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Abstract

The introduction of prepaid cards and the fallen prices of mobile handsets have lead to a rapid spread of mobile phones in the emerging economies. This has opened up diverse opportunities for them to be used over and above voice communication. One of such uses which have emerged lately is the use of mobile phones in financial services. This paper explored the key factors that affect the Ghanaian consumer’s acceptance and use of mobile money transfer by extending using key determinants from TAM and DoI theory. We analysed the data using a Structural Equation Model (SEM) to evaluate the strength of the relationship between the constructs. The results were in support of the key TAM and DoI constructs.
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1. Introduction

With an increasingly widespread use of mobile phones by consumers in the emerging markets, mobile money transfer is not just a fad but a great phenomenon. The introduction of prepaid cards and the fallen prices of mobile handsets have lead to a rapid spread of mobile phones in the emerging economies (Orozco et al. 2007). This has opened up diverse opportunities for it to be used over and above voice communication. At the centre of this experience which comes from the convergence of advanced mobile communication technologies and the ability to use it for data services is mobile money transfer. There are currently over 2 billion mobile phone users and thus exceeding the number of banked people in the Emerging Economies (Hughes and Lonie, 2007).

The mobile money transfer (MMT) service is an aspect of a broader concept emerging in the electronic payment and banking industry referred to as Mobile Money. Even though mobile money has not been well defined in literature it can be said to include all the various initiatives (long-distance remittances, micro-payments, and informal air-time betting schemes) aimed at bringing financial services to the unbanked using mobile technology. However, Jenkins simply defined Mobile Money as money that can be accessed and used via mobile phone (Jenkins, 2008). Mobile Network Operators (MNO) in most emerging economies are at different stages of implementing similar innovations. Notably among the emerging economies are Philippines, South Africa, Kenya, Tanzania and most recently Nigeria, Ghana and Uganda. Whilst Safaricom’s M-pesa has been hugely successful in Kenya, the adoption of similar implementations in Philippines, South Africa and Ghana have not enjoyed similar success. Thus, this paper seeks to explore the key factors that affect consumer behavior towards the adoption and use of MMT in the emerging economies, specifically Ghana.

Studies on MMT falls between two main mobile technologies related research areas namely mobile payment and mobile banking. Whereas literature on the adoption of mobile banking (Cheng et al. 2006; Chen, 2008) and mobile payment (Fang et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2006) and the more broader scope of m-commerce (Dai and Palvia, 2008; et al. 2006) although not quite exhaustive have enjoyed significant attention of many scholars in recent times, research on mobile money is at its formative stages with a few DFID reports dominating (Jenkins, 2008; Porteous, 2006; Hughes, 2007) recent research. However, scholarly research on the new phenomenon of bringing financial services to the unbanked (Mobile Money) is generally said to be scarce (Maurer, 2008). There is therefore a need, to understand users’ acceptance of mobile Money and to identify the factors affecting their intentions to use mobile Money. This information can assist MNOs and service providers of mobile Money systems in creating services that consumers want to use, or help them discover why potential users avoid using the existing system. Hence the main objective of this paper is to develop a model that tries to predict the factors that affect consumer behavior towards the adoption of Mobile Money transfer in Ghana. What are the key determinants of user acceptance of mobile money transfer?

To answer this question a theoretical model is developed by combining aspects of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Perceived Usefulness (PU), Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)) and IDT (Relative Advantage (RA), Trialability) with additional constructs, Perceived Trust (PT) and Perceived Risk (PR), and empirically tested its ability in predicting user behavioral intention of Mobile Money. We analyzed the data using Structured Equation Model (SEM) to evaluate the strength of the relationship between the constructs. The results provide support of an extended TAM model with PU, PEOU, PR, PT, and Trialability as key determinants in predicting customers’ intention of adoption and use of mobile money. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the Background of the Mobile Money Environment. Section 3 presents the theoretical framework; section 4 describes the research model and hypothesis; section 6 Discussion and Conclusion with suggestions on further studies.

1
2. Why Mobile Money is a Special Case?

Mobile phones use flourished in recent years and they are professed to be devices that serve the individual that owns it, they are also recognized as a social artifact (Katz, 1999). Apart from the social uses outlined, earlier studies by Leung and Wei (2000) indicate that utilitarian uses of the mobile phone are more frequent and instrumental motives are much stronger than social uses. Various types of business deals including cross country transactions are being conducted on mobile phones daily. The two fundamental attributes of the mobile phone which has lead to its flourished usage are mobility and immediate access (Leung & Wei, 2000). However, De Gournay (2002) puts forward that mobility is unquestionably the most distinguished characteristic of the mobile phone. It is this characteristic which has extended its usage from a traditional voice communication to other value added services like games, internet, banking, payments and informational services.

