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Abstract

In this paper, a grid simulator based on a back-to-back inverter topology with resonant controllers is presented. The simulator is able to generate three-phase voltages for a range of amplitudes and frequencies with different types of perturbations, such as voltage sags, steady state unbalanced voltages, low order harmonics and flicker. The aim of this equipment is to test the performance of a given system under such distorted voltages. A prototype of the simulator, consisting of two inverters connected back-to-back to a 380 V three-phase grid and feeding a micro-grid composed of two inverter interfaced distributed generators and a critical load was built and tested. A set of experimental results for linear purely resistive loads, non-linear loads and current controlled inverters is presented to prove the capabilities of the simulator. Finally, a case study is presented by testing a micro-grid.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, micro-grids (MGs) have attracted a lot of attention from researchers in multiple disciplines, as they could be a starting point for future Smart Grids [1], [2]. Topics like energy management [3], [4], policies and regulations [5], economics [6], stability [7], protections [8], communications [9] and so on, are being investigated. Like many other power electronics-based systems, MGs are likely to be subjected to power quality (PQ) issues like Low Voltage Ride Through (LVRT), imbalances, harmonic compensation and flicker among others [10], [11], [12]. The origin for such voltage disturbances in real distribution systems is usually quite heterogeneous and hardly predictable.

In this scenario, a grid simulator capable of generating such distorted voltages in a fully controlled way becomes an essential tool to test MG performance regarding power quality [13], [14], [15], [16]. A voltage sag, swell and flicker generator is presented in [13], based on an H-bridge inverter and a series transformer for high voltage custom
power devices, thus being a different topology and application than the one presented here. In addition, unbalanced and harmonic voltages are not generated. A similar topology to the one presented here was proposed in [14]. In that case, line side converter had a simple L filter and the DC link capacitor was bulky, which reduces DC link voltage transients, and the state-space control with pole placement was used. The results were presented for a resistive load only. In [15], a single-phase grid simulator based on an H-bridge was used with PI controllers in a $d-q$ reference frame. Only voltage amplitude and frequency variations were tested. Two back-to-back connected inverters are used as a micro-grid interface in [16], providing the frequency and power quality isolation between the utility and the micro-grid. In this case, the back-to-back inverters are used to facilitate the control of the power flow between the utility and the micro-grid and to protect the micro-grid in case of faults, by disconnection from the utility and the consequent transition to islanded mode of operation. For the micro-grid, a topology with two distributed generators and a load at the point of common coupling is used, like in the case study presented here. Load sharing and transition between grid-connected and islanded modes are investigated throughout the paper, but no power quality tests were made. Only simulation results are presented. The same authors proposed a power quality enhanced operation mode in [17], by virtue of compensating the local load current, thus eliminating the non-linearity and unbalance from the voltage at the point of common coupling. Simulation results are presented to show the performance. Similarly, reduction of voltage harmonics is presented in [18], whereas negative sequence compensation for a stiff micro-grid is proposed in [19]. In [18], a selective harmonic elimination technique is proposed, but no information is provided about the source of the voltage harmonics. In the case of [19], a slip ring induction generator is feeding a load through a transformer and the unbalance is obtained with a phase-to-neutral connection of a single-phase load at the secondary of the transformer.

In both cases, the focus is to propose a control algorithm to improve a certain power quality aspect of the micro-grid.

By using the proposed grid simulator, these kinds of PQ tests can be performed in a fully controlled way at any voltages and frequencies within the required range, thus increasing the repeatability and effectiveness of the tests. Moreover, the voltage disturbances can be set to meet different requirements depending on the standards and grid codes to be fulfilled. The previous experience in wind or photovoltaic energy integration, with the adaptation of their grid codes in many countries, like Spain [20], Germany [21], Italy [22] and USA [23] and coming countries like the United Kingdom [24], France [25] or China [26], makes it likely to occur in micro-grids as well, specially considering the MG as a whole, which is composed by a number of inverter interfaced generators and loads, such as wind farms, PV plants, energy storage systems, back-up energy systems and electronic loads. There are some requirements in [27], applicable to distributed resource island systems and covering some of the mentioned PQ aspects. So far, however, there are no specific grid codes dealing with this matter for micro-grids.

In this paper, a whole set of tests with linear and non-linear loads and inverters as distributed generators is shown to validate the proposed resonant controller approach. In addition to these general tests, a case study of unbalanced voltage compensation in a micro-grid is also presented in section V.

