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Abstract: In the Internet of things (IoT), the activities of daily life are supported by a multitude of heterogeneous, loosely coupled ubiquitous devices. Traditional access control models are not suitable to the nomadic, decentralized and dynamic scenarios in the IoT where identities are not known in advance. This makes the trust management in IoT more promising to address the access control issues. This paper presents a Fuzzy approach to the Trust Based Access Control (FTBAC) with the notion of trust levels for identity management. The presented fuzzy approach for trust calculations deals with the linguistic information of devices to address access control in the IoT. The simulation result shows that the fuzzy approach for trust based access control guarantees scalability and it is energy efficient. This paper also presents FTBAC framework for trust based dynamic access control in distributed IoT. FTBAC framework is a flexible and scalable as increasing number of devices do not affect the functioning and performance.
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I. Introduction

Internet of Things (IoT) refers to the wireless network of devices such as household appliances, office appliances with self-configuring capability [1]. In IoT, people are surrounded by different types of computing devices which are billion in number, varied in size and capabilities to communicate with each other. Devices are having limited capabilities and ranges from Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tags to embedded devices, PDAs and sensor nodes. IoT integrates the physical world with the information world, and provides ambient services and applications. IoT networks allow users, devices and applications in different physical locations to communicate seamlessly with one another. However, the decentralized and distributed nature of IoT face challenges in trust management, access control and Identity Management (IdM) [2]. Without the effective IdM and access control, the benefits of ubiquitous networks will be limited.

Trust provides device with a natural way of judging other device similar to how we have been handling security and access control in human society. Trust relationship between two devices helps in influencing the future behaviors of their interactions. When devices trust each other, they prefer to share services and resources at certain extent. Trust management allows the computation and analysis of trust among devices to make suitable decision in order to establish efficient and reliable communication among devices [3]. Devices, identities and the interaction of the devices are the three major challenges of IoT. Consider for a moment, how a user can attach device available publicly to his/her personal space of device for a short time? How can he/she trust this device? How will this device access his/her personal information? These issues can be addressed with fuzzy based trust calculation for the IoT. This paper uses the calculated value of trust related to the factors like Experience (EX), Knowledge (KN) and Recommendation (RC) by capturing their vague values. In the IoT, trusted devices are the only authorized devices to access resources and there is a need of scalable trust management model as well as framework for access control in the IoT. This paper also presents the Fuzzy approach to the Trust Based Access Control (FTBAC) framework which collects EX, KN and RC component from the devices communicating to each other. Based on these collected parameters, the proposed FTBAC framework calculates the trust score. This trust score is then mapped to permission mapping to achieve access control.

This paper is organized as follows: Section II presents related works and evaluation of the related work. Section III presents the proposed fuzzy approach for trust calculation and its mathematical model. Section IV presents the simulation results and discussion. Finally, section V summarizes the research and discusses the future work.

II. Related Works

Concept of trust management with authorization delegation was first introduced by Blaze [4]. Authors suggested the framework as 'Policy Maker' and 'Key Note' where authorization delegation and public key is bonded and devices knowing each other signs authorization certificates based on their trust relationship. Josang [5] proposed trust management model based on subjective logic. This model presented a set of subjective logic operators for derivation and calculation of the trust value. However, limited resources, lack of centralized server and dynamic topology in the IoT makes authorization delegation the wrong choice. Trust between two nodes have been represented by entropy function in [6] and is useful to calculate the trust dynamically. But with the scale of economics in the IoT, this scheme performs considerably slow and becomes less flexible. In [7], the author discussed about how federated IdM systems can better protect user’s information when integrated with the trust negotiation. How to keep identity private using trust management is discussed in [8] but practical solution is missing. Theoretical trust control in heterogeneous network for the IoT is presented in [9] but the resource constraints issues of the devices are not addressed. In [10], authors have defined different trust properties in pervasive computing with high level trust relations without performance measures. Thorough survey has been done on the trust management models for wireless communication in [11, 12]. Survey shows that there could be individual level trust model or system level trust model. Majority of the literature presents individual level trust and there is a need of hybrid trust model with trust score.
calculation. Both trust management models cannot address security issues at fullest. There is also need of explicit trust model which will address trusted access control for the IoT. Access control mechanism based on the trust calculations using fuzzy approach is presented in [3] where access feedback is used for access control. This scheme is not suitable for distributed nature of the IoT.

