AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Considerations about ISO 14001, and suggestions for the next revision

Jørgensen, Tine Herreborg

Published in:
International Sustainability Development Research Conference 2006

Publication date:
2006

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):
Jørgensen, T. H. (2006). Considerations about ISO 14001, and suggestions for the next revision. In *International Sustainability Development Research Conference 2006: Conference Proceedings* The Centre of Urban Planning, University of Hong Kong.

Considerations about ISO 14001, and suggestions for the next revision

Tine Herreborg Jørgensen
Associate Professor, M.Sc., Ph.D.
Department of Development and Planning, Aalborg University, Denmark

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to discuss a number of issues related to ISO 14001:2004, the international standard for environmental management systems (EMS) with the purpose of improving the next edition in order to recognize and reflect new recognitions in approaches to pollution prevention. The standard is a process standard that leaves room for interpretation at company level as well as among lead auditors from certifying bodies. A case study is presented and shows lack of life cycle thinking in product development. The paper suggests changes of ISO 14001:2004 in order to include a clear product focus. It is also suggested to formulate clearer demands for targets and environmental improvements, and to include a demand for publication of an environmental report to promote a constructive dialogue with relevant stakeholders.

_____

1. Introduction

The possible solutions to the environmental problems related to industrial production have moved to the companies' production processes. This implies new ways of managing environmental effects, where EMS is central and strategic planning necessary to secure continuous improvements of the environmental performance. The existing consumer patterns in the industrialised parts of the world, the global character of today's environmental problems and the expansion and increasing complexity of the global marketplace demand a response from all countries and from all levels of society. Through the 1990s and up until today, this development has resulted in an increasing environmental pressure on industry. This pressure derives from the demand that companies should take responsibility for the environmental damage which they create and thus approach their way of managing the environment in a more systematic and proactive way (Welford, 1998).

Environmental concerns are being incorporated in an increasing number of business strategies in order to meet the environmental demands from the different stakeholders or to create a market demand for greener products. Many companies have integrated the responsibility for pollution prevention in their management system, where actions have to take place in order to reduce the environmental impacts. The increasing interest among companies for self-regulation in relation to their environmental impacts has resulted in a need for methods and tools to support reliability and the process of change towards systematic development of cleaner production processes and products. Since the middle of the 1990s, with the publication of ISO 14001 (Dansk Standard, 1996), more than 100,000 companies have obtained a certified EMS (ISO, 2005). ISO 14001 is an international standard which could have a number of opportunities for an organisation (ISO, 2002):

- A structured approach to addressing the environmental bottom line

- To manage the impact of their activities on the environment better and to demonstrate sound environmental management
- Improved environmental performance
- Addresses not only environmental aspects of the production processes but also those of its products and services.
- Can improve environmental management and enables equal access to a growing "green" market place.

Internationally the implementation of environmental management systems is shown great interest. The number of ISO 14001 certificates increased with 60% from 2000 to 2001, and with 37% from 2003 to 2004 (ISO, 2005). Figure 1 shows the development in the number of issued certifications, which points at a continued interest in the next years.


Figure 1. Total number of issued ISO 14001 certificates in the world 31st Dec. 1995 to 31st Dec. 2004 (ISO, 2003), (ISO, 2005).

Diffusion of EMS
ISO 14001 is an international standard applied in many different types of organisations. The standard does not, and is not intended to contain many specific requirements (Bell, 1997). ISO 14001 is a framework that companies are obliged to adopt in their organisation. However, the standard does not specify how the requirements should be met and they do not provide an indication of what goals it should strive to achieve (Schaltegger, Burritt and Petersen, 2003). ISO 14001 is a process standard not a performance standard, which means that the standard does not set up specific demands of environmental improvements. IS0 14001 is flexible with room for interpretations, such as implementation strategies, definition of scope, environmental improvements, internal and external dialogues and co-operation.

EMS according to ISO 14001 can be considered as a 'travelling concept' at national and international levels where ISO 14001 is appropriated to different contexts. EMS is shaped due to conditions, such as:

- Interests and demands of various stakeholders
- Regulatory framework
- Market structure
- Organisational identity
- Educational systems
- Accreditation bodies

- Available equipment and production facilities

Not only ISO 14001 is translated from one language to another. When travelling the whole concept and understanding of the environment is translated and transformed in order to apply to a new context.

2. Stronger product focus needed

A more product-oriented approach In ISO 14001 is necessary because the most significant environmental impacts frequently appear in other life cycle stages than the producer of the product. This is certainly the case for many food products, as the hot spot is often the primary production, where the demand for energy and land (space) is significant (SIK, 2001). For products with energy consumption during use, such as electronics, the usage stage will often be very important (Wenzel et al., 1997). The latter is also the case for a series of other products such as cars, textiles, chemicals etc. Other groups of products may cause the largest problems in the disposal stage, such as PVC, products containing large quantities of heavy metals, e.g. some batteries, and varies kind of electronics etc.

