Aalborg Universitet



A comprehensive framework for feasibility of CCUS deployment

A meta-review of literature on factors impacting CCUS deployment Storrs, Kasper David Pedersen; Lyhne, Ivar; Drustrup, Rikke

Published in: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1016/j.ijggc.2023.103878

Publication date: 2023

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Storrs, K. D. P., Lyhne, I., & Drustrup, R. (2023). A comprehensive framework for feasibility of CCUS deployment: A meta-review of literature on factors impacting CCUS deployment. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 125*, Article 103878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2023.103878

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 A comprehensive framework for feasibility of CCUS deployment: A meta-review of

2 literature on factors impacting CCUS deployment

3

4

5 Abstract

6

7 Carbon capture, utilisation and storage (CCUS) has gained prominence as one of a suite of technologies needed 8 for mitigating the urgent threat posed by climate change. Despite the significance of CCUS technologies to a 9 multitude of climate mitigation scenarios, research has identified a series of challenges to deployment, ranging 10 from cost overruns and technical failures to public opposition. Research has widely documented the range of 11 techno-economic challenges impacting the feasibility of individual technologies. However, a growing body of 12 research calls for the feasibility of CCUS to be assessed more holistically, with greater focus on systemic, societal 13 and other non-technical issues. Through a meta-review of 22 recent multidisciplinary review papers on CCUS, 14 we identify and explore a comprehensive set of challenges impacting CCUS deployment. The results show a 15 continued focus on the techno-economic dimensions within literature. However, the meta-review also unfolds a 16 series of issues receiving less attention in literature, from organisational and environmental challenges to issues 17 of legitimacy. Overall, this paper contributes to a broader understanding of the critical challenges facing CCUS 18 projects in the coming decade and provides a framework for a more holistic assessment of climate mitigation 19 technologies such as CCUS. 20

Keywords: CCUS; socio-technical systems; feasibility; deployment challenges; techno-economic assessment;
 sustainability

23

24 Highlights:

- 25 22 reviews of CCUS literature are reviewed for CCUS challenges
- 26 The challenges are grouped into 18 factors in a framework of 7 overall categories
- 27 Technical and economic challenges are most widely presented
- 28 Key social aspects appear underrepresented in the meta-review
- 29

- 30 1 Introduction
- 31

32 Carbon capture, utilisation and storage (CCUS) has gained prominence in climate change mitigation policy as a 33 solution for reducing emissions from industry and fossil-based energy sources to help limit global warming to 34 1.5°C (IPCC, 2022). The IEA (2021) now estimate that by 2030, globally installed capture capacity within heavy 35 industries should reach 375 megatons (Mt) of CO₂ per year, yet over the past 10 years the number of active or in-36 development projects has stalled (Global CCS Institute, 2016; 2020), with the majority of the 40 Mt of presently 37 installed capture capacity limited to enhanced oil recovery (EOR) applications (Global CCS Institute, 2019; IEA, 38 2021). Governments, researchers and intergovernmental organisations have therefore called for a rapid 39 acceleration in the scale-up and deployment of CCUS (Lipponen et al., 2017; IEA, 2020). However, CCUS 40 projects display a chequered history, with numerous high-profile technical failures and a legacy of public 41 opposition and cost over-runs constraining deployment (Sara et al., 2015; Bui et al., 2020). As such, an increasing 42 body of work has sought to document and address the various challenges impacting CCUS feasibility to help 43 accelerate deployment of the technologies.

44

45 To avoid or reduce project failures, research has applied a series of frameworks for assessing CCUS projects. 46 Techno-economic analyses (TEA) is likely among the most widespread, and it provides a quantitative framework 47 for assessing the technical and economic challenges of different processes, products or services (Zimmerman et 48 al., 2020). TEA has therefore been extensively used to improve the feasibility of CCUS, resulting in efficiencies 49 in the processes surrounding the capture, utilisation and storage of CO2 under different settings (Throneman and 50 Pizzol, 2019; Gladis et al., 2019; Mikhelkis and Govindarajan, 2020; Kamkeng et al., 2021; Nezam et al., 2021). 51 However, TEAs have proven insufficient at identifying environmental challenges to CCS deployment (Viebahn 52 and Chappin, 2021), with results often limited to technical conceptualisations of the environment focussed on life-53 cycle emissions (e.g. Fasihi et al., 2019). Furthermore, as argued by Bui et al. (2018), the decades of experience 54 accumulated internationally makes is clear that "it is not a lack of technical expertise that is inhibiting the 55 commercial deployment" (p. 1063). In a similar vein, Forster et al. (2020) criticise the narrow lens through which 56 climate engineering technologies are typically assessed, describing a prevailing "focus on relatively narrow 57 techno-economic" assessments. Forster et al. (2020) further warns that if the prevalence of TEA literature 58 continues to influence the responses and opinions of expert stakeholders, as their analysis shows, then there

59 remains a risk that important, and as yet underexplored and underreported, deployment challenges may be left out

60 of decision-making arenas in a reinforcing loop.

61

62 Other frameworks for assessing CCUS technologies have proven useful in highlighting the breadth of challenges 63 at the project scale. In particular, the application of the risk-management assessment framework PESTEL (e.g. 64 political, economic, social, technological, environmental and legal; Johnson et al., 2008) to CCS projects highlight 65 a more comprehensive set of challenges spanning multiple domains (Fozer et al., 2017; Romansheva and Ilinova, 66 2019). However, the PESTEL framework is commonly used for the strategic analysis of business objectives, 67 meaning that project challenges are often described more generally whilst being viewed as obstacles which simply 68 need to be overcome (Johnson et al., 2008). This has led to criticism being levelled as its application to complex 69 technologies like CCS (Pikhola et al., 2017).

70

As a response to the shortcomings of frameworks such as TEA and PESTEL, Pikhola et al. (2017) suggests applying a socio-technical systems (STS) approach, emphasising its value in integrating the concerns of the public to avoid otherwise unidentified sustainability challenges. Several authors have employed STS in assessments of CCUS feasibility. For example, Markusson et al. (2012) applied the concept and identified the central role of actors, organisations and effective governance in ensuring effective systems integration at the societal level, while Christiansen and Carton (2021) and Themann and Brunnengräber (2021) applied STS theory to highlight how actors influence the 'trajectories' of technologies such as CCS.

78

79 As seen from the literature, the scope of factors shown to impact the feasibility of CCUS projects are diverse, 80 from technology-specific techno-economic challenges to barriers embedded in organisations, institutions and the 81 construct of society. However, despite the large number of review papers tackling different topics within the 82 CCUS field, given that research has called for greater consideration of both systemic and non-technical challenges 83 in assessments of CCUS feasibility, to the knowledge of the authors, there are presently no studies seeking to 84 document, contextualise nor unfold such challenges in a systematic way. The objective of this article is therefore 85 twofold: to synthesise existing review literature to identify the range of challenges shown to impact CCUS 86 deployment, and to unfold those challenges typically underrepresented in CCUS feasibility research, thereby 87 highlighting important future research agendas. This is performed via a metareview of recent review papers, with 88 the ultimate aim of assisting researchers and practitioners tasked with deploying CCUS technologies whilst

