**Aalborg Universitet** 



### Cancer Is Associated with the Emergence of Placenta-Reactive Autoantibodies

Khorami Sarvestani, Sara; Shojaeian, Sorour; Sarrami-Forooshani, Ramin; Yekaninejad, Mir Saeed; Gilany, Kambiz; Ghaderi, Abbas; Hashemnejad, Maryam; Olfatbakhsh, Asiie; Notash Haghighat, Farzane; Montazeri, Samaneh; Stensballe, Allan; Jeddi-Tehrani, Mahmood; Zarnani, Amir-Hassan

Published in: Biomedicines

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.3390/biomedicines11020316

Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0

Publication date: 2023

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Khorami Sarvestani, S., Shoja'eian, S., Sarrami-Forooshani, R., Yekaninejad, M. S., Gilany, K., Ghaderi, A., Hashemnejad, M., Olfatbakhsh, A., Notash Haghighat, F., Montazeri, S., Stensballe, A., Jeddi-Tehrani, M., & Zarnani, A.-H. (2023). Cancer Is Associated with the Emergence of Placenta-Reactive Autoantibodies. *Biomedicines*, *11*(2), Article 316. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11020316

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal





### Article Cancer Is Associated with the Emergence of Placenta-Reactive Autoantibodies

Sara Khorami Sarvestani <sup>1,2</sup>, Sorour Shojaeian <sup>3</sup>, Ramin Sarrami-Forooshani <sup>4</sup>, Mir Saeed Yekaninejad <sup>5</sup>, Kambiz Gilany <sup>1,4</sup>, Abbas Ghaderi <sup>6</sup>, Maryam Hashemnejad <sup>7</sup>, Asiie Olfatbakhsh <sup>8</sup>, Farzane Notash Haghighat <sup>2</sup>, Samaneh Montazeri <sup>1</sup>, Allan Stensballe <sup>9</sup>, Mahmood Jeddi-Tehrani <sup>2,\*</sup> and Amir-Hassan Zarnani <sup>1,10,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Reproductive Immunology Research Center, Avicenna Research Institute, ACECR, Tehran 1936773493, Iran
- <sup>2</sup> Monoclonal Antibody Research Center, Avicenna Research Institute, ACECR, Tehran 1936773493, Iran
  <sup>3</sup> Department of Biochemistry, School of Medical Sciences, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karai
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biochemistry, School of Medical Sciences, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj 3149969415, Iran
- <sup>4</sup> Department of ATMP, Breast Cancer Research Center, Motamed Cancer Institute, ACECR, Tehran 1517964311, Iran
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran 1417613151, Iran
- <sup>6</sup> Shiraz Institute for Cancer Research, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz 71345319, Iran
- <sup>7</sup> Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, School of Medical Sciences, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj 3134877179, Iran
- <sup>8</sup> Breast Cancer Research Center, Motamed Cancer Institute, ACECR, Tehran 1517964311, Iran
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Health Science and Technology, Aalborg University, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark
- <sup>10</sup> Department of Immunology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran 1417613151, Iran
- \* Correspondence: mahjed@avicenna.ac.ir or mahjed@yahoo.com (M.J.-T.); zarnania@tums.ac.ir or zarnania@gmail.com (A.-H.Z.)

Abstract: Placenta-specific antigens are minimally expressed or unexpressed in normal adult tissues, while they are widely expressed in cancer. In the course of carcinogenesis, a vast array of autoantibodies (AAbs) is produced. Here, we used a quantitative approach to determine the reactivity of AAbs in the sera of patients with breast (BrC: N = 100, 100% female, median age: 51 years), gastric (GC: N = 30, 46.6% female, median age: 57 years), bladder (BC: N = 29, 34.4% female, median age: 57 years), and colorectal (CRC: N = 34, 41.1% female, median age: 51 years) cancers against first-trimester (FTP) and full-term placental proteome (TP) in comparison with age- and sex-matched non-cancer individuals. Human-on-human immunohistochemistry was used to determine reactive target cells in FTP. The effect of pregnancy on the emergence of placenta-reactive autoantibodies was tested using sera from pregnant women at different trimesters of pregnancy. Except for BC, patients with BrC (p < 0.0284), GC (p < 0.0002), and CRC (p < 0.0007) had significantly higher levels of placenta-reactive AAbs. BrC (p < 0.0001) and BC (p < 0.0409) in the early stages triggered higher autoantibody reactivity against FTP. The reactivities of BrC sera with FTP did not show an association with ER, PR, or HER2 expression. Pregnancy in the third trimester was associated with the induction of TP- and not FTP-reactive autoantibodies (=0.018). The reactivity of BrC sera with placental proteins was found to be independent of gravidity or abortion. BrC sera showed a very strong and specific pattern of reactivity with scattered cells beneath the syncytiotrophoblast layer. Our results reinforce the concept of the coevolution of placentation and cancer and shed light on the future clinical application of the placental proteome for the non-invasive early detection and treatment of cancer.

Keywords: autoantibody; antigen; cancer; placental proteins; pregnancy

### 1. Introduction

For more than a century, analogies between cancer and trophoblastic cell behavior during placentation have been mentioned [1]. Cancer cells and placenta share some



**Citation:** Khorami Sarvestani, S.; Shojaeian, S.; Sarrami-Forooshani, R.; Yekaninejad, M.S.; Gilany, K.; Ghaderi, A.; Hashemnejad, M.; Olfatbakhsh, A.; Notash Haghighat, F.; Montazeri, S.; et al. Cancer Is Associated with the Emergence of Placenta-Reactive Autoantibodies. *Biomedicines* **2023**, *11*, 316. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biomedicines11020316

Academic Editor: Khalil Helou

Received: 15 December 2022 Revised: 17 January 2023 Accepted: 19 January 2023 Published: 23 January 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). characteristics, including immune escape and growth mechanisms as well as antigenic determinants [2,3]. These include proteins that regulate and complement apoptosis regulatory mechanisms, growth factors that are involved in angiogenesis, and large numbers of tumor-associated antigens [4,5]. Shared antigens between cancer cells and the placenta have led to the idea that cancer therapy may be possible through vaccination with placental antigens that may act as a multi-epitope vaccine. This idea is well supported by immune-placental therapy (IPT) for cancer [6,7]. It is suggested that immunization with placental antigens during pregnancy accounts for the reduction in cancer risk in women with prior pregnancies. This information is in line with and supported by further studies that led to the concept of "cancer stem cell therapy" [8–11].

Due to the hemochorial nature of human placentation, placental antigens are continuously presented to the maternal immune system and act as a source of fetal antigen exposure to the mother [11]. Placenta-specific antigens are minimally expressed or unexpressed in normal adult tissue, while they are widely expressed during cancer [12].