A Danish study of 107 companies complying with EMAS/ISO 14001 shows that EMS has proved to be a good basis for technological innovation and environmental improvements. In nearly half the cases EMS has resulted in economical savings, but the companies also stress the importance of improved image. Although half of the companies have a few aspects of life cycle thinking in the environmental review, the environmental focus is site-specific. In that sense companies complying with EMAS/ISO 14001 have recognized the responsibility for their own production, but not yet for the whole product chain (Christensen et al., 1999). In the mean time consumers, wholesalers and authorities are increasingly considering producers as responsible for the whole life cycle of their products. In other words they are putting a pressure on industries concerning increased product responsibility with a basis in life cycle thinking (Thrane et al., 2000).

A product-oriented approach at company level serves several purposes. An important goal is to reduce sub-optimisation by focusing on those life cycle stages, which implies the largest improvement potentials in the product chain, as described above. However, it may also serve as a tool for communication, innovation and extension of a more value-based management system. Finally it is obviously important in relation to the development of cleaner products and achievement of varies kind of eco-labelling.

3. Limited product focus

Danish companies yet have not recognized their responsibility for reducing environmental impacts in the whole product chain, some Danish companies pay increasing attention to life cycle thinking. Especially in companies dealing with product development, life cycle thinking forms part of their product considerations, most often in the purchase department. (Dansk standard, 2002), (BVQI, 2002). But the problem is that companies with a certified EMS according to ISO 14001 do not have to consider potential environmental impacts in their product development.

In the following this paper presents a case study from the textile industry. A new and improved product was developed and had unpurposely major environmental advantages both for the producer and for the customers. The case study is looking at why in the first place, the producer did not take environmental considerations into product development and why the customers are still reluctant to switch to the new product despite of environmental, ergonomic and economic advantages.

Presentation of Anotex
In the fall 2004 the environmental activities in a Danish textile company certified according to ISO 14001 was studied (Jørgensen and Bærendtsen, 2005). To keep the company anonymous, it will be referred to as "Anotex". Anotex produces a textile product which the customers hire out and wash several times. The case study includes Anotex and a major customer. The methods used were observations of activities related to the environment, interviews with managers of environment, development and production and documents from their EMS.

In mid 1990ies Anotex achieved a certified EMS according to ISO 14001, and reductions of environmental impacts were made. Though, the last 4-5 years it has become more difficult to fulfil the demand for continuous improvements from ISO 14001. The EMS of Anotex has become a low priority, and limited resources and investments have been made in this field. The management commitment to the system has been low and this is the reason why the development of the new product, which in this paper is called "Greentex" did not include environmental considerations. First of all Greentex was developed to reduce the weight of the product in order to reduce the amount of materials and by this save money for raw materials. Secondly Anotex wanted to develop a lighter product for ergonomic reasons to make it easier to handle the product at the customer. Environmental considerations had not been part of the product development. At first, the environmental advantages were viewed as a positive side effect.

Environmental advantages of Greentex
Greentex has a number of advantages. Greentex is 30% lighter in weight compared to similar products and use a different kind of yarn. At the producer the reduced weight results in about 30% reduction of raw materials therefore money is saved during purchase. However this material requires around 10-15% more energy during production. Still this is can be more than compensated for at the consumer where about 30% of energy is saved. Test results at a customer of Greentex compared to the standard product is shown in figure 2.

In total more m^2 are washed at the customer with the same amount of water even though less kilo are washed per wash (see figure 2). The lighter weight makes it possible to wash more m^2 of the product in each wash without increasing the amount of water used or the amount of detergent. This reduces the water consumption, energy consumption and chemical consumption for each m^2 textile washed. The new type of yarn used in Greentex need shorter time to dry resulting in reduced energy consumption also the yarn can be washed at a lower temperature further reducing the energy demand. Figure 1 also shows that the life time of Greentex is 40% longer, which is due to the characteristics of the new yarn. The weight reduction means less wear of the washing machines and the employees. At the customers Greentex also results in improved ergonomics for the employees who prefer working with this product because of the reduced weight.

Measured parameter	Standard product	Greentex
Weight	100	70
Life time/colour-fastness	100	140
Longitudinal steadiness	100	100
Amount of kilo washed	100	80
Number of m^2 washed	100	112/126*
Amount of chemicals per m^2	100	100
Water consumption per m^2	100	74
Energy consumption per m^2	100	74
Tumbling time	100	70
Total expenses	100	72

*Results when testing at two typical types of industrial laundry machines used in the textile industry.

Figure 2. Test results of Greentex compared to a standard product at a customer (Jørgensen and Bærendtsen, 2005).

The total reduction of expenses is expected to be about 30% and include reduced energy, detergent, water and wear of machinery. The reductions will vary due to different washing equipment at the customers, but the results are so significant that all customers will experiences reduction of environmental impacts. Greentex is therefore a good alternative to the standard product for the customer, not only from an environmental perspective, but also from an economic and ergonomic perspective.

