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Abstract—In this paper, the formation control problem of unmanned surface vehicles (USVs) is investigated. Unlike the classical formation control problem where the reference signal is required to be second-order differentiable with respect to time, we consider a more general autonomous dynamic system as the reference system. A novel adaptive fuzzy output regulation approach is presented to solve the formation control problem, where a set of regulator equations using only approximation information and a distributed observer are constructed to obtain the feedforward information of the reference system. Based upon this, a distributed adaptive fuzzy control law is designed by using the backstepping technique. It is shown that USVs can effectively achieve the desired formation with the tracking error being adjusted as small as possible. Simulation studies demonstrate that the proposed formation control law is effective and efficient.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Benefiting from their prominent characteristics such as large-scale distribution, multi-task parallelism, economy, etc., unmanned surface vehicles (USVs) have attracted much attention in recent years. Formation control is one of the important research topics of USVs, and it can be potentially applied in ocean environment surveillance, dynamic networking of marine vessels and seabed mapping [1].

Recently, many researchers have devoted much effort to investigate the formation control problem of USVs. For example, in [2], a formation control algorithm based on terminal sliding mode observer was proposed, where the dynamics of USVs are required to be known precisely. In [3], a guidance system was needed to supply desired reference signals, based upon which a universal consensus control law for all formation reference points was proposed to make USVs achieve desired formation. In [4], the USVs were subject to uncertain kinematics and unknown dynamics induced by model uncertainties and ocean disturbances, and a modular adaptive control method was proposed to design the formation control algorithm. In [5], neural network was applied to approximate the unknown dynamics of USVs, and a line-of-sight formation algorithm was proposed. In [6], the formation control problem of fully-actuated USVs was studied, and a consensus-based adaptive control law under bidirectional communication topology is proposed based on potential function.

To the best of our knowledge, the existing formation control approaches are dependent on a given reference signal which must be second-order differentiable with respect to time. However, in many practical systems, e.g., motion target tracking without the trajectory known in advance, the existing approaches cannot be easily extended to cope with the formation control problem of USVs. To be more general, this paper considers the case where the reference trajectory for USVs is generated by an autonomous system. To make the problem more practical, we also assume that the dynamics of USVs are subject to unknown nonlinearity and disturbances. A novel adaptive fuzzy output regulation approach is then presented to solve the formation control problem under the aforementioned circumstances. It is shown that the proposed formation approach can not only be used for tracking an autonomous dynamic system by USVs, but also be applied to solve classical tracking problems with second-order differentiable reference signals as in [3], [5], [7].

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{R}^p$ denotes the space of real $p$-vectors. $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the 2-norm, and $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\cdot)$ and $\lambda_{\text{min}}(\cdot)$ are the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of a matrix, respectively. Define $\text{col}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = [x_1^T, \ldots, x_n^T]^T$, and let $A = \text{diag}(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ denote a diagonal matrix with
II. PRELIMINARIES AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. Review of Graph Theory

It is convenient to describe the communication network of a multi-USV system by a graph \( \mathcal{G}(V, E, A) \), where the vertex set \( V = \{1, \cdots, n\} \) describes the indexes of USVs, the edge set \( E \subseteq \{(i, j) \mid i, j \in V, \ i \neq j\} \) denotes the communication links between USVs, and \( A = [a_{ij}] \) is the adjacency matrix with \( a_{ij} > 0 \) if USV \( i \) can receive information from USV \( j \) and \( a_{ij} = 0 \) otherwise. The set of neighbors of USV \( i \) is defined as \( N_i = \{j \in V \mid (i, j) \in E\} \). In this paper, without loss of generality, the communications between USVs are assumed to be unidirectional, and thus graph \( \mathcal{G} \) is a directed graph. A path is a finite sequence of distinct edges in the form of \((i_1, i_2), (i_2, i_3), \cdots, (i_{k-1}, i_k)\), and vertex \( i_k \) is said to be reachable from vertex \( i_1 \). A graph contains a spanning tree if there exists a vertex (which is called the root) that can reach every vertex of the graph.