The paper was divided into six parts. The first part is this brief introduction to the problem. After that, in section II, both grid side and MG side inverter controllers are briefly explained, with more emphasis on the micro-grid side
inverter control, which is the inverter generating distorted voltages to the micro-grid. Section III gives a description of the hardware used for the grid simulator prototype and its main characteristics. The results of the experimental tests are shown in section IV, while section V includes the above mentioned case study for cooperative unbalanced voltage compensation in a micro-grid. Finally, the main conclusions are presented in section VI.

Fig. 1. One-line circuit of the grid simulator prototype with the system under test.

II. GRID SIMULATOR CONTROL

The proposed grid simulator and the tested system are shown in Fig. 1. It consists of a back-to-back connection of two inverters with LCL and LC filters. The parameters of the inverters are presented in Table I. It was used to generate distorted voltages to both passive loads and inverter loads, as a way of testing the performance of grid-connected systems and components during such perturbations. The inverter loads represent different distributed generators in the tested system, such as a small PV plant and a wind farm in a micro-grid. In addition, also linear and non-linear loads were tested. In this section, a brief description of the controllers of the inverters is presented.

Fig. 2. Control diagram of the grid side inverter.

A. Grid side inverter control

The control diagram of the grid side inverter is shown in Fig. 2, where, together with all physical components (inverter, LCL filter, switch and grid transformer), all control loops have been depicted: 1) current and AC voltage inner control loops; 2) power control loops; 3) DC voltage control loop.
1) Inner control loops: Ideal proportional-resonant (P-Res) controllers were used for currents and AC voltages, as the use of damping factors is not recommended, since there is no benefit to be gained, as they reduce the gain of the resonant term but do not reduce the sensitivity of the system to variations in the fundamental frequency [28], [29]. The equations of the resonant voltage and current controllers are

\[
G_v(s) = K_{pv} \frac{s^2 + \frac{K_{iv}}{K_{pv}} s + \omega^2}{s^2 + \omega^2},
\]

(1)

\[
G_i(s) = K_{pi} \frac{s^2 + \frac{K_{ii}}{K_{pi}} s + \omega^2}{s^2 + \omega^2},
\]

(2)

where \( K_{pv}, K_{iv}, K_{pi} \) and \( K_{ii} \) are proportional and integral gains for voltage and current controllers respectively, and \( \omega \) is the resonant frequency. For harmonics, pure resonant controllers were used and, similarly, \( K_{ivh} \) and \( K_{ih} \) are the gains for the \( h^{th} \) voltage and current harmonics

\[
G_{vh}(s) = K_{ivh} \frac{s}{s^2 + (h\omega)^2},
\]

(3)

\[
G_{ih}(s) = K_{ih} \frac{s}{s^2 + (h\omega)^2},
\]

(4)

2) Power control loops: The controllers for active and reactive powers are based on the power flow principle, by regulating \( w \) and \( E \)

\[
\omega = \omega^* + \omega_{sync} + \left( K_{pP} + \frac{K_{iP}}{s} \right) (P - P^*)
\]

(5)

\[
E = E^* + \left( K_{pQ} + \frac{K_{iQ}}{s} \right) (Q - Q^*),
\]

(6)

where \( \omega^* \) is the reference frequency, i.e. the fundamental frequency of the grid, \( \omega_{sync} \) is the synchronization frequency from the PLL, \( E^* \) is the amplitude of the voltage reference, i.e. the grid voltage amplitude, and \( K_{pP}, K_{iP}, K_{pQ} \) and \( K_{iQ} \) are proportional and integral gains for active and reactive power PI controllers respectively. Their values are shown in Table II. The reference for active power \( P^{ref} \) is obtained from the DC link voltage controller, as is shown in the next section.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voltage controller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proportional</td>
<td>$K_{pv}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fundamental</td>
<td>$K_{iv}$</td>
<td>8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5\textsuperscript{th} harmonic</td>
<td>$K_{iv5}$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7\textsuperscript{th} harmonic</td>
<td>$K_{iv7}$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11\textsuperscript{th} harmonic</td>
<td>$K_{iv11}$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current controller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proportional</td>
<td>$K_{pi}$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fundamental</td>
<td>$K_{ii}$</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5\textsuperscript{th} harmonic</td>
<td>$K_{ii5}$</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7\textsuperscript{th} harmonic</td>
<td>$K_{ii7}$</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power controllers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P - proportional</td>
<td>$K_{pP}$</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P - integral</td>
<td>$K_{iP}$</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q - proportional</td>
<td>$K_{pQ}$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q - integral</td>
<td>$K_{iQ}$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC link controller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proportional</td>
<td>$K_{pdc}$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integral</td>
<td>$K_{idc}$</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TABLE II |
| Parameters of the controllers for the grid side inverter. |

