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Abstract—A converter system for high power applications, connected to a medium-voltage network using a step-up transformer, is presented in this paper. The converter side winding of the transformer is configured as an open-end and both the ends of the windings are fed from two different converter groups. Each converter group comprises of two parallel Voltage Source Converters (VSCs), whose carrier signals are interleaved to improve the harmonic quality of the resultant switched output voltage of that converter group. However, an additional inductive component is required to suppress the circulating current that flows between the parallel interleaved VSCs. An integrated inductor is proposed which suppresses the circulating current in both the converter groups. In addition, the functionality of the line filter inductor is also integrated. Flux in various parts of the integrated inductor is analyzed and a design procedure is also described. The volume and the losses of the proposed solution are compared with that of the state-of-art solution. The control of the proposed converter system is also discussed. The analysis has been verified by the simulation and experimental results.
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NOMENCLATURE

φ, k Total flux linking k coil of phase x.
φx1, y Flux in a bottom yoke.
φx2, y Flux in a common yoke.
φxk, cmax Maximum value of the circulating flux component.
φxk, c Circulating flux component that links with the kth coil of phase x.
φxk, l Fundamental frequency component of the flux that links with the kth coil of phase x.
ψv Voltage vector, representing the potential of the coil.
ψH Reference voltage space vector angle for HSCG.
ψL Reference voltage space vector angle for LSCG.
ψmaxoltage space vector angle for which the circulating flux component achieves its maximum value.
Rl Reluctance of a limb.
Ry Reluctance of the top, bottom, and common yoke.
Rbd Reluctance of a bridge leg.
Rg1 Equivalent reluctance of an air gap g1.
Rg2 Equivalent reluctance of an air gap g2.
θ Displacement power factor angle.
A′ Window area.
A′1 Cross section area of limb.
A′cu Cross section area of the coil conductor.
Bm1 Permissible value of the flux density in the limb.
Bm2 Permissible value of the flux density in the yoke.
Bm3 Permissible value of the flux density in the bridge leg.
Cf Shunt capacitive branch of the LCL filter.
Lr Rated current.
Ix Resultant line current.
IxH Resultant line current of the high-side converter group.
Ixk Leg current of phase x of the k VSC.
IxL Resultant line current of the low-side converter group.
IxL-c Circulating current between the parallel interleaved VSCs of the high-side converter group.
IxL-c Circulating current between the parallel interleaved VSCs of the low-side converter group.
J Current density.
Ks Stacking Factor.
K′s Window utilization factor.
Lc Circulating current inductance.
Lf Line filter inductance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

For the high power applications, the current can be reduced by using a medium voltage converter. A three-level neutral point diode clamped (3L-NPC) topology is commonly used [1]. However, an extra control efforts are required to balance the dc-link capacitor voltage [2]. Moreover, the semiconductor loss distribution is unequal [3] and this may lead to the de-rating of the Voltage Source Converter (VSC) [4]. On the other hand, the two-level VSC is used extensively in many industrial applications due to its simple power circuitry and proven technology. Therefore, it is highly desirable to realize the medium voltage converter system using the standard two-level VSC.

Many grid-connected applications use transformer between the converter system and the grid for matching the voltage levels. Also in some applications, the grid codes demand galvanic isolation. In such systems, the primary winding can be configured as an open-end winding and can be fed from both the ends using two-level VSCs [5]. The number of levels in the output voltage is same as that of the 3L-NPC and each of the two-level VSC operates with the half of the dc-link voltage than that of the 3L-NPC. However, common-mode (CM) circulating current flows through the closed path if both the VSCs are connected to the common dc-link. But in many applications, isolated dc-links can be readily derived from the source itself and such extra measures for the CM circulating current suppression may not be required. For example, the isolated dc-links can be obtained in

1) PhotoVoltaic (PV) systems by dividing the total number of arrays into two groups to form separate dc-links [5].
2) Wind Energy Conversion System (WECS): isolated dc-links can be obtained using the dual stator-winding generator [6].

Therefore, the analysis presented in this paper is mainly focused on the dual converter fed open-end transformer topology with two separate dc-links.

In a dual converter fed open-end transformer topology, each VSC has to process the rated current. In many high power applications, single two-level VSC may not be able to supply the rated current. To overcome this problem, parallel connection of the two-level VSCs in each of the converter groups of the open-end transformer topology is proposed, as shown in Fig. 1. In this way, both the voltage and the current handling capability of the converter can be increased.

Another issue in the high power converter is the limited switching frequency capability of the semiconductor devices [7]. Therefore, large filter components are generally employed in order to comply with the stringent power quality requirements imposed by the utility [8]. This leads to the increased cost, size and losses. The size of the filter components can be reduced by improving the harmonic quality of the output voltage of each of the converter groups by interleaving the carrier signals of the parallel connected VSCs [9], [10]. However, circulating current is generated between the parallel VSCs due to hardware and control asymmetries and carrier interleaving further aggravates this problem. This unwanted circulating current increases the stress on the semiconductor switches, causes additional losses and it should be suppressed.

The circulating current between the parallel VSCs can be suppressed by providing magnetic coupling between the parallel interleaved legs of the corresponding phases [11], [12]. Therefore, in addition to a line filter inductor, a circulating current filter inductor is also required. The size of these inductors can be significantly reduced by integrating them into a single magnetic component [13]–[16]. A magnetic integration of both the circulating filter inductor and the line filter inductor of both the converter groups is presented in this paper and the design methodology is also described. The paper is organized as follows: the system is described in Section II. The integrated inductor is analyzed in Section III. The design of the integrated inductor is illustrated in Section IV. The control scheme and the comparative evaluation is presented in Section V. Section VI summarizes the simulation and hardware results.
TABLE I
PHASE SHIFT BETWEEN THE CARRIER SIGNALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Converter</th>
<th>VSC1</th>
<th>VSC2</th>
<th>VSC3</th>
<th>VSC4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase shift</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>180°</td>
<td>270°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Diagram](a) Reference voltage space vector and its formation by the geometrical summation. (a) Reference voltage space vector for the VSCs of the high-side converter group, (b) Reference voltage space vector for the VSCs of the low-side converter group. The voltage space vector angle of the low-side converter group $\psi_L = 180^\circ + \psi_H$.