The meaning of money is central to all forms of transactions. The expectations of electronic money revolutionizing the way we pay for goods and services were very high in the mid 1990s (Dodd, 1994). It was believed that electronic money will displace paper money and face-to-face transaction. This has not materialized yet. Will mobile money replace the need for cash? To answer this question we will need to understand the extent to which users are prepared to accept the electronic money as a means of exchange (Mas & Kumar, 2008). The two key roles of money are: as a store of value and a means of exchange1. Most of the emerging markets operate a cash economy with over 70% unbanked (Jenkins, 2008). Mobile phones ability to store value and be used as a means of exchange will depend on users’ adoption of the technology. Safaricom’s M-PESA in Kenya has seven million or 38 percent of its cellular customers using a Mobile Money transfer and over ten thousand agents in three years of operation (Canner and Sjoblom, 2009). Finaccess (2009) showed that M-PESA has become the most popular method of money transfer in Kenya with 40% of all adults using the service. It also reported that M-PESA has lead to an increase in the total remittance in Kenya from 17% in 2006 to 54% in 2009 (Canner and Sjoblom, 2009:2).

2.1. Money Transfer in Ghana

Ghana like most emerging economies has a great number of households that depend on domestic remittance. An increase in urbanization in city centres and constant migration in Ghana means that the need for money transfer services have been quite significant. And informal methods of remitting funds within Ghana to families and relatives are quite established with diverse difficulties and challenges. One of the key factors in the choice of remittance services everywhere is accessibility. Until recently, the main methods of remittance in Ghana have been through the “Bus Driver”. People visits the bus station of the village or town that their families are based and with a little incentive plead with the bus driver to send their remittances for them. If accepted by the bus driver, the remittance gets to the family within hours. Other informal methods were using visiting family and friends or travelling long distances to remit the funds whenever necessary. Thefts, armed robbery and accidents are a few of the challenges with these methods of remittance.

3. Theoretical Background

In Information Systems literature, Roger’s (1991) innovation diffusion theory (IDT), Davies’ (1989) technology acceptance model (TAM), the extended technology acceptance model (Davis 1989), the theory of planned behaviour (Azjen 1977) and the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) (Venkatesh et al, 2003) have been used for the last two decades to explain possible consumer behaviour on adoption and acceptance patterns of new technologies and innovations. Several researchers have sought to develop constructs that affect consumers’ behaviour when deciding on the adoption of mobile services by applying these existing information system theories and models (Wu and Wang, 2005; Hung et al, 2004; Bouwman et al, 2007;). A study of over forty literatures on mobile services shows that application of the above information system theories and models have extended to valued added mobile services (Barnes and Huff, 2003; Biljon et al, 2008; Carlsson et al. 2006; Chen, 2008; Muk, 2007; Teo and Pok, 2003). The most applied, tested and refined model is the TAM followed by UTAUT, IDT and then TPB. In more recent contributions, researchers have used a number of contracts from all four areas and new constructs from other sources. For example, Barnes and Huff (2003) extended IDT by including trust and image as new constructs. Also, Tan and Teo (2000) included perceived risk; subjective norm and self-efficacy. Pedersen et al (2001), posit that the TAM should be extended to include subjective norm and behavioural control constructs.

3.1. Technology Acceptance Model

Over the years TAM has been tested and applied in the prediction of future consumer behaviour (Adams et al., 1992; Chau and Hu, 2002; Davis and Venkatesh, (1996); Kwon and Chidambaram, (2000); Legris et al., 2003), among other places in the mobile services domain (Cheong and Park, 2005; Kwon and Chidambaram, 2000; Nysveen et al., 2005a). The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is established on

---

the premises that the contracts, perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use are fundamental
determinants of system adoption and use (Davis, 1989). These two beliefs create a favorable disposition
or intention toward using the IT that consequently affects its use. Perceived Usefulness (PU) is said to be
the degree to which person thinks that using a particular system will enhance his or her performance.
Whereas Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) is “the degree to which a person believes that using a particular
system will be free of effort” (Davis, 1989). TAM has received praises from earlier researchers on its
contribution towards our understanding into consumer behaviour. Lu et al (2003, p.207) states that:
“Throughout the years, TAM has received extensive empirical support through validations, applications
and replications for its power to predict use of information systems”. Also, Legris et al (2003, p.202)
conclude that “TAM has proven to be a useful theoretical model in helping to understand and explain user
behaviour in information system implementation”.

