



## Hypoalgesia after bicycling at lactate threshold is reliable between sessions

Vaegter, Henrik Bjarke; Bjerregaard, Louise Kathrine; Redin, Mia-Maja; Rasmussen, Sara Hartung; Graven-Nielsen, Thomas

*Published in:*  
European Journal of Applied Physiology

*DOI (link to publication from Publisher):*  
[10.1007/s00421-018-4002-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-018-4002-0)

*Publication date:*  
2019

*Document Version*  
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Vaegter, H. B., Bjerregaard, L. K., Redin, M.-M., Rasmussen, S. H., & Graven-Nielsen, T. (2019). Hypoalgesia after bicycling at lactate threshold is reliable between sessions. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 119(1), 91-102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-018-4002-0>

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# European Journal of Applied Physiology

## HYPOALGESIA AFTER BICYCLING AT LACTATE THRESHOLD IS RELIABLE BETWEEN SESSIONS

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	EJAP-D-18-00433R1	
<b>Full Title:</b>	HYPOALGESIA AFTER BICYCLING AT LACTATE THRESHOLD IS RELIABLE BETWEEN SESSIONS	
<b>Article Type:</b>	Original Article	
<b>Keywords:</b>	Exercise; Exercise-induced hypoalgesia; Reliability; lactate; pressure pain thresholds; pain sensitivity	
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Ph.D. Pain Research Group, Pain Center, University Hospital Odense, Denmark DENMARK	
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>		
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Pain Research Group, Pain Center, University Hospital Odense, Denmark	
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>		
<b>First Author:</b>	Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Ph.D.	
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>		
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Ph.D. Louise Kathrine Bjerregaard Mia-Maja Redin Sara Hartung Rasmussen Thomas Graven-Nielsen	
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>		
<b>Funding Information:</b>	TGN is a part of Center for Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP) supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF121)	Prof Thomas Graven-Nielsen
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p><b>Purpose:</b> Exercise decreases pain sensitivity known as exercise-induced hypoalgesia (EIH). However, the consistency of EIH after an acute exercise protocol based on subjective ratings of perceived exertion has been questioned. Objectives were to compare the effect on pressure pain thresholds (PPTs) after bicycling with work-rate at the lactate threshold compared with quiet rest, and investigate between-session reliability of EIH.</p> <p><b>Methods:</b> Thirty-four healthy subjects completed three sessions with 7 days in-between. In session 1, the lactate threshold was determined via blood samples (finger-tip prick, &gt; 2 mmol/l increase from warm-up) during a graded bicycling task. In session 2 and 3, all subjects performed i) 15 min quiet-rest, and ii) 15 min bicycling (work-rate corresponding to the lactate threshold) in the two identical sessions. PPTs at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles were assessed before and after both conditions. Reliability was assessed by intraclass correlations (ICCs).</p> <p><b>Results:</b> Bicycling increased quadriceps PPT compared with quiet-rest in both sessions (mean difference: 45 kPa [95%CI: 19-72 kPa], <math>P=0.002</math>), however the increase in trapezius PPT was not significant after exercise. The EIH responses demonstrated fair between-session test-retest reliability (quadriceps: <math>ICC=0.45</math>; trapezius: <math>ICC=0.57</math>, <math>P&lt;0.05</math>), and agreement in EIH responders and non-responders between sessions was significant (quadriceps: <math>\kappa=0.46</math> and trapezius: <math>\kappa=0.43</math>, <math>P&lt;0.05</math>).</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> In conclusion, bicycling at the lactate threshold increased PPT at the exercising muscle with fair reliability of the local EIH response. The results have</p>	

