



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Analysis, Control and Experimental Verification of a Single-Phase Capacitive-Coupling Grid-Connected Inverter

Dai, Ning-Yi; Zhang, Wen-Chen; Wong, Man-Chung; Guerrero, Josep M.; Lam, Chi-Seng

Published in:
IET Power Electronics

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.1049/iet-pel.2014.0373](https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-pel.2014.0373)

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Dai, N-Y., Zhang, W-C., Wong, M-C., Guerrero, J. M., & Lam, C-S. (2015). Analysis, Control and Experimental Verification of a Single-Phase Capacitive-Coupling Grid-Connected Inverter. *IET Power Electronics*, 8(5), 770 - 782 . <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-pel.2014.0373>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Analysis, Control and Experimental Verification of a Single-Phase Capacitive-Coupling Grid-Connected Inverter

NingYi Dai¹, WenChen Zhang¹, ManChung Wong¹, Josep M. Guerrero², Chi-Seng Lam^{1,3}

1. Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, University of Macau, Macao, P.R. China

2. Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, Denmark

3. State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, University of Macau, Macao, China

Abstract— This paper proposes a capacitive-coupling grid-connected inverter (CGCI), which consists of a full bridge single-phase inverter coupled to a power grid via one capacitor in series with an inductor. The fundamental-frequency impedance of the coupling branch is capacitive. In contrast with the conventional inductive-coupling grid-connected inverter (IGCI), this structure provides an alternative interface for use between a low-voltage DC microgrid and an AC grid. A comparison between the CGCI and IGCI is performed. It is concluded that the CGCI is able to transfer active power and provide lagging reactive power at an operational voltage much lower than that of the IGCI. This reduces the system's initial cost and operational losses, as well as the energy stored in the DC-link capacitor. The CGCI has been analyzed and a DC voltage selection method is proposed. Using this method, the DC-link voltage of the CGCI remains at approximately 50% of the peak grid voltage. In addition, a P -unit current controller is proposed for use with the CGCI, as a proportional-integral controller is not suitable. Finally, simulation and experiments show the effectiveness of the proposed approach.

1. INTRODUCTION

Due to increasing concern for the environment, interest in renewable energy resources has intensified. Distributed generation (DG) based on renewable green energy is expected to increase at an unprecedented rate worldwide [1-3]. The energy obtained from renewable sources is not only used to feed local loads but is also transferred to the grid. Grid-connected inverters provide flexible interfaces for the importation and exportation of renewable energy to and from the grid [4-7].

In the past, the main task of a grid-connected inverter was to transfer active power from renewable resources to the grid [8-10]. However, the integration of uncontrollable resources, such as wind and solar energy, may endanger the stability and power quality of the grid. Independent reactive power compensation devices such as active power filters or static synchronous compensators (STATCOMs) can be installed to

ensure that the chief task of a grid-connected inverter is active power flow control [11-13].

As the current of an active-power flow is orthogonal to that of a reactive power flow, it is economical to use the same inverter to transfer active and reactive power. Inverters capable of providing reactive power to the utility grid played a very important role in today's systems. Controlling reactive power improves the quality of low/medium voltage distribution networks, ensuring that the latest technical requirements set by distribution system operators can be met [14-15]. Parallel-connected inverters with active and reactive power flow control have been examined by [16-19]. Systems that integrate renewable energy sources and provide wide-range power flow control have been investigated by [20-24]. However, grid-connected inverters capable of wide-range reactive power control have a high direct current (DC) link voltage, as the voltage drop on the coupling inductor is proportional to the reactive power [23-24]. In [20], two auxiliary inverters are added to increase the output voltage range of a grid-connected inverter. To provide wide-range reactive power control, a grid-connected inverter must have a high rating, which requires a lot of energy to be stored in high voltage DC-link capacitors [25-26]. This increases the system's cost and operational loss.

A parallel-connected inverter used to integrate a microgrid or renewable energy source into the utility grid, as described above, is usually coupled to the point of common coupling (PCC) via an inductor, an inductor-capacitor (LC) filter or an LCL filter. Therefore, such parallel-connected inverters are classified as inductive-coupling grid-connected inverters (IGCIs) in this paper. Another type of parallel-connected inverter, which is coupled to the PCC via one capacitor in series with an inductor, has been used in reactive power compensation and harmonic suppression devices [27-28]. The equivalent impedance of the coupling branch at a fundamental-frequency is capacitive. Therefore, this type of inverter is classified as a capacitive-coupling grid-connected inverter (CGCI). The existing literature has shown that the rating of a power converter is reduced when capacitors are inserted into its coupling branch [29-30]. Capacitor banks are usually much cheaper per KVA than active power filters from the same vendor [31-33]. Therefore, CGCIs provide a more cost-effective solution than IGCIs, particularly when used to suppress the reactive power of high-power loads.