89	informing societal debates around how best to ensure a responsible development across society. As such, this
90	article is guided by the following research question:
91	
92	A. What are the variety of challenges impacting the feasibility of CCUS projects worldwide?
93	
94	The article is structured as follows: section 2 presents the methodology used for the meta-review for identifying
95	CCUS deployment challenges. This is followed in section 3 by the main results of the analysis, focusing on the
96	overarching deployment challenges grouped in representative categories. Next, section 4 unfolds the results by
97	contextualising and discussing the underexplored and underrepresented challenges against the existing CCUS
98	research base, drawing attention to their significance with respect to deployment. Section 5 concludes with the
99	studies main finding and a series of recommendations for future research agendas.
100	
101	
101	2 Methodology
102	
103	The methodology section describes the main review process used in the paper.
104	
105	2.1 Aggregation of challenges impacting CCUS deployment
106	
107	
107	The aggregation of challenges impacting CCUS projects involved the documentation and categorisation of the
108	The aggregation of challenges impacting CCUS projects involved the documentation and categorisation of the range of issues shown to impact the deployment of the technologies worldwide. This was completed by analysing
108	range of issues shown to impact the deployment of the technologies worldwide. This was completed by analysing
108 109	range of issues shown to impact the deployment of the technologies worldwide. This was completed by analysing recent review papers spanning multiple disciplines and technologies to develop a framework of factors known to
108 109 110	range of issues shown to impact the deployment of the technologies worldwide. This was completed by analysing recent review papers spanning multiple disciplines and technologies to develop a framework of factors known to impact feasibility. The framework was developed based on the concept of a meta-review (e.g. Cullen and Turnbull,
108 109 110 111	range of issues shown to impact the deployment of the technologies worldwide. This was completed by analysing recent review papers spanning multiple disciplines and technologies to develop a framework of factors known to impact feasibility. The framework was developed based on the concept of a meta-review (e.g. Cullen and Turnbull, 2005), with the high number of recent review papers providing detailed syntheses of different technologies, key
108 109 110 111 112	range of issues shown to impact the deployment of the technologies worldwide. This was completed by analysing recent review papers spanning multiple disciplines and technologies to develop a framework of factors known to impact feasibility. The framework was developed based on the concept of a meta-review (e.g. Cullen and Turnbull, 2005), with the high number of recent review papers providing detailed syntheses of different technologies, key themes as well as associated challenges. The framework of factors impacting deployment was revised in an
108 109 110 111 112 113	range of issues shown to impact the deployment of the technologies worldwide. This was completed by analysing recent review papers spanning multiple disciplines and technologies to develop a framework of factors known to impact feasibility. The framework was developed based on the concept of a meta-review (e.g. Cullen and Turnbull, 2005), with the high number of recent review papers providing detailed syntheses of different technologies, key themes as well as associated challenges. The framework of factors impacting deployment was revised in an iterative manner as each review paper was assessed, as outlined by the exploratory grounded-theory approach
108 109 110 111 112 113 114	range of issues shown to impact the deployment of the technologies worldwide. This was completed by analysing recent review papers spanning multiple disciplines and technologies to develop a framework of factors known to impact feasibility. The framework was developed based on the concept of a meta-review (e.g. Cullen and Turnbull, 2005), with the high number of recent review papers providing detailed syntheses of different technologies, key themes as well as associated challenges. The framework of factors impacting deployment was revised in an iterative manner as each review paper was assessed, as outlined by the exploratory grounded-theory approach

- 118 as the International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, One Earth, Nature Climate Change, the Journal of CO2
- 119 Utilisation and the Journal of Environmental Management (table 1).
- 120
- 121 Table 1: Review papers on CCUS technologies

Paper	Year	Journal
Wang et al.	2022	Environmental Research
Akerboom et al.	2021	Frontiers in Energy Research
Ghiat and Al-Ansari.	2021	Journal of CO2 Utilisation
Hazra Chowdhury et al.	2021	ChemNanoMat
Lane et al.	2021	Nature Climate Change
Martin-Roberts et al.	2021	One Earth
Petrovic et al.	2021	Microporous and Mesoporous Materials
Alivand et al.	2020	ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering
Ansaloni et al.	2020	International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control
Beck	2020	Clean Energy
Cao et al.	2020	Energies
Dean et al.	2020	International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control
Malhotra and Schmidt	2020	Joule
Zhao et al.	2020	Frontiers in Chemistry
Azadi et al.	2019	Sustainability
Galina et al.	2019	Minerals Engineering
Woodall et al.	2019	Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology
Bui et al.	2018	Energy and Environmental Science
Haszeldine et al.	2018	Phil. Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences
Onyebuchi et al.	2018	Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews
Sharma	2018	Carbon Management
Teixeira et al.	2018	Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining

- 122
- 123
- 124 2.2 Literature review design
- 125
- 126 The literature review was performed in January 2022 using the Scopus database following guidelines set out in
- 127 vom Brocke et al. (2009) and Snyder (2019).

1	2	0
1	L	0

129 First, a search query was performed for English-language papers published between 2018–2022. This range was 130 selected due to a recent increase in investments planned for new commercial CCUS facilities, which the IEA 131 (2020) note as more than doubling since 2017, indicating a sharp rise in interest around CCUS and related 132 technologies. The search was performed using the terms "carbon capture, utilisation and storage" and "carbon 133 capture and storage" in combination with the words "deployment", "challeng*" and "barrier". Next, papers 134 classified as review papers were selected, with journal and article title subsequently screened to exclude papers 135 from unrelated scientific fields or where CCUS technologies were not implied. This was followed by a review of 136 abstracts to exclude papers where challenges or barriers, or ways of facilitating deployment, where not discussed. 137 The full body of results returned using the initial search terms were then screened to identify papers where titles 138 included the word "review". Unavailable articles were discarded from the results, yielding in total 22 review 139 papers. 140 141 2.3 **Data collection** 142 143 The identification of CCUS deployment challenges was performed using content analysis in a systematic, iterative 144 and collaborative process (Snyder, 2019): 145 146 1. Scoping: The 22 review articles identified underwent initial scoping, with relevant sections of text identified 147 from the contents list and article sub-headings e.g. "Commercialisation of CCS: what needs to happen?" (Bui 148 et al., 2018) and "Constraints on storage developer confidence" (Lane et al., 2021). Discussion and conclusion 149 chapters were reviewed in full. Passages of text describing challenges to - or means to accelerate -150 deployment were subsequently documented. Next, keyword searches were performed using the terms 151 'challenge' and 'barrier' to widen the field of analysis and identify text missed in the first iteration. 152 Data coding: After relevant passages of text were flagged, keyword identifiers were applied to describe the 2. 153 deployment challenge using a single phrase or word. For example, a discussion of how subsidies from 154 government may encourage investment in CCUS projects was labelled as "subsidies". 155 Determination of groupings: The large number of recorded keyword identifiers were synthesised into 3. 156 common language codings in a continuous and iterative manner. For example, phrases describing the same 157 issue, e.g. "CO₂ price" and CO₂ pricing", were unified under "carbon pricing". Next, keyword identifiers were

167 168		environmental and org	anisational.	
169	3	Results		
170				
		. 1.0 1	of challenges identified in the 22 review pap	ers is presented in Table 2. The
171	Th	e aggregated framework	•	
171 172			complexity and diversity of issues shown to	impact the deployment of CCUS. For
172	fra	mework underscores the	complexity and diversity of issues shown to eyword identifiers and groupings behind each	
172 173	fra: the	mework underscores the comprehensive list of k		category, along with accompanying
	fra: the	mework underscores the comprehensive list of k	eyword identifiers and groupings behind each	category, along with accompanying
172 173 174	fran the refe	mework underscores the comprehensive list of k	eyword identifiers and groupings behind each	category, along with accompanying
172 173 174 175	fran the refe Tal	mework underscores the comprehensive list of k erences, see annex A. Th	eyword identifiers and groupings behind each	category, along with accompanying
172 173 174 175	fran the refe Tal	mework underscores the comprehensive list of k erences, see annex A. Th ble 2	eyword identifiers and groupings behind each the challenges and content of each category are	a category, along with accompanying e unfolded in the following sections.
172 173 174 175	fran the refe Tal	mework underscores the comprehensive list of ke erences, see annex A. Th ole 2 ategory	eyword identifiers and groupings behind each the challenges and content of each category are	a category, along with accompanying e unfolded in the following sections. Feasibility challenge
172 173 174 175	fran the refe Tal	mework underscores the comprehensive list of k erences, see annex A. Th ble 2	eyword identifiers and groupings behind each ne challenges and content of each category are Description	a category, along with accompanying e unfolded in the following sections.

