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Determination of the deformation properties of Søvind Marl

Gitte Lyng Grønbech\textsuperscript{i}  Benjamin Nordahl Nielsen \textsuperscript{ii}  Lars Bo Ibsen \textsuperscript{iii}

June 9\textsuperscript{th} 2010

Abstract

A serie of tests were made to determine the preconsolidation stresses, $\sigma'_\text{pc}$, and the consolidation modulus, $K$, of Søvind Marl, a fissured plastic tertiary clay. The fissures causes a decrease in the stiffness of the Søvind Marl, which can be mistaken for the decrease that happens when the effective stresses in the soil, $\sigma'$, passes $\sigma'_\text{pc}$. The effects of the fissures are assessed, and an estimate of the stress level at which they will compress are made. During the consolidation tests, the effective stress level is raised to more then 24,000 kPa to get a comprehensive description of the preconsolidation of the soil.

It is important to know how a strongly preconsolidated soil will deform when reloaded. The deformation parameters of the strongly preconlidated Søvind Marl is determined by unloading/reloading testing. It is found that the stiffness of the Søvind Marl depends of the plasticity index, and one conclusive expression of the consolidation modulus of the Søvind Marl can therefor not be given.

Keywords: Fissured clay, Søvind Marl, Preconsolidation Stress, Consolidation modulus, Plasticity index

1 Introduction

In Århus, Denmark urban development of new areas is planed, among other places is the old harbor. The harbor is placed directly on a plastic tertiary clay, Søvind Marl. The geotechnical properties of Søvind Marl is fairly unknown. It is therefor interesting to test the stiffness of the Søvind Marl, to gain knowledge that can be used to estimate the deformations large buildings in the area will have to sustain during their lifetime.

In many cases the parameters are estimated on the classification of the soil. For clays it is however most often necessary to test the soil in order to get a sufficient description of the deformation parameters. This is done by a consolidation test. Some of the most relevant parameters found by using the consolidation test is the consolidation modulus, $K$, the compression index, $Q$, the preconsolidation stresses, $\sigma'_\text{pc}$ and the in situ consolidation modulus for a strongly preconsolidated clay, $K_t$.

A description of Søvind Marl can be found in Comparison of Plasticity Index of Søvind Marl found by use of Casagrande Cup, Fall Cone apparatus and Loss on Ignition [Grønbech et
al., 2010].

6 consolidation tests have been made to determine the deformation properties of the Søvind Marl. 2 tests with only increasing load to determine $\sigma'_{pc}$, and 4 consolidation tests where the Søvind Marl was unloaded and reloaded to determine the stiffness parameters during a reloading process.

Through handling and observering the Søvind Marl it was found to be very fissured, as seen in figure 1. It is wanted to see how this effects the stiffness of the Søvind Marl and the determination of $\sigma'_{pc}$.

When a clay is loaded, the increase in pressure will be carried by the pore water, as an excess pressure in the pores, since the low permeability of the clay will stop the pore water from dissipating. This causes an increase in the total stresses, whereas the effective stresses are unchanged. With time the pore water dissipates, and the pressure is gradually carried by the clay structure, causing an increase in the effective stresses. A simplified system can be seen in figure 2.

![Figure 1: Fissured Søvind Marl after a consolidation test.](image)

2 Theory

Soils are classified by the overconsolidation ratio, OCR, equation 1, which is the ration between the preconsolidation stress, $\sigma'_{pc}$, and the present stress level, $\sigma'_0$. A soils deformability depends greatly on the consolidation history. It is therefor important to be able to determine the preconsolidation stress.

$$OCR = \frac{\sigma'_{pc}}{\sigma'_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The valve acts as the permeability and stops the water from dissipating, causing the force to be held by the water pressure (a). When the valves opens, the water dissipates, and the spring, acting as the clay structure, takes the force and compresses (b). When the water has dissipated and the pressure is fully taken by the clay, the system is in equilibrium and the clay is fully consolidated.