3) DC link control loop: As well as for power controllers, the DC link voltage is controlled by a Proportional-Integral controller (PI). Its output, as a balance of the energy in the capacitor, is the reference for active power $P_{ref}$, as shown in Fig. 2. In this case, the dynamic response of this controller must be slower than that of the active power controller for stability reasons, but at the same time, fast enough to deal with the power coming from the test side inverter. The values for the gains of this controller are also shown in Table II.

B. Test side inverter control

The control structure for the test side inverter is basically the same as the previous one, but without the power control loop and the DC link voltage control. The controller parameters are given in Table III. Thus, only the voltage reference generation block, Fig. 3, is explained in this section. The voltage reference for the grid simulator is obtained by the addition of three components:

1) Voltage sag generator: This block is able to generate balanced and unbalanced voltage sags for a given set of inputs, such as sag depth, sag duration and type. For unbalanced sags, setting the sag duration to infinite causes a permanent imbalance in voltage.

In the case of a three-phase sag, all voltages are simultaneously reduced to a value $p|V_{ref}|$, $p$ times smaller, where $p$ is defined as the per unit remaining voltage during the sag, directly related to the sag depth. In this case, the angles between the three phases do not change. Nevertheless, when an unbalanced voltage sag takes place, not only the voltage amplitude but also the phase angles are affected, as is the case of a phase-to-phase voltage sag between phases A and B, shown in Fig. 4. This corresponds to a voltage sag type $C$ [30]. In this case, phase-to-neutral voltage $V_c$ remains
unchanged in both amplitude and phase. As $V_{ab}$ changes to $pV$, $V_{bc}$ and $V_{ca}$ change to $qV$ in order to keep neutral point voltage, being $V = \sqrt{3}|V_{ref}|$ the phase-to-phase voltage reference. Let $\theta$ be phase-to-phase voltage supplementary angle and $\gamma$ and $x$ the angle and the magnitude of phase-to-neutral voltages $V_a$ and $V_b$, as depicted in Fig. 4. The following equations can be deduced using their phasor relationships:

\begin{align*}
2qV \cos \theta &= pV \\
qV \sin \theta &= x \cos \gamma + \frac{V}{\sqrt{3}} \\
2x \sin \gamma &= pV \\
2x \cos \gamma &= \frac{V}{\sqrt{3}}
\end{align*}

Solving for $\theta$ and $q$ as a function of $p$ yields

\begin{align*}
\theta &= \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{p}\right) \\
q &= \frac{p}{2 \cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{p}\right)\right)}
\end{align*}

In Fig. 3, for a three-phase sag, the signal type is set to balanced, and all three phase voltage amplitudes are multiplied
Fig. 4. Voltage phasor representation of a phase-to-phase voltage sag, type $C_c$.

by $p$ during the time set as duration. The angles are not changed. For unbalanced sags, type is set to unbalanced and $\theta$ and $q$ are calculated following equations (11) and (12) respectively. Given $\theta$ and $q$, a three-phase voltage system is created with the following equations $V_{ab}(t) = \sqrt{2/3}pV \sin(2\pi ft)$, $V_{bc}(t) = \sqrt{2/3}qV \sin(2\pi ft - \pi + \theta)$ and $V_{ca}(t) = \sqrt{2/3}qV \sin(2\pi ft + \pi - \theta)$.

2) **Harmonic voltage generator**: The harmonic voltage components are obtained from

$$v_{h,\alpha} = A_h \sin \left( h (2\pi ft - \theta_h) \right) \tag{13}$$
$$v_{h,\beta} = A_h \sin \left( h (2\pi ft - \theta_h) + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \tag{14}$$

where $A_h$ is the amplitude of the harmonic component, $h$ is the harmonic order and $\theta_h$ is the angle of the harmonic component. Therefore, by adjusting $h$, $A_h$ and $\theta_h$, the desired harmonic components are obtained, which can be added to the main voltage reference in order to obtain the distorted voltages. Equations (13) and (14) were implemented independently for $5^{th}$, $7^{th}$ and $11^{th}$ harmonics so that they could be added simultaneously, as shown in Fig. 3.

