Aalborg Universitet

Modal Parameter Identification from Responses of General Unknown Random Inputs

Ibrahim, S. R.; Asmussen, J. C.; Brincker, Rune

Published in:

Proceedings of the 14th International Modal Analysis Conference, Dearborn, Michigan, USA, Feb. 12.15,1996

Publication date: 1996

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](https://vbn.aau.dk/en/publications/27f0c200-9c2e-11db-8ed6-000ea68e967b)

Citation for published version (APA):

Ibrahim, S. R., Asmussen, J. C., & Brincker, R. (1996). Modal Parameter Identification from Responses of General Unknown Random Inputs. In Proceedings of the 14th International Modal Analysis Conference, Dearborn, Michigan, USA, Feb. 12.15,1996 (pp. 446-452)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
	- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

MODAL PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION FROM RESPONSES OF GENERAL UNKNOWN RANDOM INPUTS

S. R. Ibrahim¹, R. Brincker² and J. C. Asmussen²

'Department of Mechanical Engineering Old Dominion University Norfolk, Virginia, USA

ABSTRACT. Modal parameter identification from ambient responses due to a general unknown random inputs is investigated. Existing identification techniques which are based on the assumptions of white noise and or stationary random inputs are utilized even though the inputs conditions are not satisfied. This is accomplished via adding. in cascade. a force conversion system to the structure's system under consideration. The input to the force conversion system is white noise and the output of which is the actual force(s) applied to the structure. The white noise input(s) and the structure's responses are then used to identify the combined system. Identification results are then sorted as either structural parameters or input force(s) characteristics.

NOMENCLATURE

Roman

- **c damping ccefticienl**
- **f force**
- **H transfer matrix or function**
- index or $\sqrt{-1}$
- **j index**
- **k stiffness**
- **In** mass
- **" white noise**
- **^S Laplacs variable**
- **t time**
- **x response**

Abbreviations

- **ARMA Auto Regressive Moving Average**
- **ARV Vector Auto Regressive (model)**
- **ITD lbrahim Time Domain**
- **RDD Random Decrement**

Subscripts

- **c combined system**
- **f force system**
- **^S structure**

supsrscripts

T transpose

'Department of Building Technology and Structural Engineering Aalborg University, Sohngaardsholmsvej 57, 9000 Aalborg, Denmark

Greek and Symbols

- **^c damping factor**
- **4 mode shape**
- **w circular frequency**
- **< angle**

1. INTRODUCTION

Modal parameter identification from ambient responses has gained considerable attention in recent years^{1,2}. The lit**erature reveals a multitude of cases of vibration testing** of bridges, buildings, off shore structures, aircrafts, space**crafts, ground vehicles, among others, utilizing responses due to wind, waves. traffic, road roughness, propulsion systems etc. The advantages of such techniques are quite evident: The normal operation of the structure under test is not interrupted; no excitation cost; no measurements of inputs; continuous if not unlimited response records: suitable for structural integrity monitoring.**

However, identification from ambient responses possesses two main disadvantages: first the input energy may be low to excite the modes of interest; secondly the input is assumed to be white noise or stationary random.

The general identification theories as applied to modal parameters estimation of vibrating structures can be classified into different main categories depending on the nature of the loading. Usually the loads are assumed to fall into one of the three following categories:

- **Known and measurable force inputs time histories and** locations.
- **no force inputs, (utilizing structure's free response due to initial excitation), and**
- *** white noise inputs.**

However, there exist many structural applications, as pointed out earlier, where it is either impractical or uneconomical to use, or satisfy the conditions of, the above mentioned inputs. At the same time, these types of structures or applications offer the readily available and economical ambient or operational responses.

Such applications have traditionally been analyzed implementing identification techniques such as Frequency Response Functions. ARMA models and Random Decrement Techniques; among others. Such approaches, however, are based on the classical assumption of white noise inputs; a condition that is not usually satisfied.

In this paper, these techniques which require white noise inputs will be extended to apply to cases of general force inputs. This is accomplished by adding a pseudo second order system, in series with the second order system representing the structure, to which pseudo white noise inputs are applied. The responses of the combined system loaded by white noise are in reality the actual structure's response to the general force inputs.

Simulated and experimental results are presented in support of the proposed approach. The techniques implemented here are applied to a full scale structure in another publication 3 .

2. THEORY

As shown in Figure 1, the structure whose transfer function is $H_s(s)$ has an input of f(s) and an output of $x(s)$. The input f(s) is not white noise. A pseudo second order system of a transfer function $H_f(s)$ is added in series to the system and is assumed to have the dynamic characteristics such that if the input to it is white noise. the output is the actual force to the structure *f(s).* Now for the combined system in cascade the input is white noise and the output is the actual structure's response. Even though systems in cascade have been fully analyzed in dynamical systems theory⁴, the objective of the ensuing proof is to address the identification aspect of systems in cascade, **particularly** vibrating systems, to ensure that the modal parameters of the structural system are independently preserved and can be uniquely identified.

