



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY  
DENMARK

## Empirical Test Case Specification

*Test Case DSF200\_e : IEA ECBCS Annex43/SHC Task 34 : Validation of Building Energy Simulation Tools*

Kalyanova, Olena; Heiselberg, Per

*Publication date:*  
2006

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Kalyanova, O., & Heiselberg, P. (2006). *Empirical Test Case Specification: Test Case DSF200\_e : IEA ECBCS Annex43/SHC Task 34 : Validation of Building Energy Simulation Tools*. Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University. DCE Technical reports No. 6

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Empirical Test Case Specification

Test Case DSF200\_e  
IEA ECBCS Annex43/SHC Task 34  
Validation of Building Energy Simulation Tools

O. Kalyanova  
P. Heiselberg

Aalborg University  
Department of Civil Engineering  
Indoor Environmental Engineering Research Group

**DCE Technical Report No. 006**

# **Empirical Test Case Specification**

**Test Case DSF200\_e**

by

O. Kalyanova  
P. Heiselberg

December 2006

© Aalborg University

## Scientific Publications at the Department of Civil Engineering

**Technical Reports** are published for timely dissemination of research results and scientific work carried out at the Department of Civil Engineering (DCE) at Aalborg University. This medium allows publication of more detailed explanations and results than typically allowed in scientific journals.

**Technical Memoranda** are produced to enable the preliminary dissemination of scientific work by the personnel of the DCE where such release is deemed to be appropriate. Documents of this kind may be incomplete or temporary versions of papers—or part of continuing work. This should be kept in mind when references are given to publications of this kind.

**Contract Reports** are produced to report scientific work carried out under contract. Publications of this kind contain confidential matter and are reserved for the sponsors and the DCE. Therefore, Contract Reports are generally not available for public circulation.

**Lecture Notes** contain material produced by the lecturers at the DCE for educational purposes. This may be scientific notes, lecture books, example problems or manuals for laboratory work, or computer programs developed at the DCE.

**Theses** are monographs or collections of papers published to report the scientific work carried out at the DCE to obtain a degree as either PhD or Doctor of Technology. The thesis is publicly available after the defence of the degree.

**Latest News** is published to enable rapid communication of information about scientific work carried out at the DCE. This includes the status of research projects, developments in the laboratories, information about collaborative work and recent research results.

Published 2006 by  
Aalborg University  
Department of Civil Engineering  
Sohngaardsholmsvej 57,  
DK-9000 Aalborg, Denmark

Printed in Denmark at Aalborg University

ISSN 1901-726X  
DCE Technical Report No. 006

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.</b>	<b>GENERAL INFORMATION</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1.	INTRODUCTION	7
1.2.	TEST CASE DSF200_E OBJECTIVES	9
<b>2.</b>	<b>WEATHER DATA DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1.	GENERAL	9
2.2.	GROUND TEMPERATURE	12
2.3.	ACCOMPANYING FILES FOR SIMULATIONS	12
<b>3.</b>	<b>RULES FOR THE MODELLING</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1.	MODELLING METHODS	13
3.2.	INPUT PARAMETERS	13
3.3.	GEOMETRY PARAMETERS	13
3.4.	SIMULATION	13
3.5.	TIME CONVENTION	14
3.6.	SCHEDULE/OCCUPANTS	14
3.7.	THERMAL BRIDGES	14
3.8.	MODIFICATIONS OF PRESCRIBED VALUES	14
3.9.	UNITS	14
3.10.	SHADING BY OUTDOOR CONSTRUCTIONS	14
<b>4.</b>	<b>GEOGRAPHY, SITE LOCATION</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>MODEL GEOMETRY</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>WINDOWS GEOMETRY</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE CONSTRUCTIONS</b>	<b>22</b>
7.1.	WALLS' PROPERTIES	22
7.2.	WINDOWS' PROPERTIES	24
7.3.	GLAZING	24
7.4.	SURFACE FINISHES	25
<b>8.</b>	<b>OTHER PARAMETERS AND SPECIFICATIONS</b>	<b>26</b>
8.1.	INFILTRATION	26
8.2.	DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT	26
8.3.	WIND PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS	26
8.4.	WIND SPEED PROFILE	28
8.5.	DRIVING FORCE	29
8.6.	MIXING IN ZONE 2	29
8.7.	AIR TEMPERATURE IN ZONE 2	29
8.8.	SYSTEMS IN ZONE 2	29
8.9.	OPERABLE OPENINGS CONTROL	29
8.10.	DISTRIBUTION OF SOLAR RADIATION IN A ZONE	29
8.11.	LONGWAVE RADIATION WITH EXTERNAL	30
8.12.	LONGWAVE RADIATION WITH INTERNAL	30
8.13.	SURFACE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS	30
8.14.	SHADING	30
8.15.	MOISTURE TRANSPORT	30
<b>9.</b>	<b>OUTPUT RESULTS</b>	<b>31</b>
9.1.	OUTPUT PARAMETERS FOR THE TEST CASE DSF200_E	32
<b>10.</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>34</b>