The deformation of the clay does not stop, when the clay is fully consolidated, a small deformation continues with time. This deformation is called creep and involves factors as rearranging of particles, absorbed water molecules and cation into different positions.
In the traditional consolidation theory consolidation and creep is considered two separate processes, where the creep does not start until the consolidation is over. The strain caused by consolidation can be described as a straight line when illustrated in a $\sqrt{t} - \varepsilon_1$ graph, while the strain from creep can be described as a straight line in a $\log(t) - \varepsilon_1$ graph. A combination of these two graphs can thereby be used to determine the consolidation time, $t_c$, the strain caused by consolidation, $\varepsilon_{100}$, and the strain caused by creep, $\varepsilon_{cr}$. An example of an $\sqrt{T} - \log(T)$ depiction from Søvind Marl can be seen in figure 3 where $T$ is a dimensionless time.

In reality the consolidation and the creep processes run simultaneously. Bjerrum suggested in 1967, that the two processes is independent of each other. He suggested that by considering the consolidation strains to happen momentarily, the creep strains, $\varepsilon_{cr}$, could be found. Afterwards the consolidation strains, $\varepsilon_{100}$, could be founds as a delay in the settlements [Jacobsen, 1993]. The separation of the strains from respectively consolidation and creep can be seen in figure 4.

This theory is used by the ANACONDA-method, which is used to analyse the results in this paper. [ANACONDA, 1992]

It is important to be able to estimate the strains, that will occur when the effective stresses in a soil increases. The consolidation modulus, $K$, is a stiffness parameter that describes the increase in strains when the effective stresses increases. The consolidation modulus is described as:

$$K = \frac{\Delta \sigma'}{\Delta \varepsilon}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\Delta \varepsilon$ is the changes in the consolidation strains due to a change in the effective stresses, $\Delta \sigma'$. It is therefore important to be able to sort the consolidation strains from the creep strains. This is done either by the traditional method, figure 3, or by the ANACONDA-method, figure 4.

### 2.1 Preconsolidation stress

Janbu (1970) showed that by observing the relation between $K$ and $\sigma'$, figure 5, it can
be seen that a significant change occurs to $K$ when $\sigma'$ passes $\sigma'_{pc}$. This is due to the fact that the stiffness of the soil decreases as the preconsolidation state is passed, because the structure of the soil changes.

![Figure 5: The relation between the consolidation modulus, $K$, and the effective stresses, $\sigma'$.](image)

$\sigma'_{pc}$ can hereby be determined as the effective stresses, where a drop occurs in $K$.

### 2.2 Unload and reloading

For a strongly preconsolidated soil, the stresses have been much greater than the in situ stresses, it has therefore been unloaded. When a construction is build the stresses in the underlaying soil will rise. This is a reloading of the soil. To determine how the soil will deform under reloading, the soil is unloaded and reloaded during the consolidation test.

The consolidation modulus for a strongly preconsolidated soil which has been unloaded to $\sigma'_{red}$ is given by [Danish Geotechnical Society, 2001]:

$$K_t = K_{t0} + \Delta K_t \cdot \sigma'_{red} \quad [\text{kPA}] \quad (3)$$

where $K_t$ is the in situ consolidation modulus for a strongly preconsolidated soil unloaded to $\sigma'_{red}$, which is the smallest vertical stresses since the preconsolidation of the soil. $\Delta K_t$ is an addition to $K_t$ per stress unit.

When unloading the sample during testing, the stresses are reduced. Using this stress level as $\sigma'_{red}$, the initial slope of the reloading branch in the point of $\sigma'_{red}$ is found, figure 6. $K_t$ can now be found as the invers to the slope. $\sigma'_{red}$ is known as the lowest stress level in the reloading serie. This gives 2 unknown in equation 3, $K_{t0}$ and $\Delta K_t$. With 2 reloading branches, linear algebra can now be used to find $K_{t0}$ and $\Delta K_t$.

![Figure 6: The initial slope for the reloading branch in $\sigma'_{red}$.](image)

### 3 Procedure

The consolidation tests are made in a Danish Consolidation Apparatus. The apparatus consists of a cell with the soil sample, figure 7, and a rig with a lever arm pressing with a load ratio of 1:10. The cell consists of stiff ring, where the sample is placed. A porous filter in the cap and the base makes sure the sample is able drain. The ring floats and is only held by the friction between the ring and the sample. In the tests two different rings with a diameter of respectively 70 mm and 35 mm were used, the sample height in both cases were 35 mm. The porous filters had a diameter corresponding to the diameter of the sample. The displacements are measured by two transducers with a measuring range of $\pm 10$ mm. The load is applied by placing weights on the lever arm, which transmit the pressure through the ball.