	<p>implications for future EIH studies in subjects with and without pain and for clinicians who designs exercise programs for pain relief.</p>
<p><b>Response to Reviewers:</b></p>	<p>Reviewer #1: This manuscript outlines experiments aimed at assessing the reliability of the EIH response following exercise at lactate threshold. The manuscript is generally well written, the question is novel and interesting, and the experimental methods are adequate to answer the hypotheses posed by the researchers.</p> <p>RESPONSE: Thank you</p> <p>Please see my comments and questions below for ways to strengthen the manuscript.</p> <p>Major Comments</p> <p>1. There is a lack of reliability data on the EIH response and this should be highlighted to a greater extent in the introduction. Additionally, the fact that exercise at lactate threshold, rather than a fixed percentage of VO<sub>2</sub> peak or heart-rate reserve is novel and potentially accounts for differences in fitness levels among participants. This should also be highlighted to a greater extent.</p> <p>RESPONSE: This has been further highlighted in the 2nd and 3rd paragraph of the introduction in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>How and why was the intensity of lactate threshold chosen (why not slightly above or slightly below)? What are the common perceptual responses at lactate threshold (RPE, muscle pain?) are they actually more similar among participants than a fixed percentage of VO<sub>2</sub> peak?</p> <p>RESPONSE: Based on our previous EIH dose-response study (Vaegter et al., Pain 2014), we chose the intensity of the exact lactate threshold to 1) ensure that the exercise intensity was high enough to induce hypoalgesia, and 2) not so high that the influence of a higher peak muscle pain due to lactic acid build up could potentially influence the hypoalgesic response due to CPM effects. In hindsight, the intensity chosen may have been too low to induce hypoalgesia at the non-exercising trapezius muscle, and future studies might want to investigate test-retest reliability of EIH at e.g. 120% of lactate threshold. We have more clearly clarified the choices made about intensity in the 2nd paragraph of section 4.1 in the discussion. To our knowledge ratings of perceived exertion and muscle pain has not been compared between exercise protocols based on lactate threshold and percentage of VO<sub>2</sub> peak.</p> <p>2. The authors report their EIH responses as absolute changes (in kPa) from pre to post exercise. I would recommend calculating a percent change in PPT and reporting EIH in this manner as well. The percent change allows for differences among participants with different resting PPT values to be compared. It also allows for easier comparisons among testing sites where PPTs vary as happens in this study between the quad and trap muscles. If the authors chose to also report the absolute changes and/or absolute values from pre to post I would encourage them to run an ANCOVA on the data and co-vary for resting PPT.</p> <p>RESPONSE: Thank you for this suggestion. In the revised manuscript we also investigated EIH based on relative change in PPTs in addition to the analysis on absolute change in PPT. This has been added to the statistics section 2.6 and the results section 3.3. The effect was larger for the quadriceps muscle than the trapezius, however it did not change the results or interpretation of the findings.</p> <p>3. There appears to have been a clear "learning" or time effect as it relates to the RPE and muscle pain responses to the cycling exercise with values being lower during the second session. Do the authors think this might have impacted the EIH response and account for some the lower EIH response during the second bout? This should be addressed in the discussion and perhaps mentioned as a limitation.</p> <p>RESPONSE: It is definitely possible, that the learning effect in relation to RPE and muscle pain may play in role on the EIH response. However, as previous studies have demonstrated a link between EIH after aerobic exercise and the 'pain inhibits pain' mechanisms with greater EIH after painful exercise than non-painful exercise we expected that the EIH response would be larger in session 2. Interestingly, no systematic errors in EIH at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles between sessions were found indicating that the reduced RPE and muscle pain in session 3 did not significantly influence the EIH response suggesting that pain during exercise did not play a significant role in the magnitude of the EIH with this exercise protocol. In support of this, no significant associations were found between the EIH responses and the RPE or muscle pain during exercise. We have addressed this more thoroughly in the</p>

discussion (4th paragraph section 4.2) in the revised manuscript.

4. There was also an effect, although not always statistically significant, of the rest period. This should be discussed more fully in the discussion. Why did this happen?

RESPONSE: The fact that the increase in PPT after rest was only for the trapezius muscle and not the quadriceps muscle suggests that it was not related to contextual factors like anxiety due to the procedures, or distraction during assessment. One potential reason could be related to a larger habituation effect at this muscle (compared with the quadriceps muscle) which further supports the inclusion of a control condition when investigating EIH but also the responder/non-responder approach. We have addressed this more thoroughly in the discussion (1st paragraph section 4.2) in the revised manuscript.