It must be however noted that, all of the above models are sufficient for the current world of computing. In all the work presented above, although trust is associated with the access control model, no attempt has been made to quantify the trust. This paper proposes the fuzzy approach for trust management which is necessary in capturing the trust calculations of context based trust relationships which is non-intrusive and device centric.

III. Proposed FTBAC Model

Proposed FTBAC trust management model is divided into three contributions in this paper which are presented below:

a. Trust and Access Control

Solution based on cryptographic protection can achieve access control by increasing the trust levels to some extent but it creates extra overhead in terms of time and energy consumption. Fuzzy approach of trust management is easy to integrate in utility-based decision making. It also allows integration of additional component making it flexible. This paper introduces the relationship between access control and the trust as given in eq. (1) as

\[
\text{Level of Access Control}_{i \rightarrow j} = \text{Trust}_{i \rightarrow j}
\]

Eq. (1) shows that level of access control from device i to device j is directly proportional to the trust device i is holding for device j. Access control and the trust are closely related as level of access granted by particular device to other device or service depends on the level of trust between these devices. This paper proposes to use the trust as a tool in decision making of access control and presents the calculation of context dependent trustworthiness of each device or group of device based on EX, KN and RC. Another contribution is the application of new semantics to the calculated trust values based on membership function to quantify the trust. The context dependent trustworthiness of each device or group of device is defined as

\[
\text{Level of Access Control}_{i \rightarrow j} \propto \text{Trust}_{i \rightarrow j}, \quad (i, j) \in \mathcal{V}
\]

where \( \mathcal{V} \) is the set of all devices. The trust value is related to the track record of previous interactions, \( V_k \), where \( k \) varies from integers 1 to \( n \). If the interaction is successful, its value is +1, in case of failure it is -1. With the record of the successful and unsuccessful interactions, the trust value becomes the appropriate to be used because it provides mathematical way to represent vagueness occurred in natural language.

b. Calculating EX, KN and RC

In [16], authors have shown that the trust value is related to three components, EX, KN and RC, but under the same context. Trust of device A to device B in particular context ‘c’ is based on the track record of previous interactions \( V_k \), where \( k \) varies from integers 1 to \( n \). If the interaction is successful then, its value is +1, in case of failure it is -1. With the record of the successful and unsuccessful interactions, the trust value for ‘k’ interactions is written as in eq. (5):

\[
(\text{EX})^c = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_k}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}|V_i|}, \quad \text{where } (\text{EX})^c \text{ belongs to } [-1, +1]
\]

Here the EX value \((\text{EX})^c\) generate the crisp data. This paper uses the linguistic values of three components such as good, average and bad. For this purpose, the fuzzy logic tool becomes the appropriate to be used because it provides mathematical way to represent vagueness occurred in natural language. In [13], author introduced degree of membership in the interval [0, 1] where, 0 and 1 confirms no membership and full membership respectively. In order to calculate EX component, we assign the degree of membership to the linguistic labels of \((\text{EX})^c\). Linguistic variable EX is defined in the Table. I and membership function for EX is presented in Figure. 1. L(x) represents linguistic value of variable x in Table I where x is EX, KN or RC.

### TABLE I: LINGUISTIC VALUE OF EX, KN AND RC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L(Ex)</th>
<th>L(KN)</th>
<th>L(RC)</th>
<th>Crisp Range</th>
<th>Fuzzy Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Insufficient</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Below -0.5</td>
<td>-1,-0.5,0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>-0.1 to 0.25</td>
<td>(-0.25,-1,0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Above 0.5</td>
<td>(0.25,0.5,1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The trust is defined as a subjective and context based value which presents the uncertain prediction of device to other device’s behavior in this paper. In uncertain environment like IoT, fuzzy approach for the trust calculations is more appropriate to quantify and evaluate device behavior and in turn access control rules. The trust management system should address the questions like kind of authorization device A have on device B and this authorization can be measured with EX, KN and RC. To this purpose, this paper presents the trust calculation based on gathered information and rule base fuzzy model. FTBAC uses Mamdani-type [14, 15] fuzzy rule based model which deals with the linguistic values of EX, KN, and RC where vagueness is associated. The output of this model is represented by a fuzzy set. To validate the performance of the model, fuzzy value of the trust can be converted in to a crisp value by defuzzification methods. The Mamdani scheme is a type of fuzzy relational model where each rule is represented by an If-Then Relationship. Mamdani type fuzzy If-Then Rule is written as:

\[
\text{If } X_1 \text{ is } A_{i_1} \text{ and } \ldots \ldots \text{ and } X_n \text{ is } A_{i_n} \text{ then } Y \text{ is } B_r,
\]