Any alteration in metabolism and/or physiological conditions is reflected by a shift in the proteome pool [13,14], which could result in a change in the autoantibody (AAb) profile. Each individual has a pool of autoantibodies, the composition of which is dramatically changed depending on the physiological condition. These autoantibodies are produced as a result of altered protein expression, the emergence of neoantigens, inflammation, and defective immune tolerance. Among the major functions exerted by AAbs are the discrimination of diseased cells from normal ones and the maintenance of host homeostasis [15]. Cancer is a pathological condition in which the composition of the autoantibody repertoire is changed and, in this regard, monitoring serum AAbs directed against tumor-associated antigens is considered a promising approach for early cancer diagnosis [16]. Deviations in the serum contents of AAbs in different diseases, especially cancers, can be detected much earlier than when the clinical manifestations appear and can be used for the early detection of the disease [17]. The screening of cancer by cancer-associated AAbs is preferred to that of the corresponding antigens. This is because of the biological amplification, early response, and stability of the antibodies [18]. However, harnessing autoantibodies for cancer screening has faced several drawbacks. In general, 10–30% of cancer patients develop a specific antibody response against a defined tumor-associated antigen, which could be due to the heterogeneous nature of cancer, where the repertoires of antigens vary extensively among tumors [19]. This is evidenced by the aberrant processing or regulation of different proteins in patients suffering from the same cancer [20]. Consequently, patients express heterogeneous repertoires of AAbs. In line with this notion, the frequency of antibodies against a defined cancer-associated antigen ranges between 1 to  $\sim 15\%$  [21]. Interestingly, cancer patients mainly develop autoantibodies against antigens that are abundantly expressed in the placenta [22]. Antibodies against survivin, PLAC1, livin, and NY-ESO-1 are among the cancer-associated autoantibodies for which the corresponding antigens are highly expressed in the placenta [23–27].

There are many features that placentation and cancer have in common. This study was conducted to examine antigenic analogies between placenta and cancers of the breast, stomach, bladder, and colon by testing the presence of placenta-reactive autoantibodies in the sera of cancer patients. Indeed, examining the potential effect of pregnancy on the emergence of placenta-reactive AAbs was also among the additional aims of this study.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Placental Samples

In this study, we collected four normal human first-trimester whole placentas and four full-term placentas with the inclusion and exclusion criteria reported earlier [28]. All procedures were carried out following approval by the ethical committee of Avicenna Research Institute (ARI) (ethical approval No: 1397.007) and in accordance with the revised version of the Helsinki Declaration in 2013. The healthy pregnant women who voluntarily terminated an unplanned pregnancy provided normal FT placentas. At the cesarean section,

TPs were collected. Throughout the course of the pregnancy, all aspects of pregnancy health, including systolic blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), mother and fetus weights, blood glucose levels, and obesity, were regularly tracked. None of the pregnant women had ever aborted a child, suffered from a chronic or serious disease, or abused medicine prior to the cesarean section or induced abortion. All TPs belonged to male fetuses to limit the impact of sex on the proteome profile; however, the genders of terminated fetuses (gestational age 12 weeks) were unknown. When compared to TP placentas, FT placentas had mean gestational ages of  $10 \pm 2$  weeks and  $38 \pm 1$  week, respectively. A pathologist examined each placenta sample and determined that they were all normal. The placentas were swiftly brought to the laboratory in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). A sterile scalpel was used to cut and pool five samples from each placenta: four samples of 1 cm diameter (each about one gram) from four locations (including the maternal and fetal sides), and one sample from the center. The combined samples were then washed three times in cold PBS to remove contaminating blood, aliquoted, and stored in liquid nitrogen until protein extraction.

#### 2.2. Protein Extraction and Quantification

In order to create the FT and TP pools, four frozen first-trimester placenta samples and four frozen term placenta samples were individually blended. By employing a cooled mortar and pestle and cryogenic grinding with liquid nitrogen, the combined samples were ground into powder. The pulverized sample (0.1 gr) was homogenized in 1 mL of lysis buffer, which contained 8 M urea, 2% CHAPS, and 2% dithiothreitol (DTT) in 5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, and was then incubated for 15 min on ice with gentle vortexing. At 4 °C, the homogenates were centrifuged for 1 h at  $15,000 \times g$ . The supernatants were gathered, and a 2-D Quant Kit was used to measure the protein quantities (GE Healthcare, city name: Chicago, IL, USA).

#### 2.3. Serum Samples

Bladder cancer (BC), gastric cancer (GC), colorectal cancer (CRC), non-CRC, and non-BC serum samples were collected from the biobank of Cancer Research Institute, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (ICR), Shiraz, Iran. Breast cancer (BrC) and non-BrC serum samples were collected from Motamed Breast Cancer Institute (MCI), Tehran, Iran. Non-GC serum samples were obtained from the Gastroenterohepatology Research Center (GEHRC), University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. The data of patients and their corresponding controls are summarized in Table 1.

Cancer Type	Sample Size	Mean Age	Gender		Stage	
			Female	Male	Early	Late
CRC	34	$51\pm11.86$	14	20	19	15
BrC	100	$44\pm8.41$	100	0	70	30
BC	29	$57 \pm 14.08$	10	19	13	16
GC	30	$57\pm10.44$	14	16	10	20
Non-CRC	34	$51\pm11.84$	14	20	-	-
Non-BrC	100	$43\pm8.97$	100	0	-	-
Non-BC	29	$56\pm31.03$	10	19	-	-
Non-GC	30	$57\pm10.28$	14	16	-	-

Table 1. Case and control sample size and cancer stage distribution.

Additional control sera were obtained from non-cancer individuals in three groups:

(1) Normal males who were referred to the Farmand medical laboratory for a routine checkup.

(2) Normal pregnant women in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy with normal screening tests who were referred to the department of Fetal and Maternal Health in the Hope Generation Foundation (HGF), Tehran, Iran.

(3) Normal females in the third trimester of pregnancy who were referred to the cesarean section in Kamali hospital, Karaj, Iran (Table 2).

Table 2. Demographic data of male and pregnant-female samples.

Cancer Type	Sample Size	Mean Age (Year)	Gestational Age (Weeks)
First-trimester pregnant women	100	$33 \pm 3.77$	11–13
Second trimester pregnant women	100	$32 \pm 4.07$	15–18
Third trimester pregnant women	110	$29\pm 6.67$	37–41
Normal males	100	$38\pm9.57$	-

All pregnant women recruited in this study had a normal pregnancy and pregnancy outcome with no history of pregnancy complications such as gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, or thyroid dysfunction (Table 3).

Table 3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria of cancer and non-cancer serum samples.

Groups	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	
	Pathologically confirmed cancer Available clinical pathological data	Current or past history of autoimmune diseases Previous history of cancer	
Case	No history of any treatment (surgery, radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy, hormone therapy) before sampling	Received immunosuppressive drugs during the three months before sample collection	
	Newly diagnosed cases	History of chemo, adjuvant, or radiotherapy and cancer surgery	
	Age- and sex-matched subjects Subjects with no history of cancer Non-BrC females with confirmed non-malignant report on breast Fine Needle Aspiration (FNA)	Not age- and sex-matched History of chronic diseases or cancer Last pregnancy ≤ 3 years before cancer onset (female patients)	
	Non-GC patients as confirmed by endoscopic gastric sampling and pathology confirmation	More than two abortions	
Control	Non-CRC and non-BC as confirmed by colonoscopy and sonography	Current or past history of autoimmune diseases	
	Normal males referred to medical laboratories for a routine checkup with normal biochemical and hematological test results	History of immunosuppressive drug intake three months before sampling	
	Pregnant females in first or second trimesters of pregnancy with normal screening tests	Having no clinical pathological data	
	Pregnant female in third trimester of pregnancy referred for cesarean section	More than 10 pregnancies	

All control sera were age- and sex-matched compared to the cancer sera. The serum samples were kept at -20 °C in small aliquots until use and they were thawed only once before use.

