be expressed as

\[
\frac{d\hat{X}_t}{dt} = A_o \hat{X}_t + L(u_{inv} - \hat{u}_c) + B_t i_1
\]

(11)

where

\[
B_t = \begin{bmatrix}
l_2 L_1 + 1/C - l_1 R_1 + l_1^2 L_1 \\
-1 + l_2 R_2 + l_3 + l_5 + l_7 + l_9 L_1/L_{g1} - l_2 R_1 + l_2 l_1 L_1 \\
-l_3 R_1 + l_3 l_1 L_1 \\
-l_4 R_1 + l_4 l_1 L_1 \\
-l_5 R_1 + l_5 l_1 L_1 \\
-l_6 R_1 + l_6 l_1 L_1 \\
-l_7 R_1 + l_7 l_1 L_1 \\
-l_9 R_1 + l_9 l_1 L_1 \\
-l_9 l_1 L_1 - l_9 R_1 + l_9 l_1 L_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The state vector estimation \( \hat{X}_t \) can be reconstructed according to (11), and from (10), the real estimated state vector can be obtained as

\[
\hat{X}_o = \hat{X}_t - LL_1i_1
\]

(12)

With (5) and (7), the dynamics of the estimation error \( \hat{X}_o = X_o - \hat{X}_o \) can be obtained as

\[
\frac{d\hat{X}_o}{dt} = (A_o - LC_p)\hat{X}_o
\]

(13)

where \( C_p = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0] \). From (13), the characteristic polynomial of the observer dynamics can be expressed as

\[
p(s) = \text{det}(sI - A_o + LC_p)
\]

(14)

Comparing (14) and (15), the gain \( L \) can then be obtained. To simplify the design, the ten poles are mapped as

\[
\text{det}(sI - A_o + LC_p) = (s + \omega_p)^{10}
\]

(16)

where \( \omega_p \) determines the dynamics of the observer. A rule of thumb is to select \( \omega_p \) to be 2-3 times as many as the bandwidth of the control system [13]. In this way, the observer almost has negligible impact on the controller dynamics. In fact, Eq. (16) can be solved by using the MATLAB function, i.e., \( \text{acker} \). As mentioned in [20], this selection is practical, and a designer has the full freedom to adjust the pole locations depending on the specific dynamic performances and conditions.

### B. PLL-SYNCHRONIZATION WITH THE ESTIMATED GRID VOLTAGE INFORMATION

The PLL algorithm is an essential part to achieve grid synchronization. The general single-phase PLL structure based on the synchronous reference frame transformation is shown in Fig. 2(a). This PLL strategy typically requires the corresponding quadrature signal of the grid voltage, which is obtained using an orthogonal voltage system generator, e.g., a transport delay block [37] or other time-consuming transformation block [38]. It is obvious that the orthogonal generation system will increase the computational burden.

However, as shown in Fig. 2(b), the in-quadrature signals of the grid voltage (i.e., \( \hat{u}_g \) and \( \hat{u}_c \)) can be estimated directly with the proposed reduced-order observer. Thus, without the orthogonal voltage system generator, the synchronization can be achieved through the PLL, as demonstrated in Fig. 2(b), where it is not necessary to measure the grid voltage. In all, as presented in the above, a 10-order reduced-order observer...
model is built to estimate the state variables and the grid voltage information. Based on the estimated in-quadrature grid voltages, the computational burden of the PLL is reduced to some extent.

IV. PROPOSED CURRENT CONTROL

With the developed observer, the entire control of the LCL-filtered inverter can be achieved, as shown in Fig. 3. It is observed that the proposed control employs the observer to simultaneously achieve active damping, power quality control, and grid synchronization. Specifically, only one current sensor is employed to measure the inverter-side current, the estimated capacitor voltage, and the grid-side current. Therefore, the computational burden of the PLL is reduced to some extent.