![Diagram](b) Reference voltage space vector and its formation by the geometrical summation. (c) Resultant switched output voltage of the high-side converter group, (b) Resultant switched output voltage, (c) Resultant line to line voltage $V_{dL,b}$.

Fig. 2. Reference voltage space vector and its formation by the geometrical summation. (a) Reference voltage space vector for the VSCs of the high-side converter group, (b) Reference voltage space vector for the VSCs of the low-side converter group. The voltage space vector angle of the low-side converter group $\psi_L = 180^\circ + \psi_H$.

![Diagram](c) Reference voltage space vector and its formation by the geometrical summation. (b) Reference voltage space vector and its formation by the geometrical summation. (c) Reference voltage space vector and its formation by the geometrical summation.

![Diagram](d) Reference voltage space vector and its formation by the geometrical summation.

**II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION**

A dual converter fed open-end winding transformer topology with two separate dc-links is shown in Fig. 1. Four two-level three phase VSCs are used. Out of these four VSCs, VSC1 and VSC3 are connected in parallel and share the same dc-link. The carrier signals of these two parallel two-level VSCs are interleaved. As a result, three-level resultant voltage waveforms can be achieved [10], [13]. Therefore, the parallel connection of the VSC1 and VSC3 can be treated as a single three-level converter and referred to as a High-Side Converter Group (HSCG). Similarly, the parallel connection of the VSC2 and VSC4 forms another converter group, which is referred to as a Low-Side Converter Group (LSCG). The low-voltage side of the transformer winding is configured as an open-end and fed from both the ends using the HSCG and the LSCG.

The carrier signals of the parallel connected VSCs are symmetrical interleaved and the phase shift between the carrier signals is given in Table I. Due to the carrier interleaving, the switched output voltages of the respective phases of both the VSCs are also phase shifted and yield three-level resultant voltage waveform. However, the instantaneous potential difference appears across the close path when the carrier signals are interleaved. This potential difference could drive large circulating current between the parallel VSCs due to the use of the common dc-link. This leads to the increase in the stress and the losses of the semiconductor devices and the passive components. Therefore, it is important to suppress the circulating current to some acceptable value to realize the full potential of the carrier interleaving.

**A. Modulation**

The reference voltage space vectors of both the HSCG and the LSCG have the same magnitude. However, the respective voltage space vector angles ($\psi_H$ and $\psi_L$) have a phase difference of $180^\circ$, as shown in Fig. 2. VSCs in both the converter groups are modulated using the $60^\circ$ clamp discontinuous PWM (DPWM1) scheme [17]. As most of the grid-connected renewable energy systems operate with the power factor close to one, the switching losses can be reduced upto 50% compared to that of the continuous space vector modulation [18] using the DPWM1.

**B. Harmonic Quality Assessment**

For the parallel interleaved VSCs, the resultant switch output voltage of a given phase is the average of the individual switched output voltages of the parallel interleaved legs of that phase and for the HSCG, it is given as

$$V_{xHoH} = \frac{V_{x1oH} + V_{x2oH}}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Similarly, the resultant output voltage of the LSCG is given as

$$V_{xLoL} = \frac{V_{x2oL} + V_{x4oL}}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The simulated resultant voltage waveform of the HSCG over a fundamental period is shown in Fig. 3(a), which exhibits three-level voltage waveforms. The closed form theoretical harmonic solution for resultant voltage for asymmetrical regular sampled DPWM1 is derived in [10] and it is used to obtain the harmonic spectra of the resultant voltage of both the converter groups. As a result of the interleaved carrier signals, the magnitude of odd multiple of the carrier frequency harmonic and their side band is considerably reduced in the $V_{xHoH}$.

The resultant switched output voltage $V_{xs}$ is the difference of the resultant switched output voltages of the HSCG and the LSCG and it is given as

$$V_{xs} = V_{xHoH} - V_{xLoL}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The simulated waveform of the resultant switched output voltage of phase $a$ ($V_{da}$) over a fundamental period is shown in Fig. 3(b). The $V_{da}$ has a multi-level voltage waveform and the major harmonic component appears at the 4th carrier harmonic frequency component. The resultant switched output
Voltage has significant triplen baseband harmonic component. However, due to the three-wire system and isolated dc-links, these voltage components do not generate any triplen harmonic frequency current. The resultant line-to-line voltage, which determines the quality of the output current is also shown in Fig. 3(c).

III. INTEGRATED INDUCTOR

The switched output voltage exhibits five-level voltage waveform as discussed in section II. However, the circulating current flows between the parallel interleaved VSCs of the HSCG (as well as between the VSCs of the LSCG). The integrated inductor suppresses these circulating currents. Moreover, the integrated inductor also combines the functionalities of the converter-side inductor $L_f$ of the $LCL$ filter (which is required to achieve desired harmonic quality of the injected line current). The magnetic structure and the analysis of the integrated inductor is presented in this section.

A. Magnetic Structure of Integrated Inductor

The physical layout of the proposed integrated inductor is shown in Fig. 4. The magnetic core is composed of three identical cells for each of the phases of the three-phase system. Each cell has two limbs and these limbs are magnetically coupled to each other using the top, the common, and the bottom yokes. This arrangement forms two windows in each of the cells. Top window provides the space to receive the coils corresponding to the HSCG (coils $x_1$ and $x_3$). Similarly, the coils of the LSCG are also placed around the limbs and accommodated in the bottom window area of the cell, as shown in Fig. 4. The cells of all the three phases are magnetically coupled to each other using the bridge legs. Necessary air gaps ($g_1$ as shown in Fig. 5) are introduced between the cells and the bridge legs in order to provide energy storage to achieve the desired value of the converter-side inductor $L_f$. Similarly small air gaps ($g_2$ as shown in Fig. 5) are also provided in the cell structure to obtain the desired value of the circulating current inductance.