The sample is placed in the ring and adjusted...
Figure 7: Danish Consolidation Apparatus. 1: Stand for transducer, 2: Ring of plexiglass, 3: Saturation pipe, 4: Pipe for saturating drain, 5: Ball, 6: Porous filter and 7: Sample. Dimensions are not accurate. After Thøgersen, 2001.

After placing the cell in the apparatus and placing the transducers, initial weight is placed on the lever arm. After 1 minute water is filled around the cell, to ensure the sample is saturated during the entire test.

The Chloride concentration and pH of the pore water is tested prior to the tests, and a Saline solution with a Chloride concentration and a pH similar to the pore water is used. This is done, so the difference in the ion concentration between the pore water and the water surrounding the sample does not cause an osmotic pressure. An osmotic pressure would interfere with the pressure caused by the applied load and give inaccurate displacements. After the right height, and both ends are leveled. After placing the cell in the apparatus and placing the transducers, initial weight is placed on the lever arm. After 1 minute water is filled around the cell, to ensure the sample is saturated during the entire test.

The Chloride concentration and pH of the pore water is tested prior to the tests, and a Saline solution with a Chloride concentration and a pH similar to the pore water is used. This is done, so the difference in the ion concentration between the pore water and the water surrounding the sample does not cause an osmotic pressure. An osmotic pressure would interfere with the pressure caused by the applied load and give inaccurate displacements.

The load is applied in increments, when the consolidation phase of the previous load step is over. It is crucial that the consolidation phase is done before new load is applied, otherwise it will affect the outcome of the test. A computer logs the displacements and the time that has passed since the beginning of the step, enabling a √t–log t depiction, figure 3, to be made, ensuring that the consolidation phase is done.

3.1 Sample diameter

The stress applied on the sample depends on the area of the sample and the applied load. A decreased area results in an increased stress under constant load. The consolidation apparatus used had a maximum load capacity of 250 kg. With a 1:10 load ratio and a diameter of 70 mm, this corresponds to an applied maximum stress of around 6,500 kPa. By decreasing the diameter to 35 mm the maximum stress quadruples to 26,000 kPa. Using a smaller sample diameter allows testing at much higher stress level, and thereby an more thorough description of the preconsolidation history.

4 Tests

6 consolidation tests are made from varying depths. 4 tests with a sample diameter of 70 mm and a sample height of 35 mm, these tests were done as unload/reloading tests. To document the preconsolidation stresses, 2 consolidation tests with a sample diameter of 35 mm and a sample height of 35 mm were made. The sample number, informations on the samples and the used Saline solution can be seen in table 1.

The load series used can be seen in table 2. The underlined steps are unloading steps. For sample 820 and 840, there is an extra step between step 7 and 8 with a stress level of 486.2 kPa, this is to minimize the difference in load applied from σ′_insitu to the stresses at step 8.

The compression curve for the tests can be seen in figure 8.
The compression curve becomes a straight line when $\sigma'_pc < \sigma'$. The compression curve for sample 840, 895 and 905 do not appear to end in a straight line. This suggests that $\sigma'_pc$ might not be exceed, and can therefore not be determined by these tests. The compression curve for sample 820 follows the other curves until $\sigma'$ passes $\sigma'_{insitu}$. Hereafter the slope of the curve becomes very steep. This suggests that something went wrong during the test, which affects the results. It is therefore chosen to disregard these results in the further analysis of the Søvind Marl.

The compression curve for sample 840a and 840b appears to become a straight line around step 8, at an effective stress level of 6129 kPa, suggesting that $\sigma'_pc$ is exceed around this point. Step 11 is not located on the straight line. This is because the total deformation of the sample is too great for the conventional linear method of finding the strains, which is found as the deformation in regards to the initial height of the sample, 35 mm.