## Table of figures

FIGURE 1. THE “CUBE”, OUTDOOR TEST FACILITY AT AALBORG UNIVERSITY.	7
FIGURE 2. TEST CASES. DSF100, DSF200, DSF300, DSF400, DSF500.	8
FIGURE 3. WIND DIRECTION AND WIND SPEED IN WEATHER DATA.	10
FIGURE 4. AIR TEMPERATURE AND RELATIVE HUMIDITY IN WEATHER DATA.	10
FIGURE 5. ATMOSPHERIC PRESSURE IN WEATHER DATA.	11
FIGURE 6. GLOBAL AND DIFFUSE SOLAR IRRADIATION ON HORIZONTAL SURFACE.	11
FIGURE 7. GROUND TEMPERATURE UNDER FOUNDATION.	12
FIGURE 8. NORTH FAÇADE - LEFT. PARTITIONING OF THE WALL 3 (VIEW FROM THE OUTSIDE)-RIGHT.	16
FIGURE 9. DETAILED PLAN AND SECTION OF THE MODEL.	17
FIGURE 10. INTERNAL DIMENSIONS .	18
FIGURE 11. SOUTH FACADE. DIMENSIONS OF WINDOWS ARE INCLUSIVE FRAME.	18
FIGURE 12. EXTERNAL WINDOW SECTIONS (LEFT), INTERNAL WINDOW SECTION (CENTER), PHOTO OF THE DSF FROM THE OUTSIDE (RIGHT).	19
FIGURE 13. DIMENSIONS OF WINDOW SECTIONS WITH THE OPERABLE TOP-OPENING (LEFT) AND BOTTOM-OPENING (RIGHT).	19
FIGURE 14. DISTANCES BETWEEN WINDOWS’ SURFACES IN DSF (DISTANCES IN MM).	20
FIGURE 15. DIRECTION OF OPENING WINDOWS. BOTTOM WINDOW (LEFT), TOP WINDOW (RIGHT).	20
FIGURE 16. FREE OPENING AREA	21
FIGURE 17. OVERALL AIR TIGHTNESS CHARACTERISTIC OF THE “CUBE”.	26
FIGURE 18. DISTRIBUTION OF WIND PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS.	27
FIGURE 19. DIMENSIONLESS WIND VELOCITY PROFILE AT THE MEASURING SITE.	28

## Tables

TABLE 1. SUMMARY TABLE OF MODELLING CASES.	8
TABLE 2. GEOGRAPHICAL AND SITE PARAMETERS FOR THE MODEL.	15
TABLE 3. INTERNAL DIMENSIONS.	18
TABLE 4. GLAZING AND FRAME AREAS FOR THE WINDOW SECTIONS.	20
TABLE 5. FREE OPENING AREA.	21
TABLE 6. WALL 1. MATERIAL PROPERTIES.	22
TABLE 7. WALL 2. MATERIAL PROPERTIES.	22
TABLE 8. WALL 3. MATERIAL PROPERTIES.	23
TABLE 9. ROOF. MATERIAL PROPERTIES.	23
TABLE 10. FLOOR. MATERIAL PROPERTIES.	23
TABLE 11. WINDOWS. U-VALUE.	24
TABLE 12. DEFINITION OF SAMPLES FOR THE GLAZING SPECTRAL DATA.	24
TABLE 13. EMISSIVITY OF GLAZING.	25
TABLE 14. GLAZING PROPERTIES FOR NORMAL INCIDENCE ANGLE	25
TABLE 15. SURFACE FINISH PROPERTIES.	25
TABLE 16. WIND PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS [REF. 2].	27
TABLE 17. REQUIRED OUTPUT PARAMETERS FOR THE TEST CASE DSF200_E	32

# 1. General information

## 1.1. Introduction



**Figure 1.** The “Cube”, outdoor test facility at Aalborg University.

This document includes the empirical specification on the IEA task of evaluation building energy simulation computer programs for the Double Skin Facades (DSF) constructions. There are two approaches involved into this procedure, one is the comparative approach and another is the empirical one. In the comparative approach the outcomes of different software tools are compared, while in the empirical approach the modeling results are compared with the results of experimental test cases.


The DSF Test Facility Building at Aalborg University (the “Cube”) is the prototype for the specified model and the place for conducting the empirical test-cases. Initially the DSF Test Facility Building is calibrated for air tightness and wind profile, further on these investigations will be followed with the heat transmission and time constants tests.