With the developed observer, the entire control of the LCL-filtered inverter can be achieved, as shown in Fig. 3. It is observed that the proposed control employs the observer to simultaneously achieve active damping, power quality control, and grid synchronization. Specifically, only one current sensor is employed to measure the inverter-side current, the estimated capacitor voltage, and the grid-side current. Therefore, the computational burden of the PLL is reduced to some extent.

FIGURE 2. Phase-locked loop (PLL) synchronization system: (a) general structure of a single-phase PLL and (b) PLL synchronization with the estimated in-quadrature voltages by the proposed observer.

FIGURE 3. Control block diagrams of the LCL-filtered grid-connected inverter system with the proposed observer and current controller.

A. RESTRUCTURED SMALL-SIGNAL MODEL

In [7], it shows that the capacitor current feedback works as a virtual resistor in parallel with the capacitor, which directly relates to the system damping. Thus, to realize the active damping, the capacitor current $i_c$ should replace the grid-side current $i_g$ as a state variable, i.e., $X_s = [i_1 \ u_c \ i_g]^T$, the capacitor current can be calculated as

$$i_c = i_1 - i_g$$

(17)

Substituting (17) into (1) gives the state-space representation of the system as

$$\frac{dX_s}{dt} = A_sX_s + B_{s1}u_{\text{inv}} + B_{sg}u_g$$

(18)

in which

$$A_s = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{R_1}{L_1} & -\frac{1}{L_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{C} \\ -\frac{R_1}{L_1} + \frac{R_{g1}}{L_{g1}} & -\frac{1}{L_{g1}} & -\frac{1}{L_{g1}} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/L_1 \\ 0 \\ 1/L_{g1} \end{bmatrix}^T \text{ and } B_{sg} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/L_{g1} \end{bmatrix}^T.$$ 

Assuming that the steady operation point of the system and its deviation are $(X_{\text{ref}}, u_{\text{invref}}, u_{gref})$ and $(\Delta X_s, \Delta u_{\text{inv}}, \Delta u_g)$, respectively, the small-signal model of the plant is given as

$$\frac{d\Delta X_s}{dt} = A_s\Delta X_s + B_{s1}\Delta u_{\text{inv}} + B_{sg}\Delta u_g$$

(19)

with $\Delta X_s = X_{\text{ref}} - X_s$, $\Delta u_{\text{inv}} = u_{\text{invref}} - u_{\text{inv}}$, and $\Delta u_g = u_{gref} - u_g$. Furthermore, with an assumption that the reference grid-side current is $i_{gref}$ and the grid voltage $u_g$ is estimated by the reduced-order observer, the reference steady state vector $X_{\text{ref}} = [i_{1\text{ref}} \ u_{\text{cref}} \ i_{gref}]^T$ and the reference inverter output
voltage $u_{\text{invref}}$ are calculated as

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{i}_{\text{ref}} &= i_{\text{ref}} + i_{\text{gref}} \\
u_{\text{ref}} &= L_1 \frac{di_{\text{ref}}}{dt} + u_{\text{gref}} + i_{\text{gref}} R_1 \\
i_{\text{ref}} &= C \frac{d\nu_{\text{ref}}}{dt} \\
u_{\text{inv}} &= L_1 \frac{di_{\text{ref}}}{dt} + u_{\text{ref}} + i_{\text{ref}} R_1
\end{align*}$$

(20)

in which the derivatives of the reference state variables may introduce noise. Thus, considering the small values of the inductor voltage and the capacitance current, the steady-state reference values in (20) are approximated as

$$\begin{align*}
i_{\text{ref}} &= i_{\text{gref}} \\
u_{\text{ref}} &= \dot{u}_g \\
i_{\text{ref}} &= 0 \\
u_{\text{inv}} &= u_{\text{ref}}
\end{align*}$$

(21)

Furthermore, it is assumed that the grid voltage is purely sinusoidal and $\Delta u_g = 0$, and the model in (19) is simplified as

$$\frac{d\Delta X_s}{dt} = A_s \Delta X_s + B_{s1} \Delta u_{\text{inv}}$$

(22)

The state-space controller is then given by

$$\Delta u_s = K \Delta X_s$$

(23)

where $K = [k_1 \ k_2 \ k_3]$ is the feedback gain vector, and $\Delta u_s$ is the output of the state-space controller. Next, the multi-resonant controller will be included to attenuate the harmonics.

