Aalborg Universitet



# Mechanistic pain profiling as a tool to predict the efficacy of 3-week nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs plus paracetamol in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis

Petersen, Kristian Kiær; Olesen, Anne Estrup; Simonsen, Ole; Arendt-Nielsen, Lars

Published in: Pain

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001427

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Petersen, K. K., Olesen, A. E., Simonsen, O., & Arendt-Nielsen, L. (2019). Mechanistic pain profiling as a tool to predict the efficacy of 3-week nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs plus paracetamol in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis. *Pain, 160*(2), 486-492. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.000000000001427

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from vbn.aau.dk on: June 18, 2025

Mechanistic pain profiling as a tool to predict the efficacy of 3-weeks non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) plus paracetamol in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis

Kristian Kjær Petersen<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Anne Estrup Olesen<sup>3,4</sup>, Ole Simonsen<sup>3,5</sup>, Lars Arendt-Nielsen<sup>1</sup>

# Affiliations:

- <sup>(1)</sup> SMI, Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark
- <sup>(2)</sup> Center for Neuroplasticity and Pain, Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark
- <sup>(3)</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.
- <sup>(4)</sup> Mech-Sense, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark
- <sup>(5)</sup> Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg Denmark

# Original paper for: Pain

Article Type: Clinical/Basic Science Research Reports Number of Figures and Tables: 1 figures and 2 tables Number of Pages: 17 (19 including title page and abstract)

\*Corresponding Author: Associate Professor Kristian Kjær Petersen, Ph.D., M.Sc. SMI Department of Health Science and Technology Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University Fredrik Bajers Vej 7 D3, DK-9220 Aalborg, Denmark Phone: +45 9940 7529, Fax: +45 9815 4008, E-mail: KKP@HST.AAU.DK

Copyright © 2018 by the International Association for the Study of Pain. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

# Abstract

Joint inflammation is present in a subpopulation of knee osteoarthritic (KOA) patients. Proinflammatory cytokines are known to sensitize the peripheral and central pain pathways. This can be mechanistically assessed by pressure pain thresholds and temporal summation of pain (TSP). Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) combined with paracetamol are recommended as OA treatment. The current study hypothesized that evidence of central sensitization would predict poor responses to peripherally directed therapies in KOA and therefore aimed to investigate the value of mechanistic pain profiling for predicting pain outcome of treatment with NSAIDs plus paracetamol. One-hundred-and-thirty-two patients received Ibuprofen 1200 mg/daily, paracetamol 3g/daily, and pantoprazole 20 mg/daily for 3-weeks. Prior to administration, cuff pain detection, tolerance threshold and TSP were assessed. Worst pain within the last 24-hours and pain during activity (visual analog scales) were assessed before and after treatment.

Facilitated TSP was found at baseline in the non-responders to the 3-weeks treatment as compared with responders for both the 30% and 50% pain alleviation criteria (P<0.02). Linear regression models identified facilitated TSP (P<0.01) and low clinical pain scores (P<0.001) as independent factors for prediction of poor pain alleviation by the treatment.

In conclusion, this study found that mechanistic pain profiling can predict pain alleviation of NSAIDs and paracetamol. Facilitated TSP and low clinical pain scores prior to treatment are independent predictors of poor pain alleviation following NSAIDs and paracetamol. This study adds to the growing evidence that a subgroup of KOA patients with manifested central sensitization may require special management attention. Keywords: Osteoarthritis, mechanistic pain profiling, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, temporal summation of pain

# Introduction

Musculoskeletal pain is an increasingly large clinical problem and knee osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common joint condition[55]. The mechanisms underlying pain in knee OA are largely unknown[2], but recent studies indicate that inflammation is present in a subpopulation of knee OA patients[53] and that increased inflammation is associated with increased pain severity[17,18].

Pro-inflammatory cytokines are known to sensitize the peripheral nerve endings leading to hyperalgesia[50], which can be assessed as lowered pressure pain thresholds (PPTs). A prolonged intense painful input from the periphery may sensitize the dorsal horn neurons leading to an increased central gain of pain. This phenomenon can be assessed as facilitated temporal summation of pain (TSP)[22]. Widespread hyperalgesia can in part be explained by impaired descending pain control which is considered the net effect of pain inhibitory and facilitatory pathways[6,59]. Mechanistic pain profiling using quantitative sensory testing (QST) has demonstrated local and widespread pressure hyperalgesia and facilitated TSP in patients with severe knee OA compared with pain-free subjects[4]. Further, mechanistic pain profiling of knee OA patients has found preoperative TSP and PPTs to be predictive of the development of chronic postoperative pain following total joint replacement [27,39,40,42,58]. These parameters are normalized after a successful TKA recovery leading to a painfree outcome [24,31] and this highlights the significance of such mechanisms as targets for pain management.

The combination of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and paracetamol is considered the first line of treatment for painful knee OA [26,28]. Analgesic effects have been widely documented even though the mechanisms of action are not completely understood[21]. Further, it cannot be predicted which patients would benefit most from the treatment. NSAIDs may be potentially harmful[34]. Hence patients who do not benefit sufficiently from the treatment should not be exposed to this risk. Both NSAIDs and paracetamol inhibit the synthesis of prostaglandins modulated through cyclooxygenase (COX). In the rat, non-selective NSAIDs and paracetamol enhance the activity of the cannabinoid system[1], and studies suggest that the analgesic effect of NSAIDs and selective COX-2 inhibitors is dependent on an intact serotonin system[21]. Further, COX-2 inhibitors modulate widespread hyperalgesia[34,46] suggesting that NSAID treatment may also modulate central pain pathways and that mechanistic pain profiling could identify responders to NSAID treatment. Finally, facilitated TSP prior to treatment has been found to be associated with pain alleviation in responders to selective COX-2 inhibitors in knee OA[3].