Barriers at the customer to use Greentex
To obtain full environmental benefits it is necessary to wash Greentex separate from the standard product (as shown in figure 2). If the two products are washed together, Greentex will be drying longer than necessary and be exposed to too high temperatures. Greentex risk shrinking and deformation. The customers' different types of products can be divided into only two groups regarding wash handling and with Greentex this makes three. Anotex encloses a technical bulletin for Greentex with recommendations for washing temperature, washing agents, drying times, etc. Anotex also visit their customers to instruct them how to treat Greentex. Test washes are also carried out at a number of customers to make the savings visible for the customer.

A visit to a rental-customer who wash and rent out the textiles showed that they surprisingly did not wash Greentex separately. Shorter life time of the product could then be expected. The customer explained that Greentex could not be sort from the standard in practise even though it is easy to tell the difference. The carriers who collect the textiles at their customers are all ready sorting the before mentioned two products and should they sort one more product it was a matter of expenses to do this.

Even though the customer has an EMS certified according to ISO 14001 they all ready carry out enough continuous improvements to keep the certificate and was not motivated to do more. The customers' parent company owns a number of companies washing and renting out the textiles. These companies are benchmarked regarding to water, energy, chemicals, and oils, and when they

have reached their quota they do not benefit economically to do more. The customer would only change procedures for collecting and washing by demand of their parent company. A next step for Anotex could then be to contact the parent company and present the test results from their companies in order to motivate them to buy Greentex.

Incentives for life cycle thinking at Anotex
According to the external auditor of Anotex, the test results can be used as documentation for continuous improvements of their EMS even though the reductions are made in the use phase at the customers. This is an example of how EMS can expand to life cycle management, where reductions of environmental impacts in the use phase are accounted for in the production phase. For companies who have all ready taken "the low hanging fruits" from their own production, an easier achievement of continuous improvements by being part of reducing impacts in other life cycle phases than their own could be a motivation.

Most companies are primarily concerned about their economy where price and quality have high attention compared to environment. At Anotex and their customer studied in this paper the attitude towards their EMS is to do just enough to comply with ISO 14001 and further improvement activities are only initiated if demanded from the parent company, the external auditor or by new regulation. EMS is often viewed as a system used at the operational level. But should the environmental considerations be an integrated part of business and for instance in the development of new products the EMS needs a stronger internal embeddedness at the strategic level with a strong management commitment.

To promote life cycle thinking, ISO 14001 could strengthen the demands for these considerations. This could be by formulating clearer in the standard. But also the external auditors could be more committed to this issue and encourage the companies to consider the environmental impacts in the whole product chain and especially in phases where the company could contribute to environmental improvements.

4. Life cycle initiatives an insurmountable task

An important barrier in order to increase product focus is that many companies find it an overwhelming task to carry out. The companies do not know where to begin, how to make limitations in LCA, how to make the tasks concrete and not too complicated. Besides the expected environmental improvements and economical benefits are more difficult to assess before hand compared to environmental improvements of their own production processes. Another barrier is that improvements in the product life cycle are not reached in half a year, and must be seen as a more long-term investment. For instance, collaboration in product chain and network take a long time to initiate and carry through. For companies with a certified EMS, the next step towards life cycle thinking is to include this area in objectives and targets of their environmental management programme (Dansk Standard, 2002), (BVQI, 2002). At present in Denmark more tools are being developed in order to support companies' efforts regarding life cycle activities. For these tools to succeed they must be able to reduce the impression of an insurmountable task among companies.

Common sense
Actually some companies have indirectly used life cycle thinking to consciously priorities between different approaches in for instance wastewater treatment. One company claimed that it would not

work with nano-filtration of its wastewater because it feared that the large energy consumption would eat up the environmental improvements (Thrane et al, 2000). This decision was taken several years ago and was mainly based on common sense or tacit knowledge. Today LCA screenings, carried out by the consultancy firm NIRAS, actually support this decision for the same reason. In other words there are potentials for life cycle thinking, which are based on the common sense which have been used for many years within the companies (Christensen, Madsen and Thrane, 2001).

Limited demands on suppliers
Only 28% of the ISO 14001/EMAS certified companies in Denmark place demands on suppliers and other network collaborations in order to have an EMS certification or other kinds of EMS. In general the formal EMS is not spread up- and down stream the product chain. This indicates that life cycle thinking and demands for improvements by the suppliers are still not very common. (Kvistgaard et al., 2001). Concerning the possibility for *substituting suppliers* or customers to obtain environmental improvements there are also other barriers: Price and quality are almost always more important than environmental considerations.

Another barrier concerning the implementation of life cycle thinking in the companies is that it is not always possible to place *environmental demands* on other actors in the product chain. In the fish industry, the sector is characterized by a large demand and a little supply of resources. Even though all life cycle screenings point towards the fishery as the hot spot in the life cycle (TBT and energy), it is very difficult for the processing companies to demand anything from the fishery, because of the scarce resource situation (Christensen, Madsen and Thrane, 2001). Furthermore, the fish industry is interested in large quantities of fish of a high quality at the right time. This may be in conflict with the environmental demands.