5. The finding of a lack of EIH in the trap muscles is interesting and unexpected to me. There is clear evidence in the literature of systemic or extra-segmental changes in PPT following exercise. The authors should consider correlating the EIH response at both sites to RPE and pain experienced during cycling. This might provide some insight as to whether a CPM-like mechanism was at work.

RESPONSE: We agree that the lack of hypoalgesia in the trapezius muscle was unexpected. As more thoroughly discussed in the revised manuscript, the intensity chosen in this study may have been too low to induce hypoalgesia at the non-exercising trapezius muscle. However, similar findings after moderate intensity aerobic exercise have been presented by Naugle et al. 2014 (Med Sci Sports Exerc). As included in section 3.4 of the revised results and the correlation table (Table 1), significant correlations were found between peak HR and the change in PPT at the trapezius muscle after exercise in both sessions, which could indicate a link between a baroreceptor mechanism and EIH. However, after adjusting for multiple correlations, all associations between the EIH responses at the quadriceps or trapezius muscles and the peak NRS pain scores, heart rate and RPE during exercise turned insignificant (Table 1). This suggests that the hypoalgesia produced was not significantly related to systemic CPM-like mechanisms in this exercise protocol.

I would also suggest correlating the percent EIH in the quad to the percent EIH in the trap. It would be interesting to know if those with the largest local response also exhibited the largest systemic response (i.e. if they are a responder at one site are they a responder at both).

RESPONSE: Thanks for this suggestion. We included this analysis in the revised manuscript. As illustrated in Table 1 and in the results section 3.4, both the absolute and relative change in PPT at the quadriceps muscle was significantly associated with the change in PPT at the trapezius muscle after exercise in session 2. In session 3, this association was not significant. However, after adjusting for multiple correlations, all associations between the EIH responses at the quadriceps or trapezius muscles turned insignificant (Table 1).

#### Minor Comments

1. pp4, ln 38 - change "on" to one

RESPONSE: Thank you. This has been corrected.

2. Throughout the manuscript the authors confuse performance, intensity, and work rate during exercise. Intensity commonly refers to a metabolic rate, often expressed as a percentage of VO<sub>2</sub> peak. Performance commonly refers to time taken to complete a bout of work or a race. Work rate often refers to the measured power output during a bout of exercise. On pp. 12, ln 13 for example the authors state that men had a higher exercise intensity than women. The referenced figure shows work rate in watts rather than intensity in VO<sub>2</sub>. Please make appropriate changes to wording throughout the manuscript.

RESPONSE: Thanks a lot for bringing this to our attention. Throughout the revised manuscript and in Fig. 3A, intensity has been replaced by work rate.

3. Was testing performed in the same menstrual cycle phase for women?

RESPONSE: Unfortunately data were not collected in this study on the use of contraceptives, status of menopause, or menstrual cycle, which may affect the pain perception in the female participants (Riley et al. 1999). However, different phases of the menstrual cycle do not appear to influence the magnitude of the EIH response in

women (Hoeger Bement et al. 2009). This has been clarified in the 3rd paragraph of section 4.1 in the revised manuscript.

4. The suggestion that the shoulders are not exercising during cycling is curious to me. They are clearly used for maintaining posture. The authors might want to consider changing how they refer to the shoulders; perhaps as a "less active" muscle compared to the quad.

RESPONSE: Thanks for this suggestion. We agree that muscle activity in the upper trapezius muscle is likely part of the posture maintenance during bicycling with hands on the handlebar. We have changed non-exercising muscle to non-exercising/less active muscle in the revised manuscript.

5. In the discussion (pp. 16, ln 52) the authors refer to the fact that their data indicate that a familiarization session improved their reliability. There are multiple studies that have established this fact--I would recommend the authors citing those studies.

