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Abstract—This paper discusses control of the brake torque from the mechanical disc brake in a wind turbine. Brake torque is determined by friction coefficient and clamp force; the latter is the main focus of this paper. Most mechanical disc brakes are actuated by hydraulics, which means that controlling caliper pressure is the key to controlling clamp force. A pressure controller is implemented on a laboratory-sized test system and uses a disturbance estimator to reject disturbances in order to track a reference curve. The estimator is capable of estimating both input equivalent disturbances and disturbances caused by brake disc irregularities. The controller can reject input equivalent disturbances and a strategy for cancelling the disturbance from the brake disc is proposed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The mechanical brake is one of the two independent brake systems in a wind turbine. As a consequence of the gearing in the turbine, the mechanical brake is often placed on the high-speed shaft as this allows the brake system to be small as opposed to placing it on the low-speed shaft. This is shown in figure 1. The downside of this design is however, that the gearbox has to be able to handle a large amount of torque when the brake is applied.

![Fig. 1: Overview of drive train in a wind turbine [1]](image)

Most brake systems in today’s wind turbines supply the hydraulic brake caliper with maximum pressure when applied. Results in [2] show that such brake systems produce backlashes in the gearbox, that in the end may cause the fatigue load to be underestimated by current gearbox design methods.

Furthermore, results from [3] show that when maximum brake torque is applied it excites oscillations in the rotor shaft, that have an amplitude nearly twice as high as the nominal shaft torque. Therefore, it is possible that the mechanical brake is the cause of a large amount of the gearbox failures. One such failure has been seen recently at Hornslet in Denmark, where a gearbox suffered a catastrophic failure caused by load from the mechanical brake system[4].

In recent years the term "soft brake" has been subject to great interest from companies such as Svendborg Brakes, General Electric and Nordex. All three companies have filed patents for "soft brake" systems as described in [5], [6], [7]. All three patents are concerned with reducing the excessive dynamic load peaks and vibrations during emergency stops. Comparing "soft brake" with the classic approach, where full pressure is applied, it is clear the soft brake is superior. However, "soft brake" still leave room for improvement as relatively large oscillations still occur – especially after the shaft has stopped [5].

This paper focuses on a smart brake system which has the objective of controlling the brake torque through precise control of the calipers clamp force. Since none of the "soft brake" systems directly control the force but depends on other factors such as rotational speed or time; controlling the brake torque is believed to further damp the vibrations during emergency stops. At this first development stage, the control design focused on controlling the hydraulic pressure in the brake caliper using a laboratory-sized hydraulic brake system. However, it was observed that disturbances made it hard to keep a constant pressure in the caliper. As a result, a disturbance estimator was used to assist the design of a controller that can track a reference curve. The estimator is able to estimate disturbances caused by brake disc irregularities and a strategy for cancelling out these disturbances is proposed.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following way: Section II describes the physical setup; Section III discusses the mathematical modeling and parameter identification of the hydraulic system; Section IV briefs the development and implementation of the disturbance estimator while section V describes the disturbance rejection scheme applied; and finally discussion and conclusion as found sections VI and VII.
II. SETUP

In order to investigate how the brake torque can be controlled by the mechanical brake, a laboratory-sized setup consisting of a test rig and a hydraulic power pack has been constructed. As shown in Fig. 2, the torque from the rotor is represented by a motor which is connected to the brake disc and caliper through a single shaft.

As the angle of twist in the shaft increases when the brake is applied, a set of wireless strain gauges are used to measure the brake torque. In addition, two other sensors are also placed on the test rig; a tachometer to measure the angular velocity of the shaft and an IR temperature sensor to measure the temperature of the brake disc.

The hydraulic power pack, which provides oil to the caliper, is divided into two subsystems; hydraulic control system and hydraulic power system. The purpose of the power system is to provide a constant pressure to the control system. The hydraulic control system is the part that actually controls the pressure in the caliper using a proportional pressure valve; as shown in figure 3. On the system there are two pressure sensors; one to measure the outlet pressure of the proportional pressure valve and one to measure the pressure in the caliper.