It has been demonstrated in the literature that the resonant controller can achieve zero-error tracking of the harmonic of interest. The classic resonant controller in the $s$-domain is given as

$$R_n(s) = \frac{2\omega cn (k_{r1n}s + k_{r2n}n\omega g)}{s^2 + 2\omega cn s + n^2 \omega g^2}$$

(24)

where $\omega cn$ determines the bandwidth of resonant controller, $k_{r1n}, k_{r2n}$ are the gain coefficients, and $n = 1, 2, 3...$ is the harmonic order. A small-signal state-space representation of the resonant controller is then given as

$$\frac{d\Delta X_{Rn}}{dt} = A_{Rn} \Delta X_{Rn} + B_{Rn} \Delta i_1$$

(25)

in which $A_{Rn} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & n\omega g \\ -n\omega g & -2\omega cn \end{bmatrix}$, $B_{Rn} = [0 \ 2\omega cn]^T$ and $\Delta X_{Rn} = [\Delta x_{r1n} \Delta x_{r2n}]^T$ is the constructed resonant state vector with $\Delta x_{r1n}$ and $\Delta x_{r2n}$ representing the resonant state variables. The output of the resonant controller can be obtained as

$$\Delta u_{Rn} = [k_{r1n} \ k_{r2n}] \Delta X_{Rn} = K_{Rn} \Delta X_{Rn}$$

(26)

with $K_{Rn} = [k_{r1n} \ k_{r2n}]$ being the feedback gain vector of the resonant controller. By augmenting the inverter variables in (22) and the resonant controller variables in (25), the small-signal state-space representation of the closed-loop system is obtained as

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{d\Delta X_s}{dt} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_s & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdot & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{Rn} \end{bmatrix} \Delta X_s + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X_{R1} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta X_{Rn} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_{s1} \\ \vdots \\ B_{Rn} \end{bmatrix} \Delta u_{\text{inv}}
\end{align*}$$

(27)

with $P_{Rn} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega cn & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$\Delta u_{\text{inv}} = \frac{K_{R1} \Delta X_{R1}}{K_{Rn}} + \cdots + \frac{K_{Rn} \Delta X_{Rn}}{K_{Rn}}$$

(28)

The output of the current controller $u_{\text{pwm}}$ is obtained as

$$u_{\text{pwm}} = u_{\text{invref}} + \Delta u_{\text{inv}}$$

(29)

where $u_{\text{invref}}$ is the feedforward term. The optimal feedback gain vector is obtained by solving the LQR problem, which is presented in the next section. In all, the proposed observer-based control strategy reduces the use of sensors to only one, and the restructured small-signal model transforms the state-space controller and the multi-resonant controller into a uniform state feedback controller.

B. LQR FOR FEEDBACK GAIN

The LQR is applied to find the optimal feedback gain by minimizing the quadratic cost function $J$ of the closed-loop system, which is given as

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} (\Delta X_s^T Q \Delta X_s + \Delta u_{\text{inv}}^T R \Delta u_{\text{inv}}) dt$$

(30)

in which $Q$ is the state-weighted matrix, $R$ is the control-weighted matrix. The feedback gain $K_u$ can be obtained by the algebraic Riccati equation (ARE) [39]:

$$A_u^T P + P A_u - P B_u R^{-1} B_u^T P + Q = 0$$

$$K_u = R^{-1} B_u^T P$$

(31)

Furthermore, the weighted matrices $Q$ and $R$ determine the weights of the states and the control input, respectively, which are set as

$$Q = \text{diag}(q_{11}, q_{22}, q_{33}, q_{r11}, q_{r22}, \cdots, q_{r11}, q_{r22})$$

$$R = 1$$

(32)
where \( q_{11}, q_{uc}, q_{ic} \) represent the weights of the inverter-side current, the capacitor voltage, and the capacitor current, respectively, and \( q_{11n}, q_{2n} \) are the weights of the two state variables of the resonant controller.