The effect size of the combination of NSAIDs and paracetamol is larger than either NSAIDs or paracetamol alone[38]. In this context, the combination of 1.2 gram Ibuprofen and 3 gram paracetamol per day has been found superior to paracetamol alone in osteoarthritic pain[14]. Long-term use of NSAIDS may pose serious health risks [34] and hence tools for predicting the possible benefits are warranted. A recent study found that a reduction in the brain blood oxygen level–dependent signal activation of the sensory cortex and supramarginal gyrus in OA patients was associated with poor pain alleviation following treatment with paracetamol[60]. This indicates that measures of central sensitization could add prognostic information in treatment of OA. Therefore, the current study hypothesized that centrally sensitized OA patients would receive poor or limited analgesic effects of NSAIDs plus paracetamol and aimed to use mechanistic pain profiling as a tool to predict the efficacy of a 3-week NSAIDs plus paracetamol treatment in patients with painful knee OA.

### Methods

#### Protocol

A consecutive cohort of knee OA patients were recruited between January 2016 and February 2018. Data were collected at the Orthopedic Outpatient Clinic at Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark. The study was approved by The North Denmark Region Committee on Health Research Ethics (N-20140077) and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02967744). Written informed consent was obtained before patient inclusion. Clinical OA was defined following the American College of Rheumatology criteria[56]. The peak pain intensity within the last 24 hours and the pain during activity (visual analog scale, VAS), the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Score (KOOS) and quantitative sensory testing (QST) recordings (pressure pain detection threshold (cPDT), and pain tolerance threshold (cPTT) and TSP) were collected before treatment. The exclusion criteria included the presence of other pain problems (e.g. hip OA), sensory dysfunction (e.g. fibromyalgia, neuropathic pain), or mental impairment.

# Treatment

Patients were administrated Ibuprofen 400 mg (three times per day), Paracetamol 1g (three times per day) and Pantoprazole 20 mg (once per day) for 3-weeks. Patients were instructed to report all adverse and severe adverse events.

# Clinical assessment of pain and function

KOOS, a 42-item self-administered questionnaire[49] assessing five separate dimensions: pain, symptoms, activities of daily living (ADL), sport and recreation function (Sport/Rec), and knee-related quality of life (QOL), was administrated before and after treatment. A percentage score from 0% to 100% was calculated for each dimension; 100% representing the best possible score.

The worst pain within the last 24 hours and the pain during activity were assessed using a VAS before and after treatment. The VAS was anchored at 0 cm: no pain and 10 cm: worst pain imaginable. These assessments have been consistently used in similar studies[27,39,41–43].

### Quantitative sensory testing

Deep-tissue pain sensitivity was evaluated by cuff pressure stimuli using a computer-controlled cuff algometer (Cortex Technology and Aalborg University, Denmark) including a 13-cm wide tourniquet cuff (VBM, Sulz, Germany) and an electronic VAS (Aalborg University, Denmark) for recording of the pain intensity. The cuff was placed at the level of the head of the gastrocnemius muscle of the leg most affected by OA. The electronic continuous VAS (sliding resistor) was 10 cm long and sampled at 10 Hz; 0 cm indicated "no pain" and 10 cm indicated "maximum pain".

# Cuff pain detection and tolerance threshold

The pressure (in kPa) was increased by 1 kPa/s and the patient was instructed to rate the pain intensity continuously on the electronic VAS until the tolerance level was reached. The patients were instructed to press a stop button at this point of time. The pressure pain detection threshold (cPDT) was defined as the pressure at which the VAS score exceeded 1 cm[23]. The pain tolerance threshold (cPTT) was defined when the patient pressed the stop button. cPDT and cPTT were assessed bilaterally.

### **Temporal summation of pain**

Ten short-lasting stimuli (1 s each) at the level of the cPTT were given with a 1 s break between stimuli. The participants were instructed to continuously rate the pain intensity of the sequential stimuli using the electronic VAS and not to return to zero during the breaks. For each cuff stimulus, a VAS score was extracted and TSP was defined as the difference between the tenth and the first VAS score[39].

### Statistical analysis

The data are presented as means and standard error of the mean (SEM) if not otherwise stated. Paired sample t-tests were used to compare VAS and KOOS data before and after treatment. The patients were divided into responders and non-responders based on a 30% or a 50% pain alleviation from the treatment using the VAS scale. Pre-treatment parameters (VAS, cPDT, cPTT and TSP) were compared between the two groups (responders and non-responders) using independent sample t-tests. Finally, linear regression models were used to define independent factors and to predict the analgesic effect of the treatment using the mechanistic pain profiles and clinical pain intensity (VAS) prior to treatment. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 23, IBM Corporation, New York, USA). P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

# Results

Clinical assessments of pain, function and quality of life

One-hundred-and-sixty-two patients were recruited and 132 patients had complete follow-up data after treatment and were included in the current analysis. Significantly decreased pain during activity and worst pain during the last 24 hours (P<0.001), increased KOOS pain (P<0.001), KOOS symptoms (P<0.001) and KOOS ADL (P<0.001) were found at follow-up compared with before treatment values; see table 1.

Clinical and mechanistic pain profiles based on a 30% pain alleviation Fifty-two (39%) of 132 patients obtained a 30% pain relief based on the worst pain within the last 24 hours. Further, this group showed significantly increased KOOS symptoms (P=0.028), KOOS ADL (P=0.007), a trend towards significantly increased KOOS QOL (P=0.056) and a trend towards significantly increased KOOS Pain (P=0.071) before treatment compared with the group which did not obtain 30% pain relief. These figures are based on worst pain within the last 24 hours. Sixty (45%) of 132 patients obtained a 30% pain relief based on pain during physical activity. This group had significantly increased KOOS pain (P<0.001), KOOS symptoms (P=0.005), KOOS ADL (P<0.001) and increased KOOS QOL (P=0.010) before treatment compared with the group which did not obtain a 30% pain relief. In addition, based on the 30% pain alleviation criterion of pain during activity and worst pain during the last 24 hours, non-responders compared with responders showed facilitated TSP prior to treatment (P<0.020, figure 1) but no differences in cPDT or cPTT.