Social	Factors affected by societies' trust, belief and	Public acceptance
Social	perception of CCUS	Social licence to operate
		Performance issues
	Factors impacting the physical, temporal and	Geological
Technological	spatial implementation of CCUS technologies and	Geological
	systems	Proximity to infrastructure
	systems	Innovation

		Policy
Institutional	Factors describing the political environment and	Legislation
	legal infrastructure of a country	Regulation
		Political support
Environmental	Factors which threaten the environmental value of	Environmental impacts
	CCUS technologies and systems	Mitigation potential
Organisational	Factors related to how CCUS initiatives are managed and organised within society	Coordination and governance
	managed and organised within society	Hubs and clusters
3.1 Economic feasibility	ty challenges	
Significant costs impact th	e feasibility of CCUS by slowing technolog	gy uptake, with major capital (CAPEX)
expenditure needed to scal	e and deploy full-chain infrastructure (Marti	n-Roberts et al., 2021). High operational
costs further inhibits deploy	yment due to considerable energy requireme	nts of capture, transportation and storage
systems (Bui et al., 2018;	Lane et al., 2021), while the feasibility of	various CCU processes remain similarly
constrained due to costs as	ssociated with energy and the high costs of	obtaining suitable chemical feedstocks,
which render certain synthe	esis pathways (e.g. green fuels, mineral carbo	onation) economically unviable (Azadi et
al., 2019; Woodall et al., 20	019; Akerboom et al., 2021).	
Literature therefore highli	ghts the need for <i>financial support</i> in the	e form of tax credits, subsidies, direct
-	ants (Akerboom et al., 2021; Martin-Roberts	
	ty of CCUS, in that it helps to overcome fir	
	capital requirements while mitigating finance	
		-
which in turn encourages p	rivate investment (Sharma, 2018; Lane et al.,	, 2021).
Market drivers also impac	t the economic feasibility of CCUS, with e	ffective CO2 pricing needed to ensure a
penalty for emitting CO ₂ (C	ao et al., 2020), thereby driving emitters towa	rd mitigation technologies such as CCUS
(Sharma, 2018; Beck, 2020	0; Martin-Roberts et al., 2021). Emerging m	arkets for CO ₂ are also helping to drive

of industrial chemical feedstocks (Teixeira et al, 2019 ;Galina et al., 2019; Akerboom et al., 2021). However,
while EOR has long created a demand for fossil CO2 in the United States (Martin-Roberts et al., 2021), the present
global demand for CO2 for a range of CCU products could easily be met by a single state-of-the-art coal-fired
power plant (Bui et al., 2018). Furthermore, the current CO₂ price in established markets such as the EU ETS is
largely seen as inadequate in preventing the release of emissions to air (Haszeldine et al., 2018; Dean et al., 2020),
while investment in CCUS projects may also be disrupted in the face of unexpected global events and fluctuating
markets (Ghiat and Al-Ansari, 2021).

207

There are a lack of commercial *business models* for CCUS, with various factors needing to be overcome to improve CCUS feasibility, such as how to share and transfer financial risk, the issue of cross-chain default, limitations in existing insurance markets and uncertainty over liabilities in the event of CO₂ leakage (Bui et al., 2018; Beck, 2020; Akerboom et al., 2021; Martin-Roberts et al., 2021). Furthermore, new mechanisms for transferring or minimising the financial risk associated with CCUS value-chains — such as contracts for difference — are needed to help minimize investor risk in the event of fluctuating CO₂ streams (Bui et al., 2019), while questions regarding project financing also persist (Martin-Roberts et al., 2021).

215

216 **3.2** Social feasibility challenges

217

The feasibility of CCUS can depend on the level of *public acceptance* the technologies attain, which is affected by trust in key stakeholders, negative associations between carbon capture and fossil fuel industries, perceived safety risks and the degree of public consultation (Sharma, 2018; Dean et al., 2020; Akerboom et al., 2021). A lack of public acceptance has proven historically to be a critical barrier to various CCS initiatives and policies, with opposition emerging particularly around onshore CCS projects (Akerboom et al 2021), often driven by concerns over the long-term safety of CO_2 storage, a 'not in my back yard' tendency or a lack of knowledge regarding the technologies (Ansaloni et al., 2020; Martin-Roberts et al., 2021).

225

While public acceptance may be key for getting a project off the ground, the *social licence to operate* (SLO) is seen as crucial for its long-term success (Lane et al., 2021). In particular, the SLO, which describes the ongoing approval of a particular project within a local community or group of actors , is recognised as being important for helping build investor confidence in a particular initiative (Cao et al., 2021; Lane et al., 2021). 230

231232

3.3 Technological feasibility challenges

Research underscores diverse *performance issues* throughout the CCUS value-chain. During the capture process, significant energy penalties may occur (Alivand et al. 2020), while solvent degradation (Bui et al., 2018), declining absorption rates (Wang et al., 2022) and challenges caused by flue gas composition and concentration (Sharma, 2018; Ghiat and al-Ansari, 2021) reduce the overall efficiency of the capture process. The presence of impurities and water in compressed CO_2 during transportation by pipeline can also result in significant pressure drops and the precipitation of hydrates, which impacts operational efficiency and may led to blockages (Bui et al., 2018; Onyebuchi et al., 2018; Ansaloni et al., 2020).

240

241 Geological challenges continue to pose significant barriers to the deployment of CCS. A key risk remains the 242 uneven distribution of suitable storage reservoirs across geologic basins worldwide (Lane et al., 2021), while 243 operational CCS projects, such as Snøhvit, have been impacted by declining injectivity due to pressure build-up 244 in the reservoir (Bui et al., 2018). Furthermore, while various national initiatives have mapped theoretical CO₂ 245 storage volumes (Akerboom et al., 2021), translating this into reliable estimates of subsurface capacity remains 246 highly challenged by uncertainties around as injection rates, CO₂ dissolution mechanics, permeability and 247 reservoir pressure, attributes which can only be determined via detailed site analysis (Lane et al., 2021). As such, 248 the current rate at which geological storage sites are being identified and appraised is considered too slow and 249 uncertainty around co2 storage capacity too high (Beck, 2020), which this risks slowing the deployment of CCS.

250

Access to infrastructure represents an important entry barrier for emerging and smaller scale CCUS projects, with increasing distance between a source of industrial emissions and both storage sites and existing transport mechanisms resulting in higher costs (Beck, 2020; Martin-Roberts et al., 2021). In a similar vein, the existence of major pipeline networks in the US, which connect sources of emissions to geological storage sites, represents a major enabler for CCS, helping to minimise entry costs of CO2 transportation (Martin-Roberts et al. 2021).

