



**AALBORG UNIVERSITY**  
DENMARK

**Aalborg Universitet**

CERN Experiment and Violation of Newton's Second Law

Javadi, Hossein; Forouzbakhsh, Farshid; PouriImani, Hamed

*Published in:*  
The General Science Journal

*Publication date:*  
2012

*Document Version*  
Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Javadi, H., Forouzbakhsh, F., & PouriImani, H. (2012). CERN Experiment and Violation of Newton's Second Law. *The General Science Journal*. <http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Quantum%20Theory%20/%20Particle%20Physics/Download/3747>

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

#### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# CERN Experiment and Violation of Newton's Second Law

By:

H. Javadi<sup>a</sup> and F. Forouzbakhsh<sup>b</sup> H. Pour Imani<sup>c</sup>

*a) Invited professor of the Faculty of Science at Azad Islamic University, Tehran  
campuses Tehran, Iran*

*[Javadi\\_hossein@hotmail.com](mailto:Javadi_hossein@hotmail.com)*

*b) Academic Researcher, Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and Technology,  
University of Tehran. Tehran, Iran*

*[fforouz@ut.ac.ir](mailto:fforouz@ut.ac.ir)*

*c) Research Assistant University of Arkansas, Physics Research Department Northwest  
Arkansas : United State*

*[hpourima@uark.edu](mailto:hpourima@uark.edu)*

## Abstract

According to the mainstream physicists the observation and record of the motion of neutrinos at ultra relativistic speeds apparently seem to violate relativity postulate and to violate the speed of light as a universal constant.

The Einstein mass-energy equation  $E = mc^2$ , quantum mechanics, the relativistic mass, relativistic form of Newton's second law, the fundamental principles and equations of modern physics by generalizing this anomaly will be challenged. Some other observations and results make possible the speeds higher

than speed of light which is not rather incredible and it will not be impossible [1]; it only requires a change in today's attitudes towards relativity and quantum mechanics. Thus this paper, from a new approach, turns out to merge the fundamental principles of quantum physics, relativity and classical mechanics through a new definition of quiescent state of particles like photon, and attempts to present the reasons and the possibilities of the existence of the superluminal speeds.

Consequently, the relativistic postulates are presented in a way to only show the relativistic speeds as partial and possible speeds existing in the universe; thus in near future there will appear fundamental changes in physical theories and equations.

**Keywords:** Relativity, Quantum mechanics, Speed of light, Compton effect, Inverse of Compton effect, Pair production, Relativity mass, Violation of Newton's second law, mass of photon, structure of matter and Limit of speed.

## Introduction

Recently the physicists of CERN Lab. [2] recognized that neutrinos move at speeds faster than speed of light [3]. Although the results out of this experiment are not still fully approved, but it seems that one of the physics postulates has been challenged. In modern physics the limit speed of light as an absolute and universal constant has been accepted. Thus, Physicists, with curiosity, concern and await more precise experiments to well present a definitive characteristic of this universal constant. Experimental Proof of particles' motions at superluminal speeds challenges the relativistic mass and the relativistic relations and Newton's second law. In this paper, it has been attempted to scrutinize the interface between relativity and quantum mechanics through a novel approach to the established physical events. Universal constancy of the speed of light undergoes the question whether the limit on the light speed originates from a natural event or not?

Regarding the phenomena of creation and decay of electron-positron pair, why do the related photons move at constant speed, but we could change the speed of matter and antimatter? What is the unique characteristic of matter which is convertible to photons that move with constant speed  $c$  (speed of light)?

Boucherer experiment [5] is the experimental proof of relativistic mass. The increase in electron's mass while passing through the accelerator's tunnel (exerting external force), originates from gaining energy; and energy has mass. The idea that object/particle could not travel at superluminal speeds, originates

from the structure of matter and the mechanism of interaction between field and mass; that with presenting a postulate we could generalize the constancy of speed from energy to mass. By gravitational blue shift, the energy of photon and consequently its frequency will increase. What is the mechanism of increasing in the photon energy that causes increase in its frequency growth?

Compton Effect [6] is another interaction between mass and energy which the novel investigation through a different approach is necessary. Emphasizing on these phenomena and presenting the relation between photon's energy and frequency, this paper is to draw attention on the importance of constancy of speed in relation to the mass structure which will be scrutinized in reviewing Newton's second law.