3.2. Innovation Diffusion Theory
Another theory which has received similar attention by scholars in explaining consumer behaviour
towards new technology is the Rogers’ Innovation Diffusion Theory (Rogers, 1995). Innovation is
declared as

“an idea, practice or object that is perceived as new by an individual or another unit of
adoption”, while diffusion is “the process by which an innovation is communicated
through certain channels over time among the members of a social system” (Rogers, 1995,
p.10).

By these definitions, innovation diffusion is achieved by how a social system accepts and begins to use
(adopt) an idea or a technology. Rogers further states that the following are the characteristics of any
innovation: Relative Advantage: the degree to which the innovation is perceived as being better than the
practice it supersedes; Compatibility: the extent to which adopting the innovation is compatible with what
people do; Complexity: the degree to which an innovation is perceived as relatively difficult to
understand and use; Trialability: the degree to which an innovation may be experimented with on a
limited basis before making an adoption (or rejection) decision; and Observability: the degree to which
the results of an innovation are visible to others (Rogers, 1995).

3.3. Application of TAM and IDT to Mobile Money
The various terms that relate to the use of mobile phones to access, store, and transfer or linked to an
account; mobile banking, mobile payments, mobile money transfer and mobile microfinance are
collectively referred to as Mobile Money (MM) in this study. Research on adoption of MM can be seen as
part of previous researches in mobile banking and mobile payments. Therefore it could be argued that the
determinants of adoption in m-banking and m-payment environment should be applicable to mobile
money. TAM and IDT are considered to be extremely similar in some constructs and supplement one
another (Wu, 2004). Some similarities can be drawn between RA and PU; Complexity and PEOU to the
extent that some researchers identifies the TAM constructs as a subset of the Innovation Diffusion Theory
(Wu, 2004). However, developing different measurements for RA and PU was found to be particularly
important in MM adoption. However, complexity and PEOU is considered to be too similar to be
separated in this study.

4. Research Model and Hypothesis
Figure 1 below depicts the research model for our study. It includes the key determinants for the TAM
(Perceived Usefulness & Perceived Ease of Use) and some aspects of the Diffusion of Innovation Theory
(Triability, Relative Advantage). It is supported by other constructs such as Perceived Trust (PT),
Transactional Cost (TC) and Perceived Risk (PR). Also Reliability and Perceived Privacy are identified as
ancestors of Perceived Trust.

Perceived Usefulness: PU is said to be the degree
to which a person thinks that using a particular system
will enhance his or her performance. Whereas the
initial definition stated was about the usefulness in
performing a job function, PU in the adoption of
mobile services is defined in a broader context to
include how well consumers believes mobile services
can be integrated into their daily activities (Kleijnen et al, 2003). And in a mobile payment context it can
also be defined as the degree at which the consumer believes that the MM transfer will enhance his
transaction (Chen, 2008). When this belief increases, the consumer’s intention to use the MM transfer
services will also increase. In consumer behavior analysis PU has been well tested as a determinant for a
consumer’s intention to use mobile services. Also, the extent to which a consumer finds the MM transfer
useful may depend on the RA of the service. If the mobility and easier accessibility characteristics of
mobile services leads to a consumer belief that the MM transfer is better than its predecessors (other
money transfer services) then that will affect its perceived usefulness. The ultimate reason people exploit MM transfer is that they find them useful (Luarn & Lin, 2005).

H1: Higher perceived usefulness will lead to higher behavioural intention to use MM.

H2: Higher Relative Advantage will lead to higher Perceived Usefulness

**Perceived Ease of Use:** PEOU is “the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system will be free of effort” (Davis, 1989). In MM transfer, it includes registration procedures, ease of use of the payment procedure, easy access to customer services, minimal steps required to make a payment, appropriate screen size and input capabilities. Also, the availability of the MM transfer agents will increase the PEOU. Furthermore, it should be accessible on mobile phones with the most basic features and software. Prior researches have concluded that PEOU is a key determinant to consumer behavioral intentions (Venkatesh & Davis, 1996, 2000; Venkatesh & Morris, 2000; Poushitchi and Wiedemann, 2005; Carlsson et al, 2005). In order to prevent the “under-used” system problem, MM transfer must be both easy to learn and easy to use (Luarn and Lin, 2005). And also the original TAM posits that perceived ease of use has a direct effect on perceived usefulness (Davis, 1989).

