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Abstract—This paper describes a theoretical and simulation study on a control strategy for the parallel operation of three-phase current source inverters (CSI), to be applied to uninterruptible power systems (UPS). A circulating current suppression strategy for parallel CSIs is proposed in this paper based on an auxiliary current control loop used to modify the reference currents by compensating the error currents between parallel inverters. The proposed method is coordinated together with droop and virtual impedance control. In this paper, droop control is used to generate the reference voltage of each inverter, and the virtual impedance is used to fix the output impedance of the inverters. In addition, a secondary control is used in order to recover the voltage deviation caused by the virtual impedance. and the auxiliary current control loop is added to acquire a better average current sharing performance among parallel CSIs, which can effectively suppress the circulating current. Simulation results are presented in order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed control methodology.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The current-source inverter (CSI) offers advantages over voltage source inverter (VSI) in terms of inherent boosting and short-circuit protection capabilities, direct output current controllability, and ac-side simpler filter structure [1], [2]. These features make it attractive in many UPS applications [3], such as high speed elevators, high-power electric drives and distributed generation systems as an interface between the utility grid and distributed power sources [4].

The topology of CSI is shown in Fig.1. It consists six IGBTs and six diodes to avoid current flowing from AC side to DC side. But with the rapid developments of the reverse-blocking IGBTs, the CSI may become a potentially predominant choice due to reduced conduction losses [5].

As the rating of switching devices is often limited or constrained by technical or economic considerations, parallel architecture is often adopted to increase the power rating [6], [7]. In a parallel system, one of the main problems is the circulating current [7].

A traditional current-sharing solution is the frequency and voltage droop method with the feature of wireless control among UPS units [8], [9]. But the droop-method performance is particularly sensitive to the output impedance of the parallel inverters [9]. Virtual impedance is proposed in [10] to modify the output impedance, contributing to good power-sharing accuracy. However, in a practical paralleled inverters system, the parameters of the inverters, line impedance, and so forth, are unknown. Therefore, it is difficult to design proper virtual impedance for inverter which prepares to connect into system [11]. And if poorly designed or implemented, the virtual impedance method may introduce current distortions and therefore adversely affect the system stability and dynamics [12].

There are many reviews on the control strategies in inverter-based applications. The role of the controller in parallel inverters is to have good current sharing while maintaining the system stability. Also the controller must achieve synchronization, and to guarantee that the frequency and the voltage are within the allowed limits, the control strategies can be classified into centralized, master-slave and decentralized and distributed control strategies.

The main disadvantage of the centralized strategy is the single point of failure and the need for sending the reference voltage to all the inverters in the network, which requires high bandwidth communication link. Additionally, the system is sensitive to nonlinear loads.

The master slave control strategy is classified as a quasi-decentralized control which can be a compromise between the centralized and the decentralized control strategy.

In the distributed control strategy, the average unit current can be determined by measuring the total load current and then divide this current by the number of units in the system [13].
There are excellent features of the current/power sharing, the load sharing is forced during transient and the circulating currents are reduced.

One of the most widely used decentralized control is the Droop control. The main idea is to regulate the voltage and the frequency by regulating the reactive and the active power respectively which can be sensed locally. The Droop control method has many desirable features such as expandability, modularity, redundancy, and flexibility. There are as well some drawbacks such as, slow transient response and possibility of circulating current. And the performance of droop-method is sensitive to the output impedance of the inverters.

In order to solve the problems of above mentioned control strategies, this paper presents a control method based on a third current control loop with droop and virtual impedance control. This method can be seen as a combination of droop control and distributed control. In the control strategy, the droop control is used to generate the reference voltage of each inverter, and the virtual impedance is used to regulate the output impedance of the inverter. In the second parallel control, a secondary control to compensate the output current is added to analyse the current difference between parallel inverters, this concept is based on the distributed control strategy. And it is like another secondary control to compensate the output current of each inverter with the error current, finally to reach the purpose of average current-sharing between parallel inverters. More details will be introduced in section III.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section II, the circulating current analysis for paralleled current source inverters is discussed. Then a control method based on a third current control loop with droop and virtual impedance control is presented in Section III. In Section IV, simulation results are implemented which verify the effectiveness of the presented method. The conclusions are given in Section V.