In order to demonstrate the selection of the weighted matrix \( Q \), an analysis is exemplified, where only the fundamental resonant controller is considered. Fig. 4 presents the root locus of the closed-loop system with the various weight coefficients for the matrix \( Q \). It is then observed in Fig. 4(a) that the dominant pole moves away from the imaginary axis with the increase of \( q_{11} \), indicating increased system bandwidth. Meanwhile, the system resonance damping is also increased slightly. Thus, \( q_{11} \) should be large enough to ensure fast dynamics. Fig. 4(b) further illustrates that the increase of \( q_{uc} \) makes the dominant pole close to the imaginary axis, which may reduce the system bandwidth and cause system instability. Therefore, \( q_{uc} \) should be small or zero. Moreover, Fig. 4(c) shows the system resonance damping capability along with the increase of \( q_{ic} \), where the system bandwidth is unaffected. In other words, \( q_{ic} \) should also be sufficiently large to damp the system resonance. Fig. 4(d) demonstrates that with the increase of \( q_{11n} \), the control resonant poles move away from the control resonant zeroes. In order to reduce the tracking-error and reject undesired harmonics, \( q_{11} \) should be set as a relatively large value. Lastly, Fig. 4(e) presents the impact of \( q_{12} \). When it is increased, the dominant poles move close to the imaginary axis, challenging the system stability. Thus, \( q_{12} \) should be a small value or zero.

According to the above analysis example, a step-by-step design procedure for the \( Q \) matrix is summarized as:

**Step1:** Sets \( q_{uc} \) and \( q_{12} \) to be zero to guarantee the stability of the system.

**Step2:** Increases \( q_{11} \) from an initial small positive value, while all the other coefficients remain as zero. Once \( q_{11} \) reaches a certain value indicating an adequate distance of the dominant poles from the imaginary axis, freezes it.

**Step3:** Increases \( q_{ic} \) from zero until the system damping ratio is large enough, and then freezes it.

**Step4:** Increases \( q_{11n} \) from zero to a certain value to minimize the current tracking error.

**Step5:** For the system with augmented multi-resonant controller variables, the weights of the \( n^{th} \) resonant state variables \( q_{11n} \) and \( q_{2n} \) are set similarly to \( q_{11} \) and \( q_{21} \), respectively. In other words, \( q_{11n} \) is set to zero and \( q_{2n} \) is set to a proper value to reject corresponding harmonics.

From the above analysis and the design procedure, it illustrates that only the weighted terms \( q_{11} \) (i.e., mainly related to the system bandwidth), \( q_{uc} \) (i.e., related to the active damping), and \( q_{11n} \) (i.e., related to the active damping and harmonic rejection) should be selected, and the weights \( q_{ic} \) and \( q_{2n} \) are set to zero. Additionally, the weight factors of the matrix \( Q \) are almost independent. The overall design process is thus intuitive and simple.

