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Abstract— In this paper, a distributed coordinated control scheme based on frequency-bus-signaling (FBS) method for a low-voltage AC three phase microgrid is proposed. The control scheme is composed by two levels. Firstly a primary local control which is different for the DGs and the ESS is proposed. The ESS adopts FBS control which is based on changing slightly the bus frequency in the microgrid when the state-of-charge is near to the limit. This way, the DG controller when detecting that the frequency is increasing, will reduce the injected power by using a virtual inertia control loop. Then secondary control is implemented to restore the frequency deviation produced by the primary ESS controller while preserving the coordinated control performance. Real-time simulation results show the feasibility of the proposed approach by showing the operation of the microgrid in different scenarios.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A Microgrid can be considered as a local grid with multiple distributed generators (DGs), energy storage systems (ESS), and loads, able to operate in either grid-connected or islanded modes, with seamless transition between both modes [1].

In grid connected mode of AC microgrid, the AC bus frequency and voltage are fixed by the main grid, and all the DGs are working as grid following units to exchange power with main grid. However in islanded mode, the power exchange among DGs, ESS and loads should be balanced inside the isolated microgrid. In the literature, the most popular control technique used in islanded microgrids is the droop method. It consists on adjusting the frequency of the inverters in function of output active power to achieve power sharing [2-4]. When using multilayer hierarchical control systems, this method is defined inside the primary local controllers [5]. Although this technique works well when we have fully dispatchable generators, such as uninterruptible power supply systems or energy storage integrated units, however when combining renewable energy sources (RESs) like photovoltaics (PV) or windturbines (WT) the dispatching is limited to the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) and is meaningless to get equal current sharing between RES and ESS. Further, ESSs have limitations in terms of state-of-charge (SoC) that have to be respected to avoid damages and failures. This means that when the SoC is near to the maximum, the power produced by the DG should be reduced to limit power of ESS. Consequently, in an islanded microgrid there is a need of coordination between DGs and ESS units.

To achieve the coordinated behavior among DGs and ESS, centralized controllers in energy management system (EMS) are proposed in [6-7]. In the literatures, the upper level controller makes decisions taking into account of SoC and give commands to local controllers. However, the drawback is that system stability relies on a microgrid central controller (MGCC) and its communication links [8]. If they are disabled, the whole system loses the coordination signal. To overcome the limitation of the centralized coordinated control, decentralized coordinated control can be implemented to enhance the system reliability. Among the control strategies, bus-signaling method (BSM) is a very promising way to implement since power line is used to transmit coordinated signals instead of using external fast communication links. In [9-10], BSM is used as power management among ESS, RESs and loads in DC systems. By changing the bus voltage according to different thresholds, the coordination signal is communicated to other units. However, this control law needs the modes changes among units, which makes the parameters of units hard to be designed and even may cause the unstable operation in the dynamic switching process.

In this paper, a frequency bus signaling (FBS) method is proposed for AC islanded microgrids. When the SoC of ESS is approaching high, the power of ESS is limited; at the same time the RESs operate in off-MPPT mode automatically. It is worth noticing that no modes changes is required in this method, thereby avoiding the dynamic stability problem may occur in previous BSM. The paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the system description, Section III gives the ESS and RESs control algorithms in primary level. In order to eliminate the AC bus frequency deviation produced in primary control, a secondary coordinated control is proposed in Section...
IV. Section V gives the detailed description of control implementation of ESS and RESs, and finally the real-time simulation results are presented in Section VI to validate the proposed control strategy.

II. MICROGRID SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Fig. 1 shows a flexible microgrid consists of RESs and ESS with batteries which can operate in either grid connected mode or islanded mode according to the state of Intelligent Bypass Switch (IBS). To make the maximum utilization of renewable energy, the RESs usually operate in MPPT as grid following units in both grid connected mode and islanded mode. However, the roles of ESS are different in each operating mode. In grid connected operation, the behavior of ESS should be determined by both its SoC and time of use (TOU) of electricity. This means that besides discharging the power to supply loads, the ESS can also take additional function as peak shaving in peak period of grid. While in islanded operation, the ESS usually works as grid forming unit to maintain the common grid bus. Since islanded microgrid has no power exchange with main grid, the ESS also has to operate as energy buffer to balance the power between sources and loads. Therefore, to obtain a reliable energy management function among RESs, ESS and loads, the capability of ESS based on SoC is very important when coordinate various units in islanded microgrid. The following description is based on the analysis of islanded microgrid operation.