The starting terminals of both the coils of phase $x$, housed in the top window, are connected to the output terminals of phase $x$ of the VSCs of the HSCG ($x_1$ and $x_3$), whereas the ending terminals are connected together to form the common connection point $x_H$, as shown in Fig. 5. Similarly, the output terminals of the phase $x$ of the VSCs of the LSCG ($x_2$ and $x_4$) are connected to the starting terminals of the coils housed in the bottom window and ending terminals are connected to the common point $x_L$. Both of the coils of the particular converter group are wound in the same direction. However, the direction of the coils of the HSCG cell is opposite to the direction of the coils of the LSCG, as shown in Fig. 5.

B. Flux Linking with the Coils

The simplified reluctance model of the proposed inductor is shown in Fig. 6. The permeability of the magnetic material is assumed to be constant and the effect of the leakage flux is neglected. The analysis is presented for one of the phases. By solving the reluctance model, the flux linking with each of the coils is given as

$$\phi_{x_1} = \frac{L_1}{N} (I_{x_1} + I_{x_3}) + \frac{L_2}{2N} (I_{x_1} - I_{x_3}) - \frac{L_3}{2N} (I_{x_2} - I_{x_4}) \quad (4)$$

$$\phi_{x_2} = -\frac{L_1}{N} (I_{x_2} + I_{x_4}) - \frac{L_2}{2N} (I_{x_2} - I_{x_4}) + \frac{L_3}{2N} (I_{x_1} - I_{x_3}) \quad (5)$$

$$\phi_{x_3} = \frac{L_1}{N} (I_{x_1} + I_{x_3}) - \frac{L_2}{2N} (I_{x_1} - I_{x_3}) + \frac{L_3}{2N} (I_{x_2} - I_{x_4}) \quad (6)$$

$$\phi_{x_4} = -\frac{L_1}{N} (I_{x_2} + I_{x_4}) + \frac{L_2}{2N} (I_{x_2} - I_{x_4}) - \frac{L_3}{2N} (I_{x_1} - I_{x_3}) \quad (7)$$
where
\[ L_1 = \frac{N^2}{2R_L + 2R_{ld} + 2R_g} \] (8)
\[ L_2 = \frac{4N^2(R_L + R_y)}{(2R_L + R_y)(2R_L + 3R_y)} \] (9)
\[ L_3 = \frac{2N^2R_y}{(2R_L + R_y)(2R_L + 3R_y)} \] (10)

\( R_L \) is the sum of the reluctance of the limb and the reluctance of the air gap \( g \) and it is given as \( R_L = R_l + R_g \).

The flux in the top and the bottom yokes of the cell are given as
\[ \phi_{xy} = \frac{L_2}{2N}(I_{x_1} - I_{x_3}) - \frac{L_3}{2N}(I_{x_2} - I_{x_4}) \] (11)
\[ \phi_{xy} = \frac{L_2}{2N}(I_{x_2} - I_{x_4}) - \frac{L_3}{2N}(I_{x_1} - I_{x_3}) \] (12)
and flux in the common yoke is:
\[ \phi_{xy} = \frac{N}{2R_l + 3R_y}(-I_{x_1} - I_{x_2} + I_{x_3} + I_{x_4}) \] (13)

From the fluxes, the voltage across each of the coils can be obtained as
\[ \bar{V} = \bar{V}_p - \bar{V}_o = N \frac{d}{dt} \bar{\phi} \] (14)
where \( \bar{V}, \bar{V}_p \) and \( \bar{V}_o \) are the voltage vectors and they are represented as
\[ \bar{V} = [V_{x_1x_2} \ V_{x_2x_3} \ V_{x_3x_4} \ V_{x_4x_1}]^T \] (15)
\[ \bar{V}_p = [V_{x_1o_2} \ V_{x_2o_4} \ V_{x_3o_2} \ V_{x_4o_4}]^T \] (16)
\[ \bar{V}_o = [V_{x_2o_4} \ V_{x_4o_4} \ V_{x_3o_2} \ V_{x_1o_2}]^T \] (17)
\[ \bar{\phi} = [\phi_{x_1x_2} \ \phi_{x_2x_3} \ \phi_{x_3x_4} \ \phi_{x_4x_1}]^T \] (18)

C. Line Filter Inductor and Circulating Current Inductor

The leg currents \( I_{x_k} \) has two components:
1) Resultant line current component (comprises of fundamental frequency component and small ripple current component).
2) Circulating current component.

Assuming equal current sharing between the parallel connected VSCs, the leg current vector can be decomposed as
\[ \bar{I} = \bar{I}_r + \bar{I}_c \] (19)
where
\[ \bar{I} = [I_{x_1} \ I_{x_2} \ I_{x_3} \ I_{x_4}]^T \] (20)
\[ \bar{I}_r = [I_{x_1r} \ I_{x_2r} \ I_{x_3r} \ I_{x_4r}]^T \] (21)
\[ \bar{I}_c = [I_{x_{1r}} \ I_{x_{3r}} \ -I_{x_{1r}} \ -I_{x_{3r}}]^T \] (22)
where the resultant current components are
\[ I_{x_{1r}} = I_{x_1} + I_{x_3}, \ I_{x_{3r}} = I_{x_1} + I_{x_3} + I_{x_2} + I_{x_4} \] (23)

and circulating current components are given as
\[ I_{x_{1r}} = I_{x_1} - I_{x_4} \] and \( I_{x_{3r}} = I_{x_4} - I_{x_1} \) (24)

Using (14), the voltages across the coil \( x_1 \) and \( x_3 \) are obtained and substituting the leg currents in these equation yield
\[ V_{x_1oH} - V_{x_1oL} = L_1 \frac{dI_{x_1r}}{dt} + L_2 \frac{dI_{x_1c}}{dt} - L_3 \frac{dI_{x_1c}}{dt} \] (25)
\[ V_{x_1oH} - V_{x_1oL} = L_1 \frac{dI_{x_1r}}{dt} - L_2 \frac{dI_{x_1c}}{dt} + L_3 \frac{dI_{x_1c}}{dt} \] (26)

Averaging the voltages across the coils of the HSCG (25) and (26) yields
\[ V_{x_{1oH}} - V_{x_{1oL}} = L_1 \frac{dI_{x_{1r}}}{dt} \] (27)
where \( V_{x_{1oH}} \) is the average value of the switched output voltages of the HSCG, as given in (1). Similarly, the voltages across the coils \( x_2 \) and \( x_4 \) are given as
\[ V_{x_{2oH}} - V_{x_{2oL}} = -L_1 \frac{dI_{x_{2r}}}{dt} - L_2 \frac{dI_{x_{2c}}}{dt} + L_3 \frac{dI_{x_{2c}}}{dt} \] (28)
\[ V_{x_{4oH}} - V_{x_{4oL}} = -L_1 \frac{dI_{x_{4r}}}{dt} + L_2 \frac{dI_{x_{4c}}}{dt} + L_3 \frac{dI_{x_{4c}}}{dt} \] (29)

and averaging the voltage across the coils of the LSCG gives
\[ V_{x_{2oL}} - V_{x_{4oL}} = L_1 \frac{dI_{x_{2r}}}{dt} \] (30)
where \( V_{x_{2oL}} \) is the average value of the switched output voltage of the LSCG, as given by (2).