### Clinical and mechanistic pain profiles based on a 50% pain alleviation

Thirty-three (25%) of 132 subjects obtained a 50% pain relief based on the worst pain within the last 24 hours. This group was not significantly different with regard to any clinical assessments of pain, function or quality of life (P>0.2). Based on the 50% pain relief using the pain during physical activity, 42 patients (32%) had significantly increased KOOS pain (P=0.004), KOOS symptoms (P=0.018), KOOS ADL (P=0.004) and increased KOOS QOL (P=0.007) before treatment compared with the group which did not obtain a 50% pain relief.

Based on the 50% pain alleviation criterion of pain during activity and worst pain during the last 24 hours, non-responders compared with responders showed facilitated TSP prior to treatment (P<0.023, figure 1) but no differences in cPDT or cPTT.

# Prediction models of pain alleviation following treatment

Several linear regression models were established to investigate the predictive value using the mechanistic pain measures and clinical pain prior to treatment. Model 1, consists of all the mechanistic pain measures and pain prior to treatment with predictive values ( $R^2$ ) of 24.6% for worst pain within the last 24 hours and 27.8% for pain during activity and identified pain intensity (P<0.001) and TSP (P<0.008) prior to treatment as significant factors; table 2. Model 2, constricted to significant factors only (using backwards selection), showed with predictive values ( $R^2$ ) of 24.0% for worst pain within the last 24 hours and 26.9% for pain during activity with pain intensity (P<0.001) and TSP (P<0.009) prior to treatment as significant factors (table 2). The predictive values of model 2 were not significantly different from model 1 (P>0.5), indicating that facilitated TSP and lower pain intensity prior to treatment are the most important features in these models and predict a poor or limited analgesic effect to NSAID plus paracetamol. In addition, linear regression models define independent factors illustrating that pain prior to treatment and TSP are independent factors for the prediction of the analgesic effect of NSAIDs plus paracetamol.

# Discussion

The current study is the first large sized mechanistic pain profiling trial to predict an analgesic response following a 3-week NSAIDs and paracetamol combination in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis. The study found that approx. 40% of the patients obtained a 30% analgesic effect and that approx. 25% of the subjects obtained a 50% analgesic effect following the 3-weeks of NSAIDs plus paracetamol. In addition, non-responders to the 30% and 50% cutoff criteria were characterized by facilitated TSP before treatment compared with responders. Finally, the linear regression models identified facilitated TSP and lower clinical pain intensity prior to treatment as independent factors associated with the poor or limited analgesic effect of NSAIDs plus paracetamol.

Analgesic effect of NSAIDs plus paracetamol for osteoarthritis

NSAIDs and/or paracetamol are considered the first line of medications for treating osteoarthritic pain[26,28]. On average, pain alleviation from analgesics in OA is approx. 20-25% (except the anti-NGF compounds, which show stronger effects)[52]. It is currently debated if and how responders to treatment can be classified. The Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) Standing Committee for Clinical Trials Response Criteria Initiative and the Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) Committee have defined a responder as either 1) an improvement in pain and function by at least 50% or 2) an improvement by at least 20% in two of the following three categories: pain, physical function or global assessment of the patient [44]. The current study reported a 28.6% pain reduction when assessing the worst pain within the last 24 hours, 31.5% when assessing the pain during activity and a 14.8% pain reduction when assessing the KOOS pain subscale. This illustrates that the method used for pain assessment would greatly affect the OMERACT-OARSI responder criteria.

Studies have found that the majority of the pain improvement occurs within the first weeks of treatment[12,45]. This further supports the short administration period in the current study compared with previous long-term studies showing less convincing long-term effects[9].

# NSAIDs plus paracetamol and mechanistic pain profiling

The mechanisms underlying pain in OA are largely unknown complicating the treatment of the pain. Recent evidence suggests that inflammatory markers are associated with osteoarthritic pain[17,51,53] and that inflammatory markers are known to sensitize the peripheral nerve endings resulting in hyperalgesia[50]. Both localized and widespread hyperalgesia are found in knee OA patients with high clinical pain intensities [7,16]. NSAIDs inhibit the synthesis of prostaglandins[21], which in turn will blunt the activation of leukocytes thereby minimizing the inflammatory cascade. In theory, this should dampen hyperalgesia. Pre-clinical data suggest that locally upregulated prostaglandins will induce peripheral hyperalgesia and that upregulated prostaglandins in the central nervous system will induce widespread hyperalgesia[46,57]. Interestingly, spinal administration of COX-2 inhibitors in an animal inflammatory model reduces prostaglandin subtype E2 and reduces peripheral mechanical hyperalgesia[46,57] indicating that COX-2 acts on central pain mechanisms as recently shown in knee OA patients[3]. Both COX-2 inhibitors[47] and non-selective COX inhibitors[8,10,25] are found in the cerebrospinal fluid after oral administration in humans. This should modulate locally and widespread hyperalgesia and lead to an analgesic effect. This theory is in contrast to the current study, which found that facilitated TSP (as a measure of central gain of pain) was associated with less pain alleviation following 3 weeks of NSAIDs plus paracetamol.

A previous study has found that approx. 50% of patients experience an additional pain relieving effect when switching from NSAIDs to etoricoxib (a selective COX-2 inhibitor)[33] indicating an additional analgesic effect of administering etoricoxib compared with traditional NSAIDs (COX-1 and COX-2). However, this needs validation in large clinical cohorts.