The following equations relate the input to output for both the structural system and the force pseudo system

$$
x(s) = H_s(s)f(s) \tag{1}
$$

$$
f(s) = H_f(s)n(s) \tag{2}
$$

Thus the transfer function of the combined system becomes

$$
H_c(s) = H_f(s)H_s(s)
$$
 (3)

Now let

$$
H_s(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{a_i}{s - \lambda_i} \tag{4}
$$

and

$$
H_f(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{b_j}{s - \alpha_j}
$$
 (5)

Then the combined system transfer function becomes

$$
H_c(s) = \sum_i \frac{a_i}{s - \lambda_i} \sum_j \frac{b_j}{s - \alpha_j}
$$
 (6)

$$
=\sum_{i}\sum_{j}\frac{a_{i}b_{j}}{(s-\lambda_{i})(s-\alpha_{j})}
$$
 (7)

utilizing partial functions, equation (7) becomes

j

$$
H_c(s) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left\{ \frac{a_i b_i (\lambda_i - \alpha_j) + a_i b_i (\alpha_j - \lambda_i)}{s - \alpha_j} \right\}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i} \frac{a_i}{s - \lambda_i} (A \lambda_i - B) + \sum_{j} \frac{b_j (C \alpha_j - D)}{s - \alpha_j}
$$
 (8)

where

$$
A = \sum_{j} b_j \tag{9}
$$

$$
B=\sum b_j\alpha_j\qquad \qquad (10)
$$

$$
C = \sum_{i} a_i \tag{11}
$$

$$
D = \sum \alpha_i \lambda_i \tag{12}
$$

Equation (8) verifies the stipulation that the modal parameters of the structural system and the force pseudo system are preserved and separable. The poles, in the denominator. are unaffected by combining the two systems. Thus frequencies and damping factors identification is expected to be correct. As well, the residues in the partial fractions are simply multiplied by a constant for each mode. Thus the mode shapes remain uniquely identifiable.

3. THEORY VERIFICATION AND TESTING

Figure 2. System for Simulation

To test the above theorems, a simulated test of a two degrees of freedom system is performed. The system is shown in Figure 2. Real experimental results are reported in another publicatior?. For the simulated experiment a white noise process is inputted to the force pseudo system which in this case assumed to be a single degree of freedom second order system the output of which is

$$
F(w) = \frac{1}{w_f^2 - w^2 + 2i\zeta_f w_f w}
$$
 (13)

The load to the "structure" under test is simulated using a covarfance equivalent ARMA model:

$$
F(t_i) = AR_1 F(t_{i-1}) + AR_2 F(t_{i-2}) + N(t_i) + MA_1 N(t_{i-1})
$$
\n(14)

(14) where N(t) is the white noise and *AR,, AR1, MAI are* functions of w_f, ζ_f and sampling rate AT. $F(t_i)$ is applied equally to both degrees of freedom; thus $F_1 = F_2 = F$. **Figure 3 shows an example of the spectrum. time history and normal probability plots for the white noise and the output of the pseudo force system which is the input to the structural system. The force pseudo system for these plots** has $w_f = 15.2578$ and $\zeta_f = 0.005$. These numbers are merely for illustration. For structural simulation w_i is taken **as the average of the two natural frequencies of the system.**

The structural system's parameters were chosen as:

$$
k_1 = k_3 = 150
$$
, $k_2 = 20$ $m_1 = 1.0$ $m_2 = 2.0$ (15)

and the damping matrix was selected as nonproportional of

the form

c =
$$
0.02M + 0.001K\left[+ \frac{0.1}{0.1}\right]^{1.1}
$$
 (16)

Thus the system modal parameters were w_1 = 9.0947 *r/s* $w_2 = 13.1256$ **r/s** and $w_f = 11.1101$ **r/s** (average of ω_1 and ω_2). For damping factors $\zeta_1 = 0.0097$, $\zeta_2 = 0.0102$ **and C, = 0.005.**

The theoretical mode shapes we calculated to be:

$$
\phi^1 = [1 \ 4.3597]^T \qquad \phi^1 = [0 \ 2.7613]^T
$$

$$
\phi^2 = [1 \ 0.1148]^T \qquad \phi^2 = [0 \ 176.0168]^T \qquad (17)
$$

Figures 4 shows spectra and time histories for the outputs.

The random decrement technique^{5,6} "RDD" was used to con**vert systems random responses into free decay responses or correlation functions. Figure 5 shows the auto and cross RDD signatures using mass 1 as the triggering measurement and triggering was at every positive point. Figure 6 shows RDD signatures for triggering at local extremum of mass 1 response.**

Modal parameter identification was performed using ARV7.s and ITD' methods. Table 1 shows identification results using signatures of Figure 5. and Table 2 is for those signatures of Figure 6.