The active power transfer capability of CGCIs was first examined by [29], which showed that a CGCI is able to transfer active power and compensate reactive power when its operational voltage is lower than the grid voltage. However, the study used a fixed injected current to compare the CGCI with an IGCI and did not compare the power transfer capability of the two devices at different power levels. In addition, [29] did not discuss DC-voltage selection for the CGCI. Previous work has shown that CGCIs are able to compensate harmonic currents at a low operational voltage by tuning coupling impedance [27, 34]. As the focus of this paper is power flow control at the fundamental frequency, the design and control of the CGCIs with harmonic suppression capability is not addressed.

In Section 2 of this paper, the power flow control characteristics of an IGCI and a CGCI are analyzed and compared, with special reference to the relationship between the operational voltage and the controllable power range. In Section 3, the power control capability of the proposed CGCI and the DC voltage selection method are described. A control system block diagram is provided and analysed in Section 4. In Section 5, the results of simulations are shown to verify the effectiveness of the proposed CGCI. The experimental results are provided in Section 6.

2. COMPARISON OF IGCI AND CGCI

The configuration of a single-phase IGCI is shown in Fig. 1(a). A CGCI can be created from a single-phase IGCI by replacing the coupling inductor with a capacitor in series with an inductor, as shown in Fig. 1(b). The power flow control characteristics of the two inverters are compared in this Section. It is assumed that the DC bus of each inverter receives active power from an external source, which may be a renewable energy source or an energy storage unit.

The IGCI is coupled to the grid via an inductor, which is mainly used to suppress output current ripples. The CGCI is coupled to the grid via an LC branch, which presents capacitive impedance at the fundamental frequency. The LC branch can be replaced by a capacitor in an equivalent circuit. The impedance of the coupling branch is expressed as follows.

$$X_c = Z \angle \theta = -j \frac{1}{\omega C_c} + j \omega L_c = -j \frac{1}{\omega C} \quad (1)$$

It is assumed that the voltage V_s at the PCC is located on the positive horizontal axis and has a phase angle of zero. The current injected from the IGCI and the CGCI to the power grid is expressed as follows.

$$I_c = I_{c,d} + j I_{c,q} \quad (2)$$




Fig. 1 Circuit configurations: (a) single-phase IGCI; (b) single-phase CGCI.

The complex power flowing from the inverter to the grid is expressed as follows.

$$\tilde{S} = \vec{U} \cdot \vec{I}^* = V_s \cdot (I_{c,d} - j I_{c,q}) = P_{inj} + Q_{inj} \quad (3)$$

According to the direction of the current defined in Fig. 1, P_{inj} is positive when active power is injected into the grid, and Q_{inj} is positive when the reactive current lags the grid-side voltage, that is, reactive power is provided to compensate for the inductive loads. When only the fundamental-frequency component is considered, meaning that the harmonic components are ignored, the grid-connected inverter is modeled as a voltage source. The power flow between the inverter and the grid can be calculated as shown below, following [35, 36].

$$P_{inj} = \left(\frac{V_s V_{inv}}{Z} \cos \delta - \frac{V_s^2}{Z} \right) \cos \theta + \frac{V_s V_{inv}}{Z} \sin \delta \cdot \sin \theta \quad (4)$$

$$Q_{inj} = \left(\frac{V_s V_{inv}}{Z} \cos \delta - \frac{V_s^2}{Z} \right) \sin \theta - \frac{V_s V_{inv}}{Z} \sin \delta \cdot \cos \theta \quad (5)$$

In (4) and (5), V_{inv} is the output voltage of the inverter; and δ represents the phase angle between V_s and V_{inv} . Table 1 provides the Z and θ values for the IGCI and the CGCI. The power base is defined for the IGCI and the CGCI respectively. The expressions are given in Table 1. The active power and reactive power are each normalized to the defined power base and the corresponding expressions are listed in Table 1. Although, power base is defined differently for the IGCI and the CGCI, it can be set to the same level by varying the coupling impedance. In the following discussion, normalized values are used to compare the power control capabilities of the two inverters. The output voltage of the inverter is normalized to V_s , the grid voltage at PCC. When the amplitude of the inverter's output voltage is fixed, both the active power flow and the reactive power flow between the inverter and the grid vary according to the phase angle. Five cases are considered within a range of normalized voltage values from 0 to 2; i.e., the voltage varies from 0 to double the value of V_s . The variation in the active and reactive power is deduced from the expression in Table 1 shown in Fig.2.

Table 1 Power base and power flow in per-unit form

| | IGCI | CGCI |
|--------------------|--|--|
| Coupling impedance | $Z = \omega L, \theta = 90^\circ$ | $Z = 1/(\omega C), \theta = -90^\circ$ |
| Power base | $S_{base_i} = V_s^2 / (\omega L)$ | $S_{base_c} = V_s^2 \cdot \omega C$ |
| Active power | $\frac{P_{inj}}{S_{base_i}} = \frac{V_{inv_i}}{V_s} \sin \delta$ | $\frac{P_{inj}}{S_{base_c}} = -\frac{V_{inv_c}}{V_s} \sin \delta$ |
| Reactive power | $\frac{Q_{inj}}{S_{base_i}} = \frac{V_{inv_i}}{V_s} \cos \delta - 1$ | $\frac{Q_{inj}}{S_{base_c}} = 1 - \frac{V_{inv_c}}{V_s} \cos \delta$ |

Fig.2 shows that the active power profiles have an odd symmetry for both the IGCI and the CGCI. The active power injected to the grid is zero when the inverter's operational voltage is zero. The active power increases as the inverter voltage increases. The same level of active power can be injected into or absorbed from the grid by varying the phase angle of the inverter voltage. The IGCI injects active power to the grid when the phase angle is positive, and the CGCI injects active power when the phase angle is negative.