The in-degree of vertex \( i \) is denoted as \( \deg_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \), and the matrix \( D = \text{diag} \{ \deg_1, \cdots, \deg_n \} \) is called the degree matrix of graph \( \mathcal{G} \). The Laplacian matrix of \( \mathcal{G} \) is defined to be \( \mathcal{L}_G = D - A \). Let \( \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n \) denote the eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{L}_G \). It is well known that \( \mathcal{L}_G \) has exactly one zero eigenvalue denoted by \( \lambda_1 = 0 \) with \( 1 \times 1 \) being the corresponding eigenvector, if and only if graph \( \mathcal{G} \) contains at least one spanning tree [8]. Furthermore, all the other eigenvalues \( \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n \) of \( \mathcal{L}_G \) have positive real parts.

B. Problem Formulation

Consider a multi-USV system consisting of \( n \) 3-DOF USVs. The dynamics of \( i \)-th USV for \( i = 1, \cdots, n \) is given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\eta}_i &= J(\psi_i) \nu_i \quad (1) \\
M_i \dot{\nu}_i + C_i(\nu_i) \nu_i + D_i(\nu_i) \nu_i &= \tau_i + d_i \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \eta_i = [x_i, y_i, \psi_i]^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the position state vector of coordinates \((x_i, y_i)\) and heading angle \( \psi_i \) of USV \( i \) in the earth-fixed inertial frame, \( \nu_i = [u_i, v_i, r_i]^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the corresponding surge, sway and yaw velocities in the body-fixed frame of USV \( i \), \( \tau_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the control input, \( d_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the unknown time-varying external disturbances due to wind, waves and ocean currents, and matrix \( J(\psi_i) \) is the 3-DOF rotation matrix defined as follows:

\[
J(\psi_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\psi_i) & -\sin(\psi_i) & 0 \\
\sin(\psi_i) & \cos(\psi_i) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Matrices \( M_i, C_i(\nu_i) \) and \( D_i(\nu_i) \) are the inertia matrix, Coriolis and centripetal matrix and damping matrix, respectively, where \( M_i \) and \( C_i(\nu_i) \) can be measurable but \( D_i(\nu_i) \) is hard to be identified. Therefore, the dynamics (1)-(2) of USVs are considered to be unknown in this paper.

The objective of this paper is to make the USVs achieve a desired formation while tracking a reference trajectory. The reference trajectory is governed by the following dynamics:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_d &= S x_d \\
y_d &= q(x_d)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x_d \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is the state and \( y_d \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the output with \( q(x_d) \) being a known sufficiently smooth nonlinear function. \( \eta_i, \ i = 1, \cdots, n, \) are desired to track the trajectory \( y_d \) while keeping a desired relative distance \( \delta_i \) with \( y_d \). Also, we can further define the desired relative position between neighboring USVs as \( \delta_{ij} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\eta_i - \eta_j) = \delta_i - \delta_j, \ i \in V, \ j \in N_i \). Then we can define the formation control problem of the multi-USV system as follows:

Definition 1: Given the multi-USV system (1)-(2) and its underlying communication topology \( \mathcal{G} \), the formation control problem is solved if we can find a distributed control law \( \tau_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \), such that the following two properties are satisfied:

Property 1: All signals in the closed-loop system are globally uniformly ultimately bounded (GUUB);

Property 2: For any initial conditions \( \eta(t_0) = \text{col}(\eta_1(t_0), \cdots, \eta_n(t_0)) \) and \( \nu(t_0) = \text{col}(\nu_1(t_0), \cdots, \nu_n(t_0))^T \), there exist a sufficiently small constant \( \epsilon > 0 \) and a finite time \( T(\eta(t_0), \nu(t_0), \epsilon) \) such that the tracking error \( e_i = \eta_i - y_d - \delta_i \) satisfies \( \| e_i \| < \epsilon, \forall t > T(\eta(t_0), \nu(t_0), \epsilon) \).