The empirical test cases cover three operational strategies of the DSF:

*Case DSF100.* All the openings are closed. There is no exchange of the zone air with the external or internal environment. The zone air temperature results from the conduction, convection and radiation heat exchange. The movement of the air in the DSF appears due to convective flows in the DSF. The test case is focused on assessment of the resulting cavity temperature in DSF and solar radiation transmitted through the DSF into zone.

*Case DSF200.* Openings are open to the outside. DSF function is to remove surplus solar heat gains by means of natural cooling. Temperature conditions and air flow conditions in the DSF are to be examined together with the magnitude of natural driving forces.

*Case DSF400.* The bottom opening is open to the outside and the top opening is open to the inside. Such configuration of openings considers DSF with a supply (preheating) option. The influence of the processes in the DSF on thermal conditions in the room is to be revealed.



**Figure 2. Test Cases. DSF100, DSF200, DSF300, DSF400, DSF500.**

Case DSF100 - all openings are closed

Case DSF200 - openings are open to the outside

Case DSF300 - openings are open to the inside

Case DSF400 - bottom opening is open to the outside and the top opening is open to the inside (preheating mode)

Case DSF500 - top opening is open to the outside and the bottom opening is open to the inside (chimney/exhaust mode)

General test case	Empirical test case	Corresponding comparative test case	Solar shading		Driving force		Boundary conditions		Openings area
			Yes	No	Mechanical force	Combined natural forces	Internal=const External=floating	No control	
<b>DSF100</b>	<b>DSF100_e</b>	<b>DSF100_2</b>		<b>X</b>			<b>X</b>		
<b>DSF200</b>	<b>DSF200_e</b>	<b>DSF200_4</b>		<b>X</b>		<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	
<b>DSF400</b>	<b>DSF400_e</b>	<b>DSF400_3</b>		<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>		<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	

**Table 1. Summary table of modelling cases.**



## 1.2. Test case DSF200\_e objectives

The main objective of this test case is to test the building simulation software on its general ability to model the transmission of solar heat gains through the two layers of fenestration combined with naturally driven airflow through the DSF cavity. This time, the air movement exists only between the cavity and external, and the air temperatures in the cavity are mainly influenced by external environmental temperatures and solar radiation. The internal conditions remain constant; the Cooling/Heating system is introduced to the model to keep internal temperature in the zone 2 constant.

Results of simulations will be compared with the experimental data from the outdoor test facility.

## 2. Weather data description

### 2.1. General

The weather data is prepared for simulations in xls-file format as it is easy to transform into any other format. There are two weather data files are provided to the participants. The first data file is:

*wDSF200\_e10.xls* - provides average data for every 10 minutes. If the testing software tool is not able to perform calculation in this time interval, then 1-hour average data file can be used:

*wDSF200\_e60.xls* - this data provides average data for every 1 hour, which is obtained by averaging 10 minutes-data.


It is assumed that parameters in the climate data are constant, for example:

- from 00:00 until 00:10 – for 10 min average data
- from 00:00 until 01:00 – for 1 hour average data.


Parameters in the weather data files:

- External air temperature, °C
- Global solar irradiation on horizontal surface
- Diffuse solar irradiation on horizontal surface
- The wind direction in the data sheet is given in degrees from the North, so East corresponds to 90 degrees.
- Wind speed, m/s, measured at 10 m above the ground
- Air relative humidity, %
- Atmospheric pressure, Pa


Time plots of available weather data are given as:




**Figure 3. Wind direction and wind speed in weather data.**



**Figure 4. Air temperature and relative humidity in weather data.**



**Figure 5. Atmospheric pressure in weather data.**




**Figure 6. Global and diffuse solar irradiation on horizontal surface.**

## 2.2. Ground temperature

The ground temperature under the foundation was measured during the experiments and provided to the participants as an input parameter in the file:

*Ground temperature\_10.xls* - provides average data for every 10 minutes.

*Ground temperature\_60.xls* - provides average data for every 1 hour.



**Figure 7. Ground temperature under foundation.**

If the information about ground temperature can not be used in the software tool being tested, then the average ground temperature of 16 °C can be used instead. This has to be noted in the modeler report.

## 2.3. Accompanying files for simulations

- Template for the output data
- Weather data files
- Data for ground temperature
- Temperature data for neighboring zones
- Spectral data for opaque and transparent surfaces
- Files with additional documentation (*Drawing of window frames.pdf*)

## 3. Rules for the modelling

### 3.1. Modelling methods

Various building simulation software includes different approaches and applications for modelling of the physical processes involved. Initially, it is desired that all case-models involve the same applications for the same parameters in every model and use the most detailed level of modelling allowed by simulation program being tested.