However, as shown in Fig. 2(a), the normalized reactive power equals -1 when the operational voltage of

the inverter is 0. This negative value indicates that the injected current from the IGCI is leading the voltage the PCC. The reactive power of the IGCI takes a positive value only when the inverter voltage is higher the grid side voltage V_s . However, the normalized reactive power of CGCI equals 1 when its operational voltage is 0, as shown in Fig. 2(b). A positive value for the reactive power indicates that the injected from the CGCI is lagging the grid side voltage. If the loading at the PCC is inductive, the power factor can be increased by increasing the positive reactive power.




Fig. 2 Normalized power flow: (a) IGCI; (b) CGCI.

Once the external sources are connected to the DC-bus, the inverter is able to inject active power to the The output voltage values required for the IGCI and the CGCI to transfer active and reactive power are

calculated as follows.

$$\frac{V_{inv_i}}{V_s} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{P_{inj}}{S_{base_i}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Q_{inj}}{S_{base_i}} + 1\right)^2} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{V_{inv_c}}{V_s} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{P_{inj}}{S_{base_c}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Q_{inj}}{S_{base_c}} - 1\right)^2} \quad (7)$$

Fig. 3 shows the required operational voltage of the inverter at five active power levels when the normalized reactive power varies from -1 to 1. If the operational voltage of the inverter is lower than V_s , both the IGCI and the CGCI provide reactive power in only one direction, as illustrated in Fig. 3. At the same time, the active power must be lower than the power base.




Fig. 3 Comparison of output voltage values at specific levels of power flow

This paper investigates the use of a grid-connected inverter to inject active power into the grid and compensate reactive power from the inductive load. The proposed inverter type is a CGCI, as this device is capable of providing positive active power and positive reactive power simultaneously when its operational voltage is lower than the grid voltage. As a result, the voltage rating of the inverter remains low and the


energy stored in the DC-link is greatly reduced. In the next section, the selection of DC voltage for the is analysed in detail.

3.DC VOLTAGE SELECTION for CGCI

As previously discussed, the power transfer capability of the CGCI varies according to its operational voltage, the maximum amplitude of which is determined by the DC-link voltage of the inverter. The initial cost of the CGCI and its operational losses are lower when the DC-link voltage is reduced. Therefore, the selection of an appropriate DC-link voltage for the CGCI is addressed in the system design.

A. Power base and coupling impedance of CGCI

The normalized output voltage of the CGCI is calculated as shown in (7), and its variation in power flow is depicted in three dimensions (3D) in Fig. 4(a). The output voltage is zero when the active power is zero and the reactive power is equal to the power base. Fig. 4(b) is the left view of Fig. 4(a), in which, the horizontal axis indicates variation in reactive power. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the CGCI operates as a hybrid power filter when no external sources are connected to its DC-bus. In this situation, the output voltage of the inverter varies in linear proportion to the reactive power, as shown in Fig. 4(b).






Fig. 4 Variation in inverter voltage with power: (a) 3D view; (b) left view.

When external sources are connected to the DC-bus, the CGCI injects active power in to the grid. It can be concluded from Fig. 4(b) that the output voltage of the inverter increases as the active power increases. When the active power transferred is fixed, the required inverter voltage varies according to the reactive power. The active power is transferred at a lower operational voltage when the magnitude of the reactive power is close to that of the power base. Therefore, it is most effective to connect the CGCI to the PCC, which requires continuous reactive power compensation. To ensure that the CGCI continuous to operate at a low DC link voltage, the power base is chosen according to the average load reactive power at the PCC, which is denoted by \bar{Q}_L , as follows.

$$S_{base_c} = \bar{Q}_L \quad , \quad (8)$$

The equivalent capacitance in (1) is deduced by substituting (8) for the power-base definition in Table I, as follows.

$$C = \frac{\bar{Q}_L}{V_s^2 \omega} \quad (9)$$

A coupling inductor is used to limit the high-frequency current ripple, which is approximately 5% of the coupling capacitor impedance, as shown below.

$$\omega L_C = 0.05 / (\omega C_C) \quad (10)$$

The coupling impedance can be calculated by combining (1), (9) and (10). Using the resulting coupling impedance value, the reactive power provided by the capacitive-coupling branch is shown to equal the average load reactive power when the output voltage of the inverter is zero. When the load reactive power varies in the vicinity of its average value, a low inverter operational voltage is required to compensate for the reactive power.