The predictive value of mechanistic pain profiling in the treatment of osteoarthritic pain Recent reviews have concluded that there is a neuropathic component in a subpopulation of OA patients[6,13]. The PainDetect questionnaire aims to identify a positive, unclear and negative neuropathic pain component in chronic pain patients[20], and studies have identified 5 - 30%[32,35,37] of OA patients with a positive neuropathic pain component. Furthermore, OA patients with a positive neuropathic pain component have been found to report higher pain intensities and widespread pressure hyperalgesia compared with an unclear or negative neuropathic pain component[35]. Finally, a recent study found that OA patients with a positive neuropathic pain component display preoperatively widespread hyperalgesia, facilitated TSP and report higher pain intensities six months after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) compared with patients with an unclear or negative neuropathic pain component[32]. The pain sensitivity has been suggested to increase with increasing pain duration and pain intensity in knee OA[7]. Recent phenotyping of knee OA patients based on radiological OA severity and pain intensity has revealed that patients characterized by high pain intensities but low radiological OA are highly pain sensitive[5,19] and respond poorly to TKA[42,48]. Several recent studies have revealed that preoperative pressure pain thresholds[40], TSP[39,42], or CPM[54] are predictive of poor outcome after TKA indicating that highly centrally pain sensitive knee OA patients do not respond well to TKA. Recently, O'Leary et al.[36] found that facilitated TSP and low PPTs were predictive of poor outcome for knee OA patients following physiotherapy. The current study further indicates that knee OA patients with facilitated TSP do not respond optimally to standard anti-inflammatory treatment, which is in line with a previous study regarding topical NSAIDs in knee OA[16].

Conclusively, accumulating evidence suggests that knee OA patients defined as "centrally sensitized" might not respond to the guidelines by OARSI[61] and hence the new pain descriptor "nociplastic" may apply to such conditions[30].

Future research should aim to link mechanistic pain profiling to pain alleviation using these drugs in OA patients who are characterized as centrally pain sensitive to enhance our understanding of the treatment options.

#### Limitations

It could be argued that this exploratory study is limited by the lack of a placebo group. The aim of the study was not to compare with placebo but to investigate if the outcome after a well-known therapy could be predicted. Several studies have shown that the analgesic effects of NSAIDs plus paracetamol are superior to placebo[11,12,15,29,45]. The aim of the study was to investigate the value of mechanistic pain profiling and its predictive value after NSAIDs and paracetamol treatment as mechanistic pain profiling has previously been shown to identify poor responders to other standardized OA treatments [36,39,40,42,54]. Predicting poor NSAID treatment outcome is valuable as patients not responding adequately should not be treated with NSAIDs due the possible unwanted side effects.

#### Conclusion

The mechanistic pain profiling identified non-responders to standard pharmacological treatment. This study adds to the growing evidence that a subgroup of OA patients who are specifically centrally pain

sensitive (facilitated TSP) may require special management attention. Avoiding NSAID treatment in patients not benefitting is important to protect those patients from unwanted side effects.

# Acknowledgement

The authors thank The Innovation Fund Denmark (j.no. 136-2014-5), The Aalborg University Talent Management Programme (j.no. 771126), The Shionogi Science Program and the TaNeDS Europe grant for providing the opportunity to conduct the study. Center for Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP) is supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF121). Project nurse Tina Jensen and patient hotel manager Vibeke Bergmann Engers at Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark are acknowledged for their continued support and practically assistance in conducting the study.

# Reference list

- [1] Ahn DK, Choi HS, Yeo SP, Woo YW, Lee MK, Yang GY, Jeon HJ, Park JS, Mokha SS. Blockade of central cyclooxygenase (COX) pathways enhances the cannabinoid-induced antinociceptive effects on inflammatory temporomandibular joint (TMJ) nociception. Pain 2007;132:23–32.
- [2] Arendt-Nielsen L. Joint pain: more to it than just structural damage? Pain 2017;158:66–73.
- [3] Arendt-Nielsen L, Egsgaard LL, Petersen KK. Evidence for a central mode of action for etoricoxib (COX-2 inhibitor) in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis. Pain 2016;157:1634– 1644.
- [4] Arendt-Nielsen L, Egsgaard LL, Petersen KK, Eskehave TN, Graven-Nielsen T, Hoeck HC, Simonsen O. A mechanism-based pain sensitivity index to characterize knee osteoarthritis patients with different disease stages and pain levels. Eur. J. Pain 2015;19:1406–1417.
- [5] Arendt-Nielsen L, Egsgaard LL, Petersen KK, Eskehave TN, Graven-Nielsen T, Hoeck HC, Simonsen O. A mechanism-based pain sensitivity index to characterize knee osteoarthritis patients with different disease stages and pain levels. Eur. J. Pain 2015;19:1406–1417.
- [6] Arendt-Nielsen L, Morlion B, Perrot S, Dahan A, Dickenson A, Kress HG, Wells C, Bouhassira D, Mohr Drewes A. Assessment and manifestation of central sensitisation across different chronic pain conditions. Eur. J. Pain 2017:1–26.
- [7] Arendt-Nielsen L, Nie H, Laursen MB, Laursen BS, Madeleine P, Simonsen OH, Graven-Nielsen T. Sensitization in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis. Pain 2010;149:573–581.