The electrical equivalent circuit of the integrated inductor is derived and it is shown in Fig. 7. For the open-end transformer topology, the resultant line current \( I_x = I_{x_{1r}} = -I_{x_{3r}} \). Substituting this in (27) and (30) give
\[ V_{x_1} - V_{x_{C, f}} = 2L_1 \frac{dI_x}{dt} \] (31)
where \( V_{x_1} \) is the resultant switched voltage and and \( V_{x_{C, f}} \) is the voltage across the line filter capacitor \( C_f \) and given as
\[ V_{x_1} = \left( \frac{V_{x_{1oH}} + V_{x_{2oH}}}{2} - \left( \frac{V_{x_{2oL}} + V_{x_{4oL}}}{2} \right) \right) \] (32)
and
\[ V_{x_{C,f}} = V_{x_{1oH}} - V_{x_{2oL}} \] (33)

Since two separate dc-links are employed, the common mode circulating current between the HSCG and the LSCG is zero and \( I_{x_{1r}} \) and \( I_{x_{3r}} \) only have the differential current.
components. Therefore, using (31), the line filter inductance \( L_f \) is given as

\[
L_f = \frac{2N^2}{2R_l + 2R_{dl} + 2R_{y1} + 2R_{y2}} = \mu_0 N^2 \left( \frac{A_{y1}'}{l_{y1}} + \frac{A_{y2}'}{l_{y2}} \right) \tag{34}
\]

where \( A_{y1}' \) and \( A_{y2}' \) are the effective cross-section area of an air gaps \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \), respectively and it can be obtained by evaluating the cross-section area of the air gap after adding length of the air gap to each dimension in the cross-section.

For the parallel interleaved VSCs, the switched output voltages of the parallel interleaved legs are phase shifted and the difference of these voltages drives the circulating current. Using (25) and (26), the difference of the switched output voltages of the parallel VSCs of the HSCG is given as

\[
V_{x_{10H}} - V_{x_{30H}} = 2L_2 \frac{dI_{xH,c}}{dt} - 2L_3 \frac{dI_{xL,c}}{dt} \tag{35}
\]

Similarly, subtracting (29) from (28) yields

\[
V_{x_{20L}} - V_{x_{40L}} = 2L_3 \frac{dI_{xH,c}}{dt} - 2L_2 \frac{dI_{xL,c}}{dt} \tag{36}
\]

Using (35) and (36), the circulating currents are described as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{x_{10H}} - V_{x_{30H}} \\
V_{x_{20L}} - V_{x_{40L}}
\end{bmatrix} = L_c \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{dI_{xH,c}}{dt} \\
\frac{dI_{xL,c}}{dt}
\end{bmatrix} \tag{37}
\]

where \( L_c \) is the circulating current inductance matrix and given as

\[
L_c = \begin{bmatrix}
2L_2 & -2L_3 \\
-2L_3 & 2L_2
\end{bmatrix} \tag{38}
\]

The value of \( L_c \) should be chosen to limit the circulating current to some acceptable limits.

IV. DESIGN METHODOLOGY

The design equations for the integrated inductor are derived in this section. The design methodology is also illustrated by carrying out the design of the integrated inductor for a 12 MW, 3.3 kV Wind Energy Conversion System (WECS).

A. Design Equations

The flux linking with each of the coils can be decomposed into three categories:

1) Fundamental frequency flux component.
2) Ripple component of the flux with dominant component at 4th carrier frequency harmonic.
3) Circulating flux with dominant component at 1st carrier frequency harmonic.

The ripple component of the flux is very small compared to the fundamental frequency component and the circulating flux component. Therefore, the effect of the ripple component is neglected in the design.

1) Fundamental Frequency Flux Component \( \phi_{x_{1,f}} \): Using (4), the fundamental component of the flux linking with the coil is given as

\[
\phi_{x_{1,f}}(t) = \frac{L_f S}{3V^{2N}_{ph}} \cos(\psi - \theta) \tag{39}
\]

\( \phi_{x_{1,f}} \) attains its maximum value for the space vector angle \( \psi = \theta \).

2) Circulating Flux Component \( \phi_{x_{c}} \): From (4) and (6), the circulating flux components that links with the coils of the HSCG are obtained as

\[
\phi_{x_{1,c}}(t) = -\phi_{x_{3,c}}(t) = \frac{L_2}{N} I_{xH,c} - \frac{L_2}{N} I_{xL,c} \tag{40}
\]

By obtaining the values of the \( I_{xH,c} \) and \( I_{xL,c} \) from (37) and substituting in (40) yields

\[
\phi_{x_{1,c}}(t) = -\phi_{x_{3,c}}(t) = \frac{\lambda_H(t)}{2N} \tag{41}
\]

where

\[
\lambda_H(t) = \int (V_{x_{10H}} - V_{x_{30H}}) dt \tag{42}
\]

Similarly, the circulating flux component that links with the coils of the LSCG are obtained as

\[
\phi_{x_{2,c}}(t) = \frac{1}{2N} \int (V_{x_{20L}} - V_{x_{40L}}) dt = \frac{\lambda_L(t)}{2N} \tag{43}
\]