#### 2.4. ELISA

Auto-antibodies against placental proteins were quantified using ELISA in flat-bottom polystyrene 96-well Immunoclon-4 HBX microtiter plates (binding capacity 100–200 ng IgG/cm<sup>2</sup>). Different coating concentrations of placental proteins and serum dilutions were first used to achieve the optimal setup of ELISA with the highest signal-to-noise ratio. A polyclonal antibody was generated with the successive subcutaneous immunization of rabbits with FTP proteins, and a purified antibody was used as the positive control in each run of the ELISA test. To test optimal reactivity with either FTP or TP, the immune reactivity of BrC and non-BrC sera with FTP and TP placental lysates were first measured and compared. Based on the higher reactivity of BrC sera with FTP, all subsequent ELISA tests were

performed on placenta lysate from FTP. The plates were coated with human placental lysate proteins from either FTP or TP (5  $\mu$ g/mL) in a coating buffer (phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7). The plate was incubated overnight at 4 °C. The plates were then washed three times (each time for 1 min) with PBS-Tween 20 (PBST) (pH 7.4; 0.05% Tween), blocked for 90 min at 37 °C with 2.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) diluted in PBS-T, and washed three times (each time for 1 min) with PBS-T. Then 100  $\mu$ L of 1:500 diluted serum samples in PBS-T was added to each well and incubated for one hour at room temperature (RT). After three washes with PBS-T, 100 µL of 1:4000 dilution of horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated sheep anti-human Ig (Sina Biotech, Tehran, Iran) was added and the plates were incubated for 45 min at RT. Then, the plates were washed three times with PBS-T, and color development was carried out by adding 100 µL TMB (Arya Pishtaz Novin, Tehran, Iran) for 10 min at RT in the dark. The enzyme reaction was stopped with 50  $\mu$ L per well of 10% v/v H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The optical density was read within 10 min at 450 tnm with a reference OD (620 nm) using a Biochrom Anthos 2020 ELISA plate reader. In positive control wells, homemade rabbit anti-FTP and sheep anti-rabbit Ig-HRP were used as primary and secondary antibodies, respectively. Indeed, at each run, a predefined serum sample with good reactivity was run in duplicate as an internal control. Control wells containing only the coating layer and conjugate or pooled serum (non-cancer controls and patients separately) and conjugate (without coating layer) were also included.

To normalize inter-assay variations and to exclude the potential interference of human immunoglobulin contamination of placenta lysate in the ELISA results (interaction of the conjugate with placental immunoglobulin), three types of corrections were performed as follows:

- 1. L2–L3 correction: the average OD of control wells containing only pooled serum (non-cancer controls and cancer patients separately) and conjugate (without coating layer) were subtracted from the OD of each sample.
- 2. TP/FTP correction: the OD of control wells containing only the TP coating layer and conjugate (without serum layer) was divided by the OD of wells containing the FTP coating layer and conjugate to obtain the TP correction index. The OD of wells containing TP as a coating layer was then multiplied by this index.
- 3. Internal control correction: the average OD of internal controls in all ELISA runs was divided by the average OD of internal controls in each ELISA run and the resulting value was multiplied by the OD of each test well.

#### 2.5. Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

To validate the results of ELISA and to visualize the localization of the placental antigens reacting with serum autoantibodies, IHC staining was performed. Tissue fragments from the central zone of four placentas were paraffin-embedded and tissue sections (4 µm thick) were prepared. Tissue slides were deparaffinized in xylene (Sigma Aldrich, Oakville, Canada) and rehydrated in graded ethanol. Next, tissue sections were exposed to Tris-EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris base, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 9) in a 95 °C water bath for heat-induced antigen retrieval. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by immersing the tissue sections in 0.3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 10 min. After blocking, slides were incubated with pooled sera (BrC early stage: n = 70, BrC late stage: n = 30, normal male: n = 100, and non-breast cancer female = 100) diluted in the primer reagent of the human-on-human IHC staining kit (abcam, Waltham, USA). All immunostaining procedures were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The following variables were first set up before the final experiment: serum dilution, serum incubation time and temperature, and secondary antibody incubation time. Finally, incubation with a 1:20 dilution of sera at 4 °C overnight and 40 min incubation with a human HRP polymer detection system yielded the best results. After the visualization of signals with diaminobenzidine (DAB) for 5 min, slides were washed, rehydrated, stained with hematoxylin, and mounted. For primary antibody, serum samples from breast cancer patients at different stages (early and late), non-breast cancer women, and normal males were used. In negative reagent control slides, serum was omitted in the staining procedure. Moreover, FTP-immunized rabbit hyperimmune serum was used as the primary antibody in positive control slides. These slides were processed as above and probed with the polyclonal antibody for 90 min followed by washing steps and detection with poly-HRP detection system plus (Mouse/Rabbit) (BioVision, Sina biotech, Tehran, Iran).

#### 2.6. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 26. Graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism version 9.4.0. Normality was checked with normality plots (histogram and Q–Q plot) by visual inspection. The linear relationship between pairs of continuous variables was measured using the bivariate Pearson correlation coefficient. Statistical differences between the means of unrelated groups were compared using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). To explain the relationship between one or more independent variables (gravidity/abortion) on one serum reactivity, multiple linear regression analysis was used. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Cancer was Associated with the Generation of Placenta-Reactive Autoantibodies

In this study, the reactivity of sera from patients with GC, BrC, BC, and CRC with placental proteins was assessed and compared with their corresponding well-confirmed age- and sex-matched non-cancer sera. In the first step, the reactivity of sera from BrC patients was investigated against both FTP and TP and based on the results; the reactivity of other cancer sera was only tested against FTP. When the immune reactivity of sera from 100 BrC patients with TP proteins was compared with that of age- and sex-matched non-BrC women, no statistical difference was observed (p = 0.09), although the OD values in patient sera tended to be higher. However, patients with BrC showed significantly higher reactivity with FTP (p = 0.0284). This trend was highlighted when the immune reactivity of GC and CRC patients' sera with FTP was evaluated (p = 0.0002 and p = 0.0007, respectively) (Figure 1). In BC sera, no statistical difference was observed. Moreover, the reactivity of patients' sera showed no linear correlation with age and no association with the sex of cases.



**Figure 1.** Immune reactivity of cancer-associated autoantibodies with first-trimester and term placental proteins: Reactivity of sera from breast (BrC) (**A**), gastric (GC) (**B**), bladder (BC) (**C**), and colorectal (CRC) (**D**) cancer patients against placental proteins were tested using ELISA. Sera from corresponding non-cancer age- and sex-matched controls were tested in parallel. In the case of BrC patients, the reactivity of sera with first-trimester (FTP) and term placental proteins (TP) was compared.