- [8] Bannwarth B, Lapicque F, Pehourcq F, Gillet P, Schaeverbeke T, Laborde C, Dehais J, Gaucher A, Netter P. Stereoselective disposition of ibuprofen enantiomers in human cerebrospinal fluid. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1995;40:266–9.
- [9] Bjordal JM, Ljunggren AE, Klovning A, Slørdal L. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, including cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors, in osteoarthritic knee pain: meta-analysis of randomised placebo controlled trials. BMJ 2004;329:1317.
- [10] Brazier D, Perry R, Keane J, Barrett K, Elmaleh DR. Pharmacokinetics of Cromolyn and Ibuprofen in Healthy Elderly Volunteers. Clin. Drug Investig. 2017;37:1025–1034.
- [11] Chen Y, Jobanputra P, Barton P, Bryan S, Fry-Smith A, Harris G, Taylor RS. Cyclooxygenase-2 selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (etodolac, meloxicam, celecoxib, rofecoxib, etoricoxib, valdecoxib and lumiracoxib) for osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol. Assess. 2008;12:1–278, iii.
- [12] Day R, Morrison B, Luza A, Castaneda O, Strusberg A, Nahir M, Helgetveit KB, Kress B, Daniels B, Bolognese J, Krupa D, Seidenberg B, Ehrich E. A randomized trial of the efficacy and tolerability of the COX-2 inhibitor rofecoxib vs ibuprofen in patients with osteoarthritis. Rofecoxib/Ibuprofen Comparator Study Group. Arch. Intern. Med. 2000;160:1781–7.
- [13] Dimitroulas T, Duarte R V., Behura A, Kitas GD, Raphael JH. Neuropathic pain in osteoarthritis: A review of pathophysiological mechanisms and implications for treatment. Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism. Elsevier, 2014, Vol. 44. pp. 145–154.
- [14] Doherty M, Hawkey C, Goulder M, Gibb I, Hill N, Aspley S, Reader S. A randomised controlled trial of ibuprofen, paracetamol or a combination tablet of ibuprofen/ paracetamol in community-derived people with knee pain. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2011;70:1534–1541.
- [15] Dworkin RH, Peirce-Sandner S, Turk DC, McDermott MP, Gibofsky A, Simon LS, Farrar JT, Katz NP. Outcome measures in placebo-controlled trials of osteoarthritis: responsiveness to treatment effects in the REPORT database. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2011;19:483–92.
- [16] Edwards RR, Dolman AJ, Martel MO, Finan PH, Lazaridou A, Cornelius M, Wasan AD. Variability in conditioned pain modulation predicts response to NSAID treatment in patients with knee osteoarthritis. BMC Musculoskelet. Disord. 2016;17:284.
- [17] Eitner A, Hofmann GO, Schaible H-G. Mechanisms of Osteoarthritic Pain. Studies in Humans and Experimental Models. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 2017;10:349.
- [18] Eitner A, Pester J, Vogel F, Marintschev I, Lehmann T, Hofmann GO, Schaible H-G. Pain sensation in human osteoarthritic knee joints is strongly enhanced by diabetes mellitus. Pain 2017;158:1743–1753.
- [19] Finan PH, Buenaver LF, Bounds SC, Hussain S, Park RJ, Haque UJ, Campbell CM, Haythornthwaite JA, Edwards RR, Smith MT. Discordance between pain and radiographic severity in knee osteoarthritis: Findings from quantitative sensory testing of central sensitization. Arthritis Rheum. 2013;65:363–372.

- [20] Freynhagen R, Baron R, Gockel U, Tölle TR. painDETECT: a new screening questionnaire to identify neuropathic components in patients with back pain. Curr. Med. Res. Opin. 2006;22:1911–20.
- [21] Graham GG, Davies MJ, Day RO, Mohamudally A, Scott KF. The modern pharmacology of paracetamol: Therapeutic actions, mechanism of action, metabolism, toxicity and recent pharmacological findings. Inflammopharmacology 2013;21:201–232.
- [22] Graven-Nielsen T, Arendt-Nielsen L. Assessment of mechanisms in localized and widespread musculoskeletal pain. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 2010;6:599–606.
- [23] Graven-Nielsen T, Vaegter HB, Finocchietti S, Handberg G, Arendt-Nielsen L. Assessment of musculoskeletal pain sensitivity and temporal summation by cuff pressure algometry. Pain 2015;156:2193–2202.
- [24] Graven-Nielsen T, Wodehouse T, Langford RM, Arendt-Nielsen L, Kidd BL. Normalization of widespread hyperesthesia and facilitated spatial summation of deep-tissue pain in knee osteoarthritis patients after knee replacement. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64:2907–2916.
- [25] Har-Even R, Stepensky D, Britzi M, Soback S, Chaim AB, Brandriss N, Goldman M, Berkovitch M, Kozer E. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of ibuprofen in pediatric patients and antipyretic effect: Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling analysis. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2014;54:1023–30.
- [26] Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, Benkhalti M, Guyatt G, McGowan J, Towheed T, Welch V, Wells G, Tugwell P. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. Arthritis Care Res. 2012;64:465–474.
- [27] Izumi M, Petersen KK, Laursen MB, Arendt-Nielsen L, Graven-Nielsen T. Facilitated temporal summation of pain correlates with clinical pain intensity after hip arthroplasty. Pain 2017;158:323–332.
- [28] Jordan KM, Arden NK, Doherty M, Bannwarth B, Bijlsma JWJ, Dieppe P, Gunther K, Hauselmann H, Herrero-Beaumont G, Kaklamanis P, Lohmander S, Leeb B, Lequesne M, Mazieres B, Martin-Mola E, Pavelka K, Pendleton A, Punzi L, Serni U, Swoboda B, Verbruggen G, Zimmerman-Gorska I, Dougados M. EULAR Recommendations 2003: An evidence based approach to the management of knee osteoarthritis: Report of a Task Force of the Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutic Trials (ESCISIT). Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2003;62:1145–1155.
- [29] Kongtharvonskul J, Anothaisintawee T, McEvoy M, Attia J, Woratanarat P, Thakkinstian A. Efficacy and safety of glucosamine, diacerein, and NSAIDs in osteoarthritis knee: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Eur. J. Med. Res. 2015;20:24.
- [30] Kosek E, Cohen M, Baron R, Gebhart GF, Mico JA, Rice ASC, Rief W, Sluka AK. Do we need a third mechanistic descriptor for chronic pain states? Pain 2016;157:1382–1386.
- [31] Kosek E, Ordeberg G. Lack of pressure pain modulation by heterotopic noxious conditioning

stimulation in patients with painful osteoarthritis before, but not following, surgical pain relief. Pain 2000;88:69–78.