### C. DIGITAL IMPLEMENTATION

In order to implement the proposed method in digital signal processors, the control system should be discretized. Using the zero-order-hold (ZOH) method [19], the discrete-time model of the state observer in (11) can be given as

\[
\dot{X}_i[k + 1] = A_d \dot{X}_i[k] + B_d u_i[k] + L_d (u_{in}[k] - \tilde{u}_c r[k])
\]

where \( A_d = e^{A_0 T_s}, B_d = \int_0^{T_s} e^{A_0 t} \mathbf{B}_r dt, \) and \( L_d = \int_0^{T_s} e^{A_0 t} dt \mathbf{L} \). Based on (12), the estimated state vector \( \hat{X}_0[k] \) can be obtained as

\[
\hat{X}_0[k] = \hat{X}_r[k] - LL_1 i_1[k] = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}_c[k] \hat{i}_e[k] \hat{u}_{q1}[k] \hat{u}_{s1}[k] \\ \hat{u}_{q3}[k] \hat{u}_{s3}[k] \hat{u}_{q5}[k] \hat{u}_{s5}[k] \hat{u}_{q7}[k] \hat{u}_{s7}[k] \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

Furthermore, the resonant controller in (24) is discretized in the z-domain using the Tustin bilinear transform [40] as

\[
R_n(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_{1n} z + a_{2n} z^2}{b_0 + b_{1n} z + b_{2n} z^2}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
|b_0| &= n^2 \omega_0^2 T_s^2 - 4 \omega_0 T_s + 4 \\
|b_{1n}| &= 2 n^2 \omega_0^2 T_s^2 - 8 \\
|b_{2n}| &= n^2 \omega_0^2 T_s^2 + 4 \omega_0 T_s + 4 \\
|a_{0n}| &= 2 m_0 \omega_0 c_1 k_{11} T_s^2 - 4 \omega_0 c_2 T_s + 4 \\
|a_{1n}| &= 4 m_0 \omega_0 c_1 k_{11} T_s^2 \\
|a_{2n}| &= 2 m_0 \omega_0 c_1 k_{11} T_s^2 + 4 \omega_0 c_2 T_s
\end{align*}
\]

with \( T_s \) being the sampling period. To suppress the influence of the distorted power grid, the fundamental, \( 3^{rd}, 5^{th}, 7^{th} \) resonant controllers are applied. Thus, the augmented system model in (27) is an 11-order state space model and all the resonant controllers can be discretized according to (35). With the combined controller, the resonance damping and harmonic suppression can be obtained in the control shown in Fig. 3.

### V. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify the proposed current control scheme, simulations are first carried out in MATLAB/Simulink. Table I shows the main system parameters of the grid-connected inverter (see Fig. 1) used both in simulations and experiments. In order to adapt the variety of the grid frequency, \( \omega_1, \omega_3, \omega_5, \omega_7 \) are set to 5 rad/s. The matrices \( \mathbf{Q} \) and \( \mathbf{R} \) are chosen according to the discussion in Section IV.B, which are designed as

\[
\mathbf{Q} = \text{diag}(40, 0, 50, 20000, 0, 10000, 0, 10000, 0, 10000, 0) \\
\mathbf{R} = 1
\]

The feedback gain vector \( \mathbf{K}_u \) is obtained by calling the LQR design function in MATLAB. The resultant gain vector \( \mathbf{K}_u \) is given as

\[
\mathbf{K}_u = \begin{bmatrix} 7.3 & 0.1 & 5.4 & 23.7 & 132.6 & -1.9 \\ 93.2 & -13.8 & 91.2 & -21.1 & 90.3 \end{bmatrix}
\]
FIGURE 4. Root-loci of the closed-loop system with (a) $10 \leq q_{i1} \leq 500$, $q_{uc} = 0$, $q_{i1} = 100$, $q_{r11} = 500$, $q_{r21} = 0$, (b) $0 \leq q_{uc} \leq 40$, $q_{i1} = 10$, $q_{ic} = 150$, $q_{r11} = 500$, $q_{r21} = 0$, (c) $100 \leq q_{ic} \leq 600$, $q_{i1} = 10$, $q_{uc} = 0$, $q_{r11} = 500$, $q_{r21} = 0$, (d) $500 \leq q_{r11} \leq 1.5 \times 10^5$, $q_{i1} = 10$, $q_{uc} = 0$, $q_{ic} = 150$, $q_{r21} = 0$, and (e) $0 \leq q_{r21} \leq 4.5 \times 10^4$, $q_{i1} = 10$, $q_{uc} = 0$, $q_{r11} = 500$, $q_{r11} = 0$.

