Deformation mechanisms for offshore monopile foundations accounting for cyclic mobility effects
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ABSTRACT

There has been a huge surge in the construction of marine facilities (e.g., wind turbines) in Europe, despite the many unknowns regarding their long-term performance. This paper presents a new framework for design strategy based on performance measures for cyclic horizontally loaded monopile foundations located in saturated and dry dense sand, by considering pile deformations and pore pressure accumulation effects. A three-dimensional finite element model was developed to investigate the behavior of large-diameter piles. The model accounts for nonlinear dynamic interactions in offshore platforms under harsh combined moment and horizontal environmental loads, with emphasis on the cyclic mobility of the surrounding cohesionless subsoil and associated shear.

The maximum moment applied in the cyclic analyses is varied from 18% to 47% of the ultimate resistance. The considered data reflect behavior at the expected load amplitudes and cycle numbers during the service life of operation.

For low numbers of load cycles (<1000 cycles), there were no differences between the power law and logarithmic approaches in terms of describing the accumulated deformations; however, for high numbers of cycles (<10,000 cycles), the logarithmic law was less suited to describe the accumulation response. Magnitude of cyclic loads was found to cause a linear increase in the accumulated rotation. The results from short-term and long-term dynamic response of monopiles indicate that few load cycles with higher load levels are the main concerns in accumulation of pile rotation rather than thousands of load cycles with low amplitudes.

1. Introduction

The design and analysis of foundations for offshore turbines are challenging endeavors, due to the harsh environmental conditions that these structures experience. Recently, such structures have been developed extensively in Europe (e.g., see [27,2,4–7,29,22,23,24,9,15,28]). Foundation concepts that are frequently used for offshore wind turbines include monopiles, jackets, and tension-leg floating substructures. [15] described a state-of-the-art in foundation design for offshore platforms. Under suitable soil conditions, monopiles have shown to be feasible in water depths of up to 35 m.

Due to their slender nature, offshore wind turbines are dynamically sensitive when used under adverse environmental conditions. During the lifetime of a wind turbine, a monopile foundation may be subjected to either a small number of lateral load cycles with large amplitudes, as a result of severe earthquakes or storms, or to regular of lateral load cycles with intermediate amplitudes, due to wave loading in the fatigue and serviceability limit states (FLS and SLS, respectively) [44,50]. In the literature, analytical approaches (e.g., subgrade reaction methods) and finite-element (FE) techniques have been widely used to determine the response of offshore piles to lateral loading.

The p-y curve is a subgrade reaction technique derived from large-scale testing on two flexible, slender piles, according to the design standards [3] and [13]. Both standards recommend the p-y curves initially formulated by [41] and [36]. The p-y methodology is not based on rational mechanics, and material parameters are typically chosen empirically, through observations of pile behavior. Several factors (e.g., diameter and soil-pile stiffness) are not addressed in this methodology,
which can lead to severe restrictions. Applying design standards for stiff offshore piles to wind turbines with a slenderness ratio less than 10 is not within the verified range of these standards.

Many authors have provided long-term performance predictions and observations for monopiles. [31] was among the first to address the issue of accumulated rotation and stiffness changes for small-scale stiff piles after long-term cyclic loading between 8000 and 60,000 load cycles. They thoroughly investigated the dependence of accumulated rotation on relative density, which they found to be very sensitive to cyclic load characteristics. [49] developed and implemented a fully coupled, two-phase, three-dimensional (3D) FE model for explicitly describing the accumulation of water pressure close to the monopile as a function of the number of cycles. [26] and [11] described the change in the bedding resistance in subgrade reaction methods with the number of load cycles.

1.1. Aim and scope of the paper

Although several authors have performed small-scale 1-g tests [31,39,40,44], the applicability of the proposed observations to the design of full-scale monopiles remains questionable. In particular, the stress distribution in a 1-g experiment is not identical to that in the full-scale condition. On the other hand, although values can be scaled in centrifuge experiments conducted at Ng and at the correct stress level corresponding to the full-scale prototype, scaling to prototype is still a difficult task, especially for cyclic tests and limitations exist [26].

This article describes a numerical model for predicting the accumulated pile rotation under one-way cyclic and transient lateral loading, as well as applications to investigate stress paths and soil-pile interactions. The full-scale numerical simulations reported in this paper offer promising predictions for the salient features of soil behavior that were previously not accounted for offshore monopiles. This paper complements previous studies in the field by presenting a series of parametric studies of the developed model predictions.