III. MODELLING AND IDENTIFICATION

To model the hydraulic control system, a series of preliminary experiments was conducted. These tests served as on-hands experience with the system to ensure that no important phenomena was left out in the model and to see how the proportional valve would react to different input voltages; regarding rise time, steady state gain, overshoot and dead time. Also it was of great interest to know if the shaft rotation affects the hydraulic system.

A. Dynamic Response of the Proportional Valve

The first thing that was observed when experimenting with the valve was the fact that it is necessary to use 7V as a virtual zero, because voltages below this limit did not have any effect on the outlet pressure. Figure 4 shows the measured step responses of the hydraulic system, when applying input steps of 8V, 9V, and 10V respectively.

From these steps it can be observed that the outlet pressure $p_o$ has a rise time of approximately 0.05s, a settling time between 0.2s and 0.3s and an overshoot of around 0.04MPa. A dead time of about 0.01s to 0.02s was also observed. It seems that the hydraulic line and the caliper acts as a lowpass filter, where the settling time of caliper pressure $p_c$ is approximately equal to the one of $p_o$.

The dynamic response when lowering the voltage from 8V, 9V, and 10V to 7V showed that both $p_c$ and $p_o$ settles in approximately 0.2s without any overshoot.

B. Effect of Brake Disc Irregularities

Brake disc irregularities is caused by variations in the contact surface between the pads and the disc on the micro level[8]. Three experiments was conducted to see the effect of brake disc irregularity; one at 0 rpm, one at 200 rpm, and one at 400 rpm. The rotational speed was kept constant using the servo motor. In each experiment the valve voltage was set to 8V, 9V, and 10V while measuring the hydraulic pressure. The measurements at 200 rpm is shown in figure 5.

The disturbance from the brake disc is periodic with a frequency close to the frequency of the shaft rotation and...
as shown in the figure, it has a significant impact on the hydraulic pressure. At 200 rpm the disturbance affects the caliper pressure with an approximately constant value of about ±0.15 Mpa, whereas the disturbance effect at 400 rpm would depend slightly on the input voltage. To verify that the oscillations has a significant impact on the brake performance, the measured dynamic shaft torque from the experiments was also measured. It showed that in comparison with the motors maximum torque, of 147 Nm, the oscillations in the shaft torque of ±20 Nm where quite significant.

C. Overview of the Model

A mathematical model of the hydraulic system is developed to assist the design of a pressure controller. For this, a simple linear model with a constant input-equivalent disturbance and sinusoidal input to the caliper is proposed. The idea is to make a rough model and use real-time estimation of the disturbances to account for modelling errors and disturbance from the brake disc irregularities. A sketch of the model’s structure is illustrated in figure 6 and is based on experience from the experiments described above.

![Fig. 6: Sketch of the model’s structure](image)

By looking at figure 4 and neglecting the overshoot in rising direction, the model from both valve voltage to outlet pressure and outlet pressure to caliper pressure can be seen as first order systems. From the sketch it can be seen that the model has the following inputs, outputs, and states:

- 2 states: outlet pressure and caliper pressure; \( p_o \) and \( p_c \)
- 1 output: caliper pressure; \( p_c \)
- 1 controllable input: valve voltage; \( u_v \)
- 2 uncontrollable inputs: constant disturbance and sinusoidal disturbance; \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \)

The differential equations describing the model can then be expressed as eq. (1) and (2), where \( k_{pc} \) is a constant related to the resistance of the hydraulic line and the volume of the caliper, \( k_{po} \) is related to the speed of the internal regulation in the valve while \( k_{dc} \) is the steady state gain.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{p}_c &= k_{pc} \cdot (p_o + w_2 - p_c) \quad (1) \\
\dot{p}_o &= k_{po} \cdot (k_{dc} \cdot (u + w_1) - p_o) + k_{pc} \cdot (p_c - p_o) \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