B. Selection of DC-link voltage

The DC-link voltage determines the maximum output voltage of the inverter. The achievable power flow range between the inverter and the grid is bounded by the output voltage of the inverter. Fig. 5 provides a view from above of Fig. 4(a), which illustrates the power flow range bounded by the inverter's output voltage. The operational voltage of the inverter at each point can be calculated using (7).




Fig. 5 Power flow characteristics of CGCI

Two operation points are marked in Fig. 5. The same level of active power is injected to the grid at these two points. The reactive power at the two points is denoted by $Q_{inj,up}$ and $Q_{inj,low}$, respectively. If the active

power is fixed, the range of variation in the reactive power is symmetrical to that of the power base, and varies according to the inverter voltage. The vertical distance between the two points marked in Fig. 5 denotes the reactive power compensation range, and is expressed as follows.

$$R_{band} = \frac{Q_{inj.up} - Q_{inj.low}}{S_{base_c}} \quad . \quad (11)$$

Due to the symmetrical properties described, the reactive power compensation range can be expressed as follows.

$$\frac{R_{band}}{2} = \frac{Q_{inj.up} - S_{base_c}}{S_{base_c}} = \frac{S_{base_c} - Q_{inj.low}}{S_{base_c}} \quad (12)$$

The output voltage of the inverter corresponding to this reactive power range is deduced by combining (7) and (12), as follows.

$$V_{inv} = V_s \sqrt{\left(\frac{P_{inj}}{S_{base_c}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{R_{band}}{2}\right)^2} \quad (13)$$

The maximum active power to be transferred is determined by the external source connected to the CGCI. The output voltage of the inverter is selected according to the required reactive power range when the active power reaches its maximum value. Therefore, the DC-link voltage of the CGCI is calculated as follows.

$$V_{dc.design} = M \sqrt{2} V_s \sqrt{\left(\frac{P_{inj,max}}{S_{base_c}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{R_{band}}{2}\right)^2} \quad (14)$$

The coefficient M is introduced to provide redundancy in the DC-link voltage design. When a safe margin of 15% is selected, M equals 1.15. A wider compensation range requires a higher voltage.

One case is considered here in which the apparent power of the load at the PCC is set to 1, with a power factor of 0.85. Assuming that the load is linear and the distortion power is ignored, the load active power is normalized to 0.85, and the reactive power is approximately 0.52 in per-unit form. The value of S_{base_c} is established from the reactive power, giving 0.5 in per unit form for this case study. The following considerations should be taken into account.

- Reactive power compensation only.

If no external sources are connected to the CGCI, the CGCI operates as a hybrid power filter when compensating the reactive power. The DC-link voltage is determined by the required reactive power range, and $P_{inj,max}$ is zero.

- Active power control with fixed reactive power.

After an external source has been connected, active power is injected into the DC bus. The DC-link voltage varies according to $P_{inj,max}$, and R_{band} is set to zero if the reactive power is fixed at S_{base_c} . Assuming that the penetration rate of the active power at the grid side is lower than 15%, the highest value of the active power, $P_{inj,max}$ is approximately 0.26, as calculated from the selected S_{base_c} . The corresponding DC-link voltage is $0.42 \cdot V_s$.

- Active and reactive power control.

When the maximum value of the active power, $P_{inj,max}$, is approximately 0.26, the reactive power compensation range, R_{band} , is set to 0.8 to ensure that the CGCI can cope with the variation in load. This increases the DC-link voltage to $0.77 \cdot V_s$.

It is assumed that the load active power is 3.5 kW and S_{base_c} is 2 kVar. The system parameters are determined from the results of the case study and are listed in Table 2. The required DC-link voltage is 170 V. To achieve the same power range, the DC-link voltage of the IGCI must be higher than $1.414 \cdot V_s$, i.e. approximately 340 V or higher. At this DC voltage, the energy stored in the DC capacitor of the IGCI is four times greater than that stored in the CGCI.

Table 2 Parameters determined from the case study

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| Grid-side voltage | 220 V |
| Load active power | 3.5 kW |
| Power basement, S_{base_c} | 2 kVar |
| $P_{inj,max}$ | 500 W |
| R_{band} : [$Q_{inj,up}$, $Q_{inj,low}$] | 0.8 : [1.2 kVar, 2.8 kVar] |
| DC-link voltage | 170 V |
| Filter capacitor, C_C | 125 μ F |
| Filter inductor, L_C | 4 mH |

C. Summary

The selected coupling impedance and DC-link voltage values are presented in this section. The proposed

design enables the CGCI to achieve the following goals simultaneously at a low DC-link voltage.

- Injection of active power from the external source to the grid when the reactive power varies within the compensation range.
- Improvement of the power factor at the grid side.

The control system of the CGCI is described in detail in the next section.