In order to obtain a coordinated control among units according to SoC and MPPT, the system control structure based on FBS is proposed in Fig. 3. The coordinated control strategy can be classified into primary local level and secondary centralized level. In the primary level, there is no need of communication among ESS and RESs units. According to estimated SoC, the ESS changes the bus frequency as signaling, and RESs receive the signaling in AC bus to change the output power. However the frequency deviation will result in this level. Then if requirement for the tight frequency range is needed, additional secondary control level can be applied to restore frequency in nominal value with low bandwidth communication link, which will be illustrated in section IV. In this part, the primary control level is illustrated based on ESS master control and RES slave control respectively.

A. ESS Master Control-Bus Signaling Control.

In [3], it has been illustrated that when the output impedance of converter is highly inductive, the active power can be controlled almost exclusively by the output frequency. Therefore, it makes sense using frequency of ESS as signal to coordinate units when SoC approaching high.

Fig. 4 shows the diagram of ESS frequency signaling. $f^*$ and $f_f$ is the normal frequency and maximum frequency, and $SoC_1$ is the SoC threshold of ESS. $f_f$ and $SoC_1$ are the final value of frequency and SoC. When SoC is lower than the up threshold, the ESS regulates its output frequency as nominal value. When SoC is higher than the threshold, the frequency then increases with slope of $m$ to coordinate other units to maintain SoC. The output frequency of ESS is determined as

$$f = f^* \quad SoC \leq SoC_1$$
$$f = f^* + m \cdot (SoC - SoC_1) \quad SoC > SoC_1$$

where the boost frequency coefficient $m$ can be defined as

$$m = \frac{f_f - f^*}{100\% - SoC_1}$$
B. RES Slave Control—Virtual Inertia Control.

Having the frequency increasing, each RES unit decreases power from maximum power point. This performance is similar with the inertia response of the power system. In this case, the power drop of RESs may be achieved by adding virtual inertia of system. Fig. 5 shows the virtual inertia performance of RESs, where \( P_{\text{ref}} \) is the active power reference of RESs, \( P_{\text{MPPT}} \) and \( P_n \) referred to the MPPT point and final power output of each RESs, and \( f_{\text{meas}} \) is the sensing frequency by the phase lock loop (PLL). When the \( f_{\text{meas}} \) not above nominal frequency, RES units are working under MPPT state, and making the full use of renewable energy. When \( f_{\text{meas}} \) is above \( f^* \), RES units start to decrease output power to limit SoC of ESS coordinately. As the frequency reflects the SoC information, the higher is the bus frequency, the lower is the power from RESs. Finally, when the power absorbed by the ESS is low enough to maintain SoC, the frequency will be stable at \( f_e \).

Thus the active power reference of each RES can be expressed as following

\[
\begin{aligned}
  P_{\text{ref}} &= P_{\text{MPPT}} & f_{\text{meas}} \leq f^* \\
  P_{\text{ref}} &= P_{\text{MPPT}} - n \cdot (f_{\text{meas}} - f^*) & f_{\text{meas}} > f^*
\end{aligned}
\]  

where the virtual inertia coefficient \( n \) can be defined as

\[
  n = \frac{P_{\text{MPPT}}}{f_1 - f^*}
\]  

Usually the microgrid frequency is measured by the RES by means of PLL, which can be approximated as a first order system [11]. Hence, the measured frequency \( f_{\text{meas}} \) can be expressed as

\[
  f_{\text{meas}} = \frac{1}{\sigma s + 1} f
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the time constant of the PLL.

IV. SECONDARY COORDINATED CONTROL

As previous analyzed, the bus signaling of ESS with only primary control results in frequency deviation. Although this frequency deviation can be designed inside the allowable limits, but some events like reconnection to main grid or synchronous machines connection to the microgrid may require tight frequency regulation. The aim of this Section is to propose a secondary control to cancel the frequency deviation produced by the primary control, while preserving the autonomous operation of each unit.

Fig. 6 shows the bus signal method of ESS with the secondary control action. When \( \text{SoC} > \text{SoC}_1 \), the \( f - \text{SoC} \) curve of the ESS shifts downwards in order to regulate the microgrid frequency in steady state. Then, we can modify the control strategy (1) by adding a shifting-frequency term, thus (1) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{aligned}
  f &= f^* & \text{SoC} \leq \text{SoC}_1 \\
  f &= f^* + \delta f + m \cdot (\text{SoC} - \text{SoC}_1) & \text{SoC} > \text{SoC}_1
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \delta f \) is the shifting-frequency term, which adjust (6) to achieve \( f = f^* \) anytime. The secondary control will generate \( \delta f \) by using the following centralized PI controller:
\[
\delta f = G_{sec}(s) \cdot (f_{sec} - f_{meas2}) = \left( k_{psec} + \frac{k_{isec}}{s} \right) (f_{sec} - f_{meas2})
\]  
(7)

where \( k_{psec} \) and \( k_{isec} \) are the proportional and integral terms, respectively; \( f_{sec} \) is the secondary frequency reference; \( f_{meas2} \) is the measured frequency obtained by secondary control PLL, which can be as in (3) approximated by the following first order approximation:

\[
f_{meas2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_2 s + 1} f
\]  
(8)

where \( \sigma_2 \) is the time constant of the PLL of secondary control.