RESPONSE: We agree and have added reference for this in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer #2: Overall, I thought this was a well written and designed study. While many studies have been conducted on EIH, there is limited data on the reliability of EIH. Thus, studies like this are needed in the field of pain and exercise. I have just a few minor comments.

RESPONSE: Thank you

Methods 2.3: Is there a reference for the lactate threshold determination procedure? Is this a common method used to determine lactate threshold?

RESPONSE: Several different incremental cycling protocols that can be modified in terms of starting and subsequent work rates, increments and duration of each stage have been described in different studies. Bentley et al. 2007 (Sports Med) showed that modification of these parameters might influence the physiological variables tested especially submaximal and maximal variables like peak power output, but also lactate threshold. We have clarified this in the discussion section 4.1.

Methods 2.4: What was the order of the PPT assessments (quadriceps v trapezius)? Was the order counterbalanced among participants?

RESPONSE: The first PPT assessment at the quadriceps muscle was always assessed first followed by the first PPT assessment at the trapezius. The order was then reversed for the second assessment. This has been clarified in the methods section 2.4 in the revised manuscript.

Discussion - EIH after bicycling: I think it would be useful to describe the intensity of the exercise in terms of moderate or vigorous exercise. What intensity of aerobic exercise did the lactate threshold equate to for participants? Prior research shows that moderate intensity aerobic exercise does not decrease PPT's at a remote site, while vigorous aerobic exercise does (Naugle KM et al., 2014). Another study has demonstrated duration thresholds for aerobic EIH (Hoffman MD 2004). Is it possible that the 15 minute duration was not long enough to induce systemic pain inhibitory mechanisms?

RESPONSE: As described in the revised manuscript section 3.2, the mean intensity at the lactate threshold was  $151 \pm 39$  W. Based on subjects' RPE and HR during exercise the exercise equate moderate intensity which likely is the reason why remote EIH was not observed as this intensity might have been too low to elicit systemic EIH. This has been further discussed in section 4.1. Moreover, the study by Naugle et al. 2014 has been included as reference for this discussion. Regarding the exercise duration, previous studies (e.g. Vaegter et al. 2014; Vaegter et al. 2015; Vaegter et al. 2018) have demonstrated a robust EIH response based on pressure pain thresholds after 10-15 min aerobic exercise. However, it is possible that with aerobic exercise at moderate intensity a longer duration might have increased the EIH response as it has been demonstrated with isometric exercise.

1                                   **HYPOALGESIA AFTER BICYCLING AT LACTATE THRESHOLD**  
2  
3                                   **IS RELIABLE BETWEEN SESSIONS**  
4

5  
6                   Henrik Bjarke Vaegter<sup>1,2</sup>, Louise Kathrine Bjerregaard<sup>3</sup>, Mia-Maja Redin<sup>3</sup>, Sara Hartung  
7  
8                                   Rasmussen<sup>3</sup>, Thomas Graven-Nielsen<sup>4</sup>

9  
10                   <sup>1</sup> *Pain Research Group, Pain Center, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark*

11  
12                   <sup>2</sup> *Institute of Clinical Research, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark*

13  
14                   <sup>3</sup> *School of Physiotherapy, University College Lillebaelt, Odense, Denmark*

15  
16                   <sup>4</sup> *Center for Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP), SMI, Department of Health Science and*

17  
18                                   *Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark*  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26                   **Original paper for Eur J Appl Physiol**

27                   **Running title:** Reliability of aerobic exercise hypoalgesia  
28  
29  
30

31                   **Conflict of Interest and Source of Funding:** There are no actual or potential conflicts of interest  
32 for any of the authors. No funding was received for this study. TGN is a part of Center for  
33 Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP) supported by the Danish National Research Foundation  
34 (DNRF121).  
35  
36