In this view, the three major theories of classical mechanics, relativity theory and quantum mechanics relying on the law of conservation of mass - energy and momentum conservation, in the form of Newton's second law are combined. And thus the real and true definition of the photon mass will be presented. Such approach to the particles and forces are accenting on the mass as the intrinsic properties of elementary particles that we are indebted to classical mechanics. The energy has the mass is obtained from relativity and the mass variations in order to catch energy is acceptable and reviewable. The results of this study have been proposed in CPH-Theory [7], due to that many uncertainties and unanswered questions in modern physics, cosmology, before the big bang and its reasons will be answered.

## 1 Sub-Quantum Energy

According to the principles of modern physics, Sub-quantum energy (SQE) [8] is preferred and defined in a way that it could be generalized and by using it, explained quantum and relativistic phenomena. Definition: Sub-quantum energy is the least energy that is defined as below:

$$\text{SQE} = h\nu_{\text{least}} \quad \nu_{\text{least}} < \nu \quad \forall E = h\nu \quad \text{that is detectable} \quad (1)$$

Relation (1) shows that SQE in terms of energy is the smallest photon in nature. Every other photon consists of some SQE as for two photons with energies  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  we have:

$$E_2 = h\nu_2 = n_2 \text{SQE}, E_1 = h\nu_1 = n_1 \text{SQE}, E_2 > E_1 \Rightarrow n_2 > n_1 \quad (2)$$

$$n \propto \nu$$

Where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are integers. With increasing in a photon's energy (as in gravitational blue shift), its frequency also increases. Thus there should be a logical explanation between energy increases and frequency increases. Therefore, based on SQE definition and relation (2) could relate the relation between photon's energy and frequency and the interaction between SQE's in photon's structure, i.e. with the increase number of SQE's in photons, the interaction between SQE's in photons will increase and the frequency that originates from the interaction between SQE's will increases.

**Note:** Although  $n \propto \nu$ , this proportion does not necessarily represent an equation, but simply represents the physical fact that frequency has direct relation with the number and interaction of SQE's in photon. Besides the relation between SQE's and  $\nu$ , could conclude that the SQE linear speed in vacuum relative to the inertial frames of reference, is actually the speed of light  $c$ . Since SQE in photon's structure has a linear speed equal to  $c$  and also it has nonlinear motions, the real speed of SQE is when all SQE nonlinear motions turn into linear motions and it only takes linear motion. In other words the limit speed of SQE is  $V_{\text{SQE}}$  which is faster than light speed  $c$ , i.e.  $|V_{\text{SQE}}| > |c|$

## 2 Sub-Quantum Energy Principle

One SQE is a very small energy with nonzero mass  $m_{\text{SQE}}$  which moves at  $|V_{\text{SQE}}| > |c|$  relative to inertial reference frame and in every interaction between SQE's with other particles or fields the speed value of SQE remains constant; as in every physical condition we have:

$$\nabla V_{\text{SQE}} = 0, \text{ in all inertial reference frames and any space}$$

SQE principle shows that in every condition the speed value of SQE remains constant and only the linear speed of SQE converts to nonlinear speed or vice versa.

### 3 Photon mass

Considering the definition of SQE, every photon consist of some SQE, if we ignore the zero rest mass of photon, much better and more real physical phenomena may be investigated. Thus, a photon with energy has a mass  $m = E/c^2$  and a linear momentum  $p = mc$ . In other words, a photon is a part of matter and has nonzero mass before creation that after converting to photon carries the same mass that had in the matter and after absorption by a particle (e.g. an electron), the mass of photon is added to the mass of the particle.

### 4 Gravitational blue shift

Let us assume that a photon with energy  $E_1$  and frequency  $\nu_1$  is falling in a gravitational field. After moving the distance  $h$  it takes the energy  $E_2$  and the frequency  $\nu_2$ . Considering the relation (2) could write as below:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= h\nu_1 = n_1 \text{SQE} \quad , \quad E_2 = h\nu_2 = n_2 \text{SQE} \\ k &= n_2 - n_1 \quad , \quad \Delta E = E_2 - E_1 = k \text{SQE} \\ \Delta E &= h(\nu_2 - \nu_1) = k \text{SQE} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The energy of photon while falling in a gravitational field, increases as  $\Delta E$  and consequently causes to increase in the frequency of photon as  $\Delta\nu = \nu_2 - \nu_1$ . The change in frequency only originates from increasing in  $\Delta E$ . The more  $\Delta E$  increases, the more increase occurs in  $\Delta\nu$ , i.e. the number of SQE's that entered the structure of photon is more. Consider that in special relativity the light speed is constant, and in general relativity besides increasing of photon frequency while falling in a gravitational field, its speed also increases; that we could take it as a proof of  $|V_{\text{SQE}}| > |c|$ .