H3: Higher perceived Ease of Use will lead to a higher Perceived Usefulness

H4: Higher perceived Ease of Use will lead to a higher behavioural intention to use MM.

**Perceived Trust:** Mobile Money transfer environment, like all business transactions require an element of trust. To become a viable unit of doing business MM transfer should overcome user distrust (Siau et al, 2003). And for the purpose of this study, trust is defined as a measure of consumer’s level of assurance that the service will be provided with minimum possible hindrance. Siau and Shen (2003) postulates that trust in mobile commerce can be differentiated into two categories: trust in mobile technology and trust in mobile vendors. The existence of local agents who are well integrated into the communities will be necessary for this level of trust to be obtained. Users would expect some level of privacy from the agents. In addition overall network and service perceived reliability affect consumer’s perceived trust in the service. The reliability can be measured by the successful utilization of the service over a period of time with little or no interference. Consumers need to have a belief that the network is reliable. Previous studies have found perceived trust as a significant determinant influencing consumers’ behavior intention towards conduct electronic commerce transactions (Mallat, 2007; Gefen et al., 2003; Jarvenpaa et al., 2000). Although, PEOU has been identified as an antecedent to perceived trust in prior e-commerce adoption research, this was seen as not applicable to MM transfer (Gefen et al, 2003; Gu et al, 2009). The complexity of using the MM transfer applications will not necessary be attributed to the trustworthiness of the service provider. Thus, privacy and reliability are seen as antecedents to perceived trust. And Perceived Trust is expected to have a direct effect on behavioural intentions.

H5: Higher Perceived Trust will lead to a higher behavioral intention to use MM

H6: Higher Reliability will lead to a higher Perceived Trust

H7: Higher Privacy will lead to a higher Perceived Trust

**Perceived Risk:** A consumer’s perceived risk was identified by the selected consumers and MM professionals interviewed as a significant barrier for MM transactions. Perceived Risk is defined as a consumer’s belief about the potential uncertain negative outcomes from the mobile money transaction. Consumers’ desire to minimize risk supersedes their willingness to maximize utility and thus their subjective risk perception strongly determines their behavior (Bauer et al, 2005). Thus, reducing uncertainty has been found to have a positive influence on consumers’ intention to adopt electronic transactional systems (Chen, 2008).

H8: The higher the Perceived Risk will lead to a negative influence on behavioral intention to use MM

**Transactional Cost:** TC includes transaction price, registration fee, or cost of a new device if one is needed to use the service. Consumers interviewed confirmed that transactional cost can influence their behaviour intention to use the MM transfer services. Given that the original TAM was developed in an organizational context, the transactional cost of using technology was not considered as a relevant variable since the consumer was not responsible for the payment of the technology.

H9: Higher Transactional Cost will have negative influence on consumer behavioral intention to use MM

**Trialability:** the degree to which an innovation may be experimented with on a limited basis before making an adoption (or rejection) decision (Agarwal & Prasad, 1997; Tan & Teo, 2000). Thus, the adoption of MM transfer is more likely if the technology is demonstrated to the user or if it can be used on a free-at-first-use. Trialability has been found to have direct influence on consumer’s behavioral intentions (Brown et al, 2003). Past research argue that earlier adopters of an innovation perceive trialability as more important than do later adopters. More innovative individuals have no precedent to follow when they adopt, whereas later adopters are surrounded by others who have already adopted the innovation. Also, our initial consumer interviews indicated that users will adopt MM transfer if given the chance to trial the service for free.

H10: The greater the trialability of MM transfer service, the higher the influence on users behavioural intention to us.
5. Research Methodology

This study aims to predict the consumer behavior and intention to adopt Mobile Money Transfer services in Ghana by extending the TAM and IDT models with two extra constructs. The introduction of MMT services in Ghana has not enjoyed the successes experienced by other emerging economies like Kenya and Philippines. A survey was developed for the data collection. The survey was conducted in Ghana Context. The data from the survey were tested using Structured Equation Model, and the unit of analysis was the prospective individual mobile money transfer customer in Ghana. In developing the model we reviewed existing literature extensively and then interviewed Mobile Money professionals of telecom providers who have either launched or about to launch their products and a selection of consumers. Based on the results of the interviews we developed our survey instruments using a multiple-item, five-point Likert scale approach.