Remark 1: The reference system (4) can be an autonomous vehicle and viewed as a leader of the multi-USV system. Due to the limitation of sensing and communication ranges in practical USV systems, it is meaningful to assume that only the USVs closed enough to the reference system can get access to its information, which arises a problem that how to obtain the reference information for those USVs that cannot get access to the reference system directly. Another challenge problem significantly different from existing studies is how to design a distributed control law to achieve a desired formation while tracking the reference system in the form of (4) without precise USV dynamics.

Two standard assumptions are needed.

Assumption 1: The underlying communication topology \( \mathcal{G} \), which consists of the reference system and the \( n \) USVs, contains a spanning tree with the root being the reference system.

Assumption 2: The disturbances \( d_i, i = 1, \cdots, n, \) are bounded by a constant \( d \), i.e., \( \| d_i \| \leq d, \ i = 1, \cdots, n \).

C. Fuzzy Logic System

A fuzzy logic system consists of fuzzifier, fuzzy rule base, fuzzy inference engine and defuzzifier. The fuzzy rule base contains a number of fuzzy IF-THEN rules in the form as

\[
R^i: \text{IF } x_1 \text{ is } F^i_1 \text{ and } \cdots \text{ and } x_r \text{ is } F^i_r, \text{ THEN } y \text{ is } G^i,
\]

where \( x = [x_1, \cdots, x_r]^T \in \mathbb{R}^r \) is the input and \( y \) is the output of the fuzzy logic system. \( F^j_1, j = 1, \cdots, r \) and \( G^i \) are labels of fuzzy sets characterized by appropriate membership
functions \( \mu_{F_i}(x_j) \) and \( \mu_{G_i}(y) \), \( i \in \{1, \cdots, m\} \) describes the rule sequence.

We will use the same fuzzy logic system proposed as in [9], which can be expressed as
\[
y(x) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{y}_i \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{F_j}(x_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{F_j}(x_j)}.
\]
where \( \tilde{y}_i = \max \mu_{G_i}(y) \). Define the fuzzy basis functions as
\[
\varphi_l(x) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{F_j}(x_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{F_j}(x_j)}.
\]

According to the output regulation theory [10], the formation control problem can be conveniently transformed to solving an output regulation problem of the multi-USV system (1)-(2). According to the output regulation theory [10], the formation control problem can be conveniently transformed to solving an output regulation problem. Let \( f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) = C_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) \xi_i + D_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) \xi_i \). Obviously, \( f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) \) is an unknown nonlinear function. Here, we will apply a fuzzy logic system to approximate \( f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) \) as follows:
\[
f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) \hat{\theta}_i = \theta_i^T \varphi_i(\eta_i, \xi_i)
\]
where \( \hat{\theta}_i \) is the estimate of \( \theta_i^* \), which is the optimal parameter vector defined as
\[
\theta_i^* = \arg \lim_{\hat{\theta}_i} \left( \sup_{\eta_i, \xi_i} ||\hat{f}_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) - f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i)|| \right).
\]
The approximation errors of the fuzzy logic system are defined as follows:
\[
\epsilon_i^* = f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) - \hat{f}_i(\eta_i, \xi_i),
\]
\[
\hat{\theta}_i = \theta_i^* - \hat{\theta}_i.
\]

To solve the output regulation problem (10), the following assumptions are further needed.

**Assumption 3**: There exists a positive constant \( \epsilon \) such that \( \|\epsilon_i^*\| \leq \epsilon, i = 1, \cdots, n \).

**Assumption 4**: There exist sufficiently smooth functions \( X_i(\zeta_{di}) \), \( Z_i(\zeta_{di}) \) and \( U_i(\zeta_{di}) \) with \( X_i(0) = 0, Z_i(0) = 0 \) and \( U_i(0) = 0 \) such that for any \( \zeta_{di} \) the following regulator equations hold:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial \zeta_{di}} S_{\zeta_{di}} &= Z_i \\
\frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial \zeta_{di}} S_{\zeta_{di}} &= \hat{\theta}_i^T \varphi(X_i, Z_i) + U_i \\
0 &= X_i - \hat{q}(\zeta_{di})
\end{align*}
\]