### 5 Pair Creation and Decay

A photon with energy  $E$  in collision with a nuclei converts to an electron-positron pair. Considering the definition of photon we have:

$$E = n \text{SQE} \quad (4)$$

From SQE principle it is concluded that the SQE exists in photon move at  $V_{SQE}$ , some of which are in the form of linear motion with the value of  $c$  and the rest of them is in the form of nonlinear motions. When photon collides with a nucleus, the forces exerted to SQE's cause the conversion of some other linear motions of SQE's to nonlinear motions, and electron-positron pair is created. In the inverse procedure, with absorbing each other, electron and positron decay and convert to energy. While electron-positron pair decays, the force that electron and positron exert on each other causes the conversion of some of electron and positron nonlinear motion to linear motion, and thus the created photons move at  $c$ . Considering relation (4) could write:

$$E = nSQE = nm_{SQE}c^2 = n(m_{SQE}c)c = nP_{SQE}c$$

$$E = nP_{SQE}c \quad (5)$$

In order to create electron-positron pair could write:

$$E = nP_{SQE}c = 2k_1P_{SQE}c + k_2P_{SQE}c, \quad n = 2k_1 + k_2$$

$$2k_1P_{SQE}c = m_{e^-}c^2 + m_{e^+}c^2$$

The linear momentum of electron and positron after creation is as:

$$P_{e^-} = k_1m_{SQE}v_1$$

$$P_{e^+} = k_1m_{SQE}v_1$$

$$nP_{SQE} = P_{e^-} + P_{e^+} + k_2m_{SQE}v_2 \quad (6)$$

And  $k_2m_{SQE}v_2$  is the pure momentum that transfers from photon to nuclei. The effect of nuclei reaction on the rest of existing SQE's within the photon is the change of their momentum from linear to nonlinear. Even if  $k_2=0$ , while colliding, the stroke from the nuclei to SQE's of photons cause the conversion of

linear momentum of SQE's to nonlinear momentum and the reaction of SQE's on nuclei is that nuclei is recoiled. Thus:

$$E = nP_{SQE}c \rightarrow e^- + e^+$$

Within the time distance of electron-positron pair creation, in reaction between nuclei and photon, some of the SQE's linear velocity converts to nonlinear speeds within the structure of electron and positron, and their linear speed decreases from  $c$  to  $v_1$ . But concerning the SQE principle there would be no change in their speed value. If suppose two photon produced in pair decay, we will have;

$$m_{e^-}c^2 + m_{e^+}c^2 = P_{e^-}c + P_{e^+}c = 2k_1m_{SQE}c^2 = 2h\nu \quad (7)$$

In pair decay, because of the force that electron and positron exert on each other, some of the SQE's nonlinear speeds which constitute the structure of these two particles convert to linear speed and two photons are created and move at  $c$ . Thus, the constancy of the speed of light does not rise from a natural event, but it is axiomatic and that is because matter (condensed energy) also consists of sub-quantum energy that moves at constant and limit speed value of  $V_{SQE}$ . When the binding forces among some of SQE's and matter lose their effect (e.g., chemical reactions, combustion, nuclear explosion etc.) some of SQE is released in form of energy and move at linear speed  $c$ . If the released energy, even when it is a portion of matter and before converting to energy, move at constant speed, logically the constancy the speed of light would be possible. Consider that the light speed is constant in special relativity.