The analysis of the variation of the \( \lambda_H(t) \) with the modulation index \( M \) and the space vector angle \( \psi \) is presented in [19], [20]. The peak value of the \( \lambda_H(t) \) is different in every sampling interval due to the change in the dwell times of the voltage vector. Let the maximum value out of these peak values is \( \lambda_{H_{\text{max}}} \) and it is given as

\[
\lambda_{H_{\text{max}}} = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{M} V_{dcH} T_s, & 0 \leq M < 1/\sqrt{3} \\
\frac{M}{4} V_{dcH} T_s, & 1/\sqrt{3} \leq M < 2/\sqrt{3}
\end{cases} \tag{44}
\]

For the grid connected applications, the modulation index \( M \) varies in a small range close to one. Therefore, the maximum value of the circulating flux component is given as

\[
\phi_{x_{1,c_{\text{max}}}} = \frac{V_{dcH} T_s}{8N} \tag{45}
\]

The \( \phi_{x_{1,c_{\text{max}}}} \) achieves \( \phi_{x_{1,c_{\text{max}}}} \) value at the space vector angle \( \psi_{\text{max}} \), as shown in Fig. 8 and \( \psi_{\text{max}} \) is given as

\[
\psi_{\text{max}} = 120^\circ - \arcsin\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3} M}\right) \tag{46}
\]

3) Maximum Flux Value in the Bridge Legs: The common component of the fluxes that links with coils \( x_1 \) and \( x_3 \) pass through the bridge legs and the maximum value of this flux component is given as

\[
\phi_{u_{\text{max}}} = \frac{L_f S}{3V^{2N}_{ph}} \tag{47}
\]
The circulating component of the flux is confined in the cell. Therefore, the maximum value of the flux in the top and the bottom yokes $\phi_{y_{max}}$ is

$$\phi_{y_{max}} = \frac{V_{dcH} T_s}{8N}$$ \hspace{1cm} (48)

5) Maximum Flux Value in the Common Yoke: Using (13), the flux in the common yoke is obtained as

$$\phi_{cy}(t) = \frac{-2N}{2R_H + 3R_y} (I_{BH, c} + I_{AL, c})$$ \hspace{1cm} (49)

Substituting the values of $I_{BH, c}$ and $I_{AL, c}$ in (49) yields

$$\phi_{cy}(t) = \frac{1}{2N} [\lambda_H(t) + \lambda_L(t)]$$ \hspace{1cm} (50)

The difference of the switched output voltages of the HSCG and the LSCG are shown in Fig. 8. The voltage level of the $d\lambda_H(t)/dt$ is $V_{dcH} + V_{dcL}$, which is twice as that of $d\lambda_L(t)/dt$. However, the duration for which this voltage appears is half that of the $d\lambda_H(t)/dt$ and $d\lambda_L(t)/dt$. The maximum value of the $\phi_{cy}(t)$ is given as

$$\phi_{cy_{max}} = \frac{(V_{dcH} + V_{dcL}) T_s}{16N}$$ \hspace{1cm} (51)

Assuming $V_{dcH} = V_{dcL}$, the value of the $\phi_{cy_{max}} = \phi_{y_{max}}$. Therefore, despite the common yoke carrying the sum of the circulating fluxes of the HSCG and the LSCG, the required cross-section area of the common yoke is the same as that of the top and the bottom yokes. This also leads to the volume reduction of the integrated inductor.

6) Maximum Flux Value in the Limb: The flux in the limb is given as

$$\phi_{a_l}(t) = \phi_{a_{l, f}}(t) + \phi_{a_{l, c}}(t)$$ \hspace{1cm} (52)

As it is evident from (39), the space vector angle at which $\phi_{a_{l, f}}$ attains the maximum value depends on the displacement power factor angle. Whereas, the space vector angle at which $\phi_{a_{l, c}}$ attains the maximum value depends on the modulation index $M$, as given in (46). Therefore, in order to obtain the worst case value of the flux $\phi_{l_{max}}$, the operating range of the $\theta$ and $M$ should be known. For the WECS application, the converter should be able to supply the current with the displacement power factor within a range of 0.95 lagging to 0.95 leading [8]. Therefore, the displacement power factor angle varies from $-18^\circ$ to $18^\circ$ ($-18^\circ \leq \theta \leq 18^\circ$). The modulation index in grid connected application varies in a small range in vicinity to one and therefore $M$ is assumed to be vary between 0.95 to 1.1 ($0.95 \leq M \leq 1.1$). The flux linkage in the limb for different space voltage vector angles for specific operating conditions are given in Table II. The maximum value of the flux in the limb $\phi_{l_{max}}$ is maximum out of the flux values given in Table II.

### B. Design Example

The integrated inductor is designed for the 13.2 MVA, 3.3 kV WECS. A small scale prototype has been also designed and built. The system parameters of both the systems are specified in Table III. The parameters of the line filter are obtained using the procedure outlined in [10], [21], [22]. The functionalities of the converter-side inductor $L_f$ and the circulating current inductor are integrated in the designed inductor.

The line filter inductor for the high power systems generally requires large air gap and it is often realized by having several discrete air gaps. However, in the case of the proposed integrated inductor, the length of the air gap in the air gap in the air gap cell structure (l$_g$) is limited by the required value of the circulating current inductance as given in (9), (10), and (38). The design example of the high power system is considered to demonstrate how to address this issue and two possible approaches are presented.

1) Integrated Inductor Using Discrete Bridge Blocks: In this approach, several discrete core blocks with an air gap in between them are inserted between the cell and the bridge legs to realize several discrete air gaps. The first iteration of the design parameters are obtained using the steps illustrated below and then the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is carried out to fine tune the design parameters.