Although it is often taken for granted, it is also debatable, whether the companies always have a true interest in *initiating environmental improvements* in other stages of a product's life cycle. If a company assists a supplier with environmental improvements it does not necessarily get the credit in terms of economical pay back nor image improvements. At least it is an extra challenge to obtain this. Furthermore, they may risk that the supplier leaves the present supply chain. On the positive side is the increasing interest for supply chain management and close product chains with sharing of information and innovation initiatives. Furthermore, eco-labelling and external demands to eco-design and LCA, as we see in the electronic sector, are among the external pressures today which can probably move some companies towards more product-oriented thinking.

Need for creation of a greener market
Other barriers are the lack of customer and consumer demand for greener products. The opinion among a number of companies is that there is an interest in green products, but consumers are not willing to pay extra. In this context it is important to realise and understand why the companies sometimes seem less eager to deal with life cycle thinking compared to EMS.

An increasing demand for greener products should be promoted both with regulatory incentives and with initiatives from the individual companies. The companies more often ought to consider taking initiatives to inform and educate their market about their green products. An example is Grundfos, a company who have developed a range of pumps. The use of materials has been reduced by 26 per cent, and the energy consumption has been reduced with 30 per cent by using the pumps (Grundfos, 1999). When considering these environmental improvements in a life cycle perspective, it can be concluded that the environmental impacts of these pumps have been reduced in each link of the

product chain (production of raw materials, manufacturing of materials, manufacturing of product, use of the product, waste disposal and transport). In the advertisements of these products, Grundfos focuses on what the customer gains from the reduced energy consumption when using the pumps. Grundfos and other companies, dealing seriously with LCM, should focus more on informing their customers about the environmental gains by the reduced environmental impacts in other part of the product chain and apply to environmental consciousness which may not necessarily benefit the customer directly and economically.

More research on potentials and barriers for life cycle thinking in industries need to be carried through, but it will not be discussed further in this paper. Though in the following, it is chosen to focus on the need for greater product focus in EMAS and ISO 14001 as an important incentive for moving environmental activities of the companies in this direction.

5. Limited product focus in EMAS and ISO 14001

To promote implementation of life cycle based management in industries, demands for product focus should be incorporated more strongly in ISO 14001. In ISO 14001 the demand for life cycle considerations is vaguely formulated. In EMAS II the demands for indirect impacts have been strengthened: *"An organisation ought to consider both direct and indirect environmental aspects of its activities, products and services"* (European Parliament, 2000, annex VI, 6.1). In annex VI, the direct and indirect environmental aspects and the organisation are described. The environmental statement today must, among other things, include: *"A description of all significant direct and indirect environmental aspects which result in significant environmental impacts of the organisation and an explanation of the nature of the impact as related to these aspects"* (European Parliament, 2000, annex III, 3.2.b).

EMAS II must necessarily increase the attention of the registered companies to its impacts in all parts of the product chain. Though, without placing direct demands on the individual company to reduce their indirect impacts. These demands can be viewed as the first step to companies' implementation of life cycle based environmental management in a future perspective.

More product focus needed in ISO 14001
To promote implementation of life cycle based management in industries, demands for product focus should be incorporated strongly in ISO 14001. In principle ISO 14001 holds demands for life cycle thinking in certified companies as the scope for the standard, among other things, states that: *"It applies to those environmental aspects, that the organization identifies as those which it can control and those which it can influence."* (Dansk Standard, 2004). But most companies do not consider them selves to have an influence on environmental performances other than form their own production processes. For instance, companies producing energy consuming products to households must be considered to have an influence on the energy consumption of the products. Therefore use of these products must be an environmental aspect the company should include in their EMS.

From interviews with three lead auditors from the three biggest certifying organizations in Denmark, it can be concluded that two of the three interviewees do not place demands regarding the environmental impacts of the products on their clients regarding ISO 14001 (Jørgensen, 2001). The third lead auditor demands/requires that the companies have conducted life cycle considerations. Regarding formulation of environmental policy in ISO 14001 the demand for life cycle

considerations is more vague, as the first criteria for fulfillment of the policy is that: *"it is appropriate to the nature, scale and environmental impacts of its activities, products and services"* (Dansk Standard, 2004). It is up to the company and the lead auditor to interpretate whether impacts of the product in the product chain should be included in the EMS.

6. More customer and supplier focus in ISO 9001 than ISO 14001

With the revision in 2000 of ISO 9001 for quality management systems (QMS), the focus on customers and continuous improvements became stronger. The circles and arrows in ISO 9001:2000 symbolise a dynamic and continuous process (see figure 3). With focus on the customers, their demands and the satisfaction, the organisation has to be more oriented towards the product chain in which it operates. ISO 9001:2000 has also been aligned with ISO 14001:1996 *"in order to enhance the compatibility of the two standards for the benefit of the user community"* (Dansk Standard, 2000a). By the end of 2004, more than 670,000 ISO 9001:2000 certificates have been issued in 149 countries (ISO, 2005).