4. CGCI CONTROL SYSTEMS

Fig. 6 shows the overall control blocks of the CGCI. The single-phase instantaneous reactive power theory (IRP) is used to calculate the instantaneous load power and the current reference. It is assumed that the loads are linear. The reactive power of the loads is calculated as follows.

$$Q_L = v_m i_L \cos \theta - v_m i_{Ld} \sin \theta \quad , \quad (15)$$

In Eq. (15), i_L is the load current and i_{Ld} is its delay for one quarter of a cycle. The reference currents are calculated as follows.

$$i_{ref} = \frac{1}{v_m^2} \begin{bmatrix} v_m \sin \theta & v_m \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{source} \\ Q_L \end{bmatrix} \quad . \quad (16)$$

The active power injected to the grid, which is usually determined by the external energy sources, is set manually, as shown in Fig. 6. The reference currents are compared with the output current of the CGCI. The current errors are sent to a **P**-unit, the output of which is the pulse width modulation (PWM) reference. The **P**-unit is described in detail later this Section.




Fig. 6 Block diagram of CGCI control system

The current controller of the CGCI is modeled, and a block diagram of the CGCI system is provided in

Fig. 7. K_{PWM} is the inverter gain, which is regarded as unity when the control system delay is ignored.




Fig. 7 Block diagram of CGCI system model


The corresponding transfer function is deduced as follows.

$$I_c(s) = G_{iref_ic}(s)I_{ref}(s) - Z_{vs_ic}(s)V_s(s) = \frac{P \cdot C_c \cdot k_{PWM} \cdot s}{L_c C_c s^2 + P \cdot C_c \cdot k_{PWM} \cdot s + 1} I_{ref}(s) - \frac{C_c \cdot s}{L_c C_c s^2 + P \cdot C_c \cdot k_{PWM} \cdot s + 1} V_s(s) \quad (17)$$

The control system of an IGCI usually sends current tracking errors to a proportional-integral (PI) unit to generate a PWM reference [37]. However, if a PI unit is used in the control system of the CGCI, P in (17) is replaced by $(k_p + k_i/s)$. When $k_p=70$, $k_i=2000$ and the parameters are as listed in Table 2, the system response is as shown in Fig. 8(a). If only proportional (P) control is used, the system response is as shown in Fig. 8(b), where $k_p=70$. It can be concluded that the output current of the CGCI is unable to track the reference when a PI controller or a P controller is used. In these cases, the system performance is poor. Fig. 7 shows the new control block proposed in this paper, which has the following formula.

$$v_{ref} = k_p \cdot i_{error} + k_{ID} \frac{d(\int_0^t i_{error} dt)}{dt} \quad (18)$$

When $k_p = 70$ and $k_{ID} = 3200$, the total gain of the P -unit is 3270. The corresponding system response is depicted in Fig.8(c), which shows that the current tracking performance is greatly improved.






Fig.8 Bode diagrams of $G_{iref_ic}(s)$ (a)using a PI controller; (b) using a conventional P controller; (c) using a P -Unit controller

In the continuous-time system model, the performance of the proposed P -unit is the same as that of a pure proportional controller. However, the sole application of proportional gain causes over-modulation and system instability. When the control algorithm is implemented in a digital controller, the discrete-time control block is deduced as follows.

$$v_{ref}[n] = k_p \cdot i_{error}[n] + k_{ID} \cdot \frac{T_s \left(\sum_{k=0}^{t/T_s} i_{error}[n-k] - \sum_{k=0}^{(t-T_D)/T_s} i_{error}[n-k] \right)}{T_D} = k_p \cdot i_{error}[n] + k_{ID} \cdot \frac{T_s}{T_D} \sum_{k=0}^{T_D/T_s} i_{error}[n-k] \quad (19)$$

In (19), T_s is the sampling period of the digital controller and T_D is the time-constant used in the differential part of the equations.

The system response to grid-side voltage is shown in Fig. 9. It is evident from Fig. 9 that the CGCI does not amplify harmonic distortion in the grid-side voltage. Therefore, the LC branch of the CGCI control system is not responsible for the unwanted oscillations.




Fig.9 Bode diagram of $Z_{vs_ic}(s)$ using a P -unit controller

5. SIMULATION RESULTS


The simulation models are built using PSCAD/EMTDC software. The system configuration is given in Fig. 1(b). The external energy source is modelled as a DC source, and its injection of active power to the DC-bus is determined by the setting in simulation. The case study described in Table 2 is used in the simulation. Additional settings for the simulation are listed in Table 3.

Table 3 System settings for the simulation


| | | |
|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Grid voltage | | 220 V |
| Switching frequency | | 10 kHz |
| K_p, K_{ID}, T_s, T_D | | 70, 3200, 0.0001s, 0.01s |
| Source inductor, L_s | | 1 mH |
| Linear loads | Load 1 | 15 ohm; 0.12 H, 8 ohm; |
| | Load 2 | 20 ohm; 0.06 H, 10 ohm; |
| | Load 3 | 28 ohm; 0.04 H, 8 ohm; |

A. Comparison of current control units

The three current controllers PI , P and P -unit are compared in Section 4 using Bode diagrams deduced from the system transfer function. Each of the three controllers is used in the simulations to control the CGCI. The load reactive power is equal to the selected power base. The active power to be transferred is set to 1 kW. The results obtained are given in Fig. 10 and Table 4. It can be concluded that the proposed P -unit controller achieves the best performances in transferring the required power to the grid. The PI controller fails to transfer active power to the grid. The steady state error is high when the P controller is used.