On the other hand, if we restore the frequency in the microgrid, then the effect of the RES primary control will be cancelled, so that we need to change the frequency threshold of equation (2), which can be modified as following:

\[
\begin{cases} 
    P_{ref} = P_{MPPT} & f_{meas} \leq f^* + \delta f \ \
    P_{ref} = P_{MPPT} - n \cdot (f_{meas} - f^* - \delta f) & f_{meas} > f^* + \delta f 
\end{cases}
\]  
(9)

Notice that now the frequency threshold, instead of just using \( f^* \) now also incorporates the shifting-frequency term \( \delta f \). Fig. 7 shows the adaptive behavior of the frequency threshold changing for the virtual inertia function. Consequently, the RESs will deliver the output power commanded by \( P_{ref} \), coordinated with the bus signaling but without frequency deviation.

For coherency, the secondary frequency reference is selected as \( f_{sec} = f^* \), then by combining (6) and (9), we can obtain the power reference dynamics of RESs:

\[
P_{ref}(s) = P_{MPPT} + \frac{-n \sigma}{s+1} \dot{f} - nm(SoC - SoC_1)
\]  
(10)

So that, from (10) the closed-loop system inertia with secondary control can be calculated as:

\[
G_i(s) \triangleq \frac{\Delta P_{ref}(s)}{\Delta f(s)} = \frac{n \sigma}{s+1}
\]  
(11)

It can be seen that the secondary control can be used to restore the bus frequency without changing the local inertia response of RESs.
D. Secondary control

The secondary control of whole system is to eliminate the final frequency deviation when SoC approaching limitation. It is achieved by using (6) and (9), thereby changing the frequency deviation setpoint of ESS and RESs. It should be noted that the secondary control is optional in system since the coordinated control of system is achieve in primary level even without communication. Then if the islanded microgrid is required in advance situation like synchronized with main grid, this secondary control with low bandwidth can be used in addition.

| Table I. Power Stage Parameters |
| Item                               | Symbol | Value     |
| Nominal output voltage             | E      | 230 V     |
| Nominal output frequency           | f'     | 50 Hz     |
| Filter inductor                    | L₁a    | 1.8 mH    |
| Output inductor                    | L₁o    | 1.8 mH    |
| Output capacitor of ESS            | C      | 27 µF     |
| Output capacitor of RES            | Cᵣ     | 4.7 µF    |
| Power of Loads                     | P_L    | 1.6 kW    |

VI. REAL-TIME SIMULATION RESULTS

The proposed coordinated control strategy is validated through real-time simulation based on dSPACE platform. The described system is composed of one ESS and two RES units. The power stage parameters of ESS and RESs are shown in Table I, the control parameters are shown in Table II.
Fig. 9 shows the simulation results with only primary coordinated control. Before the ESS is approaching the charging limitation 95% of SoC, ESS operates as VCM, and the RESs are operating as ideal current controlled mode with output power of 1.5kW and 1kW. When SoC is over the charging threshold 95% as shown in Fig. 9(a), then primary coordinated control is activated, the input power of ESS starts to be limited in Fig. 9(b) by coordinated decrease the output power of RESs in Fig. 9(c) and Fig. 9(d). Finally, the input power of ESS can be limited to almost zero to maintain its SoC. And as shown in Fig. 9(e), there is a frequency deviation about 0.2Hz of AC bus frequency in steady state.

The simulation results adding secondary control is presented in Fig. 10. When SoC is over the charging threshold 95% as shown in Fig. 10(a), not only the primary control is activated, but also the secondary control is enabled to restore frequency. Compared to Fig. 9(e), Fig. 10(e) shows that with secondary control, the AC bus frequency can be restored to 50 Hz with secondary coordinated control.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper gave a distributed coordinated control strategy among DGs and ESS in islanded microgrid based on frequency bus signaling method. In the proposed control strategy, the primary control is used based on FBS of ESS and virtual inertia response of RESs to achieve the power balancing among ESS and RESs in different SoC condition of ESS. Thus the ESS can be prevented from overcharging by the primary coordinated control. To eliminate frequency deviation, a centralized secondary control was implemented without changing the coordinated control behavior of primary control. The simulation results have validated the proposed control strategy in both primary and secondary level.

REFERENCES