Cases specified for modelling involve interaction of various processes, thus modelling may require different combinations of software applications and their options. For this reason the requirements for the modelling are untied. Design of the model can be performed after the capability of the simulating software and user's decision, but as close as possible to the prescribed one in a physical and mathematical meaning and as close as possible to the specification and other cases modelled by the same task participant. The user is asked to notify whether and where differences exist. It is necessary to include the detailed documentation of changes into the report on modelling results in order to perform the overall comparison of the results.

### 3.2. Input parameters

In the specification for the test case modelling the input parameters are prescribed. These are to be used whether it is needed in the simulation software or to be used for approximate estimation of another parameter needed. When the specified parameter is found to be inapplicable for the modelling the user may disregard that and continue the modelling. The notification in modeler report is desirable.

### 3.3. Geometry parameters

In order to simplify the geometry input-parameters for all the building simulation software involved into the Annex 43, the interior volume of the zone 1 and 2 are specified. The definition of the zones and the geometrical details will be specified further in the document.

For zone 1 (DSF) the location of windows is specified in the following figures, the interior volume is counted from the glass-pane surfaces, which are not symmetrical to the center-line of the window frame. If it is not possible to specify the location of the glass-panes, please find a technique to keep the volume of zone 1, as close as possible to the prescribed one.

### 3.4. Simulation

When the simulation software allows the initialization process, then begin the simulation with the zone air conditions equal to the outdoor air conditions.

If the simulation software allows the iterative simulation of an initial time period until temperature or fluxes, or both stabilize at initial value, then use this option.

The duration of the simulations for all the cases has to be complete, correspondingly to the provided weather data. The outputs are prescribed in section 9.

### **3.5. Time convention**

The standard local time is used (this is not the solar time!), specified in section 4. The full day duration is 0:00-24:00. The duration of the first hour, for instance, is from 0:00 until 1:00. There is no daylight saving time to be considered.

### **3.6. Schedule/occupants**

There are no occupants in the zones and no weekend or holiday schedules for the systems. All simulated days are considered to be equal.

### **3.7. Thermal bridges**

The calculation of heat transmission through thermal bridges has to be included into the test cases.

Values for the overall heat transmission from the zones will be given based on measurement results from calibration study on the Test Facility by the completion of simulations of the empirical test cases.

### **3.8. Modifications of prescribed values**

Some software may require modifications of values prescribed in this specification to be able to run the simulation. These modifications are undesired, but still might be necessary. The user has to make sure that the new values are obtained on the mathematical and physical basis and that these steps are documented in the modelers report.

### **3.9. Units**

The specification is completed in SI-units, if you require conversion of units use conversions of ASHRAE.

### **3.10. Shading by outdoor constructions**

There are no outdoor constructions that shade the model.

## 4. Geography, site location

The modelling building is located close to the main campus of Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark. The following coordinates define the geographical location of the model:

Time zone	+1 hr MGT
Degrees of longitude	9°59'44.44"E
Degrees of latitude	57° 0'41.30"N
Altitude	19 m

**Table 2. Geographical and site parameters for the model.**

The orientation of the test facility is illustrated in Figure 9. Geometry

## 5. Model geometry

The model-building is subdivided into 2 zones one of the zones represents the indoor environment in the ordinary room behind the DSF, named zone 2. To be able to attain the output results, it is necessary to identify DSF as a separate zone, named zone 1. It has been suggested to prescribe the interior volume of the zones as the first priority, the wall thickness may vary as long as the physical processes are correct (the user may need to perform the recalculation of the wall-thickness together with thermal properties of the wall). Zones and numbering of walls are defined in the Figure 9.

However, the actual Test Facility Building contains two supplementary rooms attached behind the Northern façade of the zone 2 (Figure 8). These two rooms are not to be modeled, but different heat transmission processes through the Wall 3 are necessary to include into calculations. User shall build up the model with the Wall 3, divided into three parts: one part faces external environment, and two others face the supplementary rooms. Air temperature in the supplementary rooms is given in following data files for every 10 minutes and 1 hour time intervals:

*conditions 3\_3\_10.xls* - provides average data for every 10 minutes.

*conditions 3\_2\_10.xls*- provides average data for every 10 minutes.

*conditions 3\_3\_60.xls*- provides average data for every 1 hour.

*conditions 3\_2\_60.xls*- provides average data for every 1 hour.

Wall 3.3 and Wall 3.2 face different zones, the depth of both of these zones is 3 m.





Figure 8. North Façade - left. Partitioning of the Wall 3 (view from the outside)-right.