**Remark 2**: Assumption 4 is quite standard in output regulation problem of nonlinear systems [10]. The regulator equations (15) define a center manifold \( M = \{(\eta_i, \xi_i, \zeta_{di}) | \eta_i = X_i, \xi_i = Z_i, i = 1, \cdots, n\} \) of the multi-USV system under the control \( \{U_1, \cdots, U_n\} \). In classical output regulation problem, e.g., [11]-[13], system dynamics are usually assumed to be known such that the accurate regulator equations can be constructed and precise feedforward information dependent on exosystem states can be obtained. However, the dynamics of multi-USV systems in this paper have unknown nonlinearity and disturbances, and thus it is impossible to construct accurate regulator equations. In what follows, we will present a novel adaptive fuzzy control scheme to solve the formation control problem using only the inaccurate regulator equations (15). It is worth mentioning that Assumption 4 can be easily satisfied if \( \hat{q}(\zeta_{di}) \) is second-order differentiable along \( \zeta_{di} \).

**B. Distributed Observer Design**

It is noted that state \( x_d \) cannot be used for those USVs that cannot get access to the reference system directly. As a result, the solution of the regulator equations (15) cannot be directly used for distributed control law design. Towards this end, a distributed observer is necessary to be designed to estimate \( x_d \).
The distributed observer can be given as follows:

\[ \dot{x}_{di} = S \ddot{x}_{di} + k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(\ddot{x}_{dj} - \ddot{x}_{di}) + a_{io}(x_d - \ddot{x}_{di}) \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where \( \ddot{x}_{di} \) is the estimate of \( x_d \), and \( a_{io} > 0 \) if USV \( i \) can get access to the reference system and \( a_{io} = 0 \) otherwise.

Then we can obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1:** Assume that the communication topology of the multi-USV system (1)-(2) satisfies Assumption 1. Let \( k > \frac{\mu_{\text{min}}(S)}{\lambda_{\text{min}}(Z_d + \Lambda)} \), where \( \Lambda = \text{diag}(a_{110}, \cdots, a_{n90}) \). Then \( \ddot{x}_{di}, i = 1, \cdots, n \), will achieve consensus to \( x_d \) asymptotically.

The consensus of multi-agent systems like (16) has been widely studied. The similar results can be found in [14], [15], and thus the proof is omitted here.

Designing the distributed observer aims at constructing a set of new regulator equations that only use local information. Let \( \zeta_{di} = [\ddot{x}_{di}, \delta_i^T]^T \), and we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \dot{X}_i(\zeta_{di})}{\partial \zeta_{di}} &= \dot{Z}_i(\zeta_{di}) \\
\frac{\partial \dot{Z}_i(\zeta_{di})}{\partial \zeta_{di}} &= \dot{\theta}^T_i \varphi(\bar{X}_i, \bar{Z}_i) + \bar{U}_i(\zeta_{di}) \\
\frac{\partial \dot{X}_{di}(x_d)}{\partial x_d} s_{xd} &= S X_{di} + k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(X_{dj} - X_{di}) \right) \\
&\quad + a_{io}(x_d - X_{di}) \\
0 &= X_i(\zeta_{di}) - \frac{q}{\sigma_i}(\zeta_{di})
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

One can easily observe that the new regulator equations (17) have solution \( X_i(\zeta_{di}), Z_i(\zeta_{di}), U_i(\zeta_{di}) \) and \( X_{di} = x_d, i = 1, \cdots, n \) if Assumption 4 holds.

**C. Distributed Adaptive Fuzzy Control**

Now we can use \( \dot{X}_i(\zeta_{di}), \dot{Z}_i(\zeta_{di}), \dot{U}_i(\zeta_{di}) \) instead of \( X_i(\zeta_{di}), Z_i(\zeta_{di}) \) and \( U_i(\zeta_{di}) \) to design the distributed control law. Backstepping technique will be applied for the adaptive fuzzy control design. Note that we can directly obtain \( \dot{X}_i = \frac{q}{\sigma_i}(\zeta_{di}) \) from the last equation of (17), and thus the formation control problem will be solved if \( \eta_i \) can converge to \( \dot{q}/(\zeta_{di}) \). Therefore, we can define two auxiliary variables \( s_{1i} = \eta_i - \dot{X}_i \) and \( s_{2i} = \xi_i - \alpha_i \), where \( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is a virtual controller.

