

Aalborg Universitet

To decentralize and centralize - a win-win strategy of leadership
Frischer, Josef
Publication date: 2007
Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record
Link to publication from Aalborg University
Citation for published version (APA): Frischer, J. (2007). To decentralize and centralize - a win-win strategy of leadership. InDiMedia, Department of Communication, Aalborg University.

General rightsCopyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

To Decentralize and Centralize - A `Win-Win' strategy

Empowering Leadership

Josef Frischer

Philosophy of Leadership Centre Management and Philosophy no. 1, 2007 Spar Nord Bank project Work paper 5 ISBN 9788791943430

It has been found (McClelland 1975,1982, 1985, Frischer, 1993, 1996, 2000, 2003, 2006) that if leadership wants to exert far-reaching influence and profit, to be powerful, he must make his fellow workers i.e. subordinates, powerful to accomplish things and be profitable on their own in a powerful way. This demonstrates the paradox of leadership and power, i.e. "to be an effective and powerful leader one must turn all so-called followers into leaders" (McClelland, 1975, p. 262). The motive is to empower (to share power) others to be influential and autonomous. The sharing of power doesn't make the leader weaker (A 'lose –win strategy); on the contrary, both the leader and his coworker gain power creating a mutual 'win-win' power base. This is not power *over* the coworkers but rather power *with* them. Not a 'zero-sum game' but rather a 'plus-sum game'.

A common misconception of empowering leadership is that it by delegating power (such as knowledge, influence, finance) will eventually lose own power and disintegrate. The top leadership may fear becoming obsolete (lose - win) and will do all in his/her power to hinder such a development. Subsequently a `win-lose' strategy will be advocated for by the top leaders, depicting a common leadership behavior of showing who has more power more then showing who is more effective.

If it is possible, for a leader, to empower a team of coworkers and simultaneously experience an enhanced own power base, it might be difficult for him/her to perceive power at his job not as a zero-sum game - that the act of empowering is not inevitably losing power. The over all power-base (centralization and decentralization) even expands ('Plus-sum game), as research clearly has shown (Norrgren, 1981; Rubenowitz, 1986; Tannenbaum 1984; Kets de Vries, 1995). It is also known that empowering leadership correlates with innovation (Kanter, 1988) and with profit (Boyatzis 1992; McClelland & Burnham, 1976; McClelland & Boytziz 1982) in organizations.

Leaders may not immediately embrace the paradox that rejects the `zero-sum-game' logic. That empowering, which is the sharing of power and knowledge, is different from the sharing money or other artifacts. You don't get less. You gain.

By using the Relational Learning Model (Frischer 2006) a study and later a training process can be implemented and evaluated on the Decentralization – Centralization problem being studied.

My ambition is to in the SparNord project study the above named topic. Write articles in peer-reviewed journals and try to finish a 'Disputat'.