736\* - The external dimension of the DSF is 720 mm.  
Additional 16 mm for the layer of Plywood are attached to the wall.

**Figure 9. Detailed plan and section of the model.**

The prescribed interior volumes are (these dimensions are also specified on the previous figures):




**Figure 10. Internal dimensions .**

Zone number	<i>a</i> , mm	<i>b</i> , mm	<i>h</i> , mm	Volume*, m <sup>3</sup>
ZONE 1	3555	580	5450	11.24*
ZONE 2	5168	4959	5584	143.11*

\*Volume of Zone 1 and Zone 2 is calculated to the glass surfaces of the windows and NOT to the window frame


**Table 3. Internal dimensions.**



**Figure 11. South facade. Dimensions of windows are inclusive frame.**

## 6. Windows geometry


It can be recognized six sections of windows V1-V6 (Figure 12), the top and bottom sections of windows are operable and modeled as partly open in the test case DSF200\_e.



**Figure 12. External window sections (left), Internal window section (center), photo of the DSF from the outside (right).**

The windows facing external environment are named as external windows and windows facing internal environment - as the internal ones. The dimensions given in Figure 11 are valid both for the internal and external windows. User must pay attention that the window constructions in Figure 12 have different typology, see Table 11.

Dimensions of window sections V1-V6 with the frames are given on the Figure 13; the frame of 54 mm width encloses the glass panes.



\*FRAME WIDTH 54 MM


**Figure 13. Dimensions of window sections with the operable top-opening (left) and bottom-opening (right).**

The following table is combined as a summary for Figure 13:

Window section	Total area of visible glazing of window (large and small section), m <sup>2</sup>	Total frame area of window (large and small section), m <sup>2</sup>	Total area of window (large and small section), m <sup>2</sup>
V1-V6	2.693	0.536	3.229

**Table 4. Glazing and frame areas for the window sections.**

Detailed dimensions of the windows, including distances between the glass panes, thickness of the glass and cavity-gap are depicted.




**Figure 14. Distances between windows' surfaces in DSF (distances in mm).**


As was explained before, the internal and external windows are of different type. The above figure demonstrates that the external window partitions consist of single 8mm-glazing, while the internal windows are double-glazed, filled with 90 %Argon.

In the file *Drawing of window frames.pdf* additional information about the window frames' construction is to be found if necessary. External part of window frame material is Aluminum and the material of the internal one is wood.

In the empirical test case DSF200\_e only the external top and bottom openings are open. The definition of free opening area as well as a drawing of top and bottom windows open is given below.



**Figure 15. Direction of opening windows. Bottom window (left), top window (right).**



**Figure 16. Free opening area.**

Following is the free opening areas for the empirical test case DSF200\_e.

	Top opening	Bottom opening
Free opening area of one operable opening, m <sup>2</sup>	0.11	0.13
Distance 'ab', m	0.09	0.110
Angle $\alpha$ , deg	11.5	14

**Table 5. Free opening area.**

It is expected that this information must be enough to complete the geometrical modelling of the window partitions.

## 7. Physical properties of the constructions

All constructions in the building are very well insulated. Constructions are subdivided into groups, which are:

- Wall 1- the South façade wall, comprise of external and internal windows
- Wall 2- the East and West façade walls, consist of the same materials.
- Wall 3- facing the North is divided into the three zones, as defined in the chapter 5. Model geometry
- Roof
- Floor

This grouping of the constructions is also depicted in the Figure 9. The material properties are prescribed in the following tables.

The data is given in separate tables for each of previously defined construction. The physical properties of the constructions are prescribed; these are required to keep unchanged.

The first layer in the table always denotes layer facing the internal environment of the model.

### 7.1. Walls' properties

*Wall 1:*

<i>Material layer number</i>	<i>Material</i>	<i>Layer thickness, mm</i>	<i>Material density, kg/m<sup>3</sup></i>	<i>Thermal conductivity, W/mK</i>	<i>Specific heat capacity, J/kgK</i>	<i>Thermal resistance, m<sup>2</sup>K/W</i>
1	Plywood	16	544	0.115	1213	0.139
2	Rockwool M39	620	32	0.039	711	15.897
3	Isowand Vario	100	142	0.025	500	4

**Table 6. Wall 1. Material properties.**

*Wall 2:*

<i>Material layer number</i>	<i>Material</i>	<i>Layer thickness, mm</i>	<i>Material density, kg/m<sup>3</sup></i>	<i>Thermal conductivity, W/mK</i>	<i>Specific heat capacity, J/kgK</i>	<i>Thermal resistance, m<sup>2</sup>K/W</i>
1	Plywood	16	544	0.115	1213	0.139
2	Rockwool M39	300	32	0.039	711	7.692
3	Isowand Vario	100	142	0.025	500	4

