Aalborg Universitet



Triple or dual antithrombotic therapy post-percutaneous coronary intervention

Which one is better?

Ding, Wern Yew; Potpara, Tatjana S; Stables, Rodney H; Lip, Gregory Y H

Published in: Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1111/jce.14130

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Ding, W. Y., Potpara, T. S., Stables, R. H., & Lip, G. Y. H. (2019). Triple or dual antithrombotic therapy postpercutaneous coronary intervention: Which one is better? Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 30(11), 2473-2474. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1111/jce.14130

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Wern Yew Ding ORCID iD: 0000-0003-3596-6545 Tatjana Potpara ORCID iD: 0000-0001-6285-6902 Gregory Lip ORCID iD: 0000-0002-7566-1626 Editorial

Triple or dual antithrombotic therapy post-percutaneous coronary intervention: which one is better?

Wern Yew Ding¹, MRCP

Tatjana S. Potpara², MD, PhD

Rodney H Stables¹, DM

Gregory Y. H. Lip^{1,3}, MD

¹Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Liverpool and Liverpool Heart & Chest Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom; ²School of Medicine, Belgrade University; Cardiology Clinic, Clinical Center of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia, and ³Aalborg Thrombosis Research Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

Corresponding Author:

Prof Gregory Y H Lip gregory.lip@liverpool.ac.uk

Full mailing address University of Liverpool

William Henry Duncan Building

6 West Derby Street

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/jce.14130.

Liverpool, L7 8TX

Telephone number0151 794 9020

Word count: 1054

Dual antiplatelet therapy is the usual standard of care post-percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)¹. Nevertheless, in patients who are receiving concurrent systemic anticoagulation, there is a growing concern that the bleeding risk with the resulting triple antithrombotic therapy (TAT) may outweigh any potential benefits. This is further supported by the recognition that bleeding events post-PCI are associated with worse overall outcomes.² Several studies have reported that the use of TAT results in similar rates of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and major bleeding when compared to dual antithrombotic therapy (DAT)^{3–5}. However, many of these studies are observational and underpowered to detect such events. As a result, the optimal antithrombotic regimen post-PCI remains a subject of debate.

In the current issue of *Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology*, Atti *et al.*⁶ report findings from their systematic review and meta-analysis of studies comparing TAT and DAT in anticoagulated patients undergoing PCI. The authors performed a comprehensive literature search to identify all relevant studies ever published: 15 studies were eligible (5 randomised controlled trials (RCTs), 10 cohort studies) and included a total of 13,967 patients (7,349 TAT, 6,618 DAT). Meta-analysis using random-effects model demonstrated no difference in risk of trial defined MACE, all-cause mortality and stroke but significantly lower rates of myocardial infarction (MI) and stent thrombosis with TAT. However, TAT was associated with significantly higher rates of trial defined major bleeding and Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) major bleeding but no difference in risk of intracranial bleeding.

The findings from this meta-analysis are important and helps further our understanding of how to manage such challenging patients. Bleeding and thrombosis (ie. ischaemia) are often thought of as two distinct ends on the same spectrum. Using this principle, treatment that influences one should have the opposing effect on the other. However, the WOEST trial⁷ challenged this belief by demonstrating that the omission of aspirin from a TAT regimen reduced the risk of both thrombotic outcomes and bleeding, perhaps suggesting that not all clots are equal. An alternative explanation is that bleeding events from TAT may result in cessation of antithrombotic agents that promote subsequent MACE. It is perhaps superficial to simply consider the number of antithrombotic agents used per se in a particular treatment regimen, since many of these drugs have different mechanism of actions. Another limitation of using terminologies such as DAT and TAT is that it encourages the reader to assume that every DAT and TAT regime is identical when this is in fact far from the truth.

Among patients with atrial fibrillation, the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) has been associated with a reduced risk of major bleeding compared to warfarin, and have become the preferred option for thromboprophylaxis in patients with AF.^{8,9} There are a paucity of data on the use of NOACs in TAT regimes. As acknowledged by the authors, the majority of studies included patients on warfarin rather than NOACs. However, the three largest studies (PIONEER AF PCI¹⁰, REDUAL PCI¹¹ and AUGUSTUS¹²; with a combined number of patients that exceeded all the remaining studies) included patients on NOACs in their DAT arm. The agent of choice for oral anticoagulation (OAC) in the TAT arms for both PIONEER AF PCI¹⁰ and REDUAL PCI¹¹ was warfarin. As a result, these studies were largely comparing the outcomes of DAT (with a NOAC) and TAT (with

warfarin). Therefore, the inclusion of these studies may have resulted in bias and inflated the risk of major bleeding with TAT.