Figure 3. Model of process-based quality management system (Dansk Standard, 2000b).

ISO 9000 for quality management systems places, among other things, increasing demands on customer satisfactory: *"Organizations depend on their customers and should therefore understand current and future customer needs, should meet customer requirements and strive to exceed customer expectations."* (Dansk Standard, 2000b, 0.2.a). With the demand for increased customer focus, the ISO 9001 standard places increased focus on value and money flow in the product chain (Dansk Standard, 2000b). By this ISO 9001 make higher demands to the product chain than both EMAS and ISO 14001 do today. The focus on customer satisfactory in ISO 9001 could indirectly promote greener products, and this way influence their priority of environmental activities because the customer analysis might show increasing environmental expectations as a product quality in the future. This could also result in more dialogue and co-operation in the product chain regarding

environmental improvements. Increasing environmental demands or expectations from customers could contribute to a move the EMS from process focus towards product focus.

ISO 9001:2000 also provides some requirements for the purchasing process that include you as the customer. These requirements address the following topics (ISO, 2006):

- requirements regarding the purchasing information that should be provided so that suppliers clearly understand their customers' needs
- the ways in which supplied products can be verified as meeting the requirements of the customer

To help in this task you may consider the following (ISO, 2006):

- What is the specific product (goods or service) you are buying?
- What impact does this product have on your own business?
- What are the risks to your business if you experience problems with this product?
- How can you be sure that the product you receive will actually meet your requirements?
  - o What do you know about the reputation and historical performance of your supplier?
  - o What level of confidence do you need in your supplier's ability to provide you with conforming product on a consistent basis?
  - o If you decide that conformity to ISO 9001:2000 is important, (based on your assessment of the risks associated with the goods and services you are buying) how can you be sure that your supplier does have a QMS that meets ISO 9001:2000 requirements?
  - o Are the goods and services you require covered by your supplier's QMS? (You may need to ask for a copy of your supplier's actual certificate or declaration of conformity to find this out!)
- customer

The above quotations and questions related to ISO 9001 could as well be asked related to the environment and ISO 14001. With point of departure in QMS (figure 3) a similar model for EMS could be developed with the purpose to state the importance of the organizations relations with relevant stakeholders. The illustration of the EMS in ISO 14001:2004 illustrates the system elements (plan-do-check-act) and continuous improvements but do not relate it to the stakeholders (figure 4). A closer relation between ISO 14001 and the relevant stakeholders could also promote environmental improvements in the product chain because the certified company will have to be more conscious about stakeholder interests and react on it.

Figure 4. Environmental management system (Dansk Standard, 2004).

With the product-oriented approach the focus shifts from within the companies´ fence to the entire product chain (figure 5). For the companies, the challenge is to connect the links in the product chain in such a way that focus on both environmental optimisation of the material flow in the supplier chain; and on the customer´s expectations regarding environmental considerations in the value chain (Remmen and Münster, 2003). Only 28% of the ISO 14001/EMAS certified companies in Denmark place demands on suppliers and other network collaborations in order to have an EMS certification or other kinds of EMS. In general the formal EMS is not diffused up- and down stream the product chain. This indicates that life cycle thinking and demands for improvements by the suppliers are still not very common. (Kvistgaard, 2001). Concerning the possibility for substituting suppliers or customers to obtain environmental improvements there are also other barriers: price and quality are almost always more important than environmental considerations.


Figure 5. Communication and cooperation in the product chain (Remmen and Münster, 2003).

During the past five years, the revisions of standards in ISO has created a path towards more *compatible* management standards with cross references and 'integration' of system elements, which can reduce confusion and give administrative benefits related to implementation and maintenance of the systems. However, compatibility is only a small step towards an integrated management system. A prerequisite for integration is an understanding of generic processes and tasks in the *management cycle* – the plan-do-check-act, and the potential benefits of such an

integration is related to internal coordination and the reduction of possible trade-offs. An even more ambitious level of integration is concerned with *creating a culture* of learning, stakeholder participation and continuous improvement of performance in order to realize external benefits and to contribute to sustainable development. To realize this ambition, focus of the management system has to be on the synergy between customer-based quality, product-oriented environmental management as well as corporate social responsibility. (Jørgensen, Remmen and Mellado, 2006).

7. The role of stakeholders

An interesting question is how quickly and to what extent the environmental impacts from industry are reduced in order to move towards a more sustainable production. This will depend on the development in demands from stakeholders, the despondence of industry and the internal dynamic in the companies in relation to their wish to be one step ahead and to have a reliable environmental image towards the stakeholders (Jørgensen, 2001). In the last section, the stakeholders in the product chain were presented. These stakeholders are very important for environmental improvements of products. In the following the focus is on the authorities, external auditors and the public.