37  
38  
39                   **Corresponding author:**

40                   Henrik Bjarke Vaegter Ph.D.

41                   Pain Research Group, Pain Center, University Hospital Odense

42                   Department of Clinical Research, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark

43                   Heden 7-9, Indgang 200

44                   DK – 5000 Odense C  
45  
46  
47

48                   Tel.: +45 65413869; fax: +45 65415064.

49                   E-mail address: henrik.bjarke.vaegter@rsyd.dk

50                   ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7707-9947  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63

1 **ABSTRACT**

2  
3 **Purpose:** Exercise decreases pain sensitivity known as exercise-induced hypoalgesia (EIH).  
4  
5  
6 However, the consistency of EIH after an acute exercise protocol based on subjective ratings of  
7  
8 perceived exertion has been questioned. Objectives were to compare the effect on pressure pain  
9  
10 thresholds (PPTs) after bicycling with work-rate at the lactate threshold compared with quiet rest,  
11  
12 and investigate between-session reliability of EIH.  
13

14  
15 **Methods:** Thirty-four healthy subjects completed three sessions with 7 days in-between. In session  
16  
17 1, the lactate threshold was determined via blood samples (finger-tip pinprick, > 2 mmol/l increase  
18  
19 from warm-up) during a graded bicycling task. In session 2 and 3, all subjects performed i) 15 min  
20  
21 quiet-rest, and ii) 15 min bicycling (work-rate corresponding to the lactate threshold) in the two  
22  
23 identical sessions. PPTs at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles were assessed before and after both  
24  
25 conditions. Reliability was assessed by intraclass correlations (ICCs).  
26  
27

28  
29 **Results:** Bicycling increased quadriceps PPT compared with quiet-rest in both sessions (mean  
30  
31 difference: 45 kPa [95%CI: 19–72 kPa],  $P=0.002$ ), however the increase in trapezius PPT was not  
32  
33 significant after exercise. The EIH responses demonstrated fair between-session test-retest  
34  
35 reliability (quadriceps:  $ICC=0.45$ ; trapezius:  $ICC=0.57$ ,  $P<0.05$ ), and agreement in EIH responders  
36  
37 and non-responders between sessions was significant (quadriceps:  $\kappa=0.46$  and trapezius:  $\kappa=0.43$ ,  
38  
39  $P<0.05$ ).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 **Conclusions:** In conclusion, bicycling at the lactate threshold increased PPT at the exercising  
46  
47 muscle with fair reliability of the local EIH response. The results have implications for future EIH  
48  
49 studies in subjects with and without pain and for clinicians who designs exercise programs for pain  
50  
51 relief.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 **Key words:** exercise, exercise-induced hypoalgesia, reliability, pressure pain thresholds, pain  
2  
3 sensitivity  
4  
5  
6

7  
8 **Abbreviations**  
9

10 BMI	Body mass index
11	
12 CI	Confidence interval
13	
14 CPM	Conditioned pain modulation
15	
16 EIH	Exercise-induced hypoalgesia
17	
18 ICC	Intraclass correlation coefficient
19	
20 kPa	Kilopascal
21	
22 [La-] <sub>b</sub>	Blood lactate concentration
23	
24 NRS	Numerical rating scale
25	
26 PPT	Pressure pain threshold
27	
28 RM-ANOVA	Repeated measures analysis of variance
29	
30 RPE	Rating of perceived exertion
31	
32 RPM	Rounds per minute
33	
34 SEM	Standard error of measurement
35	
36 VO <sub>2max</sub>	maximum rate of oxygen consumption
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	