2. Dynamic considerations of offshore wind turbines

A wind turbine tower is influenced by two types of loading [33]. The blade-passing effect is the cyclic loading (i.e., 2P or 3P for a two- or three-bladed wind turbine) exerted by the blades on the upper side of the tower. Wind loading refers to a relatively constant load exerted by the wind on the lower side of the tower. The cyclic behavior of the soil-pile system can be evaluated according to the accumulated rotation and the stiffness of the entire system needed to maintain its efficiency. The wind turbine supplier usually specifies a constant limit for the pile rotation, typically around 0.5° [27].

The first modal frequency of a wind turbine will be within the range of 0.01–1 Hz making it relevant to the discussion of offshore wind turbines [8]. Typically, the peak frequencies of extreme waves and energy-rich wind turbulence are around 0.07–0.14 Hz and 0.1 Hz, respectively. To avoid system resonance, monopile foundations for offshore wind turbines are designed such that the first natural frequency lies outside the regions of the rotor frequency, 1P (0.17–0.33 Hz), and the blade passing frequency, 3F (0.5–1 Hz). Fig. 1 shows the main frequencies for a typical three-bladed wind turbine. The rotation and stiffness are the primary drivers in the design of offshore wind turbines, with the SLS and FLS being the primary loading states for design.

The desired frequency for a fixed wind turbine lies in the “Soft-Stiff” region between the turbine and blade-passing frequencies, wherein the support structure shows higher flexibility, reducing the material costs [8]. Typically, the region before 1P, which contains the wave and wind excitation frequencies, is called the “Soft-Soft” region; the region after 3P in which the natural frequency is higher than both driving frequencies is known as the “Stiff-Stiff” region. The global system is too flexible in the Soft-Soft region, but too rigid in the Stiff-Stiff region, making these regions unsuitable for design [19].

In offshore practice and presumed in the present study, frequency effects for dry sand seem to be negligible in loading frequencies below 1 Hz [18,51]. In the presence of water, the sand permeability and drainage conditions play important roles in terms of how the loading rate influences the cyclic behavior; this influence is mainly due to the accumulation of pore water pressure (PWP) with every cycle, which shall be discussed in subsequent sections.

3. Problem definition and methodology

3.1. System analyzed and FE model

A study was performed on a non-slender pile under different loading conditions. A 3D nonlinear static-dynamic model of a soil-pile system was developed in the FE code OpenSees version 1.7.3 [34]. A total of 384 elements were employed. Soil and pile elements were modeled by using 8-node, fully coupled (solid-fluid) brick and beam elements with 4 and 6 degrees of freedom (DOFs), respectively. Rigid beam-column connections, normal to the pile longitudinal axis, were used to represent the geometric space occupied by the pile. The 3D brick elements of the soil domain were connected to the pile geometric configuration at the outer nodes of the rigid links, this was achieved by equal DOF constraint in OpenSees for translations only. Three-dimensional modeling relies on the use of a validated fully coupled porous media (soil skeleton)-pore fluid (water) dynamic FE formulation. No special elements were defined for the soil-pile interface as the soil constitutive model accounts for the interface interaction [20].

Fig. 2 depicts a typical FE discretisation, together with the detailed loading scenarios applied to the FE models (length = 100 m, depth = 45 m). The generated model can be visualized using GID software [14]. A monopile diameter of 7.5 m with varying embedded length and wall thickness of 9 cm was assumed. In the numerical simulations, the pipe section of the monopile was replaced by a solid section with equivalent bending stiffness. The suitability of this simplification was previously confirmed by [1,4]. The bottom boundary of the model was taken to be 15 m below the base of the monopile. When a model length of 100 m was used, the calculated behavior of the monopile was not influenced by the boundaries. Table 1 provides the characteristics of the model parameters for the physical pile structure.