TABLE 1. Parameters of the single-phase system shown in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u_{dc}$</td>
<td>DC bus voltage</td>
<td>375 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{g}$</td>
<td>Grid voltage (RMS)</td>
<td>220 V/50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_1$</td>
<td>Inverter-side inductor</td>
<td>1.13 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>Parasitic resistance of inverter-side inductor</td>
<td>0.07 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>Capacitor</td>
<td>6.3 μF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_2$</td>
<td>Grid-side inductor</td>
<td>0.31 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_2$</td>
<td>Parasitic resistance of grid-side inductor</td>
<td>0.05 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_s$</td>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>20 kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the other hand, the natural frequency $\omega_p$ in (16) is selected as $\omega_p = 2\pi \times 800$ rad/s. The gain vector $L$ can be obtained using the acker function in MATLAB. With the optimal gain in (37), the Bode diagram of the transfer function $i_g(s)/i_{1ref}(s)$ can be shown in Fig. 5. It can be observed that the magnitude of the system in the Bode diagram remains 0 dB and the phase remains 0 degree at 50 Hz. That means the system with the above design can achieve zero steady-state tracking. Furthermore, with the grid inductance varying in a wide range (i.e., from 0 mH to 2 mH), the magnitude and the phase within the system bandwidth are almost the same. It indicates that the change of the grid impedance has negligible impact on the magnitude and the phase within the system bandwidth. Meanwhile, the resonant peak value of the system is below 0 dB, meaning that the system has enough stability margin.

FIGURE 5. Bode diagram of the closed-loop system.

A. SIMULATION RESULTS

The grid voltage estimation was compared. Fig. 6 shows the estimation accuracy of the grid voltage with the proposed reduced-order observer and with the ESO method [26]. In Fig. 6, the signals with the subscript ‘_est’ are the estimated by the proposed reduced-order observer. The signals with the subscript ‘_eso’ represent the estimated by the ESO method. The signals with the subscript ‘_err’ denote the errors between the estimated and the actual signals with the proposed reduced-order observer. The subscript ‘_esoerr’
FIGURE 6. Comparison of the estimated grid voltage: (a) with the proposed reduced-order observer and (b) with the extended state observer.

means the errors between the estimated signals and the actual signals with the ESO. It can be observed in Fig. 6(a) that the estimated grid voltage \( u_{g,\text{est}} \) by the proposed reduced-order observer almost coincides with the actual grid voltage. Additionally, the estimated quadrature signal \( u_{x,\text{est}} \) leads 90 degrees in respect to the actual grid voltage, and it has the same amplitude. Thus, the two in-quadrature signals can be adopted for the grid synchronization. In contrast, as it is shown in Fig. 6(b), the estimated grid voltage \( u_{g,\text{so}} \) by the ESO method has a large deviation compared to the actual grid voltage both in amplitude and phase. In all, the simulation results indicate that the proposed reduced-order observer has a better performance than the ESO in terms of estimation accuracy.

Fig. 7 then presents the steady-state performance of the LCL-filtered inverter system with proposed current controller (see Fig. 3), when the grid impedance varies from 0 mH to 2 mH. It can be seen in Fig. 7 that the grid-connected inverter can effectively achieve grid synchronization. Moreover, it remains stable when the grid inductance changes. The total harmonic distortion (THD) of the grid-side current shown in Figs. 7(a)-(c) are 0.51%, 0.49%, and 0.82%, correspondingly. This simulation case has demonstrated that the proposed current control method can ensure a good performance in term of zero steady-state tracking-errors, effective active damping, and high robustness against the grid impedance variations. Notably, it only employs one sensor to measure the inverter-side current, leading to a significant cost reduction.