1 **1. INTRODUCTION**

2  
3  
4 A decrease in the pain sensitivity following an acute bout of exercise also known as exercise-  
5  
6 induced hypoalgesia (EIH) has been demonstrated in healthy subjects (Vaegter et al. 2014). EIH is  
7  
8 often demonstrated as an increase in pressure pain threshold (PPT) at exercising or non-  
9  
10 exercising/less active muscles, and has been suggested to be an indicator of endogenous pain  
11  
12 inhibitory capacity (Lannersten & Kosek 2010). Reduced EIH responses or even the opposite (i.e.  
13  
14 hyperalgesic) has been demonstrated in subjects with chronic pain compared with pain-free controls  
15  
16 (Kosek et al. 1996; Lannersten & Kosek 2010). In addition to the discriminative value of EIH  
17  
18 between subjects with chronic pain compared with pain-free controls, the EIH response may be  
19  
20 related to treatment outcome after exercises (Fingleton et al. 2017) and surgery (Vaegter et al. 2017)  
21  
22 indicating clinical utility. Ensuring clinical applicability, adequate validity and test-retest reliability  
23  
24 is an essential prerequisite, but such knowledge on EIH is sparse.  
25  
26  
27  
28

29  
30 Only few studies have previously investigated test-retest reliability of the EIH response after  
31  
32 exercises. In two different studies, Vaegter and colleagues (Vaegter et al. 2018a; Vaegter et al.  
33  
34 2018b) found that an isometric wall squat exercise and an aerobic incremental aerobic bicycling  
35  
36 exercise, with a work-rate based on subjective ratings of perceived exertion, increased PPTs at  
37  
38 exercising and non-exercising/less active muscles in two sessions separated by one week compared  
39  
40 with a quiet-rest control condition, however the EIH responses demonstrated only fair between-  
41  
42 sessions test-retest reliability (based on intraclass correlations [ICCs]), and the agreement in  
43  
44 classification of EIH responders and non-responders between sessions was not significant. As  
45  
46 subjective ratings of perceived exertion during aerobic exercise varies significantly over identical  
47  
48 bicycling trials (Micalos et al. 2004; Vaegter et al. 2018a), it could be hypothesized that an exercise  
49  
50 protocol based on an objectively defined exercise work-rate would improve the reliability of the  
51  
52 EIH responses.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1           Several objective measures have been related to aerobic exercise performance (Jacobs et al.  
2  
3 2011) including lactate threshold. The lactate threshold is defined as the exercise work-rate beyond  
4  
5 which the blood lactate concentration  $[La-]_b$  increases more rapidly (Wasserman et al. 1973), often  
6  
7 occurring at exercise intensities above 50%  $VO_{2max}$  (Buono & Yeager 1986), and lactate threshold  
8  
9 can be used to accurately prescribe exercise work-rate. In healthy subjects as well as patient  
10  
11 populations, the lactate threshold appears to be a better predictor of exercise capacity than  $VO_{2max}$   
12  
13 (Bentley et al. 2007; Coyle et al. 1983). Moreover, as determination of  $VO_{2max}$  requires intense  
14  
15 exhaustion of the investigated subject it may be difficult to attain in subjects with chronic pain. In  
16  
17 addition, whether exercise at lactate threshold induces hypoalgesia has not previously been  
18  
19 investigated, whether exercise at lactate threshold induces hypoalgesia has not previously been  
20  
21 investigated.  
22  
23

24           This study investigated 1) the hypoalgesic response at the quadriceps femoris (exercising  
25  
26 muscle) and the upper trapezius muscle (non-exercising/less active muscle) after a 15 min bicycling  
27  
28 exercise with a work-rate corresponding to the lactate threshold compared with a 15 min resting  
29  
30 condition in healthy subjects, 2) the between session test-retest reliability of the test stimulus (PPT)  
31  
32 and the EIH responses at exercising and non-exercising/less active muscles based on ICC values,  
33  
34 and 3) the absolute between session test-retest reliability based on agreement in classification of  
35  
36 EIH responders and non-responders between sessions. It was hypothesized that 1) the exercise  
37  
38 protocol increase PPTs at exercising and non-exercising/less active muscles compared with the  
39  
40 resting condition, 2) PPTs and EIH demonstrate acceptable relative test-retest reliability, and 3) the  
41  
42 classification of EIH responders and non-responders show significant agreement between sessions.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