The samples 840, 840a and 840b all come from the same depth, but 840a and 840b appears to have a higher stiffness than 840. The friction between the ring and the sample have a greater impact on the deformation when the height is equal the diameter of the sample. This results in higher deformations, when the height is only

### Table 1: Number and information on the samples and the used Saline solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>$\sigma'_{insitu}$</th>
<th>$w_{before}$</th>
<th>$w_{after}$</th>
<th>$\gamma'$</th>
<th>$I_P$</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>895</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>175.3</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>905</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>263.2</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840a</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840b</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Load steps uses for consolidation tests. Underlined steps are unloading steps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter 70 mm</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$\sigma'$ [kPa]</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$\sigma'$ [kPa]</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$\sigma'$ [kPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2527.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3037.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>107.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3548.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>209.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>231.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4058.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>413.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>486.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4568.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>821.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>741.4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5079.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1535.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\sigma'_{insitu}$</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5589.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3067.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>996.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2527.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6129.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1506.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3037.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12253.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2017.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2527.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18377.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2527.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2017.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24501.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter 35 mm</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$\sigma'$ [kPa]</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$\sigma'$ [kPa]</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$\sigma'$ [kPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2527.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3037.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>107.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>209.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3548.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>209.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>413.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4058.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>413.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>821.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4568.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>821.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1535.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5079.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1535.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3067.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5589.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3067.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6129.0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2527.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6129.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12253.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3037.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12253.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18377.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2527.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18377.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>24501.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2017.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24501.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
half the diameter. The effect of the friction is minimized by using a floating ring as described in section 3.

### 4.1 Preconsolidation stress

Using the consolidation modulus curve, the preconsolidation stress is defined as $\sigma'$ correlating to the point where a drop in $K$ has occurred, and $\sigma'$ starts to follow a straight line with an incline corresponding to the compression index, $Q$, figure 5.

The consolidation modulus for the 2 test with a diameter of 35 mm can be seen in figure 9. $\sigma_m'$ is the mean of the previous and the following stress level.

When observing the consolidation modulus curves in figure 9, it can be seen that the consolidation modulus, $K$, dependent on $\sigma_m'$ decreases around $\sigma_m' = 617$ kPa for both samples. Here after $K$ starts to increase as described by Janbu (1970). $K$ increases till $\sigma_m'$ reaches 4600 kPa, where another drop occurs in $K$. For $\sigma_m'$ equals 9191 kPa $K$ again increases following a straight line with a slope expresses by the compression index, $Q$. As it was the case for the compression curve, step 11, $\sigma_m'$ equals 21400 kPa, stray from what was expected. This is also because of the limitations of the theory.

The double decrease in $K$ happens because the Søvind Marl is fissured. During the loading process the fissures closes, which causes deformations of the sample. These deformations causes a reduction of the stiffness of the Søvind Marl, making the consolidation modulus drop. The deformations caused by the fissures are not caused by a consolidation phase, but the theory interprets the deformations as a restructuring of the clay particles, as if the preconsolidation stresses were exceeded.

The preconsolidation stresses is therefor the effective stresses at the second drop, where $K$
Figure 9: Consolidation modulus curve for the 2 test series with a diameter of 35 mm. The dotted lines represents $\sigma_f'$ and $\sigma_{pc}'$.

starts to follow the straight line with the incline corrosponding to $Q$. The preconsolidation stresses, $\sigma_{pc}'$, the effective stresses where the fissures closes, $\sigma_f'$, and the compression index, $Q$, can be seen in table 3.

Table 3: The preconsolidation stresses and the stresses at which the fissures closes, found using the consolidation modulus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$\sigma_f'$ [kPa]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{pc}'$ [kPa]</th>
<th>$Q$ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>840a</td>
<td>617.3</td>
<td>9191.0</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840b</td>
<td>617.4</td>
<td>9191.0</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Reloading

The reloading branches of the compression curves from test 840, 895 and 905 can be seen in figure 10.

$K_t$ as a function of $\sigma_{red}'$ can be seen in figure 11. $K_t$ is the inverse of the slope between the first two steps of the reloading branch. It is seen, that this gives a faulty description of $K_t$ since the incline of 840 and 905 is negative, which is physically impossible, as the stiffness of the Søvind Marl should then decrease with an increasing $\sigma_{red}'$.  