II. THE PROPOSED CONTROL STRATEGY

A. The analysis of circulating current

This paper takes a system of two parallel-connected CSIs for an example to analyze the circulating current. The circulating current will flow from one inverter to another through the common AC bus. Figure 2 shows the probably circulating current path when IGBTs $S_{12}$ and $S_{21}$ are turned on. The circulating current will flow through the blue line, then across the solid red line or dotted red line based on the IGBTs which are in ON state. The parallel three-phase inverters considering the output impedances can be simplified as Fig. 3 because of the similar principle of three-phase and single-phase inverters. In Fig. 3, $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ are the output impedances of the two parallel inverters respectively, $E_1$ is the load impedance, $E_I$ and $E_2$ are the outputs voltage of the two inverters, $I_1$ and $I_2$ are the output currents, $E_o$ is the load voltage and $I_o$ is the load current. According to literature [14], the circulating current $I_{cir}$ can be defined as (1). Assuming that the output impedances of the parallel inverters are equal to each other, $Z_1=Z_2=Z$, then based on Fig. 3, the circulating current can be calculated as (2). In a practical system, $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ will be different because of the different parameters of filters and line impedances or stray parameters. So virtual impedance can be used to modify the output impedance of the parallel inverters.

\[
I_{o1} = (I_1 - I_2) / 2
\]

\[
I_{cir} = (E_I - E_2)Z
\]

B. The proposed control strategy

Figure 4 shows the block diagram of the control architecture for one of the parallel three-phase CSIs, the other one CSI will use the same control principle. In the control architecture, droop control is used to generate the reference voltage, the virtual impedance is used to modify the output impedance of the inverters, and in order to increase the stability of the droop control, at the meantime, a secondary control is added to recover the output voltage. $R_{ref}$ and $L_{ref}$ are the virtual resistor and virtual inductor respectively. The proposed auxiliary current control loop is marked with purple line. The basic concept is to appropriately revise the reference current from voltage control loop by sum of the error currents of d-axis and q-axis currents between the two parallel inverters which are named $I_{off1}$ and $I_{off2}$, $x=1,2$. With this compensation, new reference currents will be generated.
Fig. 4. The control architecture of parallel CSIs.

Take the generation of $I_{\text{doff}}$ and $I_{\text{qoff}}$ for example. $I_{d1}$, $I_{q1}$, $I_{d2}$ and $I_{q2}$ are the d-axis and q-axis currents from the Clark and Park transformation of the output currents $I_{abc1}$, $I_{abc2}$ of CSI1 and CSI2. $I_{abc1}$, $I_{abc2}$, $I_{d1}$ and $I_{q1}$ are the reference currents from the outer voltage control loop. $I_{d1^*}$, $I_{q1^*}$, $I_{d2^*}$ and $I_{q2^*}$ are the new reference currents after compensation with the error current between the real output currents of CSI1 and CSI2. With (3) and (4), the d-axis error current $I_{\text{doff}}$ and q-axis error current $I_{\text{qoff}}$ between CSI1 and CSI2 are obtained. Note that this calculation is in the controller of CSI1, so $I_{d1}$ and $I_{q1}$ are in the position of dividend in (3) and (4). Then the error currents between $I_{d1}$, $I_{d2}$, $I_{q1}$ and $I_{q2}$ will be compared with 0 because if there is no circulating current between parallel inverters, the error currents $I_{\text{doff}}$ and $I_{\text{qoff}}$ ($x=1,2$) will be 0, and the other one purpose is to define the direction of the compensation. The gain “1/2” is from the circulating current calculation formula (1). And $G_{\text{offs}}$ ($x=1,2$) is the controller in the auxiliary current control loop. For example, if $I_{d1}>I_{d2}$, the direction of compensation should be decreasing $I_{d1}$ and increasing $I_{d2}$. Based on (3) and (5), the calculation results will be $I_{\text{doff}}<0$, $I_{\text{qoff}}<0$. Institute (3) to (7), (5) to (9), compared with $I_{d1}$, $I_{d2}$, the new d-axis reference current $I_{d1^*}$ will be decreased, and $I_{d2^*}$ will be increased which indicates the right compensation direction. With proper controller design in the current compensation loop, the circulating current can be effectively suppressed.