The role of public authorities
An important stakeholder in this regard is the environmental authorities. Regarding environmental pressure from authorities, a common problem of several developing countries is weak administrative and institutional capacities, poor regulatory enforcement and centralised systems. In countries with weak environmental enforcement of authorities, ISO 14001 is a system that could take part in the role of securing compliance with the environmental regulation for certified companies in the specific country. A country like South Africa is said to have one of the best environmental laws in the world, but the enforcement is low. Here ISO 14001 could contribute to ensure that certified companies comply.

Another question to be raised here is: "what are the differences in regulatory demands of the environmental law in different countries?". In some countries both environmental law and enforcement is weak. For a company with a certified EMS insuch a country it might be rather easy to comply with environmental regulation contrary to companies in other countries with more strict environmental regulation with more environmental demands and more control from authorities. As a consequence of this some parent companies have formulated their own environmental standards which all subsidiary companies must comply with no matter where they are situated in the world (Jørgensen, 2001). I will not go further into this question but point out that customers with suppliers certified according to ISO 14001 do not necessarily know anything about how strict environmental regulation is formulated in these countries. This means that customers to a certain extent should obtain knowledge about environmental demands in the countries of their suppliers in order to assess whether it is satisfactory.

Developing countries with limited resources for enforcement of environmental regulation could consider concentrating on formulation of clear and appropriate environmental law and regulation towards industry and at the same time demand the most polluting companies to become certified according to ISO 14001. In this way it is the lead auditors from the certifying bodies who control and secure that these companies comply with the law.

The role of the external auditors

The certifying bodies and especially the individual auditors who certify and audit companies' compliance with ISO 14001 play an important role interpreting the standard. As mentioned earlier in this paper ISO 14001 leaves room for interpretation. Both at national and international levels studies show that auditors have different attitudes and opinions of the interpretation. In a comparative analysis of EMAS and ISO 14001 it is indicated that not all auditors demand continuous improvements with an ISO 14001 certificate (Kvistgaard et al., 2001). A Danish auditor has personally experienced differences in the interpretation of continuous improvements between Denmark and Thailand. He thinks that culture has an influence on the demands placed at companies in different contexts.

Another example of differences is given earlier in this paper regarding the interpretation of (non-) conformance with regulatory requirements. In the next years many companies in developing countries must obtain an ISO 14001 certificate in order to enter the international markets. In this respect it is of great importance that the certificate has credibility and is not something you can pull in a vending machine (BVQI, 2001).

At national level differences in interpretation between certifying organisations and between auditors in the same certifying body also seems to vary. Smink followed the certification of three car-dismantling companies, which were certified by two different certifying bodies. The auditor in the first company certified the company in only two hours and allowed a consultant of the dismantling company to answer some of the questions (which they are not allowed to do). The auditor from an other certifying body spent two days on each of the other car-dismantling companies. (Smink, 2002).

From interviews with one lead auditor from each of the three biggest certifying organisations in Denmark, it can be concluded that two of the three interviewees do not place demands regarding the environmental impacts of the products on their clients regarding ISO 14001. The third lead auditor demands/requires that the companies have conducted life cycle considerations. (Jørgensen, 2001).

Interviews with 13 auditors from the same certifying body (SWEDAC in Sweden) also show differences in their interpretation of ISO 14001 (Ammenberg et al., 2001). On the question *"How do you control that the requirement regarding continual improvement is fulfilled?"* the answers varied:

- I focus on the environmental targets (8 auditors)
- I try to make a comprehensive judgement, where environmental targets constitute one part (4 auditors)
- I focus on procedures for handling non-conformance (1 auditor)

On another question: *"Which criteria are approved for inclusion in the assessment of environmental aspects when determining the significant environmental aspects?"* the answers were:

- Only criteria related to environmental impact are approved (8 auditors)
- Criteria regarding economy, technical possibilities, legal demands, etc. are also approved in the assessment process (5 auditors).

The discussion in the above paragraph indicates that the interpretation of ISO 14001 vary more than the certifying bodies imply. ISO 14001 could be clearer regarding continuous improvements and regarding the assessment of the environmental aspects. As Georg points out*: "auditing is the key to making the organisation transparent, but an important prerequisite for auditing is, however, that things have in some way been made audible"* (Georg, 2003).

Public assess to environmental performance in industry needed
The fact that a company is certified according to ISO 14001 does not automatically inform stakeholders about how polluting the production is compared to other companies. With ISO 14001 the company is only committed to publish their environmental policy and that is most often not sufficient in order to assess and compare the environmental performance of for instance two different companies. EMAS registered companies in EU have to publish an environmental statement which gives stakeholders a better opportunity to assess and compare environmental performances. With the increasing number of stakeholders concerned about the environmental impact and performance of industry, ISO 14001 could respond to this concern by integrating demand for environmental statements in the next edition of ISO 14001. An other way of providing public assess is practised in Denmark since 1995 with a demand for the most polluting companies to deliver an environmental report no matter if they are certified or not.

Public assess to environmental information about performance in the individual company through environmental statements/reports would probably motivate some companies to make an extra effort in order to comply with environmental legislation and conduct continuous improvements of their environmental performance.