**Table 7. Wall 2. Material properties.**

*Wall 3:*

<i>Material layer number</i>	<i>Material</i>	<i>Layer thickness, mm</i>	<i>Material density, kg/m<sup>3</sup></i>	<i>Thermal conductivity, W/mK</i>	<i>Specific heat capacity, J/kgK</i>	<i>Thermal resistance, m<sup>2</sup>K/W</i>
1	Plywood	16	544	0.115	1213	0.139
2	Rockwool M39	300	32	0.039	711	7.692
3	Isowand Vario	100	142	0.025	500	4

**Table 8. Wall 3. Material properties.**

*Roof:*

<i>Material layer number</i>	<i>Material</i>	<i>Layer thickness, mm</i>	<i>Material density, kg/m<sup>3</sup></i>	<i>Thermal conductivity, W/mK</i>	<i>Specific heat capacity, J/kgK</i>	<i>Thermal resistance, m<sup>2</sup>K/W</i>
1	Plywood	16	544	0.115	1213	0.139
2	Rockwool M39	300	32	0.039	711	7.692
3	Isowand Vario	100	142	0.025	500	4

**Table 9. Roof. Material properties.**

*Floor:*

According to the DS 418, the ground resistance to the heat transmission is 1.5 m<sup>2</sup>K/W.

<i>Material layer number</i>	<i>Material</i>	<i>Layer thickness, mm</i>	<i>Material density, kg/m<sup>3</sup></i>	<i>Thermal conductivity, W/mK</i>	<i>Specific heat capacity, J/kgK</i>	<i>Thermal resistance, m<sup>2</sup>K/W</i>
1	Reinforced concrete, levelled and smoothed	150	2400	1.800	1000	0.639
2	Expanded Polystyrene	220	17	0.045	750	4.889

**Table 10. Floor. Material properties.**

## 7.2. Windows' properties

Grouping of window partitions and their dimensions were specified in the geometry-part. The physical properties of the windows are prescribed for the same groups.

<i>Window</i>	<i>U-value of window W/m<sup>2</sup>K</i>	<i>U-value of glazing W/m<sup>2</sup>K</i>	<i>U-value of frame W/m<sup>2</sup>K</i>
V1,V2- External window partition	5.36	5.70	3.63
V3-V6 Internal window partition	1.60	1.20	3.63

**Table 11. Windows. U-value.**

## 7.3. Glazing

Windows V1-V6 consist of glazing, which has been tested and spectral properties are available for every sample. Samples are defined in the table:

<i>Window</i>	<i>Sample number</i>	
	<i>Glass layer facing outside</i>	<i>Glass layer facing inside</i>
External window sections V1, V2	Clear glass <b>Sample 1</b>	
Internal window sections V3- V6, filled with Argon, 90 %	Clear glass <b>Sample 2.1</b>	Glass with the coating attached to the front* surface. <b>Sample 2.2</b>

*\*The definition of front and back is given below*

**Table 12. Definition of samples for the glazing spectral data.**

*Front side always turned towards exterior, while back is turned towards the interior (zone 2).*

The data from the spectral analyses for every sample is enclosed in file:

*Glazing spectral data.xls*

It includes following data in the wave length interval 250-2500 [nm]:

- Transmittance
- Reflectance (back and front)



The emissivity of the glass surfaces is following,

<i>Window</i>	<i>Sample</i>	<i>Front</i>	<i>Back</i>
External window sections V1, V2	Sample 1	0.84	
Internal window sections V3- V6, filled with Argon, 90 %	Sample 2.1	0.84	
	Sample 2.2	0.037	0.084

**Table 13. Emissivity of glazing.**

In case if the spectral data is inapplicable for the software tool, then the following data can be used:

<i>Window</i>	<i>Total solar heat transmittance, g-value</i>	<i>Solar reflectance</i>	<i>Direct solar radiation transmittance</i>
External window sections V1, V2	0.80	0.07	0.76
Internal window sections V3-V6	0.63	0.24	0.52

**Table 14. Glazing properties for normal incidence angle.**

#### 7.4. Surface finishes

A carpet of known reflectance property was placed in front of the test facility in order to estimate solar radiation reflected from the ground. Spectral data of the carpet is given in the file:

*Ground carpet spectral data.xls*

If spectral data for ground reflectance can not be used by the software tool being tested then use ground reflectance 10%, this has to be documented in the report.