256

Greater *innovation* is needed to facilitate the scale-up of projects, from demonstration to full-scale and technologically mature solutions. For example, the technological readiness level (TRL) differs between technologies and sector application, with the TRL of monoethanolamine (MEA) CO₂ capture differing depending 260 on which industry the technology is applied to (e.g. the power sector or cement industries differs) (Bui et al., 261 2018). Generally, the geological storage of CO₂ has been operating commercially for many years and has a high 262 TRL (Dean et al., 2020), yet CO₂ storage in coal beds remains commercially immature (Cao et al., 2020). Several 263 CCU pathways are also approaching maturity, yet wider market penetration remains slow due to cost and 264 efficiency challenges (Bui et al., 2018; Akerboom et al., 2021). Alternative capture techniques and modified 265 sorbents are also in development and offer potentially higher capture efficiencies, yet issues regarding scalability, 266 energy consumption, toxicity and corrosivity limit their viability. (Bui et al., 2018; Petrovic et al., 2021). The 267 advancement of technologies and systems can, for example, be supported by greater knowledge diffusion, 268 learning-by-doing and knowledge spill-over between global initiatives. These are seen as leading to higher rates 269 of learning which in turn help to overcome a lack of operational experience (Onyebuchi et al., 2018; Beck, 2020; 270 Malhotra and Schmidt 2020). Furthermore, the slow pace with which new CO2 storage sites are identified and 271 developed risks slowing the deployment of CCS (Martin-Roberts et al., 2021), while new capabilities for 272 managing CO₂ injection sites are needed to help administer the large data streams associated with real-time 273 monitoring of CO2 plumes (Dean et al., 2020). However, barriers to innovation and knowledge diffusion arise 274 from the need for context-specific capture systems, regional differences in the geological conditions of storage 275 sites, a lack of private sector expertise, and from the long development cycles of CCUS initiatives (Beck, 2020; 276 Malhotra and Schmidt 2020; Lane et al., 2021).

- 277
- 279

278 **3.4** Institutional feasibility challenges

287

Legislation and targeted *regulation* are therefore needed to help build momentum around CCUS, with financial
 legislation and regulations on CO₂ emissions deemed key to the Boundary Dam, Snøhvit, Shute Creek and Gorgon

290 CCS projects (Beck, 2020), while clear regulatory guidelines around CO₂ injection and monitoring are recognised

as a key driver of interest in CCS within the US state of Texas (Martin-Roberts et al., 2021).

292

293 Political support can ensure that policy, legislation, and regulatory frameworks supporting the deployment of 294 CCUS are developed (Martin-Roberts et al., 2021), although political support can be influenced by vested interest 295 (e.g. Bui et al., 2019). For example, a clear "political agenda" has helped CCS gain momentum in numerous 296 fossil-fuel dependent economies, with Canada, Australia, the U.S. Norway, the UK and the Netherlands all 297 examples where projects have received wide-ranging political and financial support (Bui et el., 2019). However, 298 historically CCS is vulnerable to the ebb and flow of politics, as demonstrated by the Barendrecht project in the 299 Netherlands, which lost political support prior to the 2010 election in the face of growing public opposition 300 (Akerboom et al., 2021).

- 301
- 302 3.1.5 Environmental feasibility challenges
- 303

304 Key environmental impacts impact the feasibility of CCUS. For example, CO₂ leakage may occur from geological 305 storage sites (Wang et al., 2022) or during CO₂ transportation due to pipeline corrosion or equipment failure (Bui 306 et al., 2018; Onyebuchi et al., 2018; Ansaloni et al., 2020). CO₂ leakage poses a risk to climate mitigation efforts 307 and is also cause of negative public perception and reduce political support (Cao et al., 2020), while leakage from 308 pipelines in populated areas poses a danger to human health, with CO2 causing the displacement of oxygen in air 309 when released in significant quantities (Onyebuchi et al., 2018). Carbon capture technologies are also linked with 310 toxicity and the release of harmful emission (e.g. ethylene and NH₃) during MEA production and degradation 311 (Wang et al., 2022), while ground water contamination is also recognised as a potential environmental impacts, 312 with CO2 injection leading to brine migration and the potential contamination of regional ground water resources 313 (Cao et al., 2020). A side effect of the well-known energy penalty common among CCS projects in the power-314 generating industries (e.g. coal) is caused by the increased fuel consumption need to offset power loss, which in 315 turn causes an increase in NOx emissions (Wang et al., 2022), while CO₂ capture consumes and discharges 316 significant quantities of water and results in increased land-use, which may pose a risk to local ecosystems if 317 managed unsustainably (Sharma, 2018; Ghiat and Al-Ansari, 202; Wang et al., 2022).

318

319 The *mitigation potential* of CCUS is determined by a range of factors, including life-cycle emissions and retention 320 times. Life-cycle emissions arise throughout the CCUS value-chain, including from energy consumption during 321 CO₂ capture, during liquefaction and transportation, as well as from the consumption of materials during 322 construction (Wang et al., 2022). Life-cycle emissions may therefore reduce the net effect of carbon capture 323 technologies, especially where fossil fuels are used as the energy carrier (Sharma, 2018). CCU is also generally 324 an energy-intensive process due to the chemical inertness of CO2 (Akerboom et al., 2021), and despite the 325 commercial case for CO₂ utilisation, products such as the electrofuel methanol typically have short retention times 326 compared to long-term geological storage, meaning that CO₂ is ultimately released to the atmosphere upon use 327 (Sharma, 2018; Akerboom et al., 2021; Ghiat and Al-Ansari, 2021). The retention time and capacity of the 328 multitude of CCU pathways also varies, with some methods (e.g. mineral carbonation) shown to limited in their 329 capacity while also being unstable as long term storage mediums term (Woodall et al., 2019).

- 330

331 3.5 Organisational feasibility challenges

332

333 Hubs and clusters relate to concentrations of activities necessary for a working CCUS value-chain and are 334 typically focused on emissions clusters and geological storage networks. Hubs and clusters often depend on the 335 success of a central anchor project, which help to drive the development of shared transportation and storage 336 infrastructures with additional capacity. This in turn allows economies of scale can be realised (Bui et al., 2018) 337 while reducing the risk of cross-chain failure (Beck, 2020), particularly for transportation infrastructure such as 338 pipelines (Onyebuchi et al., 2018).

339

340 CCUS feasibility is impacted by various coordination and governance challenges caused by the scale and co-341 dependency of both the inherently different parts of the value-chain as well as the diverse nature of the 342 organisations working with the technologies (Bui et al., 2018; Martin-Roberts et al., 2021). For example, CCUS 343 value-chains are associated with long development timescales (Lane et al., 2021) which require experienced and 344 dedicated project management in order to improve collaboration and coordination between different initiatives 345 while reducing cross chain-chain risk (Onyebuchi et al., 2018; Malhotra and Schmidt, 2020). Furthermore, a lack 346 of internal coordination between tasks and responsibilities has been identified as being partly responsible for the 347 failure of the Northern Netherlands CCS initiative (Akerboom et al., 2021). Here, dedicated, publicly owned

- 348 organisation and regulatory agencies could have helped facilitate project activities while providing guidance and
- an appropriate governance framework (e.g. Haszeldine et al., 2018; Bui et al., 2019; Lane et al., 2021).
- 350

351 4 Discussion

- 352
- 353 4.1 Strongholds and underrepresented aspects
- 354

355 The meta-review outlines a diverse and multidisciplinary set of factors impacting the feasibility of CCUS. Of the 356 18 factors identified (table 2), it is the technical and economic challenges which are most widely presented (Annex 357 1), thereby echoing the observations by Forster et al. (2020) regarding the prevalence of TEA-focussed research 358 in climate engineering literature. However, it also infers that CCUS deployment continues to be highly challenged 359 by critical technological and economic factors despite continuing advances within the field (e.g. Osman et al., 360 2021). Abdulla et al. (2020) confirms this in their analysis of historical CCUS projects by identifying three 361 common techno-economic attributes of failed projects from the United States, namely excessive capital costs, 362 varying degrees of technological readiness and performance, and a lack of revenue. Indeed, of the 14 most 363 expensive projects attempted in the United States, 13 were abandoned, while the majority of successful CCUS 364 projects applied proven technologies while monetising CO₂ streams (Abdulla et al., 2020). Interestingly, the 365 authors found little correlation between success rate and the amount of financial support received, instead showing 366 that projects dependent on government financing were typically of greater complexity and thus more likely to fail 367 on other grounds, something echoed by Wang et al. (2022).