The maximum value of the flux density in the limb is obtained as

$$B_{l_{max}} = \frac{1}{NA_{cl}} \left( \frac{0.429 L_f S}{3\sqrt{2} V_{ph}} + \frac{V_{dcH} T_s}{8} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (53)

Each of the windows should be able to carry two coils with half of the rated current flowing through it. Therefore,

$$N = \frac{K_w A_w J}{I_r}$$ \hspace{1cm} (54)

Using (53) and (54), the product of the cross section area of the limb and the window area is obtained as

$$A_{cl} A_w = \frac{I_r}{B_{m, J} K_w} \left( \frac{0.429 L_f S}{3\sqrt{2} V_{ph}} + \frac{V_{dcH} T_s}{8} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (55)
**TABLE IV**

**PARAMETERS OF THE DESIGNED INTEGRATED INDUCTOR. SEE FIG. 4 FOR DEFINITIONS. ALL PARAMETERS ARE GIVEN IN MM.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>A, B, E, F, G</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A (_{cu}) (mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 MW</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 kW</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Image](image1.jpg)

Fig. 9. The flux density distribution in the magnetic core. (a) Phase shifted components of switched output voltage of the carrier frequency harmonics are applied across the coils (space vector angle is 240°). Therefore, phase c is clamped to the dc bus, so the coils of the cells of only phase a and phase b are excited, (b) Coils are excited with the common component of the current (\(I_{c1} = 1000 \, A\), \(I_{c2} = -800\, A\)). (c) Photograph of the implemented inductor for a 11 kVA prototype.

Suitable core to match the area product requirement should be selected. Once the value of \(A_{c1}\) is known, the number of turns in each coil is obtained by using (53) and it is given as

\[
N = \frac{1}{B_{mi}A_{c1}} \left( \frac{0.429 L_f S}{3V_{ph}} + \frac{V_{dcy} T_s}{8} \right)
\]

(56)

where \(A_{c1} = K_s \times A \times E\) for the geometrical parameters shown in Fig. 4. Only the circulating flux component flows through the yokes and the cross-section area of the yokes can be obtained as

\[
A_{cy} = \frac{V_{dcy} T_s}{8N B_{mi} A_{c1}}
\]

(57)

The cross section area of the bridge leg can be obtained from (47) and it is given as

\[
A_{cbl} = \frac{L_f S}{3\sqrt{2} N B_{mi} V_{ph}}
\]

(58)

and the \(A_{cbl} = K_s \times G \times F\). The inductance offer to the circulating current is given as

\[
L_c \approx 2L_2 = \frac{2N_2^2}{\sqrt{R_g}} = \frac{2\mu_0 N^2 A_{g2} g_2}{l_{g2}}
\]

(59)

Using (59), the value of \(l_{g2}\) is obtained. Once \(l_{g2}\) is known, the value of \(l_{g1}\) is obtained using (34).

The design parameters obtained using the outlined procedure are fine tuned using the FEA and they are specified in Table IV. Two air gaps having 3 mm length each is inserted in the cell structure and six discrete air gaps of 2.6 mm length have been inserted between the cell and the bridge legs. The window utilization factor \(K_w\) is taken to be 0.3 and the current density is considered to be \(J = 3 \, A/mm^2\). The flux density distribution is shown in Fig. 9(a) and Fig. 9(b). The inductance values are obtained by using the FEA and the \(L_f\) and \(L_c\) are calculated to be 395 \(\mu\)H and 2.2 mH, respectively.

**TABLE V**

**DESIGN EQUATIONS FOR INTEGRATED INDUCTOR WITH SPLIT WINDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\phi_{ak, f_{max}}) = (\phi_{y_{max}})</td>
<td>(\frac{3\sqrt{2}(N_1 - N_2)V_{ph}}{V_{cy} T_s})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\phi_{a1, y_{max}}) = (\phi_{y_{max}})</td>
<td>(\frac{N_1 + N_2}{N_1 - N_2} \left( V_{cy} T_s + V_{cy} T_s \right))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\phi_{c2, y_{max}})</td>
<td>(\frac{10(N_1 + N_2)^2}{10(N_1 + N_2)^2} A_f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_f)</td>
<td>(\mu_0(N_1 - N_2)^2 \left( \frac{1}{l_{g2}} + \frac{A_f}{l_{g2}} \right))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_c)</td>
<td>(\frac{2}{l_{g2}} \mu_0(N_1 + N_2)^2 A_f)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) **Integrated Inductor Using Split Windings:** In the above mentioned approach, several discrete air gaps are realized by using the bridge blocks as shown in Fig. 9(a) and Fig. 9(b). The requirement of the additional bridge blocks can be avoided by introducing discrete air gaps in the cell structure (which increases the value of the \(l_{g1}\)). However, this leads to the increase in the reluctance of the limb \(R_L\), which in turn results in the low value of the circulating current inductance \(L_c\). For the given \(l_{g2}\), high value of \(L_c\) can be achieved by increasing the number of turns. However, increasing the number of turns also affects the value of the \(L_f\) and demands larger value of the \(l_{g1}\), as it is evident from (34). The values of both the \(L_f\) and \(L_c\) depend on the number of turns and may sometime cause design bottleneck. This can be addressed by using the split coils, as shown in Fig. 10.

The coil arrangement of the HSCG of phase a is shown in Fig. 10 for the illustration. The number of turns in coil \(a_1\) is split into two parts. The first part with \(N_1\) number of the turns is wound around the limb \(a_1\) with the coil direction shown in Fig. 10, whereas other part with \(N_2\) turns wound around limb \(a_3\) in the opposite direction of that of the first part. The same arrangement has been done for the coil \(a_3\) as well. In this arrangement, the common component of the flux produced by \(N_1\) turns of the coil \(a_1\) is in opposite to the common component of the flux produce by the \(N_2\) turns of the coil \(a_3\). On the other hand, the circulating flux components produced by the \(N_1\) turns of the coil \(a_1\) and \(N_2\) turns of the coil \(a_3\) are in the same direction. As result, the desired value of the \(L_f\) and \(L_c\) can be obtained by choosing proper values of the \(N_1\) and \(N_2\). The relevant design equations are given in Table V.

V. CONTROL SCHEME AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

A. Control Scheme

The control scheme is designed to inject the desired active and reactive current, as shown in Fig. 11. The parameter mis-
match between the parallel converter may cause fundamental frequency component to be present in the circulating current, which may cause the saturation of the integrated inductor. Therefore, the control scheme is also designed to maintain equal current sharing between the parallel VSCs.