(a)



(b)





Fig.10 Simulation results (a) with a *PI* controller (b) with a *P* controller (c) with a *P*-unit controller

Table 4 Comparison of current controllers

| | Current RMS | Current THD | Active power (W) | Reactive power(Var) | Power factor |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Load | 18.4 | 0.00% | 3483 | 2004 | 0.87 |
| <i>PI</i> ($k_p=70, k_i=2000$) | 16.06 | 0.87% | 3533 | 5.61 | 0.990 |
| <i>P</i> ($k_p=70$) | 11.95 | 0.92% | 2599 | 327 | 0.992 |
| <i>P-unit</i> ($k_p=70, k_{ID}=3200$) | 11.48 | 1.50% | 2516 | 10.06 | 0.990 |

B. Comparison of IGCI and CGCI

In this section, the performance of the IGCI is compared with that of the CGCI. The DC-link voltage of the IGCI is 400 V and the DC-link voltage of the CGCI is 170 V. The results of the simulation are shown in Fig. 11. The two grid-connected inverters are tested in conditions of dynamic change in active power and reactive power. The results indicate that both inverters are able to transfer the required active power and reactive power to the power grid. However, the operational voltage of the CGCI is much lower than that of the IGCI.



(a)




Fig.11 Grid-connected inverters used to achieve dynamic power transfer: (a) IGCI; (b) CGCI.

C. Verification of the power flow control range of the CGCI

Three groups of linear loads are tested to verify the power control range of the CGCI when its DC-link voltage is set to 170 V. The selected reactive power range is given in Table 2. The load settings used in the simulation are listed in Table 3. The simulation results are illustrated in Figs. 12, 13 and 14. In Fig. 12, the

load reactive power is shown to approach the lower boundary. Fig. 13 shows the magnitude of the load reactive power to be close to that of the power base, and in Fig. 14 the load reactive power is shown to approach the upper boundary. In all three cases, the active power to be transferred is 500 W. The active and reactive power values at the load side and the grid side are listed in Table 5. The results indicate that active power from the external sources can be injected to the grid when the reactive power is within the range. The CGCI is able to transfer active power and reactive power simultaneously at a DC-link voltage approximately half the peak grid voltage. In addition, the distortion in the current profile is still inside the acceptable range as illustrated in the simulation results.

Table 5 Simulation results verifying the reactive power range

| | Current RMS | Current THD | Active power | Reactive power | Power factor |
|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Load 1 | 16.9 A | 0.00% | 3487W | 1228Var | 0.943 |
| Source 1 | 13.67 A | 1.24% | 3005W | -30Var | 1.000 |
| Load 2 | 18.4A | 0.00% | 3483W | 2004Var | 0.87 |
| Source 2 | 13.6A | 1.83% | 2995W | 9.26Var | 1.000 |
| Load 3 | 20.2A | 0.00% | 3473W | 2741Var | 0.785 |
| Source 3 | 13.6A | 1.91% | 2976W | 40Var | 1.000 |




Fig. 12 Current waveform and DC-link voltage for load 1




Fig. 13 Current waveform and DC-link voltage for load 2





Fig. 14 Current waveform and dc-link voltage for load 3

6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A lab-scale prototype is built, and the CGCI system is configured as that in Fig.1(b). The control platform is based on the DSP TMS320F2812. The system parameters are listed in Table 6. The grid-side voltage is reduced to 55V due to the limitations of the laboratory setting. When the active power is 20 W and the reactive power compensation range is [82 Var, 178 Var], the DC-link voltage is 39 V. The required DC-link voltage of the IGCI is higher than 70V. Linear loads are used to verify the reactive power compensation range of the selected DC voltage. Three groups of loads are also tested experimentally and the results are provided in Fig. 15 and Table 7, show the CGCI is capable of controlling active and reactive power flows simultaneously. The previously required power control range is also achieved in the experiment. However, the measured THD of the source current, as shown in Fig. 15(b) is 6.16%. The greater distortion recorded in the experiment is chiefly due to noise introduced in the signal-conditioning circuits and the truncation errors generated by the fixed-point DSP.