In the next case, the dynamic performance of the proposed current controller is tested, and the simulation results are shown in Fig. 8. The start-up transient (as a worst-case dynamic test) performance of the inverter is shown in Fig. 8(a), where the peak current reference is 5 A. The result illustrates that although the proposed current control method is based on the estimated state variables, the grid-side current can still converge quickly to the steady-state within one cycle, as demonstrated in Fig. 8(a). In addition, the grid-side current is stable and it is effectively synchronized with the grid voltage after one and a half cycles. This means that both the observer and PLL can operate stably during the transient period with fast dynamics. When the system comes into steady-state with the current amplitude of 10 A, a step change to 20 A has been applied to the current reference, as shown in Fig. 8(b). It can be observed that the overshoot of the grid-side current is about 30%, and the system is stable within 3 ms (less than a quarter period). When the grid current reference is changed back to 10 A, the system can also quickly response to the change, as presented in Fig. 8(b).

Finally, the inverter system with the proposed control is tested under grid voltage disturbances. As shown in Fig. 8(c), in this case, the peak value of the grid voltage steps from 268 V (low voltage condition) to 325 V (nominal condition). The simulation results validate that the grid-side current track the change quickly with an undershoot of 20%. In all, the above simulations have confirmed the effectiveness of the proposed
FIGURE 8. Simulation results (transient performance) of the LCL-filtered grid-connected inverter with the proposed control method: (a) start-up with the reference current amplitude being 5 A, (b) reference current amplitude changes between 10 A and 20 A, and (c) the amplitude of the grid voltage steps from 268 V to 325 V.

FIGURE 9. Simulation results of the LCL-filtered grid-connected inverter with the proposed method, where the grid voltage has low-order harmonics.

method in terms of fast dynamics and high robustness (against disturbances).

Furthermore, the performance of the proposed control strategy in the case of distorted grid voltage is evaluated. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 9, where the grid voltage has background distortions (low-order harmonics). As it can be observed in Fig. 9, the grid-side current THD for the inverter system is 1.82% despite the THD of the grid voltage being 3.4%. It thus demonstrates that the proposed method has good performance in terms of current quality.

In respect to active damping, the proposed current control method can also damp the resonance. Simulation results are shown in Fig. 10. It can be evidenced that when \( q_{ic} \) steps from 0 to 50 (i.e., a suitable value for active damping) at the valley of the grid voltage, the grid-side current can converge from resonance to the steady-state rapidly. The result indicates that the proposed method can realize active damping.

In all, the simulation results in Figs. 7-10 have verified that the LCL-filtered inverter system achieves better performance in terms of steady-state, dynamic and active damping with the proposed current control strategy. However, it is worth mentioning that the proposed control only requires one measurement (i.e., the inverter-side current).

B. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to further validate the proposed current control method, a 3-kW single-phase inverter prototype has been built up, as shown in Fig. 11. The experimental setup includes a Tektronix DPO3014 Oscilloscope and a HIOKI 3390 Power Analyzer. The proposed algorithms are implemented in a floating-point digital signal processor TMS320F28069. The parameters of the experimental setup are the same as those in the simulations, which have been listed in Table 1.

First, the performance of the reduced-order observer is compared with that of the ESO. The benchmarking results are shown in Fig. 12. In the test, the estimated grid voltages, which are generated by DSP, are output through the digital-to-analog conversion (DAC) chip and compared with the measured voltage. And the data are transferred from DSP to DAC by Inter-Integrated Circuit communication protocol. It can be
observed in Fig. 12(a) that the estimated voltage with the proposed observer is highly in consistency with the actual measured grid voltage. In contrast, the amplitude and phase of the estimated voltage by the ESO have large errors in respect to the actual grid voltage, as shown in Fig. 12(b). It thus suggests that the proposed reduced-order observer can achieve higher estimation accuracy than the ESO.