Where \( A_{i_r} \) denote the linguistic lables of the i-th input variable associated with the r-th rule (i = 1, ..., n), and \( B_r \) is the linguistic label of the output variable, associated with the same rule. Each \( A_{i_r} \) and \( B_r \) has its representation in the membership function \( \mu_{i_r} \) and \( \gamma_r \) respectively. The Fuzzy output \( F(y) \) of the system has the following form as shown in eq. (4):

\[
F(y) = \bigcup_{r=1}^{R} \left( \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i_r}(x_i) \right) \cap \gamma_r \right)
\]

The crisp output can be obtained by the CoG method of defuzzification.
For high degree of the trust, A requires the complete knowledge about B, which is the second characteristic feature for the trust evaluation. Insufficient or less knowledge may influence the trust value. In [15], author calculated crisp knowledge in context ‘c’ with the help of direct knowledge (d) and indirect knowledge (r) as below in eq.(6).

$$(KN)^c = W_d \cdot d + W_r \cdot r$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where d, r $\in [-1, 1]$, $W_d, W_r \in [0, 1]$ and $W_d + W_r = 1$.

$W_d$ and $W_r$ are the corresponding weights. Linguistic variable $KN$ is defined in the Table. I and membership function for $KN$ is depicted in Figure 2. Third characteristic feature for trust evaluation is the $RC$ which can be obtained by the summation of $RC$ values from ‘n’ number of devices about trustee B in the context ‘c’ as stated below in eq.(7).

$$R_c^c = \frac{\sum W_i(r_{c_i})}{\sum W_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where $w_i$ and ($r_{c_i}$) be the weight assigned by A to the recommendation of $i^{th}$ device and the $RC$ value of $i^{th}$ device respectively. Linguistic variable $RC$ is defined in the Table. I and the membership function for $RC$ is shown in the Figure 3.

On the basis of three performance factors, we have defined trust in Table. II and its equivalent membership function is shown in Figure 4.

In this paper, following steps are used for calculating trust.
1. Assigning Membership Values to $KN$, $EX$, $RC$ as input and Trust as output in Mamdani Fuzzy Inference System Using MATLAB 7.0.
2. Develop fuzzy Rule Base.
3. Get crisp and fuzzy trust value.

For each linguistic input variables (i.e. $EX$, $KN$ and $RC$), three linguistic terms (i.e. Good, Average, Bad etc.) have been assigned. We may assign more linguistic terms like Very Good, Very Bad, and Below Average etc. There are 27 possible rules out of which 9 rules are taken into consideration to show how FTBAC performs in this paper. For better results, number of linguistic terms can be increased. These trust values are shown in Table. III.

To calculate the result of the trust, the representation of varying intervals as fuzzy numbers has been assigned to all parameters used in this paper. Simulation of the nine rules is done as shown in the Table. III, then the breech down position have been identified to represent the output value of trust with precision 10.8%. Figure 5 shows the simulation result with the crisp trust value. Column 1, 2 and 3 in Figure 5 represents simulated crisp value of $EX$, $KN$ and $RC$ respectively. Column 4 represents fuzzified trust value based on the defined 9 rules.
Finally, using CoG method crisp trust value is calculated. In Figure 6, surface-viewer reflects the trust value relative to KN, EX, and RC that may help us to analyze trust variance. This Figure shows the output surface for the trust value versus KN, EX and RC and this outcome is very useful in decision making of access control.

\[ T = \{ T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k \} \]

Access control based on fuzzy trust score work as follows:

Trust score is mapped to access permissions for providing access to the resources or devices with the principle of least privilege. Assume that device's device permission set is M. We divide the trust of device i on device j into k intervals, namely

\[ T = \{ \text{GOOD, AVERAGE, LOW} \} \] and \[ \text{Access rights (AR)} \] set is represented as

\[ \text{AR} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \text{READ} \}, \{ \text{READ, WRITE} \}, \ldots, \{ \text{READ, WRITE, DELETE} \} \} \]