## 6 Boucher Experiment

In Boucher experiment if we consider the initial mass of electron  $m_0$  and the output electron  $m$ , we have:

$$m = m_0 + m_E$$



$m_E$  is the gained mass of energy by electron in acceleration (exerting external force). Considering the relation (4) we have:

$$E = nSQE, \quad m_E = E/c^2 = nSQE/c^2, \quad m_E = nm_{SQE}$$

Thus;

$$m = m_0 + m_E = m_0 + nm_{SQE}$$

In reality is that in Boucherer experiment, an electron in acceleration gains energy and after exiting from the accelerator tunnel, because of collision with another particle or because of passing through a field that gives it negative acceleration, it loses the energy and in terms of mass it returns back to its former state (the inverse form of Compton effect). [10] One could always use this experiment to prove relativity mass, but could not explain the real interaction between force and mass with relativistic mass.

## 7 Compton Effect

Photon loses a portion of its energy in collision with electron and with less frequency moves at  $c$  and electron gains energy. We have for photon:

$$E = nP_{SQE}c$$

And for the collision of photon with electron have:

$$nP_{SQE} + m_e v_1 = k P_{SQE} + m_e v_2$$

$$n > k, \quad v_2 > v_1$$

Within the inverse procedure, i.e. the inverse Compton effect, because of the collision of one low energy photon with a high energy electron, electron loses a portion of its energy and photon continues its motion with more energy (frequency), i.e.:

$$n_1 P_{SQE} + m_e v_1 = k_1 P_{SQE} + m_e v_2$$

$$v_1 > v_2, n_1 < k_1$$

*In the inverse Compton Effect, with increasing in photon energy, the speed of electron decreases. Consider that regarding the relation (5), the  $P_{SQE}$  is a constant value.*

## 8 Violation of Newton's Second Law

Newton's second laws in classical mechanics explain the interaction between force and mass which the mass was given as constant value and it was defined as follows:

$$F = dP/dt = m dv/dt \quad (8)$$

Moreover, the time is absolute value in Newtonian mechanics therefore the speed to be infinite was accepted. By considering relativity and the speed limit of light, in order to propose the speed limit, the relation (8) was modified. Thus the relativistic mass and the interaction between force and mass were presented as follows:

$$m = \frac{m_0}{\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}} \quad (9)$$

$$F = \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{d(mv)}{dt} = v \frac{dm}{dt} + m \frac{dv}{dt} \quad (10)$$

Due to the relations (9) and (10), no force could extend/transmit the object/particle's speed faster than the speed of light. It would be acceptable that the external force action is limited, but the reason is not the mass variations rather as it accented above, the reason of the speed limit should be sought in the structure of matter. According to the definition of the photon and SQE, Newton's second law could be reconsidered.

According to relation (8) i.e.  $F = dp/dt$ , it only needs to examine this  $dp$  in order to see how and how much  $p$  will change under  $F$ ? In the relation:

$$F = - dU/dx,$$

*There  $dU$  is the potential energy variations,  $dx$  is distance variations. It is showing dependence between force and energy.*

Force dependence on energy along with the work definition, work - energy theorem and the fact that all energies could be converted to each other; it allows us to scrutinize the momentum variations by using electromagnetic energy. By assuming an electron at moment  $t_1$ , with the mass  $m$  and the speed  $v_1$  along an axis in the field (gravitational or electrical), under the force  $F$  and at the moment  $t_2$ , so its speed becomes  $v$ . Electron takes energy  $E$  in the interval  $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$ . According to relation (5) we have:

At the moment  $t_1$ :  $P = mv_1$

Within the time  $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$ , the electron gains energy as  $E = nP_{SQE} c$ . At this time the electron momentum changes to the following value:

$$nP_{SQE} = nm_{SQE}c$$

At the moment  $t_2$  one could write:

$$mv_1 + nm_{SQE}c = (m + nm_{SQE})v$$

$$v = \frac{mv_1 + nm_{SQE}c}{m + nm_{SQE}} < c \quad (11)$$

Because of

$$v_1 < c \therefore \frac{mv_1 + nm_{SQE}c}{m + nm_{SQE}} < \frac{mc + nm_{SQE}c}{m + nm_{SQE}} = \frac{(m + nm_{SQE})c}{m + nm_{SQE}} = c$$

As  $v_1 < c$ , so always  $v < c$ .