From identification results, it can be seen that the system's characteristics as well as the force characteristics were identified.

Parameter	Theory	ARV	% error	ITD	% error
$\boldsymbol{\omega}_1$	9.0947	9.1085	0.1517	9.1075	0.1407
ω_2	12.1266	13.1308	0.0881	13.1099	0.1106
100. ζ_1	0.0097	0.0107	10.0810	0.0097	0.8711
100. ζ_1	0.0102	0.0132	29.4118	0.0107	5.2745
Φ_1^1	1.0000	1.0000	man a	1.0000	
Φ_2^1	4.3597	4.3536	0.1899	4.3609	0.0292
$\langle \Phi_1^1$	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	
$< \Phi_2^1$	2.7613	7.3072	164.60	8.4866	134.9111
Φ_1^2	1.0000	1.0000		1.0000	
Φ_2^2	0.1148	0.1170	1.9164	0.1199	4.4774
$\langle \Phi_1^2$	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	
$< \Phi_1^2$	176.0168	62.2515	7.8204	165.7310	5.8436
ω_f	11.1101	11.0994	0.0963	11.1332	0.2079
ζ_f	0.0050	0.0053	6.0000	0.0064	28,0000
Φ_{1f}		1.0000		1.0000	
Φ_{2f}		1.1787	Contract	1.1347	محمد
$<\Phi_{1f}$		0.0000		0.0000	
$<\Phi_{2f}$		176.3223		175.4883	

Table 1. Modal Parameters estimated from RDD-Signatures (Every positive point trig. condition) by ARV and ITD Methods, and % Error from Theoretical Values

Table 2. Modal Parameters Estimated from RDD-Signatures (Local extremum trig. condition) by ARV and ITD Methods, and % Error from Theoretical Values

4. CONCLUSIONS

Ambient random responses treated with random decrement and time domain identification techniques are effective and economical in modal identification of structures. This approach, among others, is classically based on the assumption of stationary random input. Non-stationary random inputs results in the identification of extraneous modal parameters which belong to the forcing system rather than the structure being tested. However, it is shown that forcing function dynamic characteristics have no effect on the accuracy of structural parameter identification. Techniques need to be developed to assist in sorting out structural dynamic properties from those of the inputs.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is partially supported by The Danish Research Council. First author was a Summer Visiting Professor at Aalborg University, Denmark during parts of this work.

6. REFERENCES

- 1. Ventura, C. E.; Felber. A. J. and Prion, H. G. L., "Seismic Evaluation of a Long Span Bridge by Modal Testing," Proceedings of the 12th International Modal Analysis Conference; 1994; pp. 1309-1315.
- 2. Ventura, C. E.; Schuster, N. D. and Felber, A. J.. 'Ambient Vibration Testing of A 32 Story Reinforced Con-

Crete Building During Construction." Proceedings of the 13th International Modal Analysis Conference; 1995; pp. 1164-1170.

- Asmussen, J. C.; Ibrahim, S. R. and R. Brincker," Random Decrement and Regression Analysis of Bridges Traffic Responses," Proceedings of the 14th International Modal Analysis Conference. 1996.
- 4 Cannon, R. H., Jr.; Dynamics of Physical Systems -McGraw Hill Book Co., 1967.
- 5 Brincker, R. and Krenk, S., "Estimation of Correlation Functins by Random Decrement Technique," Proceadings of the 9th International Modal Analysis Conference, 1991.
- 6 Ibrahim, S. R.; Wentz, K. R. and Lee, J., "Damping Identification Using a Multi-triggering Random Decrement Technique," Journal of Mechanical Systems and Signal Proceeding, Vol. 1 (4); Oct. 1987; pp. 389-397.
- Pandit. S. M., Modal and Spectrum Analysis: Data Dependent Systems in State Space. John Wiley 8 Sons Publishers. 1991.
- Anderson, P., Brincker. R. and Kirkegaard. P. H., "On the Uncertainty of Identification of Civil Engineering Structures Using ARMA Models," Proceedings of the 13th Modal Analysis Conference, 1995. pp. 1115-I 121.
- Ibrahim, S. R.. et al., "Large Modal Survey Testing Using the lbrahim Time Domain Identification Technique," AIAA Journal of Spacecrafts and Rockets; Vol. 19; No. 5. Sept.-Oct. 1982. pp. 459-465.

Figure 3. Spectra, Time Histories and Distribution of White Noise and Input to Structure

Figure 4. Time Histories and Spectra of Responses

Figure 5. Random Decrement Signatures with Triggering on Every Positive Point of Mass 1

Figure 6. Random Drecement Signatures with Trigerring on Local Extremum of Mass 1