An increasing demand for greener products should be promoted both with pressure from stakeholders, regulatory incentives and with initiatives from the individual companies. The companies more often ought to consider taking initiatives to inform and educate their market about their green products. (Jørgensen and Thrane, 2002).

8. Environmental improvements

An other issue of relevance to discuss in relation to ISO 14001 is the demand for environmental improvements of the EMS. What specific environmental improvements the company must live up to is a question of interpretation. ISO 14001 do not provide an indication of what goals the company should strive to achieve. Besides, with an ISO 14001 certification stakeholders do not know if the specific company is among the least polluting companies at national/international level or among the worst.

In relation to the specific formulation of aims companies often set up goals with environmental impacts per produced unit. But what happens when the production increases? If for instance a dairy cleans the pipes twice daily with detergents, and then production increases and they still clean twice daily then the result is that used detergent per produced unit or per amount of raw milk is decreasing. In practise the dairy has not made any environmental improvements, they have just increased production. Is this an environmental improvement? You could say no with the argument that the total use of detergents in the company has not decreased, on the other hand you could argue that it is an improvement because you produce more products with less environmental impact per unit. Another example could be that the dairy uses more energy in total when increasing production,

but the energy consumption per ton raw milk might be the same or even decreasing. This means that environmental improvements can be reached in spite of the fact that the total environmental impacts have increased. When this is the reason for the environmental improvements, it should be stated in the environmental statement/report of the company in question, in order to inform the public about the preconditions of the improvements. Companies do expand their production, economic growth is the basis of most businesses. Therefore ISO 14001 should be clearer in the definition of environmental improvements and it should be discussed what kind of goals are most appropriate in order to reduce environmental impacts from industry.

It is also relevant to discuss: what is an environmental improvement? In various surveys of companies with certified EMS only about 40-50% of these companies have achieved specific improvements related to use of resources or related to output emissions. (Annandale, Morrison-Saunders and Bouma, 2004), Ammenberg and Hjelm, 2003), (Christensen et al., 1999). According to ISO 14001:2004, *"continual improvement is a key attribute of an effective environmental management system"*. But experiences show that the improvements in the early stages of EMS in some companies is difficult to retain in later stages. In a case study with 40 Australian companies, 40% found this was the case (Annandale, Morrison-Saunders and Bouma, 2004).

In the first years after certification organisations often achieve a number of environmental improvements, "the low hanging fruits", and over time improvements become smaller or are made in connection with technological jumps like investments in new technology. Expanding the focus on production processes to the product chain, could contribute to setting and achieving new goals for the environmental performance.

9. Conclusion

In order to reduce the most significant environmental impacts from products it is necessary for a specific company also to consider other relevant stages in the product chain than their own and to co-operate and communicate with relevant stakeholders. It is suggested to incorporate life cycle considerations more strongly in ISO 14001. A more product-oriented approach is necessary because the most significant environmental impacts frequently appear in other parts of the product chain than in the certified company in question and the company can have a significant influence on reducing this impact. It is still a barrier for several companies to take the first step towards product-oriented EMS, the first step is to realise their responsibility. The interpretation of the standard by lead auditors could also be more homogeneous if ISO 14001 become clearer about setting targets, demands for and definition of continuous improvements and for product focus. ISO 14001 should make these issues easier to audit homogeneously among auditors.

The relevant stakeholders should also be more in focus in ISO 14001, as they are in ISO 9001:2000, where the customer and supplier relations are integrated in the system. More openness about a company's environmental performance and data about for instance development in environmental improvements must also be expected to become available for the public to a greater extent in the future. Instead of hiding these data, a modern company should have an open dialogue and co-operation with its stakeholders about its environmental performance.

It must be expected that ISO 14001 adapts and strengthen demands in the next edition along with developments of new knowledge and understandings about pollution prevention both at academic level and in society at large. Do the demands in the standard begin to lack behind "common knowledge" and "best practises", the standard will risk to loose reliability. To promote a product oriented approach in industry it is also necessary with support from regulatory incentives at national level toward industry as well as towards the customers and consumers.

When certified according to ISO 14001 companies must comply with environmental legislation, but it is important to bear in mind that national legislation and enforcement can differ significantly between countries. Especially in some developing countries with limited resources for enforcement of environmental regulation it is suggested that the authorities concentrate on formulating a clear regulation of high quality and at the same time demand the most polluting companies to become certified according to ISO 14001. This way the individual company must take responsibility of documentating compliance and authorities could to some extent let the auditors from the certifying bodies' control that the companies comply. It is also suggested that ISO 14001 integrate a demand for publication of environmental reports in order to provide public assess to environmental information about performance. Alternatively environmental reports could be considered as a legal requirement from authorities.

References

Ammenberg, Jonas (2001). *How do standardised environmental management systems affect environmental performance and business?* Institute of Technology, Linköpings Universitet. Sweden.

Ammenberg, J, Wik, G. and Hjelm, O. (2001). Auditing External Environmental Auditors – Investigating How ISO 14001 is Interpreted and Applied in Reality. *Eco-Management and Auditing*, vol.8.