To improve the computational efficiency, only half of the piles were discretised. To represent the bedrock layer, all DOFs were restrained at the bottom boundary of the meshes. All symmetry planes were fixed against displacement normal to the symmetry faces, but were free to move on the surface of the plane. All simulations were undertaken using the OpenSees based on a u-p formulation. The inelastic soil behavior was described by a multi-surface yield surface with nonlinear kinematic hardening and an associated plastic flow rule.
Three cases of static and dynamic loading, at the head of the pile, were considered. Each case was subdivided into different loading paths and soil characteristics:

- **Case A:** Cyclic loading on a pile with a diameter of 0.72 m, wall thickness of 0.06 m, and subjected to (i) 12 cycles from 960 up to 480 kN (P32) and (ii) 12 cycles from 960 kN up to 0 kN (P344);
- **Case B:** Static pushover-type of analysis on a hollow steel monopole of 7.5 m in diameter;
- **Case C:** Dynamic loading on large-diameter monopole, with (i) 1000 cycles of 0.2 Hz one-way cyclic lateral loads of 7.2, 10 and 18.8 MN for dry sand; (ii) 500 cycles of 0.2 Hz one-way cyclic lateral loads of 7.2 and 10 MN for saturated sand; and (iii) transient effects due to a typical storm combined with cyclic loading.

### 3.2. Soil constitutive modeling

This paper presents a brief overview of the equations used to generate the nonlinear soil model for predicting the cyclic behavior of granular materials and pile responses.

The multisurface-plasticity theory for frictional cohesionless soils described by [53] was employed to simulate the nonlinear shear behavior of dense sand next to the foundation. The model uses a purely deviatoric kinematic hardening rule [38] with flow rules allowing for representing the hysteretic cyclic shear stress-strain response of the soil. The new flow rules implemented by [16] in OpenSees change the essentials of the original framework [38] in order to incorporate the cyclic mobility mechanisms.

The model was used to distinguish the nonlinear response as a function of the soil stiffness, permeability, and dilation potential. It accounted for time-varying strength changes, based on estimates of the pore-pressure field adjacent to the pile, and simulated the rate-dependency of the response due to different loading conditions.

If $P$ denotes the direction of plastic flow, the level of dilation or contraction during cyclic loading is defined by a volumetric component $p^v$ as:

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length</td>
<td>$L_t$</td>
<td>20, 30, 40, 60 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedded depth</td>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>20, 30 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter</td>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>7.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pile wall thickness</td>
<td>$t_p$</td>
<td>0.09 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent diameter</td>
<td>$D_e$</td>
<td>4.1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>2.1x10^6 kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia Young’s modulus</td>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>14.84 m$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending stiffness</td>
<td>$EI$</td>
<td>3.12x10^7 kN m$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where \( c_1, d_1, \) and \( d_2 \) are coefficients modeling the amount of plastic shear work done when the soil is in contraction \( (c_1) \) and dilation \( (d_1, d_2) \). Octahedral shear strain and stress ratio are modeled by \( \tau_s \) and \( \tau \) respectively as introduced by [52]. The stress ratio of the Phase Transformation (PT) surface [25] is also defined by \( \tau_p \). Please note that if \( (1 - n/\tau_{PT})^2 \) is positive, the stress states lies below the PT surface. On the other hand, a negative value implies that the stress state lies above the PT surface.

3.3. Comparison with results of centrifuge modeling

The well-documented centrifuge test of [42] on a pile founded on dense sand exposed to a cyclic horizontal load was modeled numerically (Case A). One-way cyclic force-time history (Fig. 3) varying from 960 to 480 kN (p32 test) was modeled numerically in 3D to verify and calibrate the developed framework for the dynamic analysis of the model. Lateral loads were applied at 1.6 m above the soil surface, 1 m below the head of the pile model with an embedded length of 12 m in dense sand.

The constitutive soil model parameters were summarized in Table 2. It can be inferred from the literature that, overall, the response observed in a soil element can not be directly transferred to a soil-foundation system owing to the difference between drainage conditions in test and in situ conditions (i.e., generation of 3-D hydraulic gradients), existence of local high excess pore pressures around the pile, and difference in boundary conditions [1].

Fig. 4 compares the force-displacement curve of the pile head under the P32 load cycles to the experimental data. Comparison of the numerical predictions for the Soil-Structure Interaction (SSI) with the data measured and computed by [42] indicated that, as expected, the developed model realistically predicted the accumulation of pile displacements in dense sand under cyclic loading.