## 3.2. BrC at Early Stages Triggered Higher Autoantibody Reactivity against First-Trimester Placental Proteins

To compare placenta-reactive autoantibodies against FTP in early and late stages of cancer, each cancer group was divided into two subgroups based on the cancer stage (early: stage I and II, late: stage III, IV). Interestingly, sera from patients with early stages of BrC

showed a robust higher reactivity with FTP when compared with sera from patients with higher stages (p < 0.0001). This was also the case in BC patients (p = 0.0409). In the case of GC and CRC patients, no such difference was observed (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Analysis of the effect of cancer stage on serum reactivity with FTP proteins: Reactivity of sera from breast (BrC) (**A**), gastric (GC) (**B**), bladder (BC) (**C**), and colorectal (CRC) (**D**) cancer patients at early and late stages against FTP was tested using ELISA. Sera from corresponding non-cancer ageand sex-matched controls were tested in parallel.

# 3.3. Reactivity of BrC Sera with FTP Did Not Show an Association with ER, PR, or HER2 Expression

To test the potential contribution of ER, PR, and HER2 expression on the reactivity of patient's sera with FTP, they were categorized based on the immunohistochemical expression of these markers and their reactivity with FTP was compared. Our results showed no significant association between the expression of these different markers and serum immune reactivity (Figure 3). Due to the fact that, except for two BrC samples, all samples were Ki67 positive and no statistical comparison was performed on the potential effect of Ki67 expression and serum immunoreactivity with placental proteins.



**Figure 3.** The effect HER2, ER, or PR expression on serum immune reactivity of BrC patients' sera with FTP: Patients with breast cancer (BrC) were categorized based on the expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and HER2 and the reactivity of their serum with first-trimester placental proteins (FTP) was tested.

# 3.4. Pregnancy in the Third Trimester was Associated with the Induction of TP-Reactive Autoantibodies

Following the observation of the emergence of placenta-reactive autoantibodies in patients with cancer, the immediate question that arose was whether pregnancy itself is associated with the induction of such antibodies. In this regard, the reactivity of sera from pregnant women at different trimesters of pregnancy with FTP and TP was assessed. As controls, the serum of age-matched males and non-BrC/non-pregnant females were also tested. By comparing the serum reactivity of first-trimester (FTS) (n = 100), second trimester (STS) (n = 100), third trimester (TTS) (n = 110), non-BrC/non-pregnant females (NFS) (n = 100), and normal males (NMS) (n = 100) with TP or FTP proteome, we found that, without considering a past history of pregnancy, pregnancy itself had no effect on the induction of placenta-reactive autoantibodies (Figure 4A). No significant difference in reactivity with either FTP or TP was observed between nulligravidanon-pregnant women and normal males (Figure 4B). Interestingly, pregnancy in the third trimester was associated with the induction of TP- and not FTP-reactive autoantibodies compared to nulligravida non-pregnant women (p = 0.018) (Figure 4C). Of note, a comparison of the serum reactivity of first- and third trimester samples showed that first- and third trimester sera had a higher reactivity with corresponding placental proteins (Figure 4C). Sera from primiparous (Figure 4D) or multiparous (Figure 4E) non-pregnant women had comparable levels of FTP- or TP-reactive autoantibodies compared to primigravid (Figure 4D) or multiparous pregnant women at different trimesters of pregnancy (Figure 4E). Regardless of pregnancy trimester, multiparous pregnant women showed higher reactivity with TP compared with nulligravida non-pregnant women (p = 0.0167) (Figure 4F).



**Figure 4.** Effect of pregnancy and parity on serum reactivity with FTP and TP: (**A**) The reactivity of sera from pregnant women in the first (FTS), second (STS), and third trimester (TTS) of pregnancy with first-trimester (FTP) and term placental proteins (TP) was tested. Sera from non-cancer/non-pregnant (NFS) and normal males (NMS) served as controls. (**B**) The reactivity of nulligravida NFS against FTP and TP was compared with that of NMS. (**C**) The serum reactivity of nulligravida NFS and pregnant women at different trimesters of pregnancy with FTP and TP proteome was compared. (**D**) The reactivity of primiparous NFS and sera from primigravid pregnant women with FTP and TP proteomes was compared (collected samples contained no sera from primigravid women in first-trimester pregnancy). (**E**) The reactivity of multiparous NFS and sera from all sera from multiparous pregnant women at different trimesters of pregnancy with FTP and TP was compared. (**F**) The reactivity of nulligravida and multiparous NFS and sera from all multiparous pregnant women (regardless of pregnancy trimester) with FTP and TP was compared. (**F**) The reactivity of nulligravida and multiparous NFS and sera from all multiparous pregnant women (regardless of pregnancy trimester) with FTP and TP was compared.

To rule out the potential effect of gravidity or abortion on the higher reactivity of BrC sera with placental proteins, multivariate regression analysis was performed. Since all samples in the BrC group were females with registered reproductive history, the serum reactivity of 100 normal non-BrC and 100 BrC females with FTP proteome was compared. Although we found a significant contribution of the history of pregnancy in the reactivity of sera from BrC and non-BrC females (p = 0.007), the higher reactivity of BrC sera compared to non-BrC females was independent of the previous history of pregnancy (p = 0.005). Indeed, the previous history of abortion had no effect on serum reactivity with FTP in both BrC and non-BrC groups (Table 4).

Parameter	В	95% Wald Conf	Sia	
		Lower	Upper	51g.
BrC	0.051	0.016	0.086	0.005
Non-BrC	Referent			
Gravidity $\geq 1$	0.060	0.104	0.016	0.007
Gravidity = 0	Referent			
Abortion $\geq 1$	0.001	-0.040	0.043	0.957
Abortion $= 0$	Referent			

Table 4. Linear regression analysis of 200 females in BrC and Non-BrC groups for gravidity and abortion.

#### 3.6. Breast-Cancer-Associated Autoantibodies Recognized Stem-Like Cells in the Placenta

To confirm the results of ELISA, the immunohistochemical staining of FTP with sera from BrC, non-BrC, and normal males was performed. Our results showed a very specific pattern of the reactivity of BrC sera with FTP, which was narrowly confined to some cells of syncytiotrophoblasts and specially syncytial nuclear aggregates (SNA). Indeed, some isolated cells beneath the syncytiotrophoblasts were found to be immunoreactive. The frequency of positive cells was small and both cytoplasmic and nuclear patterns of staining were observed (Figure 5). In the case of non-BrC samples, fewer positive cells were also observed. No specific staining in serum samples of normal males was found. The reactivity of sera from late-stage BrC patients was less than that observed in the sera of early-stage BrC patients. In positive control slides, in which polyclonal rabbit anti-placenta serum was used as the primary antibody, a mixed pattern of cytoplasmic and nuclear reactivity in almost all cells of the placenta, including syncytiotrophoblasts, cytotrophoblasts, and extravillous cytotrophoblasts, was observed. In negative control slides (male sera), no reactivity was noted.