The items in the survey were developed by adapting existing measures validated by other researchers in mobile banking and mobile payment environment, or by converting the definitions of the construct into a questionnaire format. Some of the items for the constructs; PU, PEOU PP and PR were adapted from Chen (2008) and modified for mobile money transfer, others were created to suit the Ghanaian environment. The TC items were captured using three items derived from Constantinides et al and real world experience. The items for Perceived Trust construct were adapted from Stewart (2003) and Pennington et al. (2003) and modified accordingly. Items for Trialability, Relative Advantage, Reliability, TC, and BI were created from their respective definitions. In total 32 items for 10 variables were developed. The PU construct is measured using 3 items (PU1-3); the PEOU is measured by 4 items (PEOU1-4). For the determinants of PU, Relative Advantage is measured using 2 items (RA1-2). PT is measured using 4 items (PT1-4) and its determinants, PP 4 items (PP1-4) and Reliability 2 items (Reliability1-2). The PR construct is measured using 5 items (PR1-5), TC is measured using 4 items (TC1-4) and Trialability is measured using 3 items, (Trialability1-3) and the Behavioral Intention construct is measured using 2 items (BI1-2).

The survey questionnaire consisted of four sections. Section A aimed at gathering information relating to respondent mobile phone usage. It was used to measure the respondent’s mobile phone experience, which was based on the sum of the various usage indicated. Section B was limited to gathering information on the respondent’s usage of money transfer service in the past. Section C was aimed at obtaining information on whether the respondent has used or intended to use mobile money transfer and what factors are likely to influence their adoption decision. The section is sub divided into the various constructs with a total of 32 items ranging between 2 and 4 items per construct. Section D aimed at gathering demographic information about respondent, including, gender, age, employment status, education and income.

5.1. Data Collection

Data was collected using a self administered questionnaire to the general public at malls and other places. In total, 330 respondents were approached in the survey. A total of 302 accepted to participate and final 298 were collected. Since domestic money transfer is general seen a one way transaction from the urban cities to the rural areas, responses were collected from the three main cities in Ghana, Accra, Kumasi and Takoradi. However, respondents were not distinguished by where they filled in the questionnaire. The questionnaires were distributed by personally approaching the respondents on the street, at the mall, in their offices and at the universities and colleges and requested to participate in a social research involving mobile money transfer. For the illiterate our team members translate the questionnaire from English to Twi (a prime native language).

6. Research Results

Based on the two-step approach recommended by Anderson and Gerbing (1988), we first analyzed the measurement model to test the reliability and validity of the survey instrument, and then analyzed the structural model using AMOS version 18 to test our research hypotheses. The Structure Equation Model (SEM) is most useful when assessing the causal relationship between variables as well as verifying the compatibility of the model used.

6.1. Descriptive Statistics

A total number of 288 respondents were used in the analysis. The demographic profiles of the respondents are shown in table 1 below. The sample was made up of 65.2% male and 26.5% female with 85.7% below 50 years of age and a mean age of 30 years. With regard to education, the majority were at least university graduates or equivalent (about 67.3 % including the postgraduates (Masters and Doctorates)). With regard to employment, company employees comprise the majority, at 44.3%, 28.8% students both full time and part time whereas 15.6% were self-employed. To make it simpler for the respondent, the local currency was used for the study. At the time of the study, $1 was exchanged for 1.4 Ghana Cedis, approximately. Thus, about 43.5% of the respondents earn more than $300 per month. According to annual income and educational levels, the majority of the respondents appear to belong to the lower middle class of the Ghanaian Society.
The respondents were largely mobile phone users (97%) with 49.3% belonging to more than one network provider. Over 60% of the respondent uses a combination of MTN and one of the five network providers currently operating in Ghana. However, respondents that use MTN only accounted for 33% of the sample. This confirms MTN as the largest Mobile Network Provider in Ghana based on this sample. With regard to the various uses of mobile phone, 35% of respondents use their mobile phone for receiving and making calls, SMS and listening to music. Only 15.2% of the respondents use their phones for only making and receiving calls. Other uses identified include internet (53%), SMS (87.5%), banking, game and music. Apart from the traditional usage of the phone, the respondent report and phone for some value added services.

The most popular form of money transfer identified was through bank transfer with 74% reporting to have used the bank for money transfer. Regarding knowledge of any MMT in Ghana, 85% of the respondents said yes with 93% answered to have heard of the MTN Mobile Money Transfer through advertisements. However, only 10% claimed to have used the service. Knowledge of the service was not reflective of its usage. The intention to use Mobile Money Transfer was found to be below average with 48.4% responding affirmative, 28.3% no and 23.3% unsure.