Furthermore, the proposed current controller is verified under various grid impedances. Fig. 13 presents the steady-state experimental results. The corresponding THD levels of the grid-side current in this case are 1.78%, 1.72% and 2.12%, respectively. The results show that the grid-side current can be controlled stably when the grid impedance is varying. It means that the inverter can inject high-quality power reliably over a wide range of the grid impedance. Noting that the THD of the experimental results are slightly higher than the simulation results. This is induced by the sampling noise and the dead-time effects in the practical system.

The dynamic performance of the inverter system with the proposed strategy is also tested experimentally. The results are presented in Fig. 14. Here, the startup test taken as a worst case of the step changes is performed first, as shown in Fig. 14(a). It can be observed that the grid-side current starts with an initial oscillation because the information of the grid voltage is unknown. However, the system comes quickly to the steady-state within one fundamental period. The overshoot of the grid-side current during the oscillation interval is about 12 A. This phenomenon is acceptable because the maximum oscillation current is within the range of the startup current protection. Next, the reference current amplitude is changed from 10 A and 20 A, and then back to 10 A. The corresponding experimental results are given in Fig. 14(b), which shows that the overshoot of the grid-side current is about 38%, and the system goes to the steady state in around 3 ms. It further confirms the performance of the proposed controller in terms of fast dynamics. Lastly, a grid voltage disturbance is applied. Fig. 14(c) presents the experimental results, where the peak of the grid voltage is changed from 268 V to 325 V. It can be observed that the inverter operates with almost unchanged currents. The transient time is around 2 ms, where the current has an undershoot of around 4 V. In all, the experimental results are in close agreement with the simulation results in Fig. 8, meaning that the proposed control strategy can ensure fast dynamic and good stability.

Fig. 15 further exhibits the superior performances of the LCL-filtered inverter system with the proposed control strategies under a distorted grid voltage. The corresponding current THD level is 1.9%. From the bar graphs of the HIOKI 3390 Power Analyzer, it can be observed that the low-order harmonics (i.e., the 3rd, 5th, and 7th harmonics) of the grid current with the proposed control strategy are low despite the highly distorted grid voltage, which verified the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy in terms of harmonic rejection. Thus, the proposed method can achieve high-quality current injection in grid-connected applications.
FIGURE 14. Experimental results (dynamic tests) of the LCL-filtered grid-connected inverter system with the proposed control strategy: (a) start-up with the reference current amplitude of 5 A, (b) the reference current amplitude changes between 10 A and 20 A, and (c) the amplitude of the grid voltage was changed from 268 V to 325 V.

FIGURE 15. Experimental results of the LCL-filtered grid-connected inverter system with the proposed method, where the grid voltage has low-order harmonics.

FIGURE 16. Active damping performance (experiments) of the proposed control strategy.

At the beginning, \( q_{ic} \) is intentionally set as zero to excite the resonance. Then, \( q_{ic} \) steps to the normal value. It can be seen in Fig. 16 that the resonance can be effectively damped after half a period. This experimental test thus demonstrates that the proposed method can achieve good performance in terms of active damping for the LCL-filtered inverter system. In a word, the above simulations and experiments have verified the effectiveness of the proposed method in terms of superior steady-state performance, effective active damping, strong disturbance rejection, and high robustness, although only one variable (i.e., the inverter-side current) is measured. Thus, the proposed strategy provides a cost-effective control solution to single-phase inverter systems with LCL filters.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a multi-resonant state-space current control strategy based on a novel reduced-order observer for the LCL-type grid-connected inverter was proposed. The proposed method only employs one sensor to measure the inverter-side current, while achieving the active damping and harmonic rejection with fast dynamics and good accuracy. It is due to that the state variables and the grid voltage information were estimated accurately by the novel reduced-order observer. In addition, the estimated state variables enable the performance of the multi-resonant state-space controller, designed using the LQR method. Extensive simulations and experimental tests have validated that the proposed strategy with only one sensor can simultaneously achieve active damping and harmonic rejection in a convenient and effective way.
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