Here one could correlate increased mass to the gain of energy in Newton's second law, i.e.:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = nm_{\text{SQE}} = \frac{dE}{c^2}$$

And Newton's second law could be rewritten as below:

$$F = \pm v \frac{dE}{c^2} + m \frac{dv}{dt} \quad (12)$$

The  $\pm$  sign in relation (12) has been marked on the increasing and decreasing state of energy (collinear or non-collinear directional variations in force and speed). The relativistic mass uses in high energies just for showing the speed limit in quantum equations while for well-known subatomic particles always  $v < c$ , in this order, only the given energy by particles must be considered and there no need to use the relativistic mass relation. We can better understand and explain the physical phenomena by using Newton's second law as a relation (12). Through such a view of physical and astrophysical phenomena, the explanation of the universe would be more real. According to the Sub-Quantum Energy Principle the speed value of all subatomic particles would be always constant and external force could only convert the SQE's linear motions to nonlinear motions and vice versa. The speed of the created particles is a function of the internal interaction and the mechanism of creation of subatomic particles, and the external forces that are exerted on them. Thus light speed is constant in vacuum but it changes in air or water and as soon as it enters vacuum it travels at former constant speed. Moreover, concerning the speed of other subatomic particles, the reason behind the speed is a function of the internal interaction of the particles and the interaction among the SQE's within the structure of those particles.

## 9 Conclusion

At the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, Newton's second law was corrected considering the limit speed  $c$  and the relativistic mass. At that time there has not been a clear understanding of the subatomic particles and basically there was little research in high energy physics. Moreover, the approach of relativity toward the physical phenomena is hyper structural and explains the observations of the observer while there is little consideration to the intrinsic entity of the phenomena. However, in this paper, through various arguments and investigation of some physical phenomena, it has been attempted to show the necessity of reviewing Newton's second law. Today Physics literature faces numerous problems and questions that without considering the internal structure of the particles, they

would remain unanswered. Moreover, the classical definition of energy that defines energy as the ability to do work, could not explain the interaction among the particle in high energies. The true understanding of physical entity of energy and the structure of photon, enable us to understand the structure of matter. Moreover, Newton's second law is the only relation that shows the interaction between force and matter. This equation has the sufficient efficiency to explain and investigate physical phenomena, when it would be formulated based on the natural reality of matter and the effect of force on the matter. The reality is that the external force, no way and under any physical condition, could not change the speed value and it only could convert the linear motion of the constituting particles of matter and energy to the nonlinear motion and vice versa. Moreover, one could explain the expansion of the universe better and more real through reviewing Newton's second law [11].

### **Acknowledgment:**

The authors would like to express their since thanks to Mr. Masood Sanati for translation of Persian to English ([proff.sanati@gmail.com](mailto:proff.sanati@gmail.com)) and Dr. Arezu Jahanshir for editing current article([aresuj@gmail.com](mailto:aresuj@gmail.com)).

### **References**

- [1] <http://www.rochester.edu/news/show.php?id=2544>
- [2] CERN - the European Organization for Nuclear Research
- [3] <http://public.web.cern.ch/press/pressreleases/Releases2011/PR19.11E.html>
- [4] [http://ir.u-gakugei.ac.jp/bitstream/2309/62160/1/00319007\\_93\\_18\\_180407.pdf](http://ir.u-gakugei.ac.jp/bitstream/2309/62160/1/00319007_93_18_180407.pdf)
- [5] Alfred Heinrich Bucherer (1863 - 1927) who is known for his experiments on relativistic mass
- [6] <http://www.launc.tased.edu.au/online/sciences/physics/compton.html>
- [7] Creative Particles of Higgs or CPH Theory Propounded by Hossein Javadi at 1987
- [8] H. Javadi and Forouzbakhsh : Zero point Energy and Dirac Equation, July 10 , 2007 , SQE or Tiny Energy or Minute electromagnetic Energy,
- [9] <http://www.gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Essays/View/950>
- [10] Charles Dull H. Clark Metcalfe- Johne , Modern physics 1964
- [11] [http://www.worldspace.nm.ru/en/articles/pdf/lor\\_e.pdf](http://www.worldspace.nm.ru/en/articles/pdf/lor_e.pdf)
- [12] [http://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/Volker.Beckmann/school/download/Longair\\_Radiation3.pdf](http://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/Volker.Beckmann/school/download/Longair_Radiation3.pdf)
- [13] Inflation Theory [http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/universe/bb\\_cosmo\\_infl.html](http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/universe/bb_cosmo_infl.html)