Rayleigh damping was implemented into the model in which a frequency range of 0.1–5 Hz was set as effective range and 5% Rayleigh damping was assigned to the soil producing the mass and stiffness coefficients as 0.061 and 0.003, respectively.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Cyclic loading: harmonic excitation

It seems logical to evaluate the behavior of a monopile subjected to cyclic lateral loading through the accumulated rotation rather than the lateral deformation of the pile [43]. Therefore, the main part of this article involves the evolution of rotational deformations. Initially, a series of FE static pushover-type of analyses was performed at different values of moment arm, \( h \) to derive the bearing strength envelope of the soil-monopile system (Fig. 5). Also shown on the figure are ranges of \( \xi _h \) for \( h = 30 \) m. This parameter is described in subsequent sections modeling the cyclic loading ranges. Fig. 5 interpreted the FE results in terms of

\[
P' = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1 - \gamma}{\gamma} & \text{for contraction} \\
\frac{1 + \gamma}{\gamma} & \text{for dilation}
\end{cases} \frac{1}{\tau} \exp \left( \frac{d_2 \gamma}{\tau} \right)
\]

where \( \gamma = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\tau_p} \)

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Dense sand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low strain shear modulus, ( G _s ) (kPa)</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction angle, ( \phi ) (Degree)</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquefaction yield strain, ( \tau_s )</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraction parameter, ( c_1 )</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilatation parameter, ( d_1 )</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilatation parameter, ( d_2 )</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT angle, ( \tau_p ) (Degree)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass density, ( \rho ) (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability coefficient, ( k ) (m/s)</td>
<td>6.6 \times 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of non-dimensional groups as suggested by [31]:

\[
\begin{align*}
M &= \frac{M}{D_T L^3} \\
H &= \frac{H}{D_T L^2}
\end{align*}
\]

In which \(H\) and \(M\) denote horizontal load and moment at the sea-bed resulting lateral displacement and rotation.

Fig. 6 outlines the evolution of the soil yield computed from the FE analysis and its progressive extension to greater depths in conjunction with load levels. Soil plasticity developed in the vicinity of the monopile head at small strain levels, although only the upper part (i.e., active length) participated in failure.

It was however difficult to identify a failure point from static analyses. A limiting value for the horizontal capacity was defined as \(\sim 40\) MN which was chosen by taking into account the limiting pile head displacement, 0.1D criterion into account proposed by [10].

Comparisons of the calculated bending moments, shear forces and soil reactions at different stages of virgin loading are presented. The shear force and soil reaction may be calculated indirectly from the bending moment by double differentiation (Fig. 7) at different stages of virgin loading. The model captured the increase in the magnitude of the maximum soil reaction, and the depth at which the maximum occurred.

4.1.1. Cutoff frequency

The developed model, considering homogeneous soil conditions, was subjected to a sinusoidal dynamic load of 10 MN, applied at the top of the monopile. Two vibration periods which are deliberately higher and lower than the first natural period of the soil profile, ( 

\[
T = 5\pi T_{\text{osc}} = 0.45\pi \text{ and } 0.13\pi (T_{\text{osc}} = 0.45\pi) \text{ and two model sizes (50 and 100 m) were investigated. Under a short excitation period (Fig. 8(b)), the lateral boundaries had a clear influence on the response. A large amount of undamped wave energy was present in the model domain. In contrast, the response under the long-period excitation (Fig. 8(a)) was hardly distinguishable between the two model sizes. However, the radiated seismic energy was negligible, providing additional evidence for the existence of a cutoff frequency. Considering the above model verification, to reduce the computational cost in the nonlinear dynamic analysis, a distance of 50 m was adopted in all of the subsequent cases.}

4.1.2. Analysis of cyclic rotation

In spite of the existence of the lateral loading for offshore monopiles, four important design loads for offshore monopiles have been described [12,31,54]:

- Ultimate Limit State (ULS), experienced once during the wind turbine lifetime;
- Worst expected transient load (WETL = ULS/1.35), experienced once during the lifetime;
- SLS (~47% of the ULS), experienced frequently (~100 times) during the lifetime; and
- FLS (25–30% of the ULS), experienced very frequently (~10^7 times) during the lifetime.

Cyclic creep, defined as the accumulation of plastic strain in the vicinity of the pile, is accompanied by hardening or softening of the soil. The cyclic stress ratio of the soil elements, which is used to determine the cyclic creep, corresponds to the cyclic load ratio of the whole soil-pile interaction system. It is defined by the ratio of the cyclic load amplitude to the static bearing capacity of the pile. Two different parameters were defined to characterize the cyclic lateral load by Buckingham’s hypothesis [44]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_c &= \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{M_{\text{min}}} \\
\xi_r &= \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{M_{\text{min}}}
\end{align*}
\]

in which \(M_{\text{max}}\) and \(M_{\text{min}}\) are the maximum and minimum moment in the load cycle (plotted in Fig. 9), and \(M_R\) is the static capacity. The loading type is denoted by the dimensionless parameter \(\xi_c\), which typically ranges from 0 (one-way cyclic loading) to \(-1\) (full two-way cyclic loading). Several long-term cyclic loading analyses were performed, in both saturated and dry sands. Analyses were conducted with one-way cyclic loading and a target \(\xi_c = 0\). The loading magnitudes were chosen to reflect realistic loading conditions for the FLS and SLS. Different load regimes of types A, B, and C are listed in Table 3.