**Figure 5.** Immunohistochemical reactivity analysis of BrC sera with FTP: Sera from BrC (**A**,**B**) and non-BrC/non-pregnant (**C**) with first-trimester placenta (FTP) were tested with the human-on-human immunohistochemical staining. Hyperimmune serum from FTP-immunized rabbits was used as a positive control (**D**,**E**). Sera from normal males served as negative control (**F**). Black arrows show the positive cells.

#### 4. Discussion

The theory of the fetal origin of cancer has long been proposed and much supporting evidence has been reported [29]. A very fascinating connection between placentation and carcinogenesis has been suggested by Wagner et al. Accordingly, a link between species-specific malignancy rate and placentation type is likely related to the level of adapted cis-regulatory mechanisms in the endometrium, which control endometrial resistance to invisibility in eutherian mammals [30]. The results of our study reinforce the concept of the coevolution of placentation by showing the emergence of first-trimester placenta-reactive autoantibodies in cancer patients, particularly in the early stages of Brc. The breast-cancer-associated autoantibodies recognized rare and dispersed cytotrophoblast cells, which might act as placental stem-like cells. This attractive theory is supported by the low incidence of metastatic cancers in hoofed animals. However, the relationship between invasive placentation in mammals with hemochorial placentation and metastatic cancer is usually defined in terms of antagonistic pleiotropy. According to this theory, cancer metastasis co-evolved with the evolution of invasive placentation, with common mechanisms that peruse the same phenotype and behavior. Although positive pleiotropy theory confronts some challenging issues such as the use of shared mechanisms in other body functions such as wound healing and the presence of metastatic cancer in marsupials, it has some supporting evidence [31]. This very simplistic view of the fetal origin of cancer has been the focus of much research. In this context, plenty of antigens shared by the placenta and cancer cells have been introduced so far [1]. These antigens, which are expressed by syncytiotrophoblasts and/or cytotrophoblasts, can induce strong humoral, cellular, and immune responses [12,32].

The identification of molecular markers with the aim of the noninvasive detection and screening of cancer has been the main interest of many scientists. Autoantibodies are among the cancer biomarkers, which are superior to cancer antigens due to their biostability and biological amplification [33,34] and can be detected months or years before clinical manifestation appears [35–37]. Indeed, somatic mutations and genomic instability result in large heterogeneity of the tumor cell proteome. Thus, the utilization of AAbs for cancer screening may present an opportunity to overcome biological heterogeneity, a major challenge in cancer biomarker research [11,38,39]. Although there are numerous studies about the identification of AAbs in the serum of cancer patients, including breast [40,41], colorectal [42–44], lung [45–47], ovarian [48–50], and gastrointestinal cancer [51–54], there is no study to address placental proteome as a target for monitoring cancer-associated AAbs. Analogies between placentation, in particular the behavior of trophoblast cells, and cancer raised our hypothesis on whether cancer patients develop autoantibodies against the placenta, which can be exploited as a tool for non-invasive cancer screening [55–57].

We observed that patients with BrC, CRC, and GC produce placenta-reactive autoantibodies in their serum when compared with age- and sex-matched controls. The placental reactivity of cancer sera supports the concept of a shared antigenic signature of cancer and placental cells and is in favor of the positive pleiotropy hypothesis. It should be noted that breast epithelial cells originate from the fetal ectoderm, while those of the gastrointestinal tract and bladder all originate from the fetal endoderm. Our findings, therefore, imply that although the placenta is not derived from embryonic germ layers, it has common antigens with them. Of note, sera from BC patients did not exhibit reactivity with placental antigens. The exact reason for this finding is not clear to us at present. However, the polarization of immune responses within the bladder tumor by a heavily immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment is a hallmark of this type of cancer [58], which could negatively affect the production of cancer-associated antibodies.

Interestingly, the reactivity of BrC sera with FTP was more pronounced compared with that of TP. Trophoblasts of the first-trimester placenta show a more invasive pattern compared to those of TP. The reason for this preferential reactivity of cancer sera with FTP may stem from the proteins that are differentially expressed in FTP and TP. FTP-expressed proteins were found to be mostly involved in response to stress, programmed cell death, cellular oxidant detoxification, and coagulation, the mechanisms that are also active in cancer development and the propagation of homeostasis [28]. To explore which cells in the placenta are the potential targets of cancer-associated autoantibodies, a human-on-human IHC was set using different sources of sera as primary antibodies. An intriguing finding was the clear reactivity of BrC sera with a small population of cells mostly residing in the trophoblast layer of the placental villi. Although reactivity was strong, the reactive cells were scarce, accounting for less than 1 in 1000 nucleate cells. The same population, but at a considerably lower frequency, was also observed when placentas were stained with sera from non-BrC women, which is in line with what we observed in ELISA. The exact nature of these cells is unclear at present, but they may represent a subpopulation of trophoblast stem cells (TS). Human TS have been generated from cytotrophoblasts and they have been shown to have the capacity to give rise to the three major trophoblast lineages. Interestingly, human TS cells injected into mice mimic trophoblast invasion during implantation [59]. First-trimester placenta cytotrophoblast cells are able to generate new villi, suggesting that villi cytotrophoblast cells may be a stem cell source [60]. Human TS cells cannot be derived from cytotrophoblast cells isolated from term placentas [57]. TS is a source for the generation of invasive cytotrophoblasts and this may explain the cross-reactivity of cancer-associated autoantibodies with these cells. Another finding was a significantly higher frequency of reactive cells with early-stage versus late-stage breast cancer sera. This finding may be attributed to immune cell exhaustion in the course of advanced breast cancer [61]. When tested by ELISA, sera from early-stage BrC and CRC showed higher reactivity with FTP than those from late-stage cancer sera, while the opposite was the case for GC patients, implying differential kinetics of anti-cancer humoral immune responses in different types of cancer.

Regarding the fact that some women in the patient and control groups had a history of previous pregnancy and placental exposure, we next examined the potential effect of the previous history of pregnancy or ongoing pregnancy on serum reactivity with placental antigens. There are conflicting data on the link between pregnancy and breast cancer risk [62–66]. It is reported that an early pregnancy before the age of 20 years could reduce the chance of developing breast cancer by 50% [67,68]. Further pregnancies reduce the likelihood of breast cancer by 10% [69]. In one cohort study conducted on 2.3 million Danish women, it was observed that full-term pregnancies lasting 34 weeks or longer reduce breast cancer risk, while the abortion of pregnancies lasting 33 weeks or less had no effects. The authors concluded that distinct biological effects around week 34 of pregnancy are a key point to understanding pregnancy-associated breast cancer protection [70]. It is noteworthy that women who have their first pregnancy after age 35 have a higher risk of developing breast cancer [67,68]. We observed that neither pregnancy history nor being pregnant at the time of blood sampling affect serum reactivity with either FTP or TP. One exception was the significantly higher reactivity of sera from multiparous pregnant women compared to nulligravida women with TP. Importantly, no difference was observed between multiparous non-pregnant and nulligravida women, indicating that it is the memory immunological responses that increase placenta-reactive autoantibodies in multiparous pregnant women. Indeed, the absence of a significant reactivity of sera from multiparous women with FTP may stem from a state of a tolerogenic microenvironment, which exists in uterine lymph nodes during the first-trimester of pregnancy [71,72]. We observed a decreasing trend of the reactivity of sera from first-trimester to full-term pregnancy sera with FTP, while this trend was increasing when TP was used as the antigen. This finding may imply the generation of placenta-specific autoantibodies during pregnancy, although comparisons did not show a significant difference. Indeed, it is interesting to note that autoantibodies in multiparous women were mostly directed against antigens of TP, while in cancer patients, serum reactivity was mostly directed against the proteome of FTP. This finding clearly shows that the immune presentation and recognition of placental antigens during pregnancy and cancer are mechanistically different. In line with this notion, we observed that although in a mixed population of BrC patients and non-BrC controls, women with  $\geq 1$  pregnancy

had higher placenta-reactive AAbs compared to nulligravida women, the elevated levels of placenta-reactive AAbs in BrC patients is independent of a previous history of pregnancy and abortion. It is possible that the lower risk of BrC in women with a history of pregnancy is due to the cross-reactive T cells primed during pregnancy.