3) **Flicker generator**: The flicker generator is a simple voltage amplitude modulation. It can either be sinusoidal or squared, with defined amplitude $A_f$ and frequency $f_f$. In the present case, amplitude variation is limited to 10% and frequency to 20 Hz. These values were estimated from the $P_{st}$ curves taken from [31], [32], which are used as means for quantifying the borderline of irritation for flicker. The application of the $P_{st}$ value of flicker is known to be complex, and these estimations were made to clarify this function of the grid simulator.

As shown in Fig. 3, it is implemented by multiplying the voltage amplitude reference $|V^{ref}|$ by the modulation signal, a squared or sinusoidal wave with unity average value, oscillating between 1.1 and 0.9 at the desired frequency.

### III. HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

The topology of the test bench with the grid simulator was shown in Fig. 1. All inverters are Danfoss VLT FC302, 2.2 kW three-phase IGBT inverters. DC link has two series 385 V 2200 $\mu$F RIFA capacitors. Output filters for both inverters are LC+L, with values $L_i = L_o = 1.8 mH$ and $C_f = 27 \mu F$ (delta connected $3 \times 9 \mu F$ Electronicon capacitors). The control was programmed in MATLAB/Simulink and was carried out in real-time by a ds1103 at 10 kHz. Voltage probes are 1500 V LEM LV 25-P. For currents, 50 A LEM LA 55-P probes were used. The whole set-up is shown in Fig. 5. On the left side is the grid simulator and on the right side the micro grid, with the inverter interfaced distributed.
generators and the critical load. Filters and measurement boxes are also indicated in the picture. In all experiments presented in next section, $V_{DC}$ is always 650 V.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

The grid simulator was used to test the aforementioned voltage disturbances on several loads:  

- **i)** a linear purely resistive load ($R=230 \, \Omega$);  
- **ii)** a linear inductive-resistive load ($R=230 \, \Omega$, $L=5 \, \text{mH}$);  
- **iii)** a non-linear load (diode rectifier with LC filter + $R=230 \, \Omega$) and  
- **iv)** a grid-connected current-controlled inverter.

As the simulator can handle 100% bi-directional power flow, i.e. it can work in four quadrants, inverters can be operated as generator interfaces like PV or wind turbine inverters, making the grid simulator suitable for testing systems with loads and generators, such as a MG. The following results show the performance of the grid simulator under different load conditions. Some examples in each case are given, as the objective is the performance of the grid simulator, and not the behavior of the loads under such distorted voltages. In sub-section V, a case study is presented in which the behavior of a two-inverter based micro-grid is analyzed.

A. Harmonic distortion

A 200 V 50 Hz three-phase system was set in the grid simulator. A 10% of $5^{th}$, $7^{th}$ and $11^{th}$ harmonic voltages were added simultaneously, as shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6(a) shows the currents in the resistive load R when 20 V $5^{th}$, $7^{th}$ and $11^{th}$ harmonics were added to the three-phase system, shown in Fig. 6(b). The grid simulator was able to handle such distorted currents without affecting its voltages, that keep the level of harmonic distortion desired.

The response of a current controlled PV inverter, shown in Fig. 7, connected to the grid simulator with a 20 V $5^{th}$ harmonic is shown in Fig. 8. Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) show current and voltage respectively. Inverter current is highly distorted due to the harmonic distortion in the voltages. Nevertheless, when harmonic compensation is activated in the inverter, currents are free of harmonics despite the voltages, proving the good performance of the compensation algorithm of the tested grid-connected current-controlled inverter (Fig. 8(c)). This test clearly shows one of the applications of the
grid simulator, such as to test power quality issues in a grid-connected inverter. This application of the grid simulator will be reinforced below in the case study of section V.

**B. Unbalanced voltages**

The grid simulator is also able to generate unbalanced voltages, according to the types described in [30]. Figs. 9(a) and 9(b) show currents and voltages in the non-linear load. It is a type C unbalance with a 20% remaining voltage in phase-to-phase voltage $V_{ab}$. As a consequence of the reduced voltage in $V_{ab}$, only two branches of the rectifier are working, while the current in phase A is always zero.