The model for the disturbance is based on eq. (3) and (4), which describe the two disturbance signals, where the constant \( \omega_0 \) is the frequency of the disturbance, that in turn is related to the brake disc irregularities. To use this model, the rotational speed has to be constant; however, by extending the model to a nonlinear case where \( \omega_0 \) is a function of rotational speed, this requirement could be dropped.

\[
\begin{align*}
w_1 &= 0 \quad (3) \\
w_2 &= -\omega_0^2 \cdot w_2 \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

D. Plant Model

The state vector \( X \) is defined as

\[
X \equiv \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_c \\ p_o \end{bmatrix}
\]

to form a standard state space system for the plant of the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{X} &= A \cdot X + B_u \cdot u + B_w \cdot w \\
y &= C \cdot X
\end{align*}
\]

where,

\[
\begin{align*}
u &\equiv u_w - 7V \\
w &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
y &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_c \\ p_o \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

Using eq. (1) and (2) yields the following system matrices:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} -k_{pc} & k_{pc} \\ k_{pc} & -k_{po} - k_{pc} \end{bmatrix} \\
B_u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k_{po} \cdot k_{dc} \end{bmatrix} \\
B_w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k_{po} \cdot k_{dc} \end{bmatrix} \\
C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

By adding a delay of 0.02s and tuning the coefficients to match the real response, the following values are found:

\[
\begin{align*}
k_{pc} &= 40 \\
k_{po} &= 70 \\
k_{dc} &= 0.35
\end{align*}
\]

A simulation of the model with no disturbance, but including the 0.02s delay is seen in figure 7. Here it is compared to the real response of the hydraulic system and it is seen that
the model resembles the system somewhat, but especially the overshoot is missing.

The missing overshoot in the model is however a deliberate choice, since there is no overshoot when applying a step in negative direction. The model is considered satisfactory seen in relation to its simplicity. However, there are features not captured by the model, these are: nonlinear steady-state gain; ripples on $p_o$ during a step in position direction; second order behaviour of $p_o$ during a step in positive direction; different dead-time at step responses in both positive and negative direction.

In order to produce the simulation shown in figure 7, the valve voltage was set to match the experiment and the disturbance input was set to zero. This means that a more realistic disturbance signal should be constructed, if the model should fit perfectly to the experimental data. $w_1$ can be used to compensate for the input equivalent model error, while $w_2$ can be used to compensate for errors that enters the model through $p_c$.

Figure 8 shows step responses of the model at different valve voltages. A sinusiodal disturbance signal is applied through $w_2$, where the amplitude is constant but the frequency in the first plot corresponds to a rotational speed of 200 rpm and in the two last plots it corresponds to 400 rpm. It is seen that the response of the model shows the same features as figure 5.

E. Disturbance Model

A model of the disturbance is made with the purpose of augmenting the plant model and estimate both the disturbance and the states, and thereby use a control algorithm for disturbance rejection.

The disturbance model has no input and it’s structure is seen in the following equations:

$$\dot{X}_d = A_d \cdot X_d$$
$$w = C_d \cdot X_d$$

where,

$$X_d \equiv \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \omega_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad w \equiv \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Eq. (3) and (4) describes $w_1$ as a constant disturbance and $w_2$ as a sinusiodal disturbance with constant frequency and amplitude. These are used to form the system matrices of the disturbance model:

$$A_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\omega_0^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\omega_0$ determines the frequency of $w_2$ and the initial conditions determine the amplitude of $w_1$ and $w_2$ respectively.

F. Augmented Model

The plant model is augmented with the disturbance with the purpose of using a disturbance rejection scheme, which will be described in section V.