Limitations of the current study include: (1) we do not know exactly which protein or proteins are responsible for the generation of placenta-reactive AAbs in cancer patients, which is the prospect of our next study. (2) The sample size of GC, BC, and CRC was relatively small. (3) Although we showed the reactivity of breast cancer sera with scattered cells with cytotrophoblast morphology, the identity of these cells is unclear to us.

#### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our results showed that patients with cancer produce detectable levels of placenta-reactive AAbs. This is extremely the case for BrC, in which patients with early-stage disease produced AAbs reactive with the first-trimester placenta and specifically with a scattered subpopulation of cells, probably trophoblast stem cells. To our knowledge, the concept of the early screening of cancers using placental proteins has not been introduced before. This finding could shed light on the future application of placental proteome for the non-invasive early detection of cancer. This, in turn, will open new strategies to find candidate molecules for the targeted immunotherapy of cancer patients.

**Author Contributions:** S.K.S. performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. A.G., K.G., S.S. and A.S. consulted and verified the analytical methods and critically reviewed the manuscript. M.S.Y. performed the statistical analysis. F.N.H. and S.M. assisted in setting up and doing the ELISA analyses. R.S.-F., M.H. and A.O. provided serum samples and clinical data of the participants. M.J.-T. supervised the research and critically reviewed and prepared the final draft of the manuscript, and A.-H.Z. conceived of the presented idea, developed the theory, supervised the research, and critically reviewed and prepared the final draft of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute for Medical Research Development (NIMAD) (Grant No: 973140), Tehran, Iran, Iran National Science Foundation, (INSF) (Grant No: 96013023), Tehran, Iran, and the Avicenna Research Institute (ARI) (grant No: 97016), Tehran, Iran.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** All procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical committee of Avicenna Research Institute (ARI) (ethical approval No: 1397.007) and with the revised version of the Helsinki Declaration in 2013.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before clinical sampling.

**Data Availability Statement:** The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: This study was conducted at Avicenna Research Institute (ARI). The authors are thankful to the staff of the Reproductive Biotechnology and Monoclonal Antibody Research Centers.

**Conflicts of Interest:** All authors have read and approved this paper and declared no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Wagner, G.P.; Kshitiz; Dighe, A.; Levchenko, A. The Coevolution of Placentation and Cancer. Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci. 2022, 10, 259–279. [CrossRef]
- Xu, C.; Jin, G.; Wu, H.; Cui, W.; Wang, U.H.; Manne, R.K.; Wang, G.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, X.; Han, F.; et al. SIRPγ-expressing cancer stem-like cells promote immune escape of lung cancer via Hippo signaling. J. Clin. Investig. 2022, 132, e141797. [CrossRef]
- Ruaro, B.; Salton, F. The History and Mystery of Alveolar Epithelial Type II Cells: Focus on Their Physiologic and Pathologic Role in Lung. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 2566. [CrossRef]
- Choi, H.K.; Choi, B.C.; Lee, S.H.; Kim, J.W.; Cha, K.Y.; Baek, K.H. Expression of angiogenesis- and apoptosis-related genes in chorionic villi derived from recurrent pregnancy loss patients. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 2003, 66, 24–31. [CrossRef]