Ceiling and wall surface finishing in Zone 1 and in Zone 2 were tested as well and the spectral data can be found in the following files:

*Surface finish\_zone1\_zone2\_spectral data.xls*

If spectral data for surface reflectance can not be used by the software tool being tested then use surface reflectance, as following and note it in the report:

67% - for zone 2

65% - for zone 1

Properties of the floor surface in both of the zones and of the external surfaces can be assumed the same as for walls in zone 1.


Longwave emissivity	0.88
Surface roughness, mm	0.03

**Table 15. Surface finish properties.**

## 8. Other parameters and specifications

### 8.1. Infiltration

The overall tightness of the test facility was measured with the blower door test in overpressure mode. The outer skin of the DSF was kept open during the test. Results of the testing are given on the following figure and have to be included into the test case simulation.




\* Air change rate is calculated in correspondence with the dimensions of zone 2  
**Figure 17. Overall air tightness characteristic of the “Cube”.**

### 8.2. Discharge coefficient

Each opening is defined with the discharge coefficient of 0.65

### 8.3. Wind pressure coefficients


Wind pressure coefficients correspond to the wind velocity at the height of the roof of the building (6 m).



Location	Wind angle									
	0°	45°	*65°	90°	135°	180°	225°	270°	*295°	315°
Top openings	0.58	0.22	-0.2	-0.71	-0.5	-0.36	-0.5	-0.71	-0.2	0.22
Bottom openings	0.61	0.33	-0.06	-0.55	-0.5	-0.35	-0.5	-0.55	-0.06	0.33

\* Interpolated data

**Table 16.** Wind pressure coefficients [Ref. 2].



**Figure 18.** Distribution of wind pressure coefficients.

If the testing software tool does not allow manual definition of the wind pressure coefficients and values different from the above were used in the model, than the modeler report has to include detailed information about the values used.

#### 8.4. Wind speed profile

Wind velocity profile is described by logarithmic law according to conducted measurements of horizontal velocity profile.




Figure 19. Dimensionless wind velocity profile at the measuring site.

General expression for the logarithmical wind velocity profile (Allard F., 1998)

$$V(h) = \frac{V_*}{K} \ln\left(\frac{h}{h_o}\right)$$

- $V(h)$  – wind speed at height  $h$
- $h$  – height above the ground
- $V_*$  – friction velocity
- $K$  – von Karman's constant
- $h_o$  – roughness height

Constants for the wind velocity profile at the measuring site are defined for the wind velocity provided in the weather data files.

Von Karman's constant is approximately 0.4.  
Roughness height is 0.31

Friction velocity is the transient parameter and can be calculated from the experimental data as following:

$$V(h_{10}) = \frac{V_*}{0.4} \ln\left(\frac{h_{10}}{0.31}\right)$$

$$V_* = \frac{V(h_{10}) \cdot 0.4}{\ln\left(\frac{h_{10}}{0.31}\right)}$$

- $V(h_{10})$  – wind speed at height 10 m
- 0.4 – von Karman’s constant
- $h_{10}$  – 10 m height above the ground
- 0.31 – roughness height

### **8.5. Driving force**

In the test case DSF200\_e thermal buoyancy is combined with the wind influence and has transient character.

### **8.6. Mixing in zone 2**

Air in the zone 2 has been continuously mixed during the experiments.

### **8.7. Air temperature in zone 2**

The air temperature in zone 2 is regarded to be uniform, with a fixed value of 21.8 °C. In order to keep this temperature constant a control of cooling/heating system has to be included, as explained below.

### **8.8. Systems in zone 2**

The zone 2 is provided with a mechanical heating/cooling system to provide constant zone air temperature. The energy has to be delivered to the zone air only by means of convection. The controlling sensor has to be located in the same zone. The schedule of the systems has to be *always*, during the simulation. System efficiency set to 100%. Do not use Heat Recovery.

### **8.9. Operable openings control**

There is no control of the openings. Area of the open openings is constant for the whole test case.

### **8.10. Distribution of solar radiation in a zone**

Whether the software being tested allows the solar incidence to be distributed geometrically correct to surfaces (detailed analyses of the path of direct solar radiation through a building, thus calculating shadowing by constructions, etc.) then this option has to be used. When this is not possible, use the most accurate, physically correct option,

able to handle solar radiation heat transmission. Approach for calculation of distribution of transmitted solar radiation to the surfaces has to be documented in the report.

#### **8.11. Longwave radiation with external**

If the software being tested allows the calculation of the longwave radiation exchange with the exterior, use this function, else note it in the report.

#### **8.12. Longwave radiation with internal**

If the software being tested allows the calculation of the longwave radiation exchange with the interior, use this function, and note in the report the approach that has been used.

#### **8.13. Surface heat transfer coefficients**

The computations of surface heat transfer coefficients for the empirical test cases are not prescribed. Every software tool should be able to present the best possible results of simulations.