### 6.2. Construct Reliability and Validity Analysis

Cronbach alpha in SPSS version 16 was used to test the reliability of each of the multiple-item constructs that form the survey instrument. It is the most popularly used measure of internal consistency. As a rule of thumb, a reliability coefficient of .70 or higher is considered “acceptable” in social science research (Nunnally, 1978). This meant that all but three constructs Reliability, Perceived Privacy and Transactional Cost did not meet the reliability test. The reliability of each construct is illustrated in Table 2 below. However, the PP construct was considered acceptable for use because of its closeness to .70 rule of thumb and Reliability and TC were removed from the model and further analysis. There was little or no consistency between the items used for these constructs.

The data was subjected to exploratory factor analysis to establish convergent and discriminant validity of the proposed MMT uniqueness using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) as the extraction method and Varimax rotation with Kaiser Normalisation as the rotation method. Two rounds of factor analysis were conducted. Initially, a ten-factor structure was suggested and the results showed seven orthogonal factors with eigenvalues above 1.0 and three others very close to 1.0 (.983, .954, .923). A further factor analysis was conducted with only the seven contracts identified with cronbach alpha above .70 and seven factors with eigenvalues above 1.0 was generated. Thus eliminating PP construct as well. The seven factors were maintained for the model and further analysis. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy was found to be 0.857. Thus, the application of factor analysis was deemed appropriate. The factors selected explain 72% of the variances of the variables and a commonality ranging between .853 and .555. Items for PR, PT, RA, Trialability, and BI loaded at greater than 0.4 on their respective factors and are thus deemed valid (as in Tan & Teo, 2000). However, PU loaded on two factors above .40. Correlation analysis reveals that these are still distinct constructs, as the coefficient between them is only 0.30. This finding does reveal, however, that PU and PEOU are closely linked in the minds of respondents. Table 2 illustrates the validity of the constructs and their respective factor loading.

#### Table 1: Reliability of the model constructs (n=288)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Cronbach alpha</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th># Items</th>
<th>Cronbach alpha</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th># Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>.904</td>
<td>10.919</td>
<td>2.725</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Trialability</td>
<td>.844</td>
<td>11.860</td>
<td>2.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEOU</td>
<td>.907</td>
<td>15.131</td>
<td>3.254</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>.503</td>
<td>8.150</td>
<td>1.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>.863</td>
<td>15.390</td>
<td>4.832</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Relative Advantage</td>
<td>.852</td>
<td>7.244</td>
<td>1.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>.788</td>
<td>13.696</td>
<td>3.191</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Perceived Privacy</td>
<td>.675</td>
<td>11.268</td>
<td>2.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>.365</td>
<td>14.320</td>
<td>2.610</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Behavioral Intention</td>
<td>.807</td>
<td>7.495</td>
<td>1.643</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2: Rotated Component Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Perceived Ease of Use</th>
<th>Perceived Risk</th>
<th>Perceived Trust</th>
<th>Trialability</th>
<th>Perceived Usefulness</th>
<th>Relative Advantage</th>
<th>Behavioral Intentions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PU1</td>
<td>.409</td>
<td>-.089</td>
<td>.201</td>
<td>.147</td>
<td>.641</td>
<td>.314</td>
<td>.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU2</td>
<td>.433</td>
<td>-.104</td>
<td>.111</td>
<td>.131</td>
<td>.695</td>
<td>.175</td>
<td>.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU3</td>
<td>.402</td>
<td>-.132</td>
<td>.092</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>.749</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEOU1</td>
<td>.775</td>
<td>-.107</td>
<td>.126</td>
<td>.162</td>
<td>.284</td>
<td>.083</td>
<td>.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEOU2</td>
<td>.727</td>
<td>-.068</td>
<td>.164</td>
<td>.122</td>
<td>.371</td>
<td>.137</td>
<td>.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3. Structural Model Test

SPSS Amos 18 was used to generate the common model-fit indices. Structural modeling evaluates whether the data fit a theoretical model. The following common model-fit measures were used to estimate the measurement model fit: chi-square/degree of freedom ($\chi^2/df$), the comparative fit index (CFI), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), root mean square residual (RMR), the normed fit index (NFI), Relative Fit Index (RFI) and the Tucker Lewis coefficient (TLI). Table 4 shows the estimates from AMOS structural modeling. According to Gerbing and Anderson (1992), the criteria for an acceptable model are as follows: RMSEA of 0.08 or lower; CFI of 0.90 or higher; NNFI of 0.90 or higher. The fit between the data and the proposed measurement model can be tested with a chi-square goodness-to-fit (GFI) test where the probability is greater than or equal to 0.9 indicates a good fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999).