$$\frac{[0 - (I_{d1} - I_{d2})]}{2} = I_{\text{doff}}$$  (3)

$$\frac{[0 - (I_{q1} - I_{q2})]}{2} = I_{\text{qoff}}$$  (4)

$$\frac{[0 - (I_{d2} - I_{d1})]}{2} = I_{\text{doff2}}$$  (5)

$$\frac{[0 - (I_{q2} - I_{q1})]}{2} = I_{\text{qoff2}}$$  (6)

$$I_{d1^*} + I_{\text{doff}} = I_{d1^*}$$  (7)

$$I_{q1^*} + I_{\text{qoff}} = I_{q1^*}$$  (8)

$$I_{d2^*} + I_{\text{doff2}} = I_{d2^*}$$  (9)

$$I_{q2^*} + I_{\text{qoff2}} = I_{q2^*}$$  (10)

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy, a simulation model consists of two parallel-connected CSIs was built in Matlab/Simulink, using the proposed control strategy. Both of the linear and nonlinear loads were considered in the simulation. The nonlinear load was a rectifier connected with a resistor ($5\Omega$) and a capacitor (235uF). The maximum phase current of each inverter will be 32.5A with the linear load. The simulation results with linear load are shown in Fig.5~Fig.6, and Fig.7~Fig.8 are the simulation results when nonlinear load is connected. Figure 5 and Fig.7 are the simulation waveforms with the proposed control strategy,
Fig. 5: Simulation results with the compensation control strategy when linear load is connected.

(a) The A phase currents and the circulating current between parallel CSIs. (b) The zoomed in A phase circulating current. (c) The RMS value of the A phase circulating current. (d) The THD analysis of the output voltage.

Fig. 6: Simulation results without the compensation control strategy when linear load is connected.

(a) The A phase currents and the circulating current between parallel CSIs. (b) The zoomed in A phase circulating current. (c) The RMS value of the A phase circulating current. (d) The THD analysis of the output voltage.
Fig. 7. Simulation results with the compensation control strategy when nonlinear load is connected.

(a) The A phase currents and the circulating current among parallel CSIs. (b) The zoomed in A phase circulating current. (c) The RMS value of the A phase circulating current.

Fig. 8. Simulation results without the compensation control strategy when nonlinear load is connected.

(a) The A phase currents and the circulating current among parallel CSIs. (b) The zoomed in A phase circulating current. (c) The RMS value of the A phase circulating current.

Fig. 6 and Fig. 8 are the waveforms with the conventional control method.

Compared with the conventional droop and virtual impedance control method, the circulating current can be effectively suppressed with both linear and nonlinear load using the proposed control strategy. When the parallel CSIs supplied a linear load, the RMS value of the circulating current is about 120 mA, the number will be about 300 mA when sharing a nonlinear load. It is much smaller than the conventional strategy as shown in the simulation waveforms. Therefore, a better average current-sharing performance is obtained.

IV. CONCLUSION

Parallel inverters are widely used in the UPS applications for high power demand, and the average current-sharing scheme is necessary. A control strategy based on an auxiliary current control loop with droop and virtual impedance control is proposed in this paper. It combined the concept of droop control and the distributed control strategy. Simulation has been done when parallel-connected inverters were sharing linear or nonlinear load. The results demonstrate that, the circulating current among the parallel CSIs can be effectively suppressed, and the average current-sharing is realized.
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