1) Active and Reactive Current Control: The active and the reactive currents are controlled by controlling the resultant output current of the HSCG $I_{aH}$. As $I_{aH} = -I_{aL}$, the resultant current of the LSCG is also indirectly controlled. The control variables are transformed into a $\alpha\beta$ frame and controlled using the Proportional-Resonant (PR) controller. The PR controller is represented as $G_{PR}(s)$ and it is given as

$$G_{PR}(s) = K_p + k_i \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega_0^2} \tag{60}$$

where $\omega_0$ is the angular speed of the grid voltage and $K_p$ and $k_i$ are the proportional and the integral gain of the PR controller. The control and PWM delay is represented by the first order approximation of the $G_d(s)$, where $G_d(s) = e^{-\frac{sT}{T_d}}$.

From Fig. 7 and using (31), (32), and (33), the filter transfer $G_f(s) = \frac{I_z(s)}{V_z(s)}$ is derived as

$$G_f(s) = \frac{s^3 + 2 \frac{C_c + C_d}{C_f C_c R_d} + s \frac{1}{L_c C_f} + \frac{1}{L_c C_d C_a R_d}}{s^3 + 2 \frac{C_c + C_d}{C_f C_c R_d} + s \frac{1}{L_c C_f} + \frac{1}{L_c C_d C_a R_d}} \tag{61}$$

The parameters of the designed filter are given in Table III. The continuous transfer functions are discretized and the current controller parameters are calculated in the discrete time domain using the root locus theory. The parameters of the PR controller of the active and reactive current controller are $K_p = 3.1 \, \Omega$ and $K_i = 605 \, \Omega/s$.

2) Circulating Current Control: The circulating current between the parallel VSCs in both the HSCG and the LSCG is controlled by modifying the reference voltage generated by the active and reactive current control loop. The circulating current is obtained from the leg currents. As the leg currents are sampled at the top and the bottom of the carrier signals, the switching frequency ripple component is filtered out. The fundamental frequency component of the circulating current is then controlled to be zero using the Proportional-Resonant (PR) controller, represented by $G_{PR}$, in Fig. 11. As the circulating currents also have a zero sequence component, it is also controlled (in addition to the $\alpha\beta$ component of the circulating current) using the PR controller. The model describing the circulating current behavior is given by (37) and

![Fig. 11. Control scheme.](image)

TABLE VI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>0.25 pu</th>
<th>0.5 pu</th>
<th>0.75 pu</th>
<th>1 pu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conduction losses (kW)</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>23.45</td>
<td>35.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching losses (kW)</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>52.67</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (kW)</td>
<td>26.08</td>
<td>52.43</td>
<td>76.12</td>
<td>105.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 12. Simulation results at rated operating conditions.](image)

B. Loss Evaluation

The semiconductor losses and the losses in the integrated inductor are evaluated in this section.

1) Semiconductor Losses: For the system specifications considered in this study, the VSCs can be realized using the 4.5 kV, 1200 A Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor (IGBT). The use of the IGBT FZ1200R45KL3-B5 from the Infineon Technologies is considered. The losses are calculated using PLECS, where the required data are obtained from the device data sheet. The semiconductor losses at various loading conditions are given in Table VI.
2) Inductor Losses: The core and the copper losses of the integrated inductor are calculated for the high power system, with the design parameters specified in Table IV.

The Improved Generalized Steinmetz Equation (IGSE) [23] is used to calculate the core losses. The time domain simulation of the integrated inductor is carried out using the magnetic toolbox in PLECS, which uses permeance-capacitance analogy to model the inductor. The simulated flux density waveforms in various parts of the magnetic structure of the integrated inductor are shown in Fig. 12. The flux in the yoke has a major harmonic component at the carrier harmonic frequency, whereas the flux in the bridge legs has a dominant fundamental frequency component, as shown in Fig. 12(d). The flux in the limb is the addition of the common flux component and the circulating flux component, as shown in Fig. 12(a). The maximum value of the flux in the common yoke is the same as that of the top and the bottom yoke as shown in Fig. 12(b), which is in agreement with the analysis presented in section IV. Core losses due to these components are evaluated separately.

The copper loss is evaluated by considering the ac resistance of the winding, which takes into account the skin and proximity effects as outlined in [24]. The core and the copper losses of the integrated inductor at different loading conditions are calculated and given in Table VII.

C. Comparative Evaluation

The advantages offered by the integrated inductor are demonstrated by comparing its volume and losses with that of the separate inductor case. The values of the inductance, the flux density, the current density, and the window utilization factor are assumed to be the same in both the cases. Typically the Coupled Inductor (CI) is used to suppress the circulating current between the parallel VSCs and it is considered for circulating current suppression between the parallel VSCs in both the converter groups for the separate inductor case. Each of the converter groups are assumed to have separate line filter inductor with the value of \( L_f/2 \) and with the coil current of \( I_x = I_x_h = -I_x_l \). Two limb magnetic structure is considered for the CI, whereas the line filter inductor is assumed to be realized using the three-phase three-limb inductor.

The line filter inductor is assumed to be made from the grain oriented laminated steel with lamination thickness of 0.35 mm, whereas the use of the amorphous alloys 2605SA1 is considered for the CIs. The volume of the various materials is compared and given in Table VIII. The integrated inductor leads to 26.2% reduction in the volume of the magnetic material and 40.9% reduction in the volume of the copper.

The core and the copper losses in the separate inductor case are evaluated and given in Table IX. As evident from Table VII and Table IX, the losses in the case of the integrated inductor are less compared to the separate inductor case over the whole operating range. This not only increases the system efficiency but also reduces the cooling requirement.

VI. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Time-domain simulations are carried out using PLECS. Fig. 13 shows the simulated waveforms of the phase \( a \) at the rated load operation. The circulating current between the VSCs of the HSCG is shown in Fig. 13(c), which is within the defined limit. Only the current waveforms of the HSCG are shown as the waveforms of the LSCG are also identical (\( I_x_h = -I_x_l \)).

Fig. 14 shows the simulated current waveforms for a step response of the current control when the reference current was changed from 0.25 pu to 1 pu. The corresponding flux density waveforms for the phase \( a \) are also shown in Fig. 15. As it is evident for the Fig. 15(b), the dc component is avoided in the circulating flux due to the simultaneous sampling of the parallel VSCs [25]. As a result, the inductor saturation during the transient is avoided. The waveform of the output current of the HSCG has very small ripple component as shown in Fig. 14(b), which proves the effectiveness of the integrated inductor.