Similarly, results for an inverter under unbalanced voltages are shown in Figs. 9(c) and 9(d). Again, it is a type C imbalance. The remaining voltage is now 70%. In this case, the current controlled inverter does not have separate positive and negative sequence current controllers, causing highly distorted currents. In all of the cases, the grid simulator is able to cope with the distorted currents without affecting its generated voltage waveforms.
Fig. 8. Current and voltage in an inverter when 10% 5th harmonic is included: (a) Current, (b) Voltage and (c) Compensated current.

Fig. 9. Scope snapshots for unbalanced voltage tests: (a) current in a non-linear load; (b) voltage in a non-linear load; (c) current in an inverter; and, (d) voltage in an inverter.
C. Voltage sags

Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) show the response of a current controlled inverter under a three-phase voltage sag. The sag depth is 20\% and its duration 550 ms, as defined by the German BDEW grid code [21]. This grid code was used as an example, but the grid simulator is able to generate different sag profiles from different grid codes, which, as discussed in the introduction, makes the grid simulator a very flexible and useful test bed. Although a voltage sag is a very abrupt change, the grid simulator showed a very good performance.

D. Flicker

The grid simulator can also generate low frequency oscillations in voltage amplitude, causing flicker. The response of an RL load, $R = 230\Omega$, $L = 5mH$, to flicker is shown in Figs. 10(c) and 10(d). In this case, it is a sinusoidal flicker with an amplitude of 5\% of the voltage amplitude and a frequency is 8 Hz. A slight imbalance can be noticed in Fig. 10(c), which is caused by slight differences in the three-phase RL load and not by the voltages generated by the grid simulator, which do not show imbalance at all (Fig. 10(d)).

E. Multiple disturbances

Finally, in this section, results of combined disturbances are shown. A combination of harmonics and unbalance is shown in Fig. 11. In this case, 20 V of an $11^{th}$ harmonic component is added to an unbalanced voltage, being 20\% the remaining voltage of phase-to-phase voltage $V_{ab}$. This voltage is applied to a non-linear load. Once more, the voltage reference was tracked despite the currents drawn by the load.
V. A CASE STUDY: COOPERATIVE UNBALANCED VOLTAGE COMPENSATION IN A MICRO-GRID

The grid simulator was also used to test a micro-grid with two inverters and a three-phase resistive load, as shown in Fig. 12. The inverters can be taken as distributed generators, while the load is considered to be the critical load in the micro-grid. All the components correspond to those presented in section III. In this case study, the grid simulator is used to generate a permanent imbalance at the point of common coupling of the micro-grid. The main idea is to maintain the quality of the voltage in the critical load, thus providing the micro-grid with a self-healing capability, being able to increase the quality of the voltage for the critical load and at the same time stay connected to the main grid, maximizing energy production even under distorted voltages.

In order to achieve this objective, the inverters of the distributed generators include unbalanced voltage compensation algorithms. Each of the distributed generators use part of their available current to compensate for the imbalance. Depending on the tuning of the imbalance compensation algorithm for each distributed generator a steady state is reached, where they share the total amount of compensation. For different generated powers, this can yield a situation in which one of the DGs is overloaded and the others still have capacity left to either generate or compensate. In this case, it is useful to limit the amount of compensation in different DGs following a certain optimization criterion mainly depending on the costs associated with the compensation.

The unbalanced voltage compensation algorithm is shown in Fig. 13. It is based on an algorithm presented in [33] for islanded micro-grids. The compensation takes into account the measured negative sequence voltage $\vec{v}_{\alpha\beta}$ and negative sequence reactive power $Q^-$. In that work, the compensation gain $C$ was fixed in order to share the amount of $Q^-$ accordingly. Here, a modification for limiting its action was included. This limitation allows us to control the amount of compensation provided by each distributed unit in the micro-grid.
This way, different aspects in the micro-grid status can be considered for compensation purposes, such as costs of production loss, start-up time and so on. For example, if the wind is blowing, then MPPT for the wind energy distributed generator seems the best solution, but if compensation in the critical load is mandatory, then a decision must be taken, like decreasing the generated wind energy and using part of the current for compensation, or keeping MPPT for wind energy and decreasing PV generation (if any) or any other options, as many as different resources in the micro-grid.