Table 6 Experimental system parameters

| | | |
|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| Grid voltage V_s | | 55 V |
| DC bus voltage | | 39V |
| Coupling capacitor | | 130 μ F |
| Coupling inductor | | 3.5 mH |
| Switching frequency | | 10 kHz |
| Loads | Load 1 | 0.06 H, 15 ohm, 100 ohm |
| | Load 2 | 0.06 H, 10 ohm; 100 ohm |
| | Load 3 | 0.06 H, 5 ohm; 60 ohm |



(a) Load 1





Fig.15 Results of experiment conducted to verify the reactive power compensation range

Table 7 Results of experiments conducted to verify the reactive power range

| | Current RMS | Active power | Reactive power | Power factor |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Load 1 | 2.50 A | 93 W | 81 Var | 0.76 |
| Source under load 1 | 1.60 A | 76 W | -28 Var | 0.95 |
| Load 2 | 2.57 A | 90 W | 117 Var | 0.63 |
| Source under load 2 | 1.45 A | 70 W | -7 Var | 0.98 |
| Load 3 | 2.87 A | 95 W | 150 Var | 0.58 |
| Source under load 3 | 1.22 A | 75 W | -6 Var | 0.98 |

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a CGCI was proposed as a low-cost alternative to conventional IGCIs. The power flow control characteristics of the two types of grid-connected inverter are analysed and compared. We can conclude that the CGCI is able to transfer active power with a much lower operational voltage when the magnitude of its output reactive power is close to that of its power base. As a result, the initial cost, the

operational losses and the energy stored in the DC bus are all reduced in comparison with those of the conventional IGCI. Furthermore, a DC voltage selection method and a novel P -unit current controller are proposed for use with the CGCI. The results of simulations and experiments verified the feasibility of the CGCI topology and the effectiveness of the control method.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Guerrero, F. Blaabjerg, T. Zhelev, *et al.*: 'Distributed generation: toward a new energy paradigm', *IEEE Ind. Electron. Mag.*, 2010, 4,(2), pp. 52-64
- [2] M. E.-S. Ahmed, M. Orabi, O.M. AbdelRahim,: 'Two-stage micro-grid inverter with high-voltage gain for photovoltaic applications', *IET Power Electronics*, 2013, 6, (9), pp. 1812-1821
- [3] P. M. de Almeida, J. L. Duarte, P. F. Ribeiro, P. G. Barbosa,: 'Repetitive controller for improving grid-connected photovoltaic systems', *IET Power Electronics*, 2014, 7, (6), pp. 1466-1474
- [4] D. Reigosa, F. Briz, C. Blanco, P. Garcia,: 'Active islanding detection for multiple parallel-connected inverter-based distributed generators using high frequency signal injection', *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, 2014, 29, (3), pp. 1192-1199
- [5] J. M. Guerrero, C. L. Poh, T. L. Lee, M. Chandorkar: 'Advanced control architectures for intelligent microgrids- Part II: power quality, energy storage, and AC/DC microgrids', *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 2013, 60, (4), pp. 1263-1270
- [6] R. J. Wai, C. Y. Lin, W. C. Wu, H. N. Huang,: 'Design of backstepping control for high-performance inverter with stand-alone and grid-connected power supply modes', *IET Power Electronics*, 2012, 6, (4), pp. 752-762
- [7] Z. Guo, D. Sha, X. Liao,: 'Voltage magnitude and frequency control of three-phase voltage source inverter for seamless transfer', *IET Power Electronics*, 2014, 7, (1), pp. 200-208
- [8] C. H. Chang, F. Y. Wu, F.Y., Y. M. Chen,: 'Modularized bidirectional grid-connected inverter with constant-frequency asynchronous sigma-delta modulation', *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 2012, 59, (11), pp. 4088-4100
- [9] S. Balthandayuthapani, C. S. Edrington, S. D. Henry, Jianwu Cao, : 'Analysis and Control of a Photovoltaic System: Application to a High- Penetration Case Study', *IEEE Systems Journal*, 2012, 6, (2), pp. 213-219
- [10] H. Xiao, S. Xie, Y. Chen, R. Huang,: 'An optimized transformerless photovoltaic grid-connected inverter', *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 2011, 58, (5), pp. 1887-1895
- [11] B. Singh, R. Saha, A. Chandra, K. Al-Haddad,: 'Static synchronous compensator (STATCOM): a review', *IET Power Electron.*, 2009, 2, (4), pp. 297-324
- [12] X. She, A. Q. Huang, F. Wang, R. Burgos,: 'Wind energy system with integrated functions of active power transfer, reactive power compensation and voltage conversion', *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 2013, 60, (10), pp. 4512-4522
- [13] S. Rajendran, U. Govindarajan, A. B. Reuben, A. Srinivasan,: 'Shunt reactive VAR compensator for grid-connected induction generator in wind energy conversion systems', *IET Power Electronics*, 2013, 6, (9), pp. 1872-1883
- [14] S. Dasgupta, *et al.*, 'ALyapunov function based current controller to control active and reactive power flow in a three phase grid connected PV inverter under generalized grid voltage conditions,' in *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Power Electronics*, Jeju, Korea, 2011, pp. 1110-1119
- [15] Al Bonfiglio, M. Brignone, F. Delfino, R. Procopio,: 'Optimal control and operation of grid-connected photovoltaic production units for voltage support in medium-voltage networks', *IEEE Trans. Sustainable Energy*, 2014, 5, (1), pp. 254-263
- [16] Z. Zeng, R. X. Zhao, H. Yang, : 'Coordinated control of multi-functional grid-tied inverters using conductance and susceptance limitation', *IET Power Electronics*, 2014, 7, (7), pp. 1821-1831
- [17] R. I. Bojoi, L. R. Limongi, D. Roiu, A. Tenconi,: 'Enhanced power quality control strategy for single-phase inverters in distributed generation systems,' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, 2011, 26, (3), pp. 798-806
- [18] S. Dasgupta, S. K. Sahoo, S. K. Panda,: 'Single-phase inverter control techniques for interfacing renewable energy sources with microgrid-Part I: Parallel-connected inverter topology with active and reactive power flow control along with grid current shaping', *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, 2011, 26, (3), pp. 717-731
- [19] Q. C. Zhang, T. Hornik,: 'Cascaded current-voltage control to improve the power quality for a grid-connected inverter with a local load', *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 2013, 60, (4), pp. 1344-1355
- [20] C. H. Chang, Y. H. Lin, Y. M. Chen, Y. R. Chang,: "Simplified reactive power control for single-phase grid-connected photovoltaic inverters", *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 2014, 61, (5), pp. 2286-2296
- [21] P. Sun, C. Liu, J. S. Lai, C. L. Chen,: "Grid-tie control of cascade dual-buck inverter with wide-range power flow capability for renewable energy applications", *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, 2012, 27, (4), pp. 1839-1849

