



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Lichen sclerosus-associated nevus on glans penis mimicking melanoma

Tekin, Hasan G; Skyum, Helle; Spaun, Eva; Juel, Jacob

Published in:
JAAD case reports

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.1016/j.jdc.2020.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdc.2020.02.017)

Creative Commons License
CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Tekin, H. G., Skyum, H., Spaun, E., & Juel, J. (2020). Lichen sclerosus-associated nevus on glans penis mimicking melanoma. *JAAD case reports*, 6(4), 323-325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdc.2020.02.017>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Lichen sclerosis—associated nevus on glans penis mimicking melanoma



Hasan G. Tekin, MD,^a Helle Skyum, MD,^a Eva Spaun, MD,^b and Jacob Juel, MD^a
Aalborg, Denmark

Key words: lichen sclerosis; malignant melanoma; nevi; nevus.

INTRODUCTION

Penile melanomas are rare and approximately 200 cases exist in the literature, with a mean patient age of 67 years on presentation.¹ Nevi on genitalia can prove a diagnostic challenge because of clinical, dermoscopic, and histologic similarities with malignant melanomas.² When nevi are superimposed on lichen sclerosis in mucosal skin, the diagnostic difficulties are even greater and correct diagnoses requires histopathologic examination. Various opinions about the interpretation of melanocytic lesions associated with lichen sclerosis have been published, and only a few cases describe the association on male genitalia.³⁻⁷ Genital nevi superimposed by lichen sclerosis may recur after biopsy and show signs of proliferative activity in the dermal-epidermal junction, so key histopathologic findings are necessary to differentiate nevi from malignant melanomas.^{3,6}

We present a case of a young man with a pigmented lesion on the glans penis that developed *de novo* and increased rapidly in size. Histopathology determined the lesion as an atypical compound nevus, composed of irregular distributed melanocytes, with the chronic inflammation and scarring of dermal tissue caused by lichen sclerosis. The aim of this case report is to alert clinicians about a possible association between lichen sclerosis and rapid growth of nevi on male genitalia that can mimic malignant melanoma, and the histopathologic difficulties when confronted with nevi superimposed on lichen sclerosis.

CASE REPORT

A man in his mid 30s presented with a pigmented lesion on the glans penis, which quickly increased in

size during a 10-month period. Medical history was significant for circumcision because of lichen sclerosis 3 years before referral to our clinic. There was no disposition for malignant melanomas was reported. The pigmented lesion was initially suspected as malignant melanoma by a dermatologist and referred to the department of plastic surgery for excision. On clinical examination, the lesion measured 22 mm, was irregularly outlined with varying brown to black pigmentation, and exhibited an additional pigmented focus, causing suspicion for a satellite formation (Fig 1). Dermoscopy showed a broad network, peripheral dots, and pseudopods. To spare the external urethral meatus, the excision biopsy was conducted with a narrow margin. Histopathology showed a melanocytic lesion composed of an excess of melanocytes in a nested and lentiginous pattern, with irregular distribution at the dermal-epidermal junction (Fig 2). No continuous basal rows of melanocytes or upward scatter of melanocytes was present, and underlying dermal



Fig 1. Atypical nevus on a background of lichen sclerosis. Preoperative dorsal view of the glans penis.

From the Department of Plastic Surgery^a and Institute of Pathology,^b Aalborg University Hospital.

Funding sources: None.

Conflicts of interest: None disclosed.

Correspondence to: Hasan G. Tekin, MD, Hobrovej 18-22, 9100 Aalborg, Denmark. E-mail: h.tekin@rn.dk.

JAAD Case Reports 2020;6:323-5.

2352-5126

© 2020 by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc. Published by Elsevier, Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jidcr.2020.02.017>




Fig 2. **A**, Atypical melanocytic proliferation on a background of hyalinized telangiectatic dermis consistent with lichen sclerosis. **B**, Cytologically bland melanocytes in an atypical nested and lentiginous pattern at the dermal-epidermal junction. (**A**, Hematoxylin-eosin stain; original magnification: $\times 100$. **B**, Sox10 stain; original magnification: $\times 200$.)

changes were consistent with lichen sclerosis. The pigmented lesion fulfilled the criteria for an atypical nevus superimposed on lichen sclerosis, which extended well beyond the borders of the pigmentation. The surgical borders were not clear, and the patient was offered re-excision, which he refused because of the sensitive anatomic location and possible complications of abnormal urinary stream. Follow-up after 3 months showed no recurrence of the nevus.