Figure 10: Reloading branches, the solid lines are the 1st reloading branch, and the dashed lines are the 2nd.
The load difference between the steps are 500 kPa, and the difference in deformation is relatively small. This gives an uncertainty about the found $K_t$. This uncertainty is minimized by using the slope of the first three points of the reloading branch to determine $K_t$, which appears to give a better description of the stiffness of the Søvind Marl, figure 12. The description of 840 is forced to intersect $\sigma_{red} = 0$ at the same spot as 895 and 905, as it is believed that this gives the best description of $K_t$.

$K_t$ and $\sigma'_{red}$ used in figure 12 can be seen in table 4, along with $\Delta K_t$ and $K_{t0}$, equation 3, found for 840, 895 and 905.

It is known that the stiffness of a clay is inversely proportional to the plasticity of the clay. The relation between $\Delta K_t$ and $I_P$ can be seen in figure 13. $I_P$ can be seen in table 1.

A relation between $\Delta K_t$ and $I_P$ is seen. The relation for Søvind Marl can be described as:

$$\Delta K_t = 377.0 - 1.03 \cdot I_P$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$\Delta K_t$ is decreasing with an increasing plasticity index. One solution to equation 3 can therefore not be given, as the stiffness of the Søvind Marl depends on $I_P$, which has large variations as shown by Grønbech et al. 2010.

5 Discussion

When interpreting a consolidation test, it is important to separate the consolidation strains, $\varepsilon_{100}$, and the creep strains, $\varepsilon_{cr}$, since only $\varepsilon_{100}$ is used in the analysis of the results.
Table 4: $K_t$ and $\sigma_{\text{red}}'$ used to find $\Delta K_t$ and $K_{10}$ from equation 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reloading branch</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{red}}'$ [kPa]</th>
<th>$K_t$ [Mpa]</th>
<th>$\Delta K_t$ [kPa]</th>
<th>$K_{10}$ [Mpa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st}</td>
<td>996.5</td>
<td>289.9</td>
<td>254.0</td>
<td>57.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd}</td>
<td>2017.2</td>
<td>580.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>895</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st}</td>
<td>996.5</td>
<td>237.6</td>
<td>177.3</td>
<td>60.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd}</td>
<td>2017.2</td>
<td>418.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st}</td>
<td>996.5</td>
<td>179.5</td>
<td>112.6</td>
<td>67.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd}</td>
<td>2017.2</td>
<td>294.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is therefore important to ensure that the consolidation phase of each load step is over, prior to starting a new step. If the consolidation phase is not over, $\varepsilon_{100}$ is not fully developed, causing a wrongfully separation of $\varepsilon_{100}$ and $\varepsilon_{cr}$. This will give a wrong analysis of the deformation properties. Through experience from the tests on the Søvind Marl, it was found that each step should last for a period of the least 2 days before the consolidation strains could be determined. Steps lasting for a smaller period will not be fully consolidated, which can result in an inaccurate analysis of the stiffness of the Søvind Marl.

The steps in the load series of a consolidation test should be chosen carefully, and with the result in mind. The bigger the steps, the more inaccurate the results can be determined due to the large difference in stresses, and if the steps are too small and left for too long, the creep strains will pass the consolidation stresses for the following load step.

As shown by the tests a decrease in the stiffness of the clay can occur when the clay is fissured. If the consolidation test is stopped before the preconsolidation stresses are exceeded, the decrease in stiffness caused by the fissures can wrongfully be used to determine $\sigma_{pc}'$. It is however, also important to know at which effective stress level, $\sigma_f'$, a decrease in the stiffness caused by fissures can occur, since this affects the strength of the clay in a way similar to the decrease in stiffness that occur when $\sigma_{pc}'$ is exceed.

$\Delta K_t$, and thereby $K_t$, for the strongly preconsolidated Søvind Marl is found to be dependent on the plasticity index. The stiffness of the Søvind Marl is decreasing with an increasing $I_P$. This should be considered when using $K_t$. One conclusive expression for $K_t$, equation 3, through the depth of the Søvind Marl can therefore not be used. A description of $I_P$ through the depth of the Søvind Marl is required in order to estimate $\Delta K_t$, and thereby $K_t$.
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