- [22] L. Liu, H. Li, Z. Wu, Y. Zhou,: 'A cascaded photovoltaic system integrating segmented energy storages with self-regulating power allocation control and wide range reactive power compensation', IEEE Trans. Power Electro., 2011, 26, (12), pp. 3545-3559
- [23] S. Y. Park, C. L. Chen, J. Lai,: 'A wide-range active and reactive power flow controller for a solid oxide fuel cell power conditioning system', IEEE Trans. Power Electron., 2009, 23, (6), pp. 2703-2710
- [24] K. Vijayakumar, N. Kumaresan, N. G. A. Gounden, S. B. Tennakoon,: 'Real and reactive power control of hybrid excited wind-driven grid-connected doubly fed induction generators', IET Power Electronics, 2013, 6, (6), pp. 1197-1208
- [25] S. P. Litran, P. Salmeron,: 'Reference voltage optimization of a hybrid filter for nonlinear load compensation', IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., 2014, 61, (6), pp. 2648-2654
- [26] S. Rahmani, A. Hamadi, K. Al-Haddad, L. A. Dessaint,: 'A combination of shunt hybrid power filter and thyristor-controlled reactor for power quality', IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., 2014, 61, (5), pp. 2152-2164
- [27] H. Akagi, R. Inzunza, 'A 6.6-kV transformerless shunt hybrid active filter for installation on a power distribution system', IEEE Trans. Power Electro., 2005, 20, (4), pp. 893-900
- [28] C.S. Lam, M. C. Wong, Y. D. Han,: 'Hysteresis current control of hybrid active power filters,' IET Power Electronics, 2012, 5, (7), pp. 1175-1187
- [29] W. C. Zhang, N. Y. Dai, M. C. Wong, C. K. Wong,: 'Capacitive-coupled grid-connected inverter with active power injection ability', in Proc. of 7th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference (IPEMC), Harbin, China, June 2012, pp. 1639-1645
- [30] C.S. Lam, X.X. Cui, W. H. Choi, M. C. Wong, Y.D. Han,: 'Minimum inverter capacity design for LC-hybrid active power filters in three-phase four-wire distribution systems', IET Power Electronics, 2012, 5, (7), pp. 956-968
- [31] Wei Yingdong,: 'Research on control of comprehensive compensation for traction substations based on the STATCOM technology', PhD thesis, Tsinghua University, 2009
- [32] http://www.alibaba.com/product-detail/Power-Quality-Power-Distribution-Reactive-Power_1962923292.html?s=p, accessed Sept. 2014
- [33] http://www.alibaba.com/product-detail/Three-phase-active-power-filter-for_1849805308.html, accessed Sept. 2014
- [34] H. Akagi, K. Isozaki: 'A hybrid active filter for a three-phase 12-pulse diode rectifier used as the front end of a medium-voltage motor drive', IEEE Trans. Power Electro., 2012, 27, (1), pp. 69-77
- [35] J. M. Guerrero, J. Matas, L. G. Vicua, Miguel Castilla, Jaume Miret,: 'Wireless-Control Strategy for Parallel Operation of Distributed-Generation Inverters', IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., 2006, 53, (5), pp. 1461-1470
- [36] J. M. Guerrero, M. Chandorkar, T. Lee, P. C. Loh,: ' Advanced control architectures for Intelligent microgrids - Part I: decentralized and hierarchical control', IEEE Trans. Ind Electron., 2013, 60, (40), pp. 1254-1262
- [37] S. Yang, Q. Lei, F. Z. Peng, Z. Qian,: 'A robust control scheme for grid-connected voltage-source inverters', IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., 2011, 58, (1), pp. 202-212