**Step 1:** Note that the first equation of (17) implies that \( \dot{X}_i = Z_i \). The derivative of \( s_{1i} \) along time \( t \) yields:

\[ \dot{s}_{1i} = \dot{\xi}_i - \dot{Z}_i \]

We can choose

\[ \alpha_i = -c_{i}s_{1i} + \dot{Z}_i \]

where \( c_i \) is a positive constant to be determined later.

Substituting (19) into (18) yields

\[ \dot{s}_{1i} = -c_{i}s_{1i} + s_{2i} \]

Choose a Lyapunov function candidate as follows:

\[ V_{1i} = \frac{1}{2} s_{1i}^T s_{1i} \]

We can obtain the derivative of \( V_{1i} \) along time \( t \) as

\[ \dot{V}_{1i} = -c_{i} s_{1i}^T s_{1i} + s_{1i}^T s_{2i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The first term in the right of (22) is negative and the second term will be handled in the next step.

**Step 2:** The derivative of \( s_{2i} \) along time \( t \) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{s}_{2i} &= f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) + \bar{a}_i - \dot{a}_i \\
&= f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) + \bar{a}_i + c_{i}s_{2i} - \dot{Z}_i \\
&= f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) + \bar{a}_i + c_{i}s_{2i} - c_{i}^2 s_{1i} - \dot{\theta}^T_i \varphi(X_i, Z_i) - U_i
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Substitute \( f_i(\eta_i, \xi_i) = \theta_i^T \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) + c_i \), into (23) and let \( \bar{a}_i = \bar{U}_i \) and \( \Delta_i = \dot{a}_i + c_i \). Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{s}_{2i} &= \theta_i^T \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) - \dot{\theta}^T_i \varphi(X_i, Z_i) + \bar{a}_i + c_i s_{2i} - c_i^2 s_{1i} + \Delta_i.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( d_i \) and \( c_i \) are bounded, and hence \( \Delta_i \) is also bounded. For simplicity, we assume that there exists a constant \( \Delta_M \) such that \( \| \Delta_i \| \leq \Delta_M \).

Now we are ready to give the distributed adaptive fuzzy control law for solving the formation control problem as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\tau}_i &= -K_i s_{2i} - \dot{\theta}^T_i \left( \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) - \varphi(X_i, Z_i) \right) \quad (25) \\
\dot{\theta}_i &= \gamma_i \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) s_{2i} - \mu_i \dot{\theta}_i \quad (26)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( K_i, \gamma_i, \mu_i \) are positive constants to be determined later.

**Remark 3:** \( \tau_i \) in (25) is an intermediate controller. The real controller \( \tau_i \) is given as follows:

\[ \tau_i = J(\psi_i)^{-1} M_i (U_i + \dot{\tau}_i) \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Choose the Lyapunov function candidate as follows:

\[ V_{2i} = V_{1i} + \frac{1}{2} s_{1i}^T s_{2i} + \frac{1}{2 \gamma_i} \dot{\theta}^T_i \dot{\theta}_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The derivative of (28) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{2i} &= -c_{i} s_{1i}^T s_{1i} + s_{1i}^T + s_{1i}^T s_{2i} \dot{\theta}^T_i \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) + c_{i} s_{1i}^T s_{2i} \\
&\quad - c_{i}^2 s_{1i}^T s_{1i} - s_{2i}^T \Delta_i = -c_{i} s_{1i}^T s_{1i} - (K_i - c_{i}^2) s_{2i}^T s_{2i} + (1 - c_{i}^2) \dot{\theta}^T_i \dot{\theta}_i \\
&\quad + s_{2i}^T \Delta_i = s_{2i}^T \dot{\theta}^T_i \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) - \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \dot{\theta}^T_i \dot{\theta}_i
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