Although the model does not show a perfect fit in the goodness-to-fit index used within the sample size of 288, the other measures fitted satisfactorily; CFI=0.92, TLI=0.90, IFI=0.92 and NFI=0.87 with $\chi^2/df < 3$ at 2.51 and the RMSEA=0.07 (Bagozzi & Yi, 1988).

Table 3: Fit Indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit Indices</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Recommended Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chi-square/degree of freedom ($\chi^2/d.f.$)</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>&lt;5 (Bagozzi &amp; Yi, 1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comparative fit index (CFI)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Approaches 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>$&gt;0.06$ (Joreskog &amp; Sorbom, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normed fit index (NFI)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucker Lewis coefficient (TLI)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Approaches 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Approaches 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4. Hypothesis Analysis

Given the satisfactory fit of the model, the estimated path coefficients of the structural model was evaluated to test the hypothesis identified earlier. Multicollinearity was ruled out because the correlations between independent variables are all less than 0.8 as shown in table 6 below. With elimination of Transactional cost, Privacy and Reliability from further analysis after the reliability test has reduced the number of hypothesis tested to 7. Based on the results from the Amos 18, the results are presented as predicated by the conceptual model path in Figure 1.

Table 4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>C.R.</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Results of Hypothesis testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PU &lt;--- RA</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>*** Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In support of H1, we found a significant and positive relationship between perceived usefulness of mobile money transfer and consumers’ intention to use the service (0.23 p<0.05). This confirms the original TAM relationship between perceived usefulness and intention to adopt new technology. Also the path coefficient of 0.26 and a significant level of less than 1% points to a strong positive relationship between Relative Advantage and Perceived Usefulness. Hence, H2 is also confirmed. The path coefficient between Perceived Ease of Use and Perceived Usefulness was the highest at 0.80 at a significant level of less than 0.1% indicating a strong relationship between the two factors. Thus H3 is supported. In addition the relationship proposed in H4 is also supported; that is, perceived ease of use also predicts users’ intention to use mobile money transfer services at a significant level of less than 1%. Further, the structural link between Trust and BI and also Trial and BI were both found to be significant with path coefficient of 0.19 and 0.17 respectively at a significant level of 1%. Thus, consumers’ trust in Mobile Money Transfer and their ability to trial the product will significantly affect their intention to use the service.

Table 5: Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trust</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>PEOU</th>
<th>PU</th>
<th>BI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>-1.40*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial</td>
<td>0.350*</td>
<td>0.83*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>0.661*</td>
<td>0.192*</td>
<td>0.399*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEOU</td>
<td>0.629*</td>
<td>-0.153</td>
<td>-0.386</td>
<td>-0.587</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.634*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>0.634*</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (1-tailed).

7. Discussion and Implications

The general feeling of the sample about mobile money can be summed up as “I have heard about it but not used it”. As indicated above, 93% of the respondents reported to have heard about mobile money through advertisements. As at the time of the study, MTN’s mobile money and Zain’s ZAP were the only two mobile money transfer services available in Ghana. MTN had launched its mobile money transfer with huge advertisement campaign (including billboards, radio, TV commercials) both in the cities and rural areas. Despite all the promotion and direct publicity, the adoption of the service was very low with only 10% claiming to have used the service. It did not enjoy the viral effect and spread of the service that Safaricom’s M-PESA enjoyed. More than 18 months after MTN’s launch and there is still no signs of significant uptake of the service. It was therefore necessary to model the factors that predict the Ghanaian consumers’ adoption of the service.