In unbalanced voltage compensation, in grid-connected case, the compensation gain $C$ is dynamically changed as a function of the limitation of output current $i_{\alpha\beta}$, to obtain

$$C' = C \times g,$$

where

$$g = \begin{cases} 
C_u & \text{for } I > L_{\text{upper}} \\
I & \text{for } I \in [L_{\text{lower}}, L_{\text{upper}}] \\
C_l & \text{for } I < L_{\text{lower}},
\end{cases}$$

is the saturation function for the compensation gain, with $C_u$ and $C_l$ being the upper and lower limits of the saturation, $L_{\text{upper}}$ and $L_{\text{lower}}$ the limits of the integral and
\[ I = K \int \frac{h \times |i_{\alpha\beta}|}{L} dt, \]  

is the integral of the error in the current limitation, where \( K \) is the integral gain, \( L \) is the current limit and

\[ h = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } e > \varepsilon \\
0 & \text{for } e \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \\
-1 & \text{for } e < -\varepsilon,
\end{cases} \]

is a hysteresis comparator which outputs the sign of the error with a small dead band to filter out the ripple. In (18), \( e = L - |i_{\alpha\beta}| \) and \( \varepsilon \) is the dead band for the current limit error. The variation of the modified gain \( C' \) is limited to avoid excessive transient over- or under-compensation. The dead band \( \varepsilon \) used in the limitation error acts as a filter for the ripple in current modulus. The resulting compensating voltage \( v_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{comp}} \) is then subtracted from the voltage reference \( v_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{ref}} \) coming from the droop controllers. This new reference is controlled by inner voltage and current control loops.

In the present case, DG1 is generating 350 W and DG2 60 W. Unbalanced three-phase voltages are set by the grid simulator, with a 91% remaining voltage in the faulty lines. At instant \( t=0 \) s, compensation is activated for DG2. The imbalance is consequently reduced, as shown in Fig. 14(a). At around \( t=2.1 \) s, compensation is also activated for DG1. The imbalance is further reduced until, at \( t=4.5 \) s, a current limitation of 3 A is set in DG1. The compensation effect is now limited and the unbalance factor increases again to an intermediate level.

Fig. 14(b) shows DG1 \( d \) and \( q \) current components for positive and negative sequence currents. Apart from the transients, positive sequence components do not change their values. Negative sequence component appear uncontrolled until the compensation is activated. When the current limitation is activated, current modulus stays at 3 A, as required.

The same analysis can be done by observing Fig. 14(c), where RMS values of \( \alpha \) components of compensating voltage \( v_{\alpha}^{\text{comp}} \), positive sequence current \( i_{\alpha}^{+} \) and negative sequence current \( i_{\alpha}^{-} \) are plotted together with phase R output current \( i_{\alpha R} \) for both distributed generators, DG1 and DG2. Positive sequence currents are only transiently affected, when either the compensation or the limitation are activated. When DG1 starts compensating, the amount of compensation in DG2 decreases, and when the limitation is activated for DG1, it increases again. A different dynamic response can also be observed as a consequence of purposely setting different compensating gain values.

Finally, the compensation effect can also be observed in Fig. 15, where the voltage at the critical load is shown before compensation (Fig. 15(a)) and after compensation (Fig. 15(b)).

This way, distributed generators in a micro-grid can act as self-healing agents, increasing voltage quality in the point of common connection and thus avoiding potential problems to critical loads. This self-healing action is done at the expense of reducing the production and dedicating part of the available current for compensation purposes, instead of generation.
VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a grid simulator was designed and built. It is based on two inverters connected back-to-back, both with resonant controllers for currents and voltages. The performance of the grid simulator was tested on different kinds of loads, including linear and non-linear loads and inverters. The grid simulator had a very good performance, being able to track its reference even in the presence of very distorted currents. In addition, a case study was also presented, in which cooperative unbalanced voltage compensation was investigated in a micro-grid with two distributed generators and a critical load. The grid simulator allowed to test the compensation algorithm of the DG units in the micro-grid, proving the self-healing capability of this micro-grid as an example of possible compensation algorithm. Therefore, the grid simulator was successfully used to test power quality issues of multi-component systems such as micro-grids, where issues like Low Voltage Ride Through are likely to be mandatory in the near future, as happened with wind or PV energies, or unbalanced voltage compensation, requiring an unbalance factor of less than 3%, according to [27].
Fig. 15. Voltages at the critical load: (a) Before compensation and (b) After compensation
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