By applying Young’s inequality \( x^T y \leq \alpha x^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} y^2 \), \( (\alpha > 0, p > 1, q > 1, (p - 1)(q - 1) = 1) \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
s_{1i}^T s_{2i} &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \| \Delta_i \|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} s_{1i}^T s_{1i} \\
s_{1i}^T s_{1i} &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \| \Delta_i \|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} s_{1i}^T s_{2i} \\
\| \Delta_i \|^2 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \| \Delta_M \|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} s_{1i}^T s_{2i} \\
- \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \dot{\theta}^T_i \dot{\theta}_i &\leq -\dot{\theta}^T_i \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) s_{2i}^T + \frac{\mu_i}{\gamma_i} \dot{\theta}^T_i \dot{\theta}_i \\
&\quad - \dot{\theta}^T_i \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) s_{2i}^T + \frac{\mu_i}{\gamma_i} \dot{\theta}^T_i \dot{\theta}_i \\
&\quad - \dot{\theta}^T_i \varphi(\eta_i, \xi_i) s_{2i}^T - \frac{\mu_i}{\gamma_i} \dot{\theta}^T_i \dot{\theta}_i
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

where \( \pi_{1i} \) and \( \pi_{2i} \) can be any positive constants.
Substituting (30)-(32) into (29), we have
\[
\dot{V}_{2i} = - \left( c_i - \frac{1-c_i^2}{2} \right) s_{1i}^2 + \left( K_i - c_i - \frac{1-c_i^2}{2} \right) s_{1i}^2 s_{2i} + \frac{\mu_i}{2\gamma_i} |\hat{\theta}_i|^2 \tag{33}
\]
We can choose appropriate \( c_i \) and \( K_i \) such that
\[
\beta_i =: c_i - \frac{1-c_i^2}{2} > 0, \quad \chi_i =: K_i - c_i - \frac{1-c_i^2}{2} > 0.
\]
Let
\[
\kappa_i = \min(2\beta_i, 2\chi_i, \mu_i), \quad \rho_i = \frac{\pi_2 i}{2} \Delta_M^2 + \frac{\mu_i}{2\gamma_i} |\hat{\theta}_i|^2.
\]
Then (33) can be written as
\[
\dot{V}_{2i} \leq -\kappa_i V_{2i} + \rho_i, \tag{38}
\]
and hence we have
\[
V_{2i}(t) \leq V_{2i}(0) e^{-\kappa_i t} + \frac{\rho_i}{\kappa_i}, \quad \forall t > 0 \tag{39}
\]
which implies that \( V_{2i}(t) \) is eventually bounded by \( \frac{\rho_i}{\kappa_i} \). Hence, \( s_{1i}, s_{2i} \) and \( \hat{\theta}_i \) are GUUB. Thus, one can see that Property 1 of Definition 1 can be satisfied.

Next, consider the tracking error as follows:
\[
\|\eta_i - y_d - \delta_i\| \leq \|s_{1i} + q(\zeta_{di}) - q(\zeta_{di})\| \leq \|s_{1i}\| + \|q(\zeta_{di}) - q(\zeta_{di})\| \tag{40}
\]
According to Theorem 1, \( \dot{x}_{di} \) will converge to \( x_d \) asymptotically, which implies \( q(\zeta_{di}) \) will approach \( q(\zeta_{di}) \) asymptotically. Therefore, there always exist a sufficiently small constant \( \varepsilon > \sqrt{\kappa_i} \) and a finite time \( T(\eta_i(t_0), \nu_i(t_0), \varepsilon) \) such that
\[
\|\eta_i - y_d - \delta_i\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t > T(\eta_i(t_0), \nu_i(t_0), \varepsilon) \tag{41}
\]
Moreover, one can observe that \( \varepsilon \) can be as small as possible by choosing \( c_i, K_i \) and \( \gamma_i \) as large as possible while \( \mu_i \) as small as possible.