## 52 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 53 *2.1 Subjects*

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 In total, 37 healthy subjects were recruited by advertisement at the local university college, and  
2  
3 through social media. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki,  
4  
5 approved by the local ethical committee (S-20170129), and all subjects provided written informed  
6  
7 consent. None of the included subjects suffered from neurological, psychological, cardiovascular  
8  
9 diseases, had any pain or used any pain medication during the weeks prior to participation. Three  
10  
11 subjects had scheduling conflicts during the study period and did not attend all sessions. Thus, 34  
12  
13 healthy subjects ( $25.3 \pm 3.2$  (standard deviation) years old; [range 22–40 years]; average body mass  
14  
15 index (BMI)  $25.3 \pm 4.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> [range 19.9-34.7]; 9 left-handed; 16 women) were included. All  
16  
17 subjects were asked to refrain from physical exercises, coffee and alcohol on the days of  
18  
19 participation.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## 28 *2.2 Procedure*

29  
30 The experiment comprised three sessions. In session 1, subjects were i) verbally introduced to the  
31  
32 procedures and familiarized with the assessment of pressure pain threshold (PPT) at 2 body sites  
33  
34 including the sites used in session 2 and session 3, and ii) the lactate threshold were determined  
35  
36 sequentially via blood samples from finger-tip pinprick during a graded bicycling exercise task. In  
37  
38 session 2 and 3, PPTs were initially recorded from the dominant thigh and the non-dominant  
39  
40 shoulder. In addition, all subjects performed a 15 min quiet-rest condition, and a 15 min bicycling  
41  
42 exercise in each of the two identical sessions. PPTs were assessed before and immediately after the  
43  
44 quiet-rest and exercise conditions. Sessions were performed at the same time of the day and  
45  
46 separated by 1 week (Fig. 1). This time frame was chosen to minimize potential carry-over effects  
47  
48 from the pain sensitivity assessments and exertion after physical exercise between sessions as well  
49  
50 as avoiding extensive changes in physical fitness level within subjects.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 *2.3 Determination of lactate threshold*

2  
3  
4 The blood lactate response to a submaximal bicycling exercise was assessed while the subject was  
5  
6 seated on an electronically braked standard laboratory bicycle ergometer (Ergonomic 928E, Monark  
7  
8 Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden). Heart rate (Monark Heart Rate Monitor, Polar Electro, Lake  
9  
10 Success, NY, USA) was monitored continuously throughout the test and subjects rated the  
11  
12 perceived exertion (RPE) on a Borg's 6-20 scale, with 6 defined as 'no exertion at all' and 20 as  
13  
14 'maximal exertion'. The lactate threshold test was performed continuously in 3-min stages preceded  
15  
16 by 5 min light warm-up. The initial warm-up power output performed at eighty rounds per min  
17  
18 (RPM) was selected on the basis of the subject's gender and reported usual training workload  
19  
20 (Women, light to moderate usual workload: 60 W. Women, high usual workload: 80 W. Men, light  
21  
22 to moderate usual workload: 80 W. Men, high usual workload: 100 W). This warm-up power output  
23  
24 represented a "very light" (Borg scale rating of perceived exertion (RPE)  $\leq 9$ ) workload for 23 of  
25  
26 the subjects and 'light' (Borg scale rating of perceived exertion (RPE)  $\leq 11$ ) for 11 of the subjects.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Increments in power output were also selected based on gender and reported usual training  
34  
35 workload (Women, light to moderate usual workload: 15 W. Women, high usual workload: 20 W.  
36  
37 Men, light to moderate usual workload: 20 W. Men, high usual workload: 25 W), such that the test  
38  
39 concluded after a maximum of 10 workload stages (median number of stages 6). Blood samples  
40  
41 were taken via finger-tip pinprick at rest as well as during the last 30 seconds of the warm-up and  
42  
43 each 3-min workloads. Whole [La-]<sub>b</sub> was measured with a portable [La-]<sub>b</sub> analyzer (Lactate Pro2,  
44  
45 Arkray KDK, Kyoto, Japan) which has shown acceptable accuracy and reliability compared with a  
46  
47 criterion blood analyzer (Bonaventura et al. 2015). The lactate threshold test was terminated when  
48  
49 [La-]<sub>b</sub> began to rise exponentially ( $>2$  mmol/l from the value at rest followed by an additional  
50  
51 increase  $> 2$  mmol/l in the subsequent recording) ensuring that all subjects reached 4 mmol/l, which  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63