368

369 As shown, institutional feasibility factors including policy, regulation and legislation represent effective tools for 370 addressing many of the challenges faced in CCUS projects (e.g. Beck, 2020), while a lack of political support can 371 prevent initiatives ever getting off the ground (e.g. Akerboom et al., 2021). The review also reveals how vested 372 interests influence political support (Bui et al., 2019) and how exogenous events, such as the COVID-19 crisis, 373 may lead to changes in policy priorities which lead to the diversion of resources away from CCUS initiatives 374 (Ghiat and Al-Ansari, 2021). Such exogenous 'shock' events, described by the IMF (2003) as events "beyond the 375 control of the authorities that [have] a significant negative impact on the economy" (p. 4), likely play a more 376 significant role that the meta-review results imply, with fluctuating support for CCUS also seen following the 377 2008 recession, the boom in shale gas and the collapse in the European ETS price in 2011 (Lipponen et al., 2017).

Thus, the impact of global events, such as COVID-19, serves also to highlight the linkages between the different groups of feasibility factors identified in this review, in this case the interdependencies between market dynamics, the institutional setting and the resulting financial support. However, recent research by the IMF (2022) into the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on attitudes to climate change concluded that the experience gained from the crisis led to an increase in support for new green recovery policies, highlighting an uncertain and sometimes positive relationship between exogenous events and CCUS feasibility.

384

385 The identification of factors relating to coordination and governance, as well as, innovation, underscore the

parallels between CCUS and the megaproject paradigm. Flyvbjerg (2006; 2014) describes megaprojects as

387 being characterised by "long planning horizons and complex interfaces" as well as by the use of "non-standard

388 *technology and design*", findings echoed by both Onyebuchi et al. (2018) and Malhotra and Schmidt (2020).

389 Furthermore, Flyvbjerg (2014) highlights how the size and nature of megaprojects often result in rotating project

390 managers and a lack of adequate domain knowledge (e.g. Malhotra and Schmidt, 2020). However, absent from

391 met-review is the — often negative — influence of multi-actor and multi-stakeholder decision-making,

392 something which Flyvbjerg asserts makes such projects vulnerable to optimism bias, power dynamics or

393 principle-agent behaviours. Thus, CCUS practitioners eager to mitigate these pitfalls may choose to look to

394 classical megaproject scholars to avert cost overruns and project delays (Flyvbjerg, 2014; Edwards and Celia,

395 2018).

396

397 Key social aspects appear underrepresented in the meta-review, even though social factors have proven critical to 398 CCUS deployment (e.g. Akerboom et al., 2021). For example, cultural dimensions impact a populations risk 399 perception and therefore the level of public support for CCUS (Karimi and Toikka, 2018; Witte, 2021), while the 400 social license to operate, a concept identified in only two review paper (Cao et al., 2021; Lane et al., 2021), 401 represents a growing field of study with implications for the deployment of CCUS at the regional scale (Gough et 402 al., 2017; Mulyasari et al., 2021). In this respect, the review papers analysed in this study fail to properly account 403 for a cimplex series of factors relevant to issues of public perception and the SLO, such as differences in national 404 cultures (Karimi and Komendantova, 2017) and the role of framing and narratives (Mabon and Littlecott, 2016; 405 Whitmarsh et al., 2019; Asayama and Ishii, 2021). Many of these factors are ultimately included in the growing 406 call for research investigating the broader desirability of geoengineering solutions such as CCUS for society 407 (Forster et al., 2020; Waller et al., 2020).

408

409 The meta-review identified various environmental risks relating to the deployment of CCUS (e.g. Sharma, 2018; 410 Akerboom et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022). Yet, broader literature highlights additional trade-offs and life-cycle 411 impacts relating to the widespread deployment of CCUS, as well as negative impacts arising from technological 412 lock-in. For example, research indicates that the global consumption of water for hydrogen electrolysis is expected 413 to reach 20.5 billion m³ annually, with desalination expected to play a growing role in meeting this demand 414 (Beswick et al., 2021; Oliveira et al., 2021). Yet, salinity elevation resulting from brine discharge following 415 desalination can be harmful to organisms in both marine and terrestrial ecosystems (Omerspahic et al., 2022). 416 This underscores the need for research tackling the cumulative impacts arising from the global deployment of 417 CCUS to ensure the technologies are deployed sustainably. Furthermore, Koj et al. (2019) show how the use of 418 vehicles powered by hydrogen generated in coal-dependent grids can lead to worse environmental impacts than 419 conventional internal combustion engines, highlighting the importance of the wider system in which analysis to 420 CCUS may be deployed.

421

422 Research on technology lock-in is especially underexplored in the review papers analysed. Research on CCUS 423 lock-ins includes specific sectors or technologies (Markusson, 2012; Asayama, 2021), conceptual work 424 (Markusson, 2011) and studies of narratives and debates (Gunderson and Petersen, 2020; Janipour et al., 2021). 425 Technology lock-in occurs when an incumbent technology prevents the development of new transition pathways 426 via a system of path-dependency (Seto et al., 2016). In the case of CCUS, the focus is typically on its use in 427 association with fossil fuel industries and how this may hinder the future deployment of, and investments in, other 428 low-carbon technologies, thereby hindering international climate change efforts (e.g. Shackley and Thomspon, 429 2012; Fajardy et al., 2019; Howarth and Jacobsen, 2021). However, the application of CCUS to waste incineration 430 facilities can also lead to a system of path dependency, with carbon capture shown to significantly reduce heat 431 recovery (Christensen and Bisinella, 2021), which may in turn lead to more waste needing to be incinerated to 432 meet demand. This may ultimately prevent the emergence of local circular economy initiatives (Van de Berghe 433 et al., 2020) thereby impacting the sustainability of the wider system. Research into the sustainability of a wider 434 set of CCUS technologies, value-chains and sector applications is therefore lacking, and a failure to consider and 435 communicate the potential systemic implications of CCUS deployment at scale ultimately risks eroding the 436 legitimacy of the technologies (e.g. Jijeleva and Vanclay, 2017; Janipour et al. 2021).

437

438 439

4.2 Implications for feasibility frameworks

The factors identified in the meta-review shown to impact CCUS feasibility are broader than those typically presented by more commonly applied assessment methodologies, such as the PESTEL and TEA frameworks. The criticism levelled at such frameworks when applied to complex technologies like CCS (Pikhola et al., 2017) is therefore supported by the breadth and multidisciplinary nature of the feasibility factors outlined in this paper. The variety of factors is furthermore in line with the criticism of some authors that prevailing "neoliberal" assessment methods have failed when applied to critical and systemic societal challenges, such as climate change (e.g. Markusson et al., 2012; Forster et al., 2020; Viebahn and Chappin, 2021).

447

The variety of factors identified can thus be seen as an argument for combining elements from highly technical feasibility studies with a broader conceptualisation of the term feasibility, seen from the perspective of society. Here, a STS-inspired approach, as proposed by Markusson et al. (2012) and others (Christiansen and Carton, 2021; Themann and Brunnengräber, 2021), helps to illuminate organisational factors and the embedded nature of CCUS technologies within the structures of society, thereby underscoring the interrelationships between the technical, economic and social aspects of CCUS innovation and coordination.