The moment-rotation curves governed by static and cyclic loads are presented in Fig. 10. Dense sand can be expected to show a stiffer re-

![Fig. 5. Failure envelope obtained from bearing capacities obtained at different values of h for large-diameter monopile (L = 30 m).](image)

![Fig. 6. Contours of plastic strain magnitude (plotted as deformed mesh) at selected loading levels (as a fraction of the total applied load) for a shear force monotonically applied at the head of the monopile (a 57,000 kN shear force monotonically applied at the head of monopile: h = 30 m).](image)
response than its initial state, as previously reported by [43]. The rotation in the first loading cycle was almost equal to the rotation obtained in the static pushover analysis (Fig. 10). The cyclic triaxial tests carried out by [35] indicated that the stress exponent (herein called the load exponent) of the stress-strain curve follows a quadratic relationship.

Figs. 11 and 12 present the pile rotation at the soil surface as a function of the number of cycles \(N\).

According to [44], most functions for the displacement of structures under cyclic loading are either exponential or logarithmic as follows:
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load regime</th>
<th>$\zeta_r$</th>
<th>$\xi_r$</th>
<th>$\theta_0$ rad</th>
<th>$\theta_1$ rad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.89 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.45 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.29 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.24 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.1 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>4.65 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Moment-rotation relationships of the static reference analysis and the four cyclic loads ($D=7.5$ m, $h=30$ m, $h=30$ m).

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \theta_{\theta_0} &= aN^b \\
\Delta \theta_{\theta_1} &= a + \ln (N)^b
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

where $\theta_0$ is the rotation obtained in the first loading cycle. Two dimensionless constants $a$ and $b$ can be determined empirically from either physical modeling or numerical analyses.

Both expressions were fitted to the maximum values of the rotation computed in the FE simulations. A variated normalization of the rotation is found in the literature by [31]. The proposed normalization of $\Delta \theta_{\theta_0}$ (where $\theta_0$ is the rotation occurring in a static analysis when load is equivalent to $(\zeta_0 \times M_P)$) is only valid when evaluating the maximum rotation. Fig. 11 shows the computed response of the evolution of the pile rotation, $\theta_0$ at the soil surface as a function of the number of cycles under three types of continuous cyclic loading for dry sand. Rotation accumulated throughout the entire analysis, and similar trends were obtained in two additional analyses for saturated sand (Fig. 12). In these evaluations, the maximum values of the rotation were used (dashed lines in Figs. 11 and 12).

Further inspection of Fig. 10 and Table 3 reveals that $\theta_0 \approx \theta_1$; therefore, the accumulated rotations obtained from different cyclic analyses in Fig. 13 were normalised to the rotation obtained in the first loading cycle. Predictions obtained with Eqs. (4a) and (4b) shown in Figs. 13 and 14 suggested that the model well-predicted the accumulated rotation of the stiffer pile with a power function, as previously shown by [37]. For dry sand, $b$ ranged from 0.47 to 0.52; these values are larger than the value of $b=0.31$ reported by [31] for small-scale stiff piles.

Using the data from these simulations, expressions fitting the first 1000 cycles were determined. Similar plots were obtained for saturated sand when the maximum values were fitted. As shown in Table 4, the Pearson correlation coefficients between the computed and fitted expressions were between 0.951 and 0.999, representative of the accumulated rotation of an offshore monopile.

Eq. (4a) can be described more conveniently herein:

\[
\frac{\Delta \theta_{\theta_0}}{\theta_0} = T_b(\xi_0)T_e(\xi_e)N^b
\]

(5)

where the coefficient $a$ in Eq. (4a) is interpreted by dimensionless functions $T_b$ and $T_e$ in terms of the load characteristics. As such, the function $T_e$ can be assumed here equal to unity, which arises from $\xi_e = 0$.