DISCUSSION

Genital nevi pose a diagnostic challenge because they may be characterized by irregular borders and variegated colors and reach large diameters, which are features that overlap with those of malignant melanomas; however, accurate diagnosis is imperative because malignant melanoma is a differential diagnosis with potentially severe consequences.² Melanocytic proliferation and lichen sclerosis have been reported to co-occur in women,⁶ although concomitant lichen sclerosis and melanocytic proliferations are not well described in male genitalia, with only a few case reports existing.^{3,7,8} The stronger association between lichen sclerosis and nevi in female patients may be due to a higher prevalence of the former on female genitalia.

To differentiate malignant melanomas from genital nevi superimposed on lichen sclerosis, Carlson et al⁶ and El Shabrawi-Caelen et al³ suggested a set of key histopathologic characteristics. In this case,

histopathologic features were melanocytic nests, which varied in size and form, occasionally showing confluence; single-cell melanocytic proliferation at the dermal-epidermal junction, but not in continuous rows; and a few intraepidermal melanocytes high in the epidermis in the center of the lesion. These findings were in line with those described in previous literature for genital nevi superimposed on lichen sclerosis in female patients, with proliferative activity in melanocytes, demonstrating a “pseudomelanoma”-type confluence in the dermal-epidermal junction.^{3,6}

In addition to lichen sclerosis, nevi arising on damaged skin in the setting of epidermolysis bullosa show histologic and clinical signs of pseudomelanoma, in which a unifying factor most likely is damage to the dermal-epidermal junction.⁹ Difficulties in the interpretation of histopathology seem to overlap in both sexes if the dermal part of a compound nevus, located in the altered stroma of lichen sclerosis, exhibits signs of proliferative activity presumed to be induced by inflammation and scarring, although there is still controversy about how to interpret these changes in general.³⁻⁶ In female patients, the pathomechanism behind this association is speculated to be mediated by free radicals caused by lichen sclerosis–induced scarring and ischemia in the dermis. Whether the pathomechanism is similar in male patients remains unclear because of the few existing cases.^{7,10}

In conclusion, melanocytic proliferation on genitalia provides a diagnostic challenge because of similarities in clinical appearance to malignant melanomas. Histopathologic differences can aid in distinguishing benign from malignant lesions; however, none are pathognomonic and excisional biopsy can be beneficial to ensure a correct diagnosis.

REFERENCES

1. Papes D, Altarac S, Arslani N, Rajkovic Z, Antabak A, Cacic M. Melanoma of the glans penis and urethra. *Urology*. 2014;83(1):6-11.
2. Revuz J, Clerici T. Penile melanosis. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1989;20:567-570.
3. El Shabrawi-Caelen L, Soyer HP, Schaeppi H, et al. Genital lentiginos and melanocytic nevi with superimposed lichen sclerosis: a diagnostic challenge. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2004;50(5):690-694.
4. Friedman RJ, Kopf AW, Jones WB. Malignant melanoma in association with lichen sclerosis on the vulva of a 14-year-old. *Am J Dermatopathol*. 1984;(6 suppl):253-256.
5. Egan CA, Bradley RR, Logsdon VK, Summers BK, Hunter GR, Vanderhooft SL. Vulvar melanoma in childhood. *Arch Dermatol*. 1997;133:345-348.
6. Carlson JA, Mu XC, Slominski A, et al. Melanocytic proliferations associated with lichen sclerosis. *Arch Dermatol*. 2002;138(1):77-87.
7. Turnbull N, Shim T, Patel N, Mazzon S, Bunker C. Primary melanoma of the penis in 3 patients with lichen sclerosis. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2016;152(2):226-227.
8. Sollena P, Caldarola G, Di Stefani A, Massi G, Peris K. Lichen sclerosis of the glans simulating melanoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;76(2S1):S49-S51.
9. Hocker T, Fox M, Kozlow J, et al. Malignant melanoma arising in the setting of epidermolysis bullosa simplex: an important distinction from epidermolysis bullosa nevus. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2013;149:1195-1198.
10. Halonen P, Jakobsson M, Heikinheimo O, Riska A, Gissler M, Pukkala E. Lichen sclerosis and risk of cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2017;140:1998-2002.