- 5. Leach, L.; Babawale, M.O.; Anderson, M.; Lammiman, M. Vasculogenesis, angiogenesis and the molecular organisation of endothelial junctions in the early human placenta. *J. Vasc. Res.* **2002**, *39*, 246–259. [CrossRef]
- Brewer, B.G.; Mitchell, R.A.; Harandi, A.; Eaton, J.W. Embryonic vaccines against cancer: An early history. *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* 2009, 86, 192–197. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, B.; Wang, Y.; Wu, B.; Liu, S.; Wu, E.; Fan, H.X.; Gui, M.M.; Chen, L.; Li, C.; Ju, Y.; et al. Placenta-derived gp96 as a multivalent prophylactic cancer vaccine. *Sci. Rep.* 2013, *3*, 1947. [CrossRef]
- 8. Harandi, A. Immunoplacental therapy, a potential multi-epitope cancer vaccine. Med. Hypotheses 2006, 66, 1182–1187. [CrossRef]
- Corocleanu, M. A possible "universal" cancer vaccine that might cause an immune response against emerging cancer cells that originate from any tissue. *Med. Hypotheses* 2008, 70, 381–383. [CrossRef]
- 10. O'Connor, M.L.; Xiang, D.; Shigdar, S.; Macdonald, J.; Li, J.; Wang, T.; Pu, C.; Wang, Z.; Qiao, L.; Duan, W. Cancer stem cells: A contentious hypothesis now moving forward. *Cancer Lett.* **2014**, *344*, 180–187. [CrossRef]
- 11. Fox, E.; Amaral, D.; Van de Water, J. Maternal and fetal antibrain antibodies in development and disease. *Dev. Neurobiol.* **2012**, 72, 1327–1334. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jasti, S.; Farahbakhsh, M.; Nguyen, S.; Petroff, B.K.; Petroff, M.G. Immune response to a model shared placenta/tumor-associated antigen reduces cancer risk in parous mice. *Biol. Reprod.* 2017, *96*, 134–144. [CrossRef]
- Bijian, K.; Mlynarek, A.M.; Balys, R.L.; Jie, S.; Xu, Y.; Hier, M.P.; Black, M.J.; Di Falco, M.R.; LaBoissiere, S.; Alaoui-Jamali, M.A. Serum proteomic approach for the identification of serum biomarkers contributed by oral squamous cell carcinoma and host tissue microenvironment. *J. Proteome Res.* 2009, *8*, 2173–2185. [CrossRef]
- 14. Mary George, N.; Parida, V.; Lahti, T.; Wincent, J. A systematic literature review of entrepreneurial opportunity recognition: Insights on influencing factors. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* **2016**, *12*, 309–350. [CrossRef]
- 15. Tan, Q.; Wang, D.; Yang, J.; Xing, P.; Yang, S.; Li, Y.; Qin, Y.; He, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, S.; et al. Autoantibody profiling identifies predictive biomarkers of response to anti-PD1 therapy in cancer patients. *Theranostics* **2020**, *10*, 6399. [CrossRef]
- 16. Belousov, P.V. The Autoantibodies against Tumor-Associated Antigens as Potential Blood-Based Biomarkers in Thyroid Neoplasia: Rationales, Opportunities and Challenges. *Biomedicines* **2022**, *10*, 468. [CrossRef]
- 17. Poletaev, A. The main principles of adaptive immune system function: Self-recognition, self-interaction, and self-maintenance. *Physiol. Autoimmun. Prev. Med.* **2013**, 1, 3–20.
- Zaenker, P.; Ziman, M.R. Serologic Autoantibodies as Diagnostic Cancer Biomarkers—A ReviewSerologic Autoantibodies as Diagnostic Cancer Biomarkers. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2013, 22, 2161–2181. [CrossRef]
- 19. Casiano, C.A.; Mediavilla-Varela, M.; Tan, E.M. Tumor-associated antigen arrays for the serological diagnosis of cancer. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* **2006**, *5*, 1745–1759. [CrossRef]
- 20. Tan, H.T.; Low, J.; Lim, S.G.; Chung MC, M. Serum autoantibodies as biomarkers for early cancer detection. *FEBS J.* 2009, 276, 6880–6904. [CrossRef]
- Zayakin, P.; Ancāns, G.; Siliņa, K.; Meistere, I.; Kalniņa, Z.; Andrejeva, D.; Endzeliņš, E.; Ivanova, L.; Pismennaja, P.; Ruskule, A.; et al. Tumor-associated autoantibody signature for the early detection of gastric cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 2013, 132, 137–147. [CrossRef]
- Anagnostou, V.; Smith, K.; Forde, P.M.; Niknafs, N.; Bhattacharya, R.; White, J.; Zhang, T.; Adleff, V.; Phallen, J.; Wali, N.; et al. Evolution of Neoantigen Landscape during Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Non–Small Cell Lung CancerDynamics of Neoantigen Landscape during Immunotherapy. *Cancer Discov.* 2017, 7, 264–276. [CrossRef]
- Lacombe, J.; Mangé, A.; Solassol, J. Use of autoantibodies to detect the onset of breast cancer. J. Immunol. Res. 2014, 2014, 574981. [CrossRef]
- 24. Füzéry, A.K.; Levin, J.; Chan, M.M.; Chan, D.W. Translation of proteomic biomarkers into FDA approved cancer diagnostics: Issues and challenges. *Clin. Proteom.* **2013**, *10*, 13. [CrossRef]
- Mahmoudian, J.; Ghods, R.; Nazari, M.; Jeddi- Tehrani, M.; Ghahremani, M.H.; Ghaffari-Tabrizi-Wizsy, N.; Ostad, S.N.; Zarnani, A.H. PLAC1: Biology and potential application in cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother* 2019, 68, 1039–1058. [CrossRef]
- Li, P.; Shi, J.X.; Xing, M.T.; Dai, L.P.; Li, J.T.; Zhang, J.Y. Evaluation of serum autoantibodies against tumor-associated antigens as biomarkers in lung cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 2017, 39, 1010428317711662. [CrossRef]
- Hurley, L.C.; Levin, N.K.; Chatterjee, M.; Coles, J.; Muszkat, S.; Howarth, Z.; Dyson, G.; Tainsky, M.A. Evaluation of paraneoplastic antigens reveals TRIM21 autoantibodies as biomarker for early detection of ovarian cancer in combination with autoantibodies to NY-ESO-1 and TP53. *Cancer Biomark.* 2020, 27, 407–421. [CrossRef]
- Khorami Sarvestani, S.; Shojaeian, S.; Vanaki, N.; Gharesi-Fard, B.; Amini, M.; Gilani, K.; Soltanghoraei, H.; Arefi, S.; Jeddi-Tehrani, M.; Zarnani, A.H. Proteome profiling of human placenta reveals developmental stage-dependent alterations in protein signature. *Clin. Proteom.* 2021, *18*, 18. [CrossRef]
- Marshall, G.M.; Carter, D.R.; Cheung, B.B.; Liu, T.; Mateos, M.K.; Meyerowitz, J.G.; Weiss, W.A. The prenatal origins of cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2014, 14, 277–289. [CrossRef]
- Suhail, Y.; Maziarz, D.J.; Dighe, A.; Wagner, G. Cis-Regulatory Differences Explaining Evolved Levels of Endometrial Invasibility in Eutherian Mammals. *bioRxiv* 2020. [CrossRef]
- 31. D'Souza, A.W.; Wagner, G.P. Malignant cancer and invasive placentation: A case for positive pleiotropy between endometrial and malignancy phenotypes. *Evol. Med. Public Health* **2014**, 2014, 136–145. [CrossRef]