The structure of the augmented model is seen in the following equations.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{X} \\ \dot{X}_d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_w \cdot C_d \\ 0 & A_d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X \\ X_d \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot u$$

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X \\ X_d \end{bmatrix}$$

This can be written as

$$\dot{X}_a = A_a \cdot X_a + B_a \cdot u$$
$$y = C_a \cdot X_a$$
where,

\[
X_a = \begin{bmatrix}
  x_1 \\
  x_2 \\
  x_3 \\
  x_4 \\
  x_5
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  p_c \\
  p_o \\
  w_1 \\
  w_2 \\
  \dot{w}_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
A_a = \begin{bmatrix}
  -k_{pc} & k_{pc} & 0 & k_{pc} & 0 \\
  k_{pc} & -k_{pc} - k_{po} & k_{po} \cdot k_{dc} & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_0^2 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
B_a = \begin{bmatrix}
  0 \\
  k_{po} \cdot k_{dc} \\
  0 \\
  0 \\
  0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
C_a = \begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

IV. DISTURBANCE ESTIMATOR

A disturbance estimator is designed to estimate \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) in the augmented model. For that purpose, a current estimator with equations (7) and (8) is used. \( \bar{x} \) is the predicted states and \( \hat{x} \) is the current estimate, while \( \Phi, \Gamma, \) and \( H \) are the discretized versions of \( A_a, B_a, \) and \( C_a \) respectively.

\[
\bar{x}[k] = \Phi \cdot \hat{x}[k - 1] + \Gamma \cdot u[k - 1] \quad (7)
\]
\[
\hat{x}[k] = \bar{x}[k] + L_c \cdot (y[k] - H \cdot \bar{x}[k]) \quad (8)
\]

The estimator gain \( L_c \) is designed by pole placement and the two dominant poles are:

\[
z = 0.8673 \pm 0.05554i
\]

Figure 9 shows the estimated disturbances together with the measured pressure for a data set where the valve voltage is 10V and the rotational speed is 400 rpm.

A comparison of the measured pressure and the model described in eq. (5) and (6), is made by using the estimated disturbances (shown in figure 9) as input signals to the model. In the comparison, which is shown in figure 10, it is seen that a good estimation of the disturbance is obtained, since the output of the model fits the experimental data.

V. DISTURBANCE REJECTION

A controller with disturbance rejection of \( w_1 \) is designed, using the scheme shown in figure 11. The estimator described in the previous section is used together with an LQR controller.

\( K \) is calculated by disregarding \( B_w \), using eq. (5) and (6), and by using the following weightings:

\[
R = 0.1, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix}
  5 & 0 \\
  0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

It was found necessary to apply a low-pass filter to the estimation of \( w_1 \), before using it for control purposes, since it would induce oscillations in the control loop otherwise. A forth order Butterworth filter with a cutoff frequency of 3Hz was found suitable.

On figure 12 it is seen that the caliper pressure tracks the reference in an acceptable maner; this is also what was expected, since there should be no disturbance from \( w_2 \) when the speed is 0 rpm and the estimation of \( w_1 \) compensates for modelling errors. However, in figure 13, it is clear that the disturbance from \( w_2 \) affects the performance of the controller and that this disturbance is not cancelled by the applied control scheme.
VI. DISCUSSION

It is not possible to rewrite the disturbance from $w_2$ to be input equivalent. Therefore, the control scheme applied in section V cannot be used to cancel that disturbance. However, by improving the disturbance model, it is believed that the disturbance from $w_2$ can be integrated into the plant model and used when designing the controller. The work presented in this paper points toward making the frequency of $w_2$ dependent on the shaft speed and creating an amplitude modulation which is dependent on the brake pad position on the disc.

VII. CONCLUSION

Through experiments it has been found that the disturbance from the brake disc/caliper to the hydraulic pressure is dependent on the shaft’s speed and the position of the brake pads on the disc. An estimator has been designed, which is proven successfull in estimating the amplitude of this disturbance. The estimator uses a linear model, which is known to have some uncertainties that can be described as input equivalent disturbances. A disturbance rejection scheme has been applied to show that it is possible to reject the input equivalent disturbance. Future research should focus on obtaining a frequency and amplitude model of the disturbance from the brake disc/caliper, which can be used when designing a controller that controls the caliper pressure and cancels out disturbance from the brake disc/caliper.
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