Hence, on rearranging Eq. (5), the normalised form of accumulated rotation is given by:

\[
\frac{\Delta \theta_{\theta_1}}{\theta_1} = T_b(\xi_0)N^b
\]

(6)

The results are given from the assumption of a nearly constant $b$ observed in analyses with respect to the sand saturation (for example, $b \sim 0.49$ for dry sand), indicates that $T_b$ depends linearly on the load magnitude, $\xi_0$, varying in a range between 0.18 and 0.47 (Fig. 15). A similar tendency was observed from small scale tests by [31,44], although the reported values for $T_b$ cover a lower range of variation. However, further studies are required to verify the form of models developed for both dry and saturated sands, and to extend them for predicting long-term behavior of offshore piles.

These findings suggest that lateral cyclic loading on monopiles embedded in cohesionless soils will not typically result in complete shake-down, but instead will result in the progressive accumulation of deformations. [21] called this phenomenon shake-down evolution. In this attenuation mechanism, the rate of displacement will constantly decrease, but will never reach zero, because the effect is modeled in a logarithmic fashion with load cycles. For certain loading cycles, the logarithmic function of the number of cycles (proposed by [21,42 and 32]) is eventually followed by an over-logarithmic accumulation stage for higher numbers of load cycles. The validity of this issue was investigated for the FLS, and deformation accumulation was approximated by power laws in contrast to the logarithmic formulation.

4.2. Influence of load order

Next, variable cyclic load patterns and the validity of Miner’s accumulated damage concept for monopile-dense soil systems were investigated. The accumulated rotations for three load patterns were calculated, considering the effect of load sequence based on Miner’s damage concept. Fig. 16 shows rotation envelopes from three loading regimes operated with 1000 cycles of type A, 100 cycles of type B, and 10 cycles of type C, respectively and inversely. The resulting accumulated pile rotations differed by $\Delta \theta = 0.33^\circ$. Denser sands had a higher sensitivity to the load sequence, as was reported in the cyclic triaxial tests of [48]. However, the dry sand analyses showed some minor scatter in the order of the load applications.

4.3. Strain superposition theory

[47] advocated the strain superposition theory for load cycles with different amplitudes. This theory states that strains accumulate through the strain accumulation curves developed for different load amplitudes while maintaining the strains implemented in previous events. The accumulated rotation for load type A, $\Delta \theta_{\theta_0}$ may be alternatively be obtained through the application of (Equation) (5) [31]:

\[
\Delta \theta_{\theta_0} = \left( \theta_0 T_b(\xi_0) \right) N^a
\]

(7)

where $T_b = T_b(\xi_0, R_d)$ and $T_e = T_e(\xi_e)$ are dimensionless variables, and $R_d$ represents the soil relative density. If $N_e$ cycles of loading type
Fig. 11. Rotation of the monopile at soil surface as a function of the number of cycles in the FE simulations with $\xi_a = 0.18$, 0.25 and 0.47. Maximum values of rotation are indicated by the dashed lines.

A are applied to the monopile, followed by $N_b$ cycles of loading type $B$, the resulting rotation may be obtained by [31]:

$$\theta_b = \left( \theta_i T_b T_c \right)_b \times \left( N_{\text{Equivalent}}^b + N_b \right)^\alpha + \max \left[ \theta_{1,a}, \theta_{1,b} \right]$$

(8)

$$N_{\text{Equivalent}}^b = \left( \frac{\Delta \theta_a}{\left( \theta_i T_b T_c \right)_b} \right)^{1/\alpha}$$

(9)

Fig. 17 shows the predicted monopile rotation in response to the load sequence 200A→200B. In general, satisfactory agreement was found between the theoretical solution and computed curves.

4.4. Effect of load reversal

Next, consider load pattern type $A$, defined by the corresponding $\xi_b$. Another cyclic load, identified by $-\xi_b$ with equivalent amplitude but in the opposite direction, was considered to analyze the effect of load reversal on the accumulation of rotation in the soil-pile system. Fig. 18 depicts the pile rotation under the loading pattern of
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Fig. 12. Rotation of the monopile at soil surface as a function of the number of cycles in the FE simulations with ζs = 0.18 and 0.25. Maximum values of rotation are indicated by the dashed lines.

Fig. 13. Normalised accumulated rotation as a function of the number of cycles for the three amplitudes of cyclic loadings for dry sand.