- [32] Kurien T, Arendt-Nielsen L, Petersen KK, Graven-Nielsen T, Scammell BE. Preoperative Neuropathic Pain Like Symptoms and Central Pain Mechanisms in Knee Osteoarthritis Predicts Poor Outcome 6 Months After Total Knee Replacement Surgery. J. Pain 2018.
- [33] Lin H-Y, Cheng T-T, Wang J-H, Lee C-S, Chen M-H, Lei V, Lac C, Gammaitoni AR, Smugar SS, Chen W-J. Etoricoxib improves pain, function and quality of life: Results of a real-world effectiveness trial. Int. J. Rheum. Dis. 2010;13:144–150.
- [34] Malfait A-M, Schnitzer TJ. Towards a mechanism-based approach to pain management in osteoarthritis. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 2013;9:654–64.
- [35] Moss P, Benson HAE, Will R, Wright A. Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis Who Score Highly on the PainDETECT Questionnaire Present With Multimodality Hyperalgesia, Increased Pain, and Impaired Physical Function. Clin. J. Pain 2018;34:15–21.
- [36] O'Leary H, Smart KM, Moloney NA, Blake C, Doody CM. Pain Sensitization Associated with Non-Response Following Physiotherapy in People with Knee Osteoarthritis. Pain 2018:1.
- [37] Ohtori S, Orita S, Yamashita M, Ishikawa T, Ito T, Shigemura T, Nishiyama H, Konno S, Ohta H, Takaso M, Inoue G, Eguchi Y, Ochiai N, Kishida S, Kuniyoshi K, Aoki Y, Arai G, Miyagi M, Kamoda H, Suzkuki M, Nakamura J, Furuya T, Kubota G, Sakuma Y, Oikawa Y, Suzuki M, Sasho T, Nakagawa K, Toyone T, Takahashi K. Existence of a neuropathic pain component in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. Yonsei Med. J. 2012;53:801–5.
- [38] Ong CKS, Seymour RA, Lirk P, Merry AF. Combining Paracetamol (Acetaminophen) with Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs: A Qualitative Systematic Review of Analgesic Efficacy for Acute Postoperative Pain. Anesth. Analg. 2010;110:1.
- [39] Petersen KK, Arendt-Nielsen L, Simonsen O, Wilder-Smith O, Laursen MB. Presurgical assessment of temporal summation of pain predicts the development of chronic postoperative pain 12 months after total knee replacement. Pain 2015;156:55–61.
- [40] Petersen KK, Graven-Nielsen T, Simonsen O, Laursen MB, Arendt-Nielsen L. Preoperative pain mechanisms assessed by cuff algometry are associated with chronic postoperative pain relief after total knee replacement. Pain 2016;157:1400–1406.
- [41] Petersen KK, Graven-Nielsen T, Simonsen O, Laursen MB, Arendt-Nielsen L. Preoperative pain mechanisms assessed by cuff algometry are associated with chronic postoperative pain relief after total knee replacement. Pain 2016;157.
- [42] Petersen KK, Simonsen O, Laursen MB, Arendt-Nielsen L. The Role of Preoperative Radiological Severity, Sensory Testing, and Temporal Summation on Chronic Postoperative Pain following Total Knee Arthroplasty. Clin. J. Pain 2017.
- [43] Petersen KK, Simonsen O, Laursen MB, Nielsen TA, Rasmussen S, Arendt-Nielsen L. Chronic Postoperative Pain After Primary and Revision Total Knee Arthroplasty. Clin. J. Pain 2015;31:1–6.

- [44] Pham T, van der Heijde D, Altman RD, Anderson JJ, Bellamy N, Hochberg M, Simon L, Strand V, Woodworth T, Dougados M. OMERACT-OARSI initiative: Osteoarthritis Research Society International set of responder criteria for osteoarthritis clinical trials revisited. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2004;12:389–99.
- [45] Puopolo A, Boice JA, Fidelholtz JL, Littlejohn TW, Miranda P, Berrocal A, Ko A, Cichanowitz N, Reicin AS. A randomized placebo-controlled trial comparing the efficacy of etoricoxib 30 mg and ibuprofen 2400 mg for the treatment of patients with osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2007;15:1348–1356.
- [46] Reinold H, Ahmadi S, Depner UB, Layh B, Heindl C, Hamza M, Pahl A, Brune K, Narumiya S, M??ller U, Zeilhofer HU. Spinal inflammatory hyperalgesia is mediated by prostaglandin E receptors of the EP2 subtype. J. Clin. Invest. 2005;115:673–679.
- [47] Renner B, Zacher J, Buvanendran A, Walter G, Strauss J, Brune K. Absorption and distribution of etoricoxib in plasma, CSF, and wound tissue in patients following hip surgery-a pilot study. Naunyn. Schmiedebergs. Arch. Pharmacol. 2010;381:127–136.
- [48] Riis A, Rathleff MS, Jensen MB, Simonsen O. Low grading of the severity of knee osteoarthritis pre-operatively is associated with a lower functional level after total knee replacement: a prospective cohort study with 12 months' follow-up. Bone Joint J. 2014;96–B:1498–502.
- [49] Roos EM, Toksvig-Larsen S. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) validation and comparison to the WOMAC in total knee replacement. Health Qual. Life Outcomes 2003;1:17.
- [50] Schaible HG. Nociceptive neurons detect cytokines in arthritis. Arthritis Res. Ther. 2014:470.
- [51] Schett G, Kleyer A, D'Agostino MA, Perricone C, Iagnocco A, Sahinbegovic E, Berenbaum F, Zwerina J, Willeit J, Kiechl S. Diabetes mellitus as an independent predictor for severe osteoarthritis. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2013;71:403–409.
- [52] Schnitzer TJ, Marks JA. A systematic review of the efficacy and general safety of antibodies to NGF in the treatment of OA of the hip or knee. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2015;23:S8–S17.
- [53] Siebuhr AS, Petersen KK, Arendt-Nielsen L, Egsgaard LL, Eskehave T, Christiansen C, Simonsen O, Hoeck HC, Karsdal MA, Bay-Jensen AC. Identification and characterisation of osteoarthritis patients with inflammation derived tissue turnover. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2014;22:44– 50.
- [54] Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Emmeluth C, Graven-Nielsen T. Preoperative Hypoalgesia After Cold Pressor Test and Aerobic Exercise is Associated With Pain Relief 6 Months After Total Knee Replacement. Clin. J. Pain 2017;33:475–484.
- [55] Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Ezzati M, Shibuya K, Salomon JA, Abdalla S, Aboyans V, Abraham J, Ackerman I, Aggarwal R, Ahn SY, Ali MK, Alvarado M, Anderson HR, Anderson LM, Andrews KG, Atkinson C, Baddour LM, Bahalim AN, Barker-Collo S, Barrero LH, Bartels DH, Basáñez M-G, Baxter A, Bell ML, Benjamin EJ, Bennett D, Bernabé E, Bhalla K, Bhandari B, Bikbov B, Bin Abdulhak A, Birbeck G, Black JA, Blencowe