454

455 Another way of strengthening feasibility frameworks for CCUS would be to combine elements of the PESTEL, 456 TEA and STS-inspired frameworks with methods for investigating the sustainability of projects, as required by 457 the EU legislation on environmental assessments (e.g. EU Directive 85/337/EEC). The environmental assessment 458 framework helps project developers by providing insight into a range of environmental and social concerns 459 relating to a project or plan, with significant negative impacts often tackled with a range of mitigation measures. 460 Environmental assessments typically focus on material impacts, such as the impacts to biodiversity or human 461 health. However, the EU Directive 85/337/EEC ensures that the impact of a project is assessed for all activities 462 through construction, operation and decommissioning, while further investigating potential negative impacts to a 463 diverse series of factors such as soil, emissions to air and cultural heritage. Furthermore, it recognises the need to 464 assess both trans-boundary and cumulative effects, which is of great importance to CCUS given the significant 465 role the technologies are projected to play in coming years (e.g. IEA, 2021). Environmental assessment legislation 466 further prescribes public consultation, which could be used more proactively to investigate the social licence of 467 different CCUS technologies across various sector applications.

468 5 Conclusion

469

470 In this article we set out to explore the range of different challenges impacting the feasibility of CCUS by471 performing a meta-review of recent review literature from the past 5 years.

472

The results of the meta-review underscore the multidisciplinary nature of challenges impacting CCUS feasibility and highlight synergies between engineering, innovation and research, social sciences, public policy, geology and the environment, economics, project management and law and governance. The paper provides an overview of a comprehensive range of feasibility factors identified in 22 review papers in recent literature on CCUS and further categorised these factors as economic, social, technological, institutional, environmental and organisational factors. The factors presented here should be viewed as a guide to practitioners and academics alilke, and should not be viewed as an exhaustive list.

480

481 While the exact grouping and classification of factors and categories can be debated, the results provide a basis 482 for reflecting upon current knowledge of feasibility as provided in review literature as well as upon current 483 feasibility frameworks applied in the field of CCUS. The discussion outlined continued challenges around the 484 techno-economic dimensions of CCUS, yet demonstrates the need for key social, organisational and 485 environmental aspects to be unfolded in future CCUS research in order to improve the feasibility of CCUS and 486 ensure the technologies are deployed sustainably, when seen from a society standpoint. Our results therefore 487 support the case for broadening the scope of CCUS feasibility assessments in future to avoid what Forster et al. 488 (2020) describe as a "focus on relatively narrow techno-economic" dimensions and what Pikhola et al. (2017) 489 sees as the simplification of results. The findings of this study are therefore different from similar research, where 490 assessments of feasibility challenges are typically reviewed for isolated projects (e.g. Sara et al., 2015).

491

492 Due to the increased maturity of CCUS technologies, a shift from technical demonstration and testing to 493 implementation will be made in the coming decades. This may require a renewed focus on organisational factors 494 and context specific factors in the implementation in order to avoid key pitfalls often associated with so-called 495 megaprojects (e.g. Flyvbjerg, 2014). Experiences from the implementation of more projects as well as increased 496 opportunities for evaluating and monitoring impacts may improve the understanding of feasibility as well as the 497 application of feasibility frameworks in practice.

498	
499	
500	
501	REFERENCES
502	
503	Abdulla, A., Hanna, R., Schell, K.R., Babacan, O., Victor, D.G. 2021. Explaining successful and failed investments in U.S.
504	carbon capture and storage using empirical and expert assessments. Environmental Research Letters 16(1).
505	https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd19e
506	
507	Akerboom, S., Waldmann, S., Mukherjee, A., Agaton, C., Sanders, M., Kramer, G.J. 2021. Different This Time? The
508	Prospects of CCS in the Netherlans in the 2020s. Frontiers in Energy Research. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2021.644796
509	
510	Alivand, M.S., Mazaheri, O., Wu, Y., Stevens, G.W., Scholes, C.A., Mumford, K.A. 2020. Catalytic Solvent Regeneration
511	for Energy-Efficient CO2 Capture. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng 8(51), 187555-18788.
512	https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c07066
513	
514	Ansaloni, L., Alcock, B., Peters, T.A. 2020. Effects of CO2 on polymeric materials in the CO2 transport chain: A review.
515	International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2019.102930
516	
517	Asayama, S. 2021. The Oxymoron of Carbon Dioxide Removal: Escaping Carbon Lock-in and yet Perpetuating the Fossil
518	Status Quo? Frontiers in Climate 3. https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2021.673515
519	
520	Asayamaa, S., Ishiib, I. 2021. Selling stories of techno-optimism? The role of narratives on discursive construction of carbon
521	capture and storage in the Japanese media. Energy Research & Social Science 31, 50-59.
522	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.06.010
523	
524 525	Azadi, M., Edraki, M., Farhang, F., Ahn, J. 2019. Opportunities for Mineral Carbonation in Australia's Mining Industry.
525 526	Sustainability 11. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su11051250
520 527	Deale 1, 2020. Cacher and stands in the USA. The sale of US importion he double is a limited to be a
528	Beck, L. 2020. Carbon capture and storage in the USA: The role of US innovation leadership in climate-technology commercialization. Clean Energy 4(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1093/ce/zkz031
528 529	commercianzation. Clean Energy 4(1), 1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ce/2K2031</u>
527	

530	Beswick, R.P., Oliveira, A.M., Yan, Y. 2021. Does the Green Hydrogen Economy Have a Water Problem? ASC Energy
531	Letters 6, 3167–3169. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.1c01375
532	
533	Bellamy, R., Healey, P. 2018. 'Slippery slope' or 'uphill struggle'? Broadening out expert scenarios of climate engineering
534	research and development. Environmental Science and Policy 83, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.01.021
535	
536	Bui, M., Adjiman, C.S., Bardow, A., Anthony, E.J., Boston, A., Brown, S., Fennell, P.S., Fuss, S., Galindo, A., Hackett,
537	L.A., Hallett, J.P., Herzog, H.J., Jackson, G., Kemper, J., Krevor, S., Maitland, G.C., Matuszewski, M., Metcalfe, I.S., Petit,
538	C., Puxty, G., Reimer, J., Reiner, D.M., Rubin, E.S., Scott, S.A., Shah, N., Smit, B., Trusler, J.P.M., Webley, P., Wilcox, J.,
539	Mac Dowell, N. 2018. Carbon capture and storage (CCS): the way forward. Energy and Environmental Science 11(5), 1062-
540	1176. https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000427188
541	
542	Cao, C., Liu, H., Hou, Z., Mehmood, F., Liao, J., Feng, W. 2020. A Review of CO2 Storage in View of Safety and Cost-
543	Effectiveness. Energies 13(3), 600. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13030600
544	
545	Christensen, T.H., Bisinella, V. 2021. Climate change impacts of introducing carbon capture and utilisation
546	(CCU) in waste incineration. Waste Management 126, 754-770. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.03.046
547	
548	Christiansen, K.L., Carton, W. 2021. What 'climate positive future'? Emerging sociotechnical imaginaries of negative
549	emissions in Sweden. Energy Research and Social Science 76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.102086
550	
551	Christiansen, K.L., Carton, W. 2021. What 'climate positive future'? Emerging sociotechnical imaginaries of negative
552	emissions in Sweden. Energy Research & Social Science 76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.102086
553	
554	Cullen, J., Turnbull, S. 2005. A Meta-Review of the Management Development Literature. Human Resources Development
555	Review 4(3), 335–355. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1534484305278891
556	
557	Dean, M., Blackford, J., Connelly, D., Hines, R. 2020. Insights and guidance for offshore CO2 storage monitoring based on
558	the QICS, ETI MMV and STEMM-CCS projects. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 100.
559	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2020.103120
560	
561	Edwards, R.W.J., Celia, M.A. 2018. Infrastructure to enable deployment of carbon capture, utilization, and storage in the
562	United States. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115(38). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1806504115
563	