Fig. 14. Normalised accumulated rotation as a function of the number of cycles for the three amplitudes of cyclic loadings for saturated sand.

\[(N \times A) \rightarrow (N \times -A) \rightarrow (x \times A),\] where \(x\) is the number of cycles needed to diminish the effect of the loading reversal. The accumulated rotation is eventually equal to the rotation prior to load reversal. The results showed that 2.31 N cycles were required to counteract the effects of load reversal on a monopile installed in dense sand, which is higher than the 1.963 N cycles found by [31] for small-scale stiff piles in medium dense sand. Therefore, the hypothesis that 1 N cycles are required to neutralize this phenomenon (i.e., subtraction of the number of reversed load cycles) underestimates the accumulated rotation.

4.5. Fatigue analysis

The model proposed by [32] suggests that the accumulated rotation is proportional to ln(N). This model was investigated in the previous sections for dry sand with \(N<1000\). A relatively good fit was observed, with a better fit when the accumulated rotation was modeled as an exponential rather than a logarithmic expression.

In this section, this issue was further studied for the FLS. The results from fatigue analysis showed a much better fit with the exponential expression \((R = 0.999)\) than with the logarithmic expression \((R = 0.851)\). Constants \(a\) and \(b\) for the exponential expression were 0.0324 and 0.58, respectively, and for the logarithmic expression were \(-2.78,\) and 0.851, respectively. Table 5 reports the load characteristics for a realistically designed wind turbine, including the FLS, SLS, and the worst expected transient load equal to ULS/1.35. The results include \(\sim 10^4\) cycles, whereas FLS is governed by \(10^7\) load cycles. In the absence of further information, and due to the closeness of the exponential fit up to \(10^6\) cycles, care should be advised when extrapolating the fitting expression to the FLS.

[31] proposed the following expression based on small-scale tests:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \theta_i &= \left( (\Delta \theta_{i-1})^{1/a} + N_i \times (\theta_s T_b T_c)^{1/a} \right)^a \\
\theta_i &= \Delta \theta_i + \max (\theta_{s,1}, \ldots, \theta_{s,h})
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

The evolution of pile rotation due to cyclic loading in the above expressions was obtained by employing different assumptions, such as Miner’s rule, the strain superposition theory, and the extended rainflow-counting method [45]. For this research, in line with the non-dimensional frameworks given above, the load-time histories are decomposed into a set of load regimes relevant to wind turbines (Table 5).

The maximum accumulated rotation is determined as \(\theta_p = 1.917^a\). Fatigue and serviceability limit states contribute to \(\theta_p\) by 46% and 20%
respectively, rather than 31.82% caused by the worst expected load. From this point, the high-level cycling, even though in very few cycles gave a scope for accelerated accumulation of rotation.

Fig. 19 shows the lateral deflection of two monopiles. The monopile with an embedded length of 30 m behaved like a flexible pile, whereas the short monopile with a length of 20 m showed a rigid response. A significant head-and-toe displacement with increasing load cycles was observed, with a slight downward movement of the rotation point of the pile. Greatest displacement was observed during the first cycle, and the accumulated cyclic displacement increased with the number of cycles.

4.6. Cyclic loading: transient effect

A large-diameter monopile is intended to maintain the serviceability of offshore platforms over several years. However, a monopile with an unfavorable drainage system can lead to the accumulation of PWP, followed by pile displacements. There can be significant changes in the induced loads when waves pass a structure; therefore, transient effects need to be considered.

The PWP pattern changes dramatically with the loading rate, although the loading test frameworks in literature are limited to very poorly drained conditions for small-scale soil-pile models. The change in PWP, $\Delta p$, can be defined as follows [10]:

$$\Delta p = f \left( \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{T_L}, L_d, \gamma_w \right)$$

where $T_L$ is the loading period, $k$ is the soil permeability, $L_d$ is the drainage length, and $\gamma_w$ is the unit weight of fluid. Although $f$ is not explicit, it is evident that an increase in monopile size, when $T_L$ and $k$ are constant, will lead to greater PWP development. When the period is large, there is very little tendency for PWP development. The developed finite element model was utilized to examine the effects of load repetition, loading rate, and loading history under extreme loading events (Fig. 20(a)). The loading rate had a significant effect on the lateral response of the foundation. Typical responses to such a load history are shown in Fig. 20(b) and (c).

To identify the ground displacement pattern at the soil-pile interface, two cases were defined: the pore fluid behavior in soil adjacent to
Fig. 17. Prediction of accumulated rotation using superposition concept.