This study aims to predict the intention to adopt mobile money transfer service in a convenience sample of Ghanaian citizens, who were asked to complete a questionnaire that was based on relevant adoption research and theories. This is one of the first studies to empirically test consumers’ intention to use mobile money transfer. As an extension to TAM, we included items for Perceived Risk, Perceived Trust, Trialability, and Transactional Cost as key determinants to consumers’ intention to use mobile money transfer services. Furthermore, we suggested that Perceived Privacy and Reliability are antecedents to Trust whereas Relative Advantage and PEOU affect PU. However, Perceived Privacy, Reliability and Transactional Cost did not pass the validity and reliability test and were excluded from the model.
In general the structural equation modeling with AMOS 18 in this study supports the results of previous extended TAM research (Gefen, 2000, 2003; Gefen et al., 2003a; Pavlou, 2003; Suh & Han, 2002; Wang & Benbasat, 2005) with perceived ease of use (β=0.30), perceived usefulness (β=0.27) Perceived Risk (β=0.20) and Perceived Trust (β=0.19) as key determinants of behavioral intention. Perceived usefulness is directly affected by perceived ease of use (β=0.76) and relative advantage (β=0.22). Perceived ease of use is the most significant construct on perceived usefulness and affects behavioral intentions both directly and indirectly through perceived usefulness. This is consistent with previous research (Gu et al. 2009). The results therefore suggest that mobile money transfer providers should consider how to make the use of the services easily. Also, the trialability construct showed a significant effect on Behavioral intentions (β=0.17) and suggests that there should be opportunities for customers to trial and test the mobile money transfer service and even see demonstrations of how it works. This would raise awareness, and give people a greater understanding of the technology.

Another point of interest in this study was how perceived risk and perceived trust affects behavioral intention in mobile money transfer services. The results show that perceived trust (β=0.19) has significant effect on consumers’ behavioral intentions. We were expecting an even higher path coefficient for perceived trust because of the nature of mobile money transfer service. From the descriptive statistics, most of the respondents use some form of money transfer regularly with most of it being through banks or friends and family. The trust level for existing money transfer services seem to be quite significant. Furthermore, from a theoretical perspective, it seemed reasonable that a higher perceived risk in MMT service will lead to a lower rate of intention to use. Furthermore, perceived risk was believed to be a predictor and barrier to Mobile money transfer services, and expected to negatively influence consumer’s behavioral intent. This was supported by the study but at a very low significant level (β=0.20, p>0.5). Since majority of the respondent and the populace of Ghana had no prior experience of electronic transactions we expected an even more significant negative relationship between perceived risk and behavioral intentions? The findings of our initial interviews before the survey did not reflect in the actual survey results. The Antecedents of Trust, Privacy and Reliability and also risk were perceived to be the most important determinants of consumers’ intention to use mobile money transfer. How can we rely on network providers to transfer our money when their network is always down? What happens to our money when the network is down for a day or two? And who is ultimately responsible, the merchant or the network provider, where some of the questions that was asked during those interviews.

This study intended to be a valuable source for further empirical and conceptual research on mobile money transfer services. Besides its general contribution of identifying, conceptualising and operationalising the key factors that predicts its acceptance and adoption in the emerging markets, the results can be used for further investigation into the success and or failure of other mobile money related services. It provides further understanding into the attitude of the Ghanaian consumer towards mobile data services in general and the use of mobile phones for financial services specifically. A further qualitative study into why the update in Ghana has not been overly successful with specific emphasis on early adopters may be necessary in the future. Also, the developmental impact of mobile money transfer in the emerging economies will be significant for further development of this service.

Although our study provides some interesting insights into factors affecting the intention to use mobile money transfer, it has some limitations. First, the exposure to mobile money transfer in Ghana is still at its infant stages, and we had to explain to most respondents what it is. Insufficient understanding of mobile money transfer and its applications does affect consumers’ intention to use the service. Also a number of our respondents were illiterate and the translation of the questionnaire may affect their understanding and interpretation. Finally, the survey was conducted the main cities of Ghana and may not be a perfect representation of the entire population.

8. Conclusion

This survey conducted to model the antecedents of consumer behavior towards the adoption of Mobile Money Transfer in Ghana. Since the introduction of mobile money by MTN in Ghana, other telecom network providers have been investigating the possible impact of this MTN new service to their customer base in Ghana. For example Zain the most recent provider in the country has just launched their version of mobile money called ZAP. The provisions of both services are quite similar in principle. The impact of mobile money in the first few years of introduction Kenya has risen the expectations of network providers towards similar introductions in the emerging markets.

The following is a summary of the results of this study. In support of TAM, Perceived Ease of Use and perceived usefulness were found to be the most significant determinants to intention to use mobile money transfer in Ghana. Perceived Trust, Trialability and Perceived Risk were also found to significantly affect Intention. As part of financial services, the adoption of mobile money transfer is dependent on consumers’ perception on Trust and Risk. Thus, the findings support the traditional view on
the effect of risk and trust on usage of financial services. Furthermore, the need for potential consumers to trial the service before adoption was significantly confirmed.
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