564	Fajardy, M., Koberle, A., Mac Dowell, N., Fantuzzi, A. 2019. BECCS deployment: a reality check. Imperial Colleage
565	London. Grantham Institute Briefing paper No 28.
566	
567	Fasihi, M., Efimova, O., Breyer, C. 2019. Techno-economic Assessment of CO ₂ direct air capture plants. Journal of Cleaner
568	Production 224, 957–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.086.
569	
570	Flyvbjerg, B. 2006. From Nobel Prize to Project Management: Getting Risks Right. Project
571	Management Journal 37 (3), 5-15. https://doi.org/10.1177/875697280603700302
572	
573	Flyvbjerg, B. 2014. What You Should Know About Megaprojects and Why: An Overview. Project Management Journal
574	45(2), 6–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21409</u>
575	
576	Forster, F., Vaughan, N.E., Gough. C., Lorenzoni, I., Chilvers, J. 2020. Mapping feasibilities of greenhouse gas removal:
577	Key issues, gaps and opening up assessments. Global Environmental Change 63.
578	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102073.
579	
580	Fozer, D, Sziraky, F.Z., Racz, L., Nagy, T., Tarjani, A.J., Toth, A.J., <u>Haaz</u> , E., <u>Benko</u> , T., <u>Mizsey</u> , P. 2017. Life Cycle,
581	PESTLE and Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis of CCS process alternatives. Journal of Cleaner Production 147, 75-85.
582	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.056
583	
584	Galina, N.R., Arce, G.L.A.F., Ávila, I. 2019. Evolution of carbon capture and storage by mineral carbonation: Data
585	analysis and relevance of the theme. Minerals Engineering 142, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2019.105879
586	
587	Ghiat, I., Al-Ansari, T. 2021. A review of carbon capture and utilisation as a CO2 abatement opportunity
588	within the EWF nexus. Journal of CO2 Utilization 45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.101432
589	
590	Given, L.M. 2008. The Sage Encyclopedia of Qualitative Research Methods. Sage Publications
591	Gladis, A., Lomholdt, N.F., Føsbol, P.L., Woodley, J.M., von Solms, N. 2019. Pilot scale absorption experiments with
592	carbonic anhydrase-enhanced MDEA- Benchmarking with 30 wt% MEA. Innternational Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control
593	82, 69–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2018.12.017
594	
595	Global CCS Institute. 2016. Global Storage Portfolio: A global assessment of the geological CO2 storage resource potential.
596	Global CCS Institute, Melbourne. Available at: https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/resources/publications-reports-
597	research/global-storage-portfolio-a-global-assessment-of-the-geological-co2-storage-resource-potential/

598	
599	Global CCS Institute. 2019. Global Status of CCS 2019. Global CCS Institute, Melbourne. Available at:
600	https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/resources/global-status-report/download/
601	
602	Gough, C., Cunningham, R., Mander, S. 2017. Societal responses to CO2 storage in the UK: media, stakeholder and public
603	perspectives. Energy Procedia114, 7310–7316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.1861
604	
605	Gunderson, R., Stuart, D., Petersen, B. 2020. The fossil fuel industry's framing of carbon capture and storage: Faith in
606	innovation, value instrumentalization, and status quo maintenance. Journal of Cleaner Production 252.
607	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119767
608	
609	Haszeldine R.S., Flude S., Johnson G., Scott V. 2018. Negative emissions technologies and carbon capture and storage to
610	achieve the Paris Agreement commitments. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and
611	Engineering Sciences 376(2119). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2016.0447
612	
613	Howarth, R.W., Jacobsen, M.Z. 2021. How Green is Blue Hydrogen? Energy Science 9(10), 1676–1687.
614	https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.956
615	
616	IEA. 2020. Energy Technology Perspectives. International Energy Agency, Paris. Available at:
617	https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-technology-perspectives-2020
618	
619	IEA. 2021. Net Zero by 2050: A Roadmap for the Global Energy Sector. International Energy Agency, Paris. Available at:
620	https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-by-2050
621	
622	IMF. 2003. Fund assistance for countries facing exogenous shocks. Policy Development and Review Department,
623	International Monetary Fun. Available at: https://www.imf.org/external/np/pdr/sustain/2003/080803.pdf)
624	
625	IMF. 2022. Impact of COVID-19 on Attitudes to Climate Change and Support for Climate Policies. Working Paper No.
626	2022/023. Available at: https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2022/02/04/Impact-of-COVID-19-on-Attitudes-to-
627	Climate-Change-and-Support-for-Climate-Policies-512760
628	
629	IPCC. 2022. Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth
630	Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, R. Slade, A. Al Khourdajie, R.

631	van Diemen, D. McCollum, M. Pathak, S. Some, P. Vyas, R. Fradera, M. Belkacemi, A. Hasija, G. Lisboa, S. Luz, J.
632	Malley, (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA. doi: 10.1017/9781009157926
633	
634	Janipour, Z., Swwennenhuis, F., de Gooyert, V., de Coninck, H. 2021. Understanding contrasting narratives on carbon
635	dioxide capture and storage for Dutch industry using system dynamics. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control
636	105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2020.103235
637	
638	Järvinen, M., Mik-Meyer, N. 2017. Kvalitativ Analyse: syv traditioner [Qualitative analysis: Seven traditions]. Hans Reitzel,
639	Copenhagen. Pp. 400.
640	
641	Jijeleva, D, Vanclay, F. 2017. Legitimacy, credibility and trust as the key components of a social licence to operate: An
642	analysis of BP's projects in Georgia. Journal of Cleaner Production 140 (3), 1077–1086.
643	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.10.070
644	
645	Johnson, G., Scholes, K., Whittington, R. 2008. Exploring Corporate Strategy (8th Edition). Pearson Education.
646	
647	Kamkeng, A.D.N., Wang, M., Hu, J., Du, W., Qian, F. 2021. Transformation technologies for CO2 utilisation: Current
648	status, challenges and future prospects. Chemical Engineering Journal 409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128138
649	
650	Karimi, F., Komendantova, N. 2017. Understanding experts' views and risk perceptions on carbon capture and storage in
651	three European countries. GeoJournal 82(1), 185-200. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10708-015-9677-8.
652	
653	Karimi, F., Toikka, R. 2018. General public reactions to carbon capture and storage: Does culture matter? International
654	Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 70, 193–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2018.01.012
655	
656	Koj, J.C., Wulf, C., Zapp, P. 2019. Environmental impacts of power-to-X systems - A review of technological and
657	methodological choices in Life Cycle Assessments. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 112, 865-879.
658	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.06.029
659	
660	Lane, J., Greig, C., Garnett, A. 2021. Uncertain storage prospects create a conundrum for carbon capture and storage
661	ambitions. Nature Climate Change 11, 925–936. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01175-7
662	
663	Lipponen, J., McCullocha, S., Keelinga, S., Stanleya, T., Berghouta, N., Berly, T. 2017. The politics of large-scale CCS
664	deployment. Energy Procedia 114, 7581–7595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.1890