- 32. Ander, S.E.; Diamond, M.S. Immune responses at the maternal-fetal interface. Sci. Immunol. 2019, 4, eaat6114. [CrossRef]
- 33. Cho-Chung, Y.S. Autoantibody biomarkers in the detection of cancer. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2006, 1762, 587–591. [CrossRef]
- Nesterova, M.; Johnson, N.; Cheadle, C.; Cho-Chung, Y.S. Autoantibody biomarker opens a new gateway for cancer diagnosis. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2006, 1762, 398–403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rohayem, J.; Conrad, K.; Zimmermann, T.; Frank, K.H. Comparison of the diagnostic accuracy of three commercially available enzyme immunoassays for anti-p53 antibodies. *Clin. Chem.* 1999, 45, 2014–2016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Lu, H.; Goodell, V.; Disis, M.L. Humoral immunity directed against tumor-associated antigens as potential biomarkers for the early diagnosis of cancer. *J. Proteome Res.* **2008**, *7*, 1388–1394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Pedersen, J.W.; Wandall, H.H. Autoantibodies as biomarkers in cancer. Lab. Med. 2011, 42, 623–628. [CrossRef]
- Sexauer, D.; Gray, E.; Zaenker, P. Tumour-associated autoantibodies as prognostic cancer biomarkers-a review. *Autoimmun. Rev.* 2022, 21, 103041. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zaenker, P.; Gray, E.S.; Ziman, M.R. Autoantibody production in cancer—The humoral immune response toward autologous antigens in cancer patients. *Autoimmun. Rev.* 2016, 15, 477–483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xia, J.; Shi, J.; Wang, P.; Song, C.; Wang, K.; Zhang, J.; Ye, H. Tumour-associated autoantibodies as diagnostic biomarkers for breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 2016, *83*, 393–408. [CrossRef]
- 41. Hamrita, B.; Ben Nasr, H.; Chahed, K.; Kabbage, M.; Chouchane, L. Proteomic Analysis of Human Breast Cancer: New. *Nat. Commun.* **2010**, *3*, 896.
- 42. Chen, H.; Werner, S.; Tao, S.; Zörnig, I.; Brenner, H. Blood autoantibodies against tumor-associated antigens as biomarkers in early detection of colorectal cancer. *Cancer Lett.* **2014**, *346*, 178–187. [CrossRef]
- 43. Liu, S.; Tan, Q.; Song, Y.; Shi, Y.; Han, X. Anti-p53 autoantibody in blood as a diagnostic biomarker for colorectal cancer: A meta-analysis. *Scand. J. Immunol.* **2020**, *91*, e12829. [CrossRef]
- Wang, H.; Li, X.; Zhou, D.; Huang, J. Autoantibodies as biomarkers for colorectal cancer: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and bioinformatics analysis. *Int. J. Biol. Markers* 2019, 34, 334–347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Solassol, J.; Maudelonde, T.; Mange, A.; Pujol, J.L. Clinical relevance of autoantibody detection in lung cancer. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2011, 6, 955–962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kazarian, M.; Laird-Offringa, I.A. Small-cell lung cancer-associated autoantibodies: Potential applications to cancer diagnosis, early detection, and therapy. *Mol. Cancer* 2011, 10, 33. [CrossRef]
- Yang, B.; Li, X.; Ren, T.; Yin, Y. Autoantibodies as diagnostic biomarkers for lung cancer: A systematic review. *Cell Death Discov.* 2019, 5, 126. [CrossRef]
- 48. Gadducci, A.; Cosio, S. Screening for Ovarian Cancer in the General Population: State of Art and Perspectives of Clinical Research. *Anticancer. Res.* 2022, 42, 4207–4216. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Bast, R.C.; Lu, Z.; Han, C.Y.; Lu, K.H.; Anderson, K.S.; Drescher, C.W.; Skates, S.J. Biomarkers and Strategies for Early Detection of Ovarian Cancer Epidemiol. *Biomark. Prev.* 2020, *29*, 2504–2512. [CrossRef]
- Reddy, R.; Mintz, J.; Golan, R.; Firdaus, F.; Ponce, R.; Booven, D.V.; Manoharan, A.; Issa, I.; Blomberg, B.B.; Arora, H. Antibody Diversity in Cancer: Translational Implications and Beyond. *Vaccines* 2022, *10*, 1165. [CrossRef]
- 51. Saif, M.W.; Zalonis, A.; Syrigos, K. The clinical significance of autoantibodies in gastrointestinal malignancies: An overview. *Expert. Opin. Biol. Ther.* **2007**, *7*, 493–507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Werner, S.; Chen, H.; Tao, S.; Brenner, H. Systematic review: Serum autoantibodies in the early detection of gastric cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 2015, *136*, 2243–2252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kobayashi, M.; Katayama, H.; Fahrmann, J.F.; Hanash, S.M. Development of autoantibody signatures for common cancers. *Semin. Immunol.* 2020, 47, 101388. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Jelski, W.; Mroczko, B. Molecular and Circulating Biomarkers of Gastric Cancer. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2022, 23, 7588. [CrossRef]
- 55. Costanzo, V.; Bardelli, A.; Siena, S.; Abrignani, S. Exploring the links between cancer and placenta development. *Open Biol.* **2018**, *8*, 180081. [CrossRef]
- 56. Lala, P.K.; Nandi, P.; Hadi, A.; Halari, C. A crossroad between placental and tumor biology: What have we learnt? *Placenta* **2021**, *116*, 12–30. [CrossRef]
- 57. Greaves, M.; Hughes, M. Cancer cell transmission via the placenta. Evol. Med. Public Health 2018, 2018, 106–115. [CrossRef]
- Joseph, M.; Enting, D. Immune responses in bladder cancer-role of immune cell populations, prognostic factors and therapeutic implications. *Front. Oncol.* 2019, 9, 1270. [CrossRef]
- 59. Okae, H.; Toh, H.; Sato, T.; Hiura, H.; Takahashi, S.; Shirane k Kabayama, Y.; Suyama, M.; Sasaki, H.; Arima, T. Derivation of human trophoblast stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **2018**, *22*, 50–63.e6. [CrossRef]
- 60. Castellucci, M.; Kosanke, G.; Verdenelli, F.; Huppertz, B.; Kaufmann, P. Villous sprouting: Fundamental mechanisms of human placental development. *Hum. Reprod. Update* **2000**, *6*, 485–494. [CrossRef]
- 61. Ben-Hur, H.; Cohen, O.; Schneider, D.; Gurevich, P.; Halperin, R.; Bala, U.; Mozes, M.; Zusman, I. The role of lymphocytes and macrophages in human breast tumorigenesis: An immunohistochemical and morphometric study. *Anticancer. Res.* 2002, 22, 1231–1238. [PubMed]
- 62. Froehlich, K.; Schmidt, A.; Heger, J.I.; Al-Kawlani, B.; Aberl, C.A.; Jeschke, U.; Loibl, S.; Markert, U.R. Breast cancer, placenta and pregnancy. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2019, *115*, 68–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 63. Pandey, M.K.; Rani, R.; Agrawal, S. An update in recurrent spontaneous abortion. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* 2005, 272, 95–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Chang-Claude, J.; Becher, H.; Eby, N.; Bastert, G.; Wahrendorf, J.; Hamann, U. Modifying effect of reproductive risk factors on the age at onset of breast cancer for GermanBRCA1 mutation carriers. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* **1997**, 123, 272–279. [CrossRef]
- Rebbeck, T.R.; Wang, Y.; Kantoff, P.W.; Krithivas, K.; Neuhausen, S.L.; Godwin, A.K.; Daly, M.B.; Narod, S.A.; Brunet, J.S.; Vesprini, D.; et al. Modification of BRCA1- and BRCA2-associated breast cancer risk by AIB1 genotype and reproductive history. *Cancer Res.* 2001, *61*, 5420–5424.
- 66. Tryggvadottir, L.; Olafsdottir, E.J.; Gudlaugsdottir, S.; Thorlacius, S.; Jonasson, J.G.; Tulinius, H.; Eyfjord, J.E. BRCA2 mutation carriers, reproductive factors and breast cancer risk. *Breast Cancer Res.* **2003**, *5*, R121–R128. [CrossRef]
- 67. MacMahon, B.; Cole, P.; MLin, T.; Lowe, C.R.; Mirra, A.P.; Ravnihar, B.; Salber, E.J.; Valaoras, V.J.; Yuasa, S. Age at first birth and breast cancer risk. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **1970**, *43*, 209.
- 68. Albrektsen, G.; Heuch, I.; Hansen, I.; Kvåle, G. Breast cancer risk by age at birth, time since birth and time intervals between births: Exploring interaction effects. *Br. J. Cancer* 2005, *92*, 167–175. [CrossRef]
- Slepicka, P.F.; Cyrill, S.L.; Dos Santos, C.O. Pregnancy and Breast Cancer: Pathways to Understand Risk and Prevention. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2019, 25, 866–881. [CrossRef]
- Husby, A.; Wohlfahrt, J.; Øyen, N.; Melbye, M. Pregnancy duration and breast cancer risk. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *9*, 4255. [CrossRef]
  Vasudevan, S.; Kamat, M.M.; Walusimbi, S.S.; Pate, J.L.; Ott, T.L. Effects of early pregnancy on uterine lymphocytes and
- endometrial expression of immune-regulatory molecules in dairy heifers. *Biol. Reprod.* **2017**, *97*, 104–118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Moffett-King, A. Natural killer cells and pregnancy. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2002, 2, 656–663. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.