Fig. 18. Effect of the reversed loading on the behavior of monopile rotational deformations: \( \{ \theta_s = 0.18 \} \rightarrow \{ \theta_s = -0.18 \} \rightarrow \{ \theta_s = 0.18 \} \).

Table 5

Prediction of the cumulative rotation based on the numerical analysis of a full-scale offshore monopile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load type</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( \theta_s ) [degree]</th>
<th>( T_s )</th>
<th>( T_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.0648</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WETL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accumulated rotation obtained by Eq. (10)

\[
\theta_f = \max \{ \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \}
\]

Accumulated rotation for continuous cyclic loading obtained by Eq. (4)

\[
\theta_f = \theta_i + \Delta \theta_p
\]

Fig. 19. a) Pile deflection lines under cyclic loading b) Relative increase of the lateral pile displacement at ground level.

loading near the foundation edges) and volumetric effects resulting from dynamic loads. However, there is still insufficient knowledge regarding the mechanisms of foundation-induced dilation in engineering practice, which may lead designers to erroneous decisions.

Under the given level of excitation, a soil compaction mechanism dominated in the free-field, resulting in steadily increasing PWP over \( \sim 146 \) s (Fig. 21(b)). Shear stress components were imposed due to transient excitation, causing the cycle-by-cycle accumulation of lateral...
deformations. The computed excess PWP histories (Fig. 21) displayed several instantaneous sharp drops in PWP.

During undrained loading, a zone formed around the pile, in which the PWPs were considerably different from those in the free-field (Figs. 21 and 22). This finding can be attributed to the foundation-induced dilation effect during cyclic loading. Observations from numerical analyses showed that the dilation phenomenon caused by the soil-pile motion on the lateral loading of the monopile head was confined within a zone of approximately up to two diameters in the vicinity of the pile. With the development of 3D transient hydraulic gradients, it is anticipated that partial drainage, PWP, and void redistribution may occur simultaneously. Partial drainage may occur with excess PWP development, in response to transient hydraulic gradients, and as rapidly as the 3D PWP redistribution occurs (Fig. 22). As a result of the horizontal flow towards the free-field, the excess PWP will be dissipated downward.

Shear stress components are imposed by transient effects due to accumulated lateral deformations (Fig. 23). The excess PWP generation
rate and soil softening are highly dependent on the confining pressure and foundation-induced shear stresses.

Storms caused transient and permanent deformations of the foundation and surrounding soil settlements (Fig. 24). The few centimeters of soil settlement indicated that storms, at least of a certain duration, might cause a transient softening of the foundation, until the excess PWP dissipates. Importantly, these few centimeters pile head deformations were induced in only 146 s. For storms with a return period during the lifetime of a wind turbine, the accumulated deformations may exceed tens of centimeters, which can interrupt the turbine serviceability.

Finally, the SSI-induced settlements were quite similar in shape within the soil layers. The observed re-stiffening behavior towards the end of the motion was mostly due to the vertical downward water flow from the surrounding soil adjacent to the pile shaft toward the free-field (Fig. 22). Settlements in the free-field may be mainly attributed to settlements within the upper layers of saturated sand. Interestingly, the
downward flow away from the upper layers made late displacements within the lower layers.

5. Conclusions

This paper presents results from the numerical modeling of the lateral long-term cyclic loading of large-diameter monopiles due to wind and tidal waves. A well-calibrated critical state multi-surface plasticity model was employed for modeling the accumulated deformations of offshore piles in dense sand associated with cyclic mobility. This model can be useful for qualitative analysis during the design of foundations for offshore wind turbines, as well as for analyzing how the soil-pile interaction affects the overall response of the system.

Loading conditions corresponded to high lateral loads and bending moments, which could be induced by environmental events, especially storms. When evaluating the cyclic loading, the accumulated rotation was normalised to the rotation obtained in the first loading cycle. For one-way cyclic loading, an attenuation mechanism was observed for different cyclic load ratios, wherein the plastic increments still occurred after a certain number of load cycles and at a decreasing rate to zero, as, for instance, in a logarithmic evolution with the number of cycles. On the other hand, no load level led to an elastic response; therefore, for the dense sand, an attenuating evolution without pure shake-down can generally be expected.

The new expressions, which are based on full-scale behavior, are more specific than previously reported relationships because they incorporate additional terms that reflect the soil characteristics during cyclic behavior. Additionally, it was shown that excess PWP may accumulate around the pile, depending on the drainage conditions, system geometry, soil permeability, and load frequency.
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