Ammenberg and Hjelm (2003):

Annandale, Morrison-Saunders and Bouma (2004):

Bell, C. L. (1997) The ISO 14001 Environmental Management Systems Standard – One American´s View. *ISO 14001 and Beyond – Environmental Management Systems in the Real World*. Ed. Hillary, R. UK. Greenleaf Publishing.

BVQI (2001). Lead auditor, telephone interview, Denmark May, 2001.

Christensen, Madsen and Thrane (2001): *LCA of Danish Fish Products*, International Conference on LCA in Foods, Proceedings, SIK Dokument 143, Organised by the Swedish Institute for Food and Biotechnology, 26 – 27 April, Gothenburg.

Christensen, P. et al. (1999). *Erfaringer med miljøledelse i danske virksomheder* [Experiences with environmental management in Danish companies], Miljøprojekt nr. 486, Miljøstyrelsen, København.

Dansk Standard (1996): *EN ISO 14001; Environmental management systems – Specification with guidance for use.* Dansk standard. 1. Edition.

Dansk Standard (2000a): *DS/EN ISO 9000. "Quality management systems – Fundamentals and vocabulary"* 1. Edition. Copenhagen, Denmark.

Dansk Standard (2000b): *EN ISO 9001; Quality management systems – requirements.*[Kvalitetsstyringssystemer - Systemkrav]. Dansk Standard 3. edition, København, Danmark.

Dansk Standard (2001). Lead auditor, telephone interview, Denmark May, 2001.

Dansk Standard (2004): Environmental management systems – Requirements with guidance for use. DS/EN ISO 14001. Second edition.

European Parliament (2000): Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council allowing voluntary participation by organisations in a Community. *The Eco-Management and Audit Scheme*. PE-CONS 3658/00, ENV 432, CODEC 916. Brussels.

Georg, S. (2003). Environmental Mangement Standards –Traveling Ideas for providing transparency and Trust? *Position paper for the DUCED-EMP Research Workshop*. Chulalonkorn University. Bangkok, March.

Grundfos (1999): Annual Report.

ISO (2002). *Benefits of the ISO 14000 family of international standards*. Viewed 19th February 2003 : http://www.iso.ch/iso/en/prods-services/otherpubs/iso14000/benefits.pdf

ISO (2003). *The ISO Survey of ISO 9000 and ISO 14000 Certificates. Twelfth cycle: up to and including 31 December 2002.* Viewed on homepage 28 July 2003: http://www.iso.ch/iso/en/commcentre/pressreleases/2003/Ref864.html

ISO (2005). *The ISO Survey of ISO 9000 and ISO 14000 Certificates. Up to and including 31 December 2004.*

ISO (2006): What does it mean in the supply chain? Viewed 5. March 2006 on
http://www.iso.org/iso/en/iso9000-14000/explore/9001supchain.html

Jørgensen, Tine H.; Bærendtsen, P.: *Barriers when taking the first step towards life cycle management.* In proceedings at the conference LCM 2005 Innovation by Life Cycle Management. Barcelona, September 2005.

Jørgensen, Tine Herreborg (2001). *Miljøledelse – systemer, standarder og praksis* [Environmental Management – Systems, Standards and Practice]. Skriftserie 277. Department of Development and Planning, Aalborg University, Denmark.

Jørgensen, T. H. and Thrane, M. (2002). Life Cycle Based Environmental Approach in the Industry. *Proceedings of the Corporate Social Responsibility and Environment Conference*. Leeds.

Jørgensen, T. H.; Remmen, A.; Mellado, M. D. (2006): "Integrated Management Systems – three levels of integration". *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Volume 14, issue 8, p. 713-722, 2006.

Kvistgaard, Morten et al. (2001). *Miljøstyring og miljørevision i danske virksomheder.* [Environmental Management and Environmental Review in Danish Companies] Kvistgaard Consult ApS. *Miljønyt* Nr. 62. Erhvervsfremme Styrelsen og Miljøstyrelsen, Copenhagen.

Remmen, A.; Münster, M. (2003): *An introduction to Life-Cycle Thinking and Management*. Dansh EPA. Environmental News. No 68.

Schaltegger, S., Burritt, R. and Petersen, H. (2003). *An Introduction to Corporate Environmental Management. Striving for sustainability*. Greenleaf Publishing.

SIK. (2001). *International Conference on LCA in Foods*, Proceedings, SIK Dokument 143, Organised by the Swedish Institute for Food and Biotechnology, 26 – 27 April, Gothenburg.

Smink, C. (2002). *Modernisation of environmental regulations. End-of-life Vehicle regulations in the Netherlands and Denmark.* Ph.D.-thesis, Aalborg University.

Welford, Richard. (1998). *Corporate Environmental Management – systems and Strategies.* Second edition 1999. Earthscan Publications Ltd. London.

Wenzel, H. et al. (1997). *Environmental Assessment of products*, Volume 1, The Danish Technical University, Chapman & Hall, London.