H, Blore JD, Blyth F, Bolliger I, Bonaventure A, Boufous S, Bourne R, Boussinesq M, Braithwaite T, Brayne C, Bridgett L, Brooker S, Brooks P, Brugha TS, Bryan-Hancock C, Bucello C, Buchbinder R, Buckle G, Budke CM, Burch M, Burney P, Burstein R, Calabria B, Campbell B, Canter CE, Carabin H, Carapetis J, Carmona L, Cella C, Charlson F, Chen H, Cheng AT-A, Chou D, Chugh SS, Coffeng LE, Colan SD, Colquhoun S, Colson KE, Condon J, Connor MD, Cooper LT, Corriere M, Cortinovis M, de Vaccaro KC, Couser W, Cowie BC, Criqui MH, Cross M, Dabhadkar KC, Dahiya M, Dahodwala N, Damsere-Derry J, Danaei G, Davis A, De Leo D, Degenhardt L, Dellavalle R, Delossantos A, Denenberg J, Derrett S, Des Jarlais DC, Dharmaratne SD, Dherani M, Diaz-Torne C, Dolk H, Dorsey ER, Driscoll T, Duber H, Ebel B, Edmond K, Elbaz A, Ali SE, Erskine H, Erwin PJ, Espindola P, Ewoigbokhan SE, Farzadfar F, Feigin V, Felson DT, Ferrari A, Ferri CP, Fèvre EM, Finucane MM, Flaxman S, Flood L, Foreman K, Forouzanfar MH, Fowkes FGR, Franklin R, Fransen M, Freeman MK, Gabbe BJ, Gabriel SE, Gakidou E, Ganatra HA, Garcia B, Gaspari F, Gillum RF, Gmel G, Gosselin R, Grainger R, Groeger J, Guillemin F, Gunnell D, Gupta R, Haagsma J, Hagan H, Halasa YA, Hall W, Haring D, Haro JM, Harrison JE, Havmoeller R, Hay RJ, Higashi H, Hill C, Hoen B, Hoffman H, Hotez PJ, Hoy D, Huang JJ, Ibeanusi SE, Jacobsen KH, James SL, Jarvis D, Jasrasaria R, Jayaraman S, Johns N, Jonas JB, Karthikeyan G, Kassebaum N, Kawakami N, Keren A, Khoo J-P, King CH, Knowlton LM, Kobusingye O, Koranteng A, Krishnamurthi R, Lalloo R, Laslett LL, Lathlean T, Leasher JL, Lee YY, Leigh J, Lim SS, Limb E, Lin JK, Lipnick M, Lipshultz SE, Liu W, Loane M, Ohno SL, Lyons R, Ma J, Mabweijano J, MacIntyre MF, Malekzadeh R, Mallinger L, Manivannan S, Marcenes W, March L, Margolis DJ, Marks GB, Marks R, Matsumori A, Matzopoulos R, Mayosi BM, McAnulty JH, McDermott MM, McGill N, McGrath J, Medina-Mora ME, Meltzer M, Mensah GA, Merriman TR, Meyer A-C, Miglioli V, Miller M, Miller TR, Mitchell PB, Mocumbi AO, Moffitt TE, Mokdad AA, Monasta L, Montico M, Moradi-Lakeh M, Moran A, Morawska L, Mori R, Murdoch ME, Mwaniki MK, Naidoo K, Nair MN, Naldi L, Narayan KMV, Nelson PK, Nelson RG, Nevitt MC, Newton CR, Nolte S, Norman P, Norman R, O'Donnell M, O'Hanlon S, Olives C, Omer SB, Ortblad K, Osborne R, Ozgediz D, Page A, Pahari B, Pandian JD, Rivero AP, Patten SB, Pearce N, Padilla RP, Perez-Ruiz F, Perico N, Pesudovs K, Phillips D, Phillips MR, Pierce K, Pion S, Polanczyk G V., Polinder S, Pope CA, Popova S, Porrini E, Pourmalek F, Prince M, Pullan RL, Ramaiah KD, Ranganathan D, Razavi H, Regan M, Rehm JT, Rein DB, Remuzzi G, Richardson K, Rivara FP, Roberts T, Robinson C, De Leòn FR, Ronfani L, Room R, Rosenfeld LC, Rushton L, Sacco RL, Saha S, Sampson U, Sanchez-Riera L, Sanman E, Schwebel DC, Scott JG, Segui-Gomez M, Shahraz S, Shepard DS, Shin H, Shivakoti R, Singh D, Singh GM, Singh JA, Singleton J, Sleet DA, Sliwa K, Smith E, Smith JL, Stapelberg NJC, Steer A, Steiner T, Stolk WA, Stovner LJ, Sudfeld C, Syed S, Tamburlini G, Tavakkoli M, Taylor HR, Taylor JA, Taylor WJ, Thomas B, Thomson WM, Thurston GD, Tleyjeh IM, Tonelli M, Towbin JA, Truelsen T, Tsilimbaris MK, Ubeda C, Undurraga EA, van der Werf MJ, van Os J, Vavilala MS, Venketasubramanian N, Wang M, Wang W, Watt K, Weatherall DJ, Weinstock MA, Weintraub R, Weisskopf MG, Weissman MM, White RA, Whiteford H, Wiersma ST, Wilkinson JD, Williams HC, Williams SRM, Witt E, Wolfe F, Woolf AD, Wulf S, Yeh P-H, Zaidi AKM, Zheng Z-J, Zonies D, Lopez AD, Murray CJL, AlMazroa MA, Memish ZA. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2163-96.