The experimental results were obtained for the system shown in Fig. 1. The electrical equivalent circuit of the

---

**TABLE VII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>0.25 pu</th>
<th>0.5 pu</th>
<th>0.75 pu</th>
<th>1 pu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper losses (kW)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>10.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core losses (kW)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (kW)</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>16.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE VIII**

VOLUMETRIC COMPARISON IN LTR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Amorphous</th>
<th>Laminated steel</th>
<th>Copper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Separate inductor</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated inductor</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% change</td>
<td>+ 4.4%</td>
<td>- 53.8%</td>
<td>- 40.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE IX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>0.25 pu</th>
<th>0.5 pu</th>
<th>0.75 pu</th>
<th>1 pu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper losses (kW)</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>17.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core losses (kW)</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (kW)</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>25.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 13.** Simulation current waveforms. (a) Output current of VSC1 \( I_{d1} \), (b) Output current of VSC3 \( I_{d3} \), (c) Circulating current \( I_x_h,c \).
Fig. 14. Simulated waveforms for a step response of the current control when the reference current was changed from 0.25 pu to 1 pu. (a) Leg currents of all phases of VSC1 \((I_{a1}, I_{b1}, I_{c1})\), (b) Resultant current of the high-side converter group \((I_{aH}, I_{bH}, I_{cH})\), (c) Current through the shunt capacitive branch of the LCL filter, (d) Injected grid current \((I_{a,g}, I_{b,g}, I_{c,g})\).

Fig. 15. Simulated flux density waveforms for a step response when the reference current was changed from 0.25 pu to 1 pu. (a) Flux density in the limb of the cell of the integrated inductor, (b) Flux density in yoke of the cell, (c) Flux density in the common yoke, (d) Flux density in the bridge leg.

Fig. 16. Experimental waveforms for a step response when the reference current was changed from 0.25 pu to 1 pu. (a) Ch1: \(I_{a1}\), Ch2: \(I_{b1}\), Ch3: \(I_{c1}\), and Ch4: \(I_{aH,c}\), (b) Ch1: \(I_{aH}\), Ch2: \(I_{bH}\), Ch3: \(I_{cH}\), and Ch4: \(I_{aL,c}\).

integrated inductor along with the LCL filter arrangement is shown in Fig. 7. The VSCs of the HSCG and the LSCG are connected to separate dc-links, fed from two isolated dc supplies. The VSCs were modulated using the DPWM1 and the modulator is implemented using TMS320F28346 floating-point digital signal processor. The implemented inductor was built and its photograph is shown in Fig. 9(c). The dimensions of the implemented inductor are specified in Table IV. The cell structure is realized using amorphous alloys 2605SA1, whereas laminated steel is used for the bridge legs.

The integrated inductor offers inductance \(L_c\) to the circulating current and inductance \(L_f\) to the resultant line current. The LCL filter is used for the line current filtering, where \(L_f\) is used as a converter-side inductance of the LCL filter. The Y/Δ transformer is used with the star-side winding reconfigured as an open-ended winding. The leakage inductance of the transformer is measured to be 3.1 mH. The transformer leakage along with the discrete 1.9 mH inductor forms the grid-side inductor \(L_g\). The damping branch \(R_d/C_d\) is used in parallel with the capacitive branch \(C_f\) to provide damping. The system parameters are specified in Table III.

The individual VSCs are operated with the switching frequency of 750 Hz, and the switched output voltages of the individual VSCs have a major harmonic component at the carrier frequency harmonics. However, these voltage components are phase shifted for two parallel interleaved VSCs and the integrated inductor offers very high inductance \((L_c=39\, \text{mH})\) to these components. As a result, the circulating current between the VSCs of the HSCG \(I_{aH,c}\) is effectively suppressed, as shown in Fig. 16(a). The measured peak value of the circulating current between the VSCs of the HSCG is 1.6 A, which is around 15% of the amplitude of the rated output current of the individual VSCs. The circulating current between the VSCs of
the LSCG $I_{a_H,c}$ is also suppressed to 1.6 A (peak value), as shown in Fig. 16(b). In addition to the desired fundamental frequency component, the resultant current of the HSCG $I_{a_H}$ also has ripple current components with the major harmonic frequency component at the 4th carrier harmonics, as shown in Fig. 16(b). The integrator inductor offers the desired line filter inductance (converter-side inductance of the LCL filter), as it is evident from the waveform quality of the resultant line current of the HSCG.

The experimental waveforms of Fig. 16 were captured when a step change (0.25 pu to 1 pu) is applied in the reference current. Fig. 16(a) shows the leg current of all the phases of the VCS1, along with the circulating current between the VSCs of the HSCG $I_{a_H,c}$. The circulating current between the parallel converters of the LSCG is also shown in Fig. 16(b). The simultaneous sampling of the parallel VSCs avoids the dc flux injection during the transient conditions, as evident from the circulating currents $I_{a_H,c}$ and $I_{a_L,c}$. The circulating current controller also ensures the saturation free operation of the integrated inductor by controlling the fundamental frequency component in the circulating current to be zero.

VII. CONCLUSION

The current handling capability enhancement of the open-end transformer topology for the high power grid-connected applications is proposed. The converter-side winding of the transformer is configured as an open-ended and fed from the two converter groups. Each of the converter groups have two VSCs connected in parallel to achieve high current rating. The carrier signals of the VSCs are interleaved to improve the harmonic performance. The integrated inductor is also proposed, which suppresses the circulating current between the parallel interleaved VSCs and also offers the desired inductance for the line current filtering. The line filter inductors of both the HSCG and the LSCG are also integrated. As the common flux components of the HSCG and the LSCG are the same, they completely cancel each other. As a result, only four bridge legs are required compared to eight in the case of the separate inductions. The use of the integrated inductor leads to 26.2% reduction in the volume of the magnetic material and 40.9% reduction in the volume of the copper for the 12 MW, 3.3 kV WECS. The scheme to control the active and the reactive component of the injected current and the fundamental component of the circulating current in $I_{a_H,c}$ and $I_{a_L,c}$ is also proposed. The analysis is also supported by the simulations and the experimental results.
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