Interestingly, neither the subgroup analysis of RCTs or cohort studies showed any difference in rates of MI or stent thrombosis, although lower rates were seen with TAT in the pooled analysis of all studies. Indeed, there was a numerical trend for more stent thrombosis and the composite ischaemic outcome in placebo-treated patients compared to aspirin, in the AUGUSTUS trial.

A few limitations to the study by Atti *et al.*⁶ ought to be highlighted. First, it is important to consider the effects of selection bias of the individual trials, many of which are observational in nature, on the overall meta-analysis. The individual treatments were likely to have been influenced by clinicians after weighing up the risk of ischaemia versus bleeding. It may therefore be assumed that patients who given TAT were deemed to have high ischaemic risk and those given DAT were deemed to have high bleeding risk. Second, there are significant variations in the duration of TAT used in the individual studies. Third, the studies were published between 2007 and 2019. There are important advancements during this time from types of stents used to changes in medication regimens that may have influenced the outcomes. The significant changes over the past decade in favour of TAT and DAT are summarised in **Table 1**. Fourth, there is a lack of consistency between studies in the type of antiplatelets and anticoagulation agents used in DAT and TAT regimens.

In summary, the important study by Atti *et al.*⁶ suggests that the use of TAT post-PCI may be associated with lower rates of MI and stent thrombosis but with increased risk of major bleeding. However, inter- and intra-group differences between those treated with DAT and TAT precludes drawing any strong conclusions from this meta-

analysis. For now, when choosing an OAC strategy in combination with one or more antiplatelets, a NOAC based strategy is likely to be safer compared to a warfarin based one.

What about the need for aspirin? For many patients, an OAC plus P2Y12 inhibitor based DAT strategy may be an option, although in patients at high risk of stent thrombosis or ischaemic outcomes, a short period of aspirin may still be warranted as part of a TAT regimen. Indeed, the use of the different treatment regimens should ultimately be guided by detailed risk profile assessment of each individual.

Disclosures:

GYHL: Consultant for Bayer/Janssen, BMS/Pfizer, Medtronic, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Verseon and Daiichi-Sankyo. Speaker for Bayer, BMS/Pfizer, Medtronic, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Daiichi-Sankyo. No fees are directly received personally.

TSP: Speaker fees for Bayer, Serbia and Pfizer.

RHS: None declared.

WYD: None declared.

References

- 1. Neumann F-J, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40(2):87-165.
- Ndrepepa G, Berger PB, Mehilli J, et al. Periprocedural bleeding and 1-year outcome after percutaneous coronary interventions: appropriateness of including bleeding as a component of a quadruple end point. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;51(7):690-697.
- De Vecchis R, Cantatrione C, Mazzei D. Clinical Relevance of Anticoagulation and Dual Antiplatelet Therapy to the Outcomes of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Recent Percutaneous Coronary Intervention With Stent. J Clin

- Fiedler KA, Maeng M, Mehilli J, et al. Duration of Triple Therapy in Patients Requiring Oral Anticoagulation After Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation: The ISAR-TRIPLE Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65(16):1619-1629.
- Rubboli A, Schlitt A, Kiviniemi T, et al. One-year outcome of patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing coronary artery stenting: an analysis of the AFCAS registry. *Clin Cardiol.* 2014;37(6):357-364.
- Atti V, Turagam MK, Garg J, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Single versus Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients on Anticoagulation Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2019.
- Dewilde WJM, Oirbans T, Verheugt FWA, et al. Use of clopidogrel with or without aspirin in patients taking oral anticoagulant therapy and undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: an open-label, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2013;381(9872):1107-1115.
- 8. Larsen TB, Skjoth F, Nielsen PB, Kjaeldgaard JN, Lip GYH. Comparative effectiveness and safety of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants and warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: propensity weighted nationwide cohort study. *BMJ*. 2016;353:i3189.
- Lip G, Freedman B, De Caterina R, Potpara TS. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: Past, present and future. Comparing the guidelines and practical decision-making. *Thromb Haemost*. 2017;117(7):1230-1239.
- 10. Gibson CM, Mehran R, Bode C, et al. Prevention of Bleeding in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing PCI. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(25):2423-2434.
- Cannon CP, Bhatt DL, Oldgren J, et al. Dual Antithrombotic Therapy with Dabigatran after PCI in Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377(16):1513-1524.
- 12. Lopes RD, Heizer G, Aronson R, et al. Antithrombotic Therapy after Acute

Coronary Syndrome or PCI in Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(16):1509-1524.

Favouring TAT	Favouring DAT
Use of NOACs, which are associated with lower bleeding risk	Improved stents used in PCI
Better monitoring with warfarin	More potent antiplatelets
Increased use of PPIs	
Increased use of radial access for PCI	

Table 1: Changes over the past decade:

TAT, triple antithrombotic therapy; DAT, dual antithrombotic therapy; PPI, proton pump inhibitors