- [56] Wolfe F, Clauw DJ, Fitzcharles M-A, Goldenberg DL, Katz RS, Mease P, Russell AS, Russell IJ, Winfield JB, Yunus MB. The American College of Rheumatology preliminary diagnostic criteria for fibromyalgia and measurement of symptom severity. Arthritis Care Res. (Hoboken). 2010;62:600–10.
- [57] Woolf CJ, Chong M-S. Preemptive analgesia Treating postoperative pain by preventing the establishment of central sensitization. Anesth. Analg. 1993;77:362–379.
- [58] Wylde V, Sayers A, Odutola A, Gooberman-Hill R, Dieppe P, Blom AW. Central sensitization as a determinant of patients' benefit from total hip and knee replacement. Eur. J. Pain 2017;21:357–365.
- [59] Yarnitsky D. Role of endogenous pain modulation in chronic pain mechanisms and treatment. Pain 2015;156 Suppl:S24-31.
- [60] Yue Y, Collaku A. Correlation of Pain Reduction with fMRI BOLD Response in Osteoarthritis Patients Treated with Paracetamol: Randomized, Double-Blind, Crossover Clinical Efficacy Study. Pain Med. 2018;19:355–367.
- [61] Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, Abramson S, Altman RD, Arden N, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Brandt KD, Croft P, Doherty M, Dougados M, Hochberg M, Hunter DJ, Kwoh K, Lohmander LS, Tugwell P. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis, Part I: Critical appraisal of existing treatment guidelines and systematic review of current research evidence. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2007;15:981–1000.

# Figure legends

Figure 1: Temporal summation of pain in knee osteoarthritic patients grouped into responders and non-responders based on a pain alleviation of at least 30% or 50% following 3 weeks of NSAIDs and paracetamol for the worst pain within the last 24 hours (worst pain) or pain during activity (Activity). \* indicate significant differences (P<0.05) between responders and non-responders.

**Table 1:** Mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) from 132 knee osteoarthritis patients before and after treatment.

|                          | Before treatment | After treatment | Percentage | P-value |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|---------|
|                          | Mean (SEM)       | Mean (SEM)      | change     |         |
|                          |                  |                 |            |         |
| Age (years)              | 60.01 (0.81)     |                 |            |         |
| BMI [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ] | 29.15 (0.46)     |                 |            |         |
| Gender (percentage       | 52.6%            |                 |            |         |
| female)                  |                  |                 |            |         |
| Pain intensity [cm]      |                  |                 |            |         |
| - worst pain within      |                  |                 |            |         |
| the last 24 hours        | 6.88 (0.20)      | 4.91 (0.26)     | 28.6%      | < 0.001 |
| - During activity        | 6.38 (0.23)      | 4.37 (0.29)     | 31.5%      | < 0.001 |
|                          |                  |                 |            |         |
| KOOS subscales           |                  |                 |            |         |
| - Pain                   | 52.45 (1.50)     | 60.20 (1.63)    | 14.8%      | < 0.001 |
| - Symptoms               | 59.18 (1.71)     | 64.15 (1.88)    | 8.4%       | < 0.001 |
| - ADL                    | 58.63 (1.71)     | 65.31 (1.84)    | 11.4%      | < 0.001 |
| - QoL                    | 36.54 (1.40)     | 39.36 (2.78)    | 7.7%       | 0.242   |

BMI: Body mass index, KOOS: Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Score, ADL: Function in daily living,

QoL: Quality of life.

| <b>Table 2:</b> Linear regression models using mechanistic pain profiling and pain intensity prior to 3 weeks |
|---|
| of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and paracetamol treatment of patients with knee osteoarthritis.      |

|       |                      | Worst pain within the last 24 hours |         |                | Pain during activity |         |       |
|-------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------------|---------|-------|
|       |                      |                                     |         |                |                      |         |       |
| Model | Variable             |                                     | P-value | $\mathbb{R}^2$ | Standardized         | P-value | $R^2$ |
|       |                      | Standardized                        |         |                | coefficient          |         |       |
|       |                      | coefficient                         |         |                |                      |         |       |
| 1     |                      |                                     |         | 0.246          |                      |         | 0.278 |
|       | Pain intensity prior | 0.474                               | <0.001  |                | 0.471                | <0.001  |       |
|       | to treatment         |                                     |         |                |                      |         |       |
|       | cPDT (ipsilateral)   | -0.026                              | 0.861   |                | 0.084                | 0.574   |       |
|       | cPTT (ipsilateral)   | -0.064                              | 0.688   |                | -0.024               | 0.880   |       |
|       | cPDT                 | -0.028                              | 0.849   |                | -0.067               | 0.642   |       |
|       | (contralateral)      |                                     |         |                |                      |         |       |
|       | cPTT (contralateral) | 0.130                               | 0.387   |                | 0.096                | 0.515   |       |
|       | TSP                  | 0.220                               | 0.008   |                | -0.264               | 0.002   |       |
| 2     |                      |                                     |         | 0.240          |                      |         | 0.269 |
|       | Pain intensity prior | 0.472                               | <0.001  |                | 0.472                | <0.001  |       |
|       | to treatment         |                                     |         |                |                      |         |       |
|       | TSP                  | -0.217                              | 0.009   |                | -0.264               | 0.001   |       |

Model 1 included pain intensity, cuff pain detection (cPDT) and tolerance thresholds (cPTT) assessed bilaterally and temporal summation of pain (TSP) assessed prior to treatment. Model 2 included significant factors from model 1 (using backwards selection). R<sup>2</sup> indicate the predictive value of each model.