It is required to notify the techniques for computations of surface heat transfer coefficients in the report.

#### **8.14. Shading**

No shading device defined for the empirical test cases.

#### **8.15. Moisture transport**

There is no moisture transport included into the simulations

## 9. Output results

One of the main requirements for the modelling procedure is consistency: user is asked to use the same applications for the same parameters in every model and use the most detailed level of modelling allowed by simulation program being tested. Besides, user is asked to follow carefully the test case specification definitions. However this is not always possible and modelling report has to include documentation of all discrepancies between model and test case specification. Moreover, report has to include documentation of main principles for calculation of essential processes and any other factors which user might find important.

The list of the output results is specified for every test case separately. It is expected that the output results are compared to the experimental results and/or between the task participants. However, the first simulation of the empirical test case is blind (participants will receive empirical results only when submitted their results of modelling).

The template file for the output results is delivered in a separate file for each test case. For example:

*Output results DSF200\_e10.xls* - file for the output results for the comparative test case DSF200\_e with 10 min average data.

*Output results DSF200\_e60.xls* - file for the output results for the comparative test case DSF200\_e with 1 hour average data.

### 9.1. Output parameters for the test case DSF200\_e

<i>N</i>	<i>Output</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Description</i>
1	Direct solar irradiation on the window surface	W/m <sup>2</sup>	Mean hourly value
2	Diffuse solar irradiation on the window surface	W/m <sup>2</sup>	Mean hourly value
3	Total solar irradiation on the window surface	W/m <sup>2</sup>	Mean hourly value
4	Total solar radiation received on the external window glass surface	kW	Mean hourly value
5	Solar radiation transmitted from the outside into zone 1	kW	Mean hourly value
6	Solar radiation transmitted from zone 1 into zone2 (first order of solar transmission)	kW	Mean hourly value
7	Energy used for cooling/heating in the zone 2	kW	Mean hourly value (with the '+' sign for heating and '-' sign for cooling)
8	Hour averaged surface temperature of external window surface facing external	°C	Mean hourly value
9	Hour averaged surface temperature of external window surface facing zone1	°C	Mean hourly value
10	Hour averaged surface temperature of internal window surface facing zone1,	°C	Mean hourly value
11	Hour averaged surface temperature of internal window surface facing zone2	°C	Mean hourly value
12	Hour averaged floor surface temperature in the zone 1	°C	Mean hourly value
13	Hour averaged ceiling surface temperature in the zone 1	°C	Mean hourly value
14	Hour averaged floor surface temperature in the zone 2	°C	Mean hourly value
15	Hour averaged ceiling surface temperature in the zone 2	°C	Mean hourly value
16	Hour averaged air temperature in the zone 1	°C	Mean hourly value
17	Air flow rate in the zone 1	m <sup>3</sup> /h	Mean hourly value

**Table 17. Required output parameters for the test case DSF200\_e**



Depending on the software tool used for modelling and its accuracy the minimum required outputs defined in the above table. Besides that a modeler is asked to report on additional outputs, if this is possible:

- Solar radiation absorbed in the opaque surfaces in zone 1 and zone 2 (mean hourly values)
- Convective/ radiative heat fluxes at the glass surfaces (mean hourly values)
- Vertical temperature distribution of the DSF-air, when provide this data, then please provide data for vertical temperature distribution of all window glass surfaces
- Direction of the airflow in the zone 1, the template file for this output is: *FlowDirectionDSF200\_e10.xls* or *FlowDirectionDSF200\_e60.xls* which are provided together with the test case specification.

The data has to have the same format as the *Air flow rate in the zone 1*, Table 17, but placed in different columns for the upward and downward flow:

<i>N</i>	<i>Output</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Description</i>
-	Air flow rate in the zone 1 Upward, Downward	m <sup>3</sup> /h	Mean hourly value

- Anyone using CFD, please provide vector plots together with the data sheets

Modelers are asked to report on how the transmitted solar radiation is calculated and distributed to the surfaces.

## 10. References

- Ref. 1. Kalyanova O., Heiselberg P. (2005). Comparative Test Case Specification – Test Cases DSF100\_2 and DSF400\_3: Report for IEA ECBCS Annex 43/SHC Task 34 Validation of Building Energy Simulation Tools / Aalborg : Institutet for Bygningsteknik, Aalborg Universitet.
- Ref. 2. Straw M.P. (2000). Computation and Measurement of Wind Induced Ventilation: PhD thesis/ Nottingham University
- Ref. 3. Allard F. (1998) Natural Ventilation in Buildings. A Design Handbook/James&James (Science Publishers) Ltd/ MPG Books Limited