Cardinality of set \text{AR} is k which is equal to number of trust interval presented in set \text{T} and each \text{T}_i is corresponding to an element of \text{AR} set. If the fuzzy trust value is \text{T}_1 = \text{Low} which is dependent parameter on \text{EX, KN and RC}, then the corresponding \text{AR} is \emptyset and if \text{T}_1 = \text{Average}, then the \text{AR} is \{\text{READ}\}. In distributed IoT networks, depending on the context, this mapping between trust intervals and access permissions will vary. When device is communicating to other device, \text{EX, KN and RC} are decided in fuzzy form to calculate fuzzy trust value as presented above. Depending on the resulted fuzzy trust value, trustworthiness of other device is decided and also this value is used for permission mapping to achieve access control. For better results number of linguistic terms can be increased in the framework. We may assign more linguistic terms like Very Good, Very Bad, and Below Average etc. This framework is scalable as increasing number of devices does not affect the functioning of devices as discussed in the next section and as we are dealing with linguistic terms, depending on the number of devices in IoT context, linguistic terms can be increased or decreased making this framework flexible.

\[ T = \{ \text{GOOD, AVERAGE, LOW} \} \] and \[ \text{AR} = \{ \{\text{SEND, RECEIVE, FORWARD, DROP}\}, \{\text{RECEIVE, FORWARD}\}, \{\text{RECEIVE}\} \} \]

Simulation environment and parameters are shown in Table IV. Proposed FTBAC scheme is simulated by varying number of nodes in the network. FTBAC effectively handles access control mechanism based on trust between two nodes.

### TABLE IV: SIMULATION PRAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation Area</th>
<th>800 x 800 mts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Nodes</td>
<td>100, 125, 150, 175, 200, 225, 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit Power</td>
<td>0.9 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Power</td>
<td>0.6 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Energy</td>
<td>100 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Time</td>
<td>1000 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Temperature Sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Rate</td>
<td>1 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Size</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Simulation Runs</td>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In every periodic interval, each node computes trust level and access rights between the neighbor nodes. It avoids some unwanted communication through low trusted device. So that energy consumption is less and residual energy is high. Average energy consumption and average residual energy is measured by varying the number of nodes to ensure the scalability. Average energy consumption is calculated as the ratio between sum of energy consumption of all nodes to the total number of nodes and average residual energy is calculated as the ratio between sums of remaining energy of all nodes to the total number of nodes. Figure 8 shows the simulation result for average energy consumption. Result shows that, even with the increase in the number of nodes, average energy consumption is less in access control with
FTBAC than without FTBAC. As per the proposed FTBAC scheme, every node calculates $EX$, $KN$ and $RC$ for the other node it is communicating with. FTBAC effectively handles access control mechanism based on trusting between two nodes. Every periodic interval each node computes trust level access control mechanism based on trusting between two node it is communicating with. FTBAC effectively handles efficient. Average of 3 simulation runs is taken for the results. Simulation results shows that FTBAC is scalable and energy efficient. Result shows that average residual energy is high in access control with FTBAC than without FTBAC. These simulation results shows that FTBAC is scalable and energy efficient. Average of 3 simulation runs is taken for the results.

![Figure 8: Average Energy Consumption vs. Number of Nodes](image)

![Figure 9: Average Residual Energy vs. Number of Nodes](image)

V. Conclusions and Future Work

Trust based access control is crucial to the success and full realization of IoT communication, especially for device to device communication. This paper presents the qualitative comparison of different trust management models with their advantages and limitations. Based on the evaluation of existing trust models, a novel trust based approach using fuzzy sets for access control is presented. For the calculation of trust score, the linguistic values of experience, knowledge and recommendation are used. These fuzzy trust values are mapped to access permissions to achieve access control in IoT. FTBAC scheme is simulated and results show that it can be used to calculate fuzzy trust values for any number of devices which makes it more suitable for scalable IoT. Simulation results also shows that, even with the increase in the number of nodes, average energy consumption is less in access control with FTBAC than without FTBAC scheme which makes it energy efficient solution. Future plan is to implement this mathematical model in real time RFID and sensor networks and integrate with the capability based access control [17] scheme.
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