In summary, we can conclude the main results of this paper as follows:

**Theorem 2:** Consider the multi-USV system (1)-(2) satisfying Assumptions 1-4. Under the distributed observer (16) and distributed adaptive fuzzy control law (25)-(27), if \( k > \lambda_{\max}(S) \) and \( K_i, \gamma_i, \mu_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \), are chosen to satisfy \( 34)-(35) \), then the formation control problem of the multi-USV system can be solved and the tracking error \( \varepsilon \) can be adjusted as small as possible.

**Remark 4:** It is noted that the reference system (4) can also be used to describe the typical nonlinear reference signals studied in existing works, e.g., [7], [16]. In other words, the proposed approach in this paper can be also directly applied to solve the existing classical trajectory tracking problem. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that the proposed formation control approach can be easily extended to more general cases that the reference system is nonlinear and can be well observed by designing a distributed observer.

**IV. Simulation Results and Discussions**

This section provides an example of a multi-USV system with 4 USVs. The system topology is shown in Fig. 1, where node 0 denotes the reference system and the other nodes denote the USVs. The system model of USVs are chosen the same as that in [7]. Parameters are set as follows:

\[
M_i = \begin{bmatrix}
25.8000 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 33.8000 & 1.0948 \\
0 & 1.0948 & 2.7600
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
C_i = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & c_{13} \\
0 & c_{23} & 0 \\
-c_{13} & -c_{23} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
D_i = \begin{bmatrix}
d_{11} & 0 & d_{13} \\
0 & d_{22} & d_{23} \\
0 & d_{32} & d_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
for \( i = 1, \ldots, 4 \), where \( c_{13} = -25.8v - 1.0948r, c_{23} = -25.8u, d_{11} = 0.7225 + 13274|u| + 5.8664u^2, d_{22} = 0.8612 + 36.2823|v|, d_{23} = -0.1079, d_{32} = -0.1052 - 5.0437|v| \) and \( d_{33} = 0 \). The disturbances \( d_i, i = 1, \ldots, 4 \) are described by a Gaussian white noise.

The state matrix \( S \) of the reference system is
\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0.5 & 0 \\
-0.5 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
and
\[
q(x_d) = \begin{bmatrix}
6 - 5\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{180}x_{d1}\right) \\
\frac{\pi}{2}\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{180}x_{d2}\right)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The initial values are
\[
\eta_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0.5 & 0.1
\end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \eta_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.5 & 0.3 & 0.4
\end{bmatrix}^T,
\]
\[
\eta_1, \ldots, 4 = \begin{bmatrix}
0.7 & 0.2 & 0.3
\end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \eta_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.3 & -0.2 & 0.6
\end{bmatrix}^T,
\]
\[
\nu_1, \ldots, 4 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0.1
\end{bmatrix}^T, \quad x_d = \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 2 & \frac{\pi}{30}
\end{bmatrix}^T.
\]
The desired relative positions are
\[
\delta_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \delta_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}^T,
\]
\[
\delta_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \delta_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}^T.
\]
Control parameters are chosen as \( k = 2, K_i = 10, \mu_i = 0.1, \gamma_i = 20 \) and \( c_i = 10, i = 1, \ldots, 4 \).

The simulation results are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. Fig. 2 shows that all USVs (blue dots) track the reference trajectory (red square) and achieve the desired formation. Fig. 3 shows the tracking errors of USVs corresponding to their desired positions. One can observe that all tracking errors in surge, sway and yaw are bounded within a small neighborhood of origin. The simulation results demonstrate that the proposed control approach is effective and efficient.
The reference system is considered to be an autonomous system, and a novel adaptive fuzzy output regulation scheme is proposed. It has shown that the formation control problem can be conveniently transformed into an output regulation problem. By comprehensively using fuzzy approximation, output regulation and backstepping methods, the USVs can achieve desired formation under the proposed control law while tracking the reference system with the tracking errors being able to be adjusted as small as possible. It has shown that the proposed formation control scheme can also be applied to solve the classical formation control problem and is thus more general.
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In this paper, the formation control problem of USVs with unknown nonlinearity and disturbances is investigated.