665	
666	Mabon, L., Littlecott, C. 2016. Stakeholder and public perceptions of CO ₂ -EOR in the context of CCS – Results from UK
667	focus groups and implications for policy. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 49, 128–137.
668	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.02.031
669	
670	Martin-Roberts, E., Flude, S., Johnson, G., Haszeldine, S, Gilfillan, S. 2021. Carbon capture and storage at the end of a lost
671	decade. One Earth 4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.10.002
672	
673	Malhotra, A., Schmidt, T.S. 2020. Accelerating Low-Carbon Innovation. Joule 4(11), 2259-2267.
674	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2020.09.004
675	
676	Markusson, N. 2011. 'Capture readiness' – lock-in problems for CCS governance. Energy Procedia 1, 4625–4632.
677	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2009.02.284
678	
679	Markusson, N. 2012. Born Again: The Debate on Lock-in and CCS. Energy and Environment 23(2/3), 389-394.
680	https://doi.org/10.1260%2F0958-305X.23.2-3.389
681	
682	Mikhelkis, L., Govindarajan, V. 2020. Techno-Economic and Partial Environmental Analysis of Carbon Capture and
683	Storage (CCS) and Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCU/S): Case Study from Proposed Waste-Fed District-
684	Heating Incinerator in Sweden. Sustainability 12(15), https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155922
685	
686	Oliveira, A.M., Beswick, R.B., Yan, Y. 2021. A green hydrogen economy for a renewable energy society. Current Opinion
687	in Chemical Engineering 33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2021.100701
688	
689	Omerspahic, M., Al-Jabri, H., Siddiqui, S.A., Saadoui, I. 2022. Characteristics of Desalination Brine and Its Impacts on
690	Marine Chemistry and Health, With Emphasis on the Persian/Arabian Gulf: A Review. Frontiers in Marine Science 9.
691	https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.845113
692	
693	Onyebuchi, V.E., Kolios, A., Hanak, D.P., Biliyok, C., Manovic, V. 2018. A systematic review of key challenges of CO2
694	transport via pipelines. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 81(2), 563-2583.
695	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.06.064
696	

697 Osman, A.I., Hefny, M., Abdel Maksoud, M.I.A., Elgarahy, A.M., Rooney, D.W. 2021. Recent advances in carbon captu	69'	9	g	ť	7	7					():	sr	n	a	n	•	A	٩	.]	[, .	H	e	fn	y	,	N	Л	.,	ŀ	1	od	e	Ľ	N	ſa	k	s	οι	10	d,	1	M	[.]	I.	A	١.	,	E	1	ga	ır	al	13	Ζ,	A	١.	N	ſ.,	F	Rc	0	n	ey	7,	D).'	W	Γ.	2	02	1.	I	Re	ec	en	ıt	ac	ł٧	a	nc	es	s i	n	c	arl	bo	n	ca	ıр	tu	re	1
---	-----	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	-----	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	---	---	----	----	----	---	---	-----	----	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	---	----	---	-----	---	----	---	---	----	----	---	-----	---	----	---	----	----	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	---	----	----	-----	---	---	-----	----	---	----	----	----	----	---

698 storage and utilisation technologies: a review. Environmental Chemistry Letters 19, 797–849.

699 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01133-3

700

701 Petrovic, B., Gorbounov, M., Soltani, S.M. 2021. Influence of surface modification on selective CO2 adsorption: A technical

- review on mechanisms and methods. Microporous and mesoporous materials 312.
- 703 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2020.110751
- 704
- Pihkola, H., Tsupari, E., Kojo, M., Kujanpää, L., Nissilä, M., Sokka, L., Beh, K. 2017. Integrated sustainability assessment
- 706 of CCS Identifying nontechnical barriers and drivers for CCS implementation in Finland. Energy Procedia 114, 7625–
- 707 7637. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.1895</u>
- 708
- 709 Romasheva, N., Illinova, A. 2019. CCS Projects: How Regulatory Framework Influences Their Deployment. Resources
- 710 8(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/resources8040181</u>
- 711
- 712 Sara, J., Stikkelman, R.M., Herder, P.M. 2015. Assessing relative importance and mutual influence of barriers for CCS
- 713 deployment of the ROAD project using AHP and DEMATEL methods. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Controls
- 714 41, 336–357. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.07.008</u>
- 715
- 716 Seto, K.C., Davis, S.J., Mitchell, R.B., Stokes, E.C., Unruh, G., Ürge-Vorsatz, D. 2016. Carbon
- 717 lock-in: types, causes, and policy implications. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 4, 425–452.
- 718 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-110615-085934
- 719
- 720 Shackley, S., Thompson, M. 2012. Lost in the mix: will the technologies of carbon dioxide capture and storage provide us
- with a breathing space as we strive to make the transition from fossil fuels to renewables? Climate Change 110, 101–121.
- 722 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0071-3</u>
- 723
- 724 Sharma, N. 2018. Silver bullet or bitter pill? Reassessing the scope of CO2 capture and storage in India. Carbon
- 725 Management 9(4), 311–332, https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2018.1518108
- 726
- 727 Snyder, H. 2019. Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research
- 728 104, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039
- 729

730	Strauss, A., Corbin, J. 1998. Basics of Qualitative Research Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory
731	(2 nd Edition). Sage Publications: London
732	
733	Teixeira, L.V., Moutinho, L.F, Romão-Dumaresq, A.S. 2019. Gas fermentation of C1 feedstocks: commercialization status
734 735	and future prospects. Biofuels Biproducts and Biorefining 12(6), 1103–1117. https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.1912
736	Themann, D., Brunnengräber, A. 2021. Using socio-technical analogues as an additional experience horizon for nuclear
737	waste management A comparison of wind farms, fracking, carbon capture and storage (CCS) with a deep-geological nuclear
738	waste disposal (DGD). Utilities Policy 70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2021.101181
739	
740	Throneman, N., Pizzol, M. 2019. Consequential life cycle assessment of carbon capture and utilization technologies within
741	the chemical industry. Energy and Environmental Science 12, 2253-2263. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9EE00914K
742	
743	Van de Berghe, K., Ancapim F.B., van Bueren, E. 2020. When a Fire Starts to Burn. The Relation Between an
744	(Inter)nationally Oriented Incinerator Capacity and the Port Cities' Local Circular Ambitions. Sustainability 12.
745	https://doi.org/10.3390/su12124889
746	
747	Viebahn, P., Chappin, E.J.L. 2021. Scrutinising the Gap between the Expected and Actual Deployment of Carbon Capture
748	and Storage—A Bibliometric Analysis. Energies 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/en11092319
749	
750	Jan vom Brocke, J. Simons, A., Niehaves, B., Niehaves, B., Reimer, K. 2009. Reconstructing the Giant: On the Importance
751	of Rigour in Documenting the Literature search Process. European Conference on Information Systems 161.
752	https://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2009/16
753	
754	Waller, L., Rayner, T., Chilvers, J., Gough, C., Lorenzoni I., Jordan, A., Vaughan, N. 2020. Contested framings of
755	greenhouse gas removal and its feasibility: Social and political dimensions. Climate Change 11(4).
756	https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.649
757	
758	Wang, Y., Pan, Z., Zhang, W., Borhani, T.N., Li, R., Zhang, Z. 2022. Life cycle assessment of combustion-based electricity
759	generation technologies integrated with carbon capture and storage: A review. Environmental Research 207. First published
760	online Oct 14 2021: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112219
761	
762	Whitmarsh, L., Dimitrios. X., Jones, C.R. (2019). Framing effects on public support for carbon capture and storage. Palgrave
763	Communications 5(17), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-019-0217-x

7	6	4

- Witte, K. 2021. Social Acceptance of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) from Industrial Applications. Sustainability 13.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/su132112278
 Woodall C.M., McQueen N., Pilorgé, H., Wilcox J. 2019. Utilization of mineral carbonation products: current state and
 potential. Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology 9(6), 1096–1113. https://doi.org/10.1002/ghg.1940
 Zimmermann, A.W., Wunderlich, J., Müller, L., Buchner, G.A., Marxen, A., Michailos, S., Armstrong, K., Naims, H.,
 McCord, S., Styring, P., Sick, V., Schomäcker, R. 2020. Techno-Economic Assessment Guidelines for CO₂ Utilization.
- 773 Frontiers in Energy Research. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2020.00005</u>