1 Heck et al. 1985). Since the  $[La-]_b$  value at lactate threshold may vary between subjects (Stegman et  
2 al. 1981) an increase in  $[La-]_b > 2$  mmol/l from the concentration after warm-up was used as lactate  
3 threshold instead of using a fixed  $[La-]_b$  value. Prior to the lactate threshold test, subjects were  
4 instructed not to do any intense or long duration exhausting exercises in the preceding 24 hours, not  
5 to change their normal dietary program throughout the study period, and to arrive 10 min ahead of  
6 their scheduled test time.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

#### 14 *2.4 Pressure pain threshold assessment*

15 PPTs were assessed with a handheld pressure algometer (Somedic Sales AB, Sweden) with a  
16 stimulation area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup>, and a pressure rate increase at approximately 30 kPa/s at the middle of  
17 the exercising dominant quadriceps muscle (fifteen centimeters proximal to the base of patella), and  
18 at the non-exercising/less active non-dominant upper trapezius muscle (ten centimeters from the  
19 acromion in direct line with the neck). Subjects were instructed to press a button when the pressure  
20 was perceived as the first sensation of minimal pain, and the pressure intensity defined the PPT.  
21 Two PPT assessments with 20 s intervals between assessments were completed for each site and the  
22 average was used for analysis. The first PPT assessment at the quadriceps muscle was always  
23 performed first followed by the first PPT assessment at the trapezius. The order was then reversed  
24 for the second assessment. Subjects were seated on a plinth without foot support and with both arms  
25 resting on the thighs during assessments.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

#### 50 *2.5 Quiet rest and bicycling conditions*

51 In the quiet rest conditions, subjects were instructed to relax in a seated position in a comfortable  
52 armchair for 15 min in a temperate and quiet room. Subjects performed two 15 min bicycling  
53 exercise conditions, in session 2 and session 3, respectively. The seat post of the stationary cycle  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 (Ergomedic 928E, Monark Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden) was adjusted so that the subject had  
2  
3 approximately five degree bend at the knee during the bottom phase of the pedal stroke. A heart rate  
4  
5 monitor (Monark Heart Rate Monitor, Polar Electro, Lake Success, NY, USA) was strapped around  
6  
7 the subject's chest. Just before the exercise condition the subject was instructed to rate pain  
8  
9 intensity in the legs on a 0-10 numerical rating scale (NRS), with 0 defined as 'no pain' and 10 'as  
10  
11 worst imaginable pain', and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) on Borg's 6-20 scale, with 6 defined  
12  
13 as 'no exertion at all' and 20 as 'maximal exertion'. In session 2 and session 3, the bicycling work-  
14  
15 rate was initially set to 50% of the lactate threshold. After 2.5 min of bicycling the resistance was  
16  
17 increased to 75% of the lactate threshold, and after 5 min of bicycling the resistance was set to  
18  
19 100% of the lactate threshold where after the subject continued bicycling at that work-rate for the  
20  
21 remaining time. Subjects were instructed to maintain a pedal rate as close to seventy-five rounds per  
22  
23 min (RPM) as possible throughout the 15 min bicycling exercise. Heart rate, pain intensity in the  
24  
25 legs, and RPE were assessed after 2, 4, 6, 9, 12 and 15 min.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

## 35 2.6 Statistics

36  
37 Results are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) in the text and as mean and standard  
38  
39 error of the mean (SEM) in figures. The distribution of PPTs across sessions did not deviate  
40  
41 significantly from normality (Shapiro-Wilks test:  $P > 0.16$ ). The effect of sessions and gender on  
42  
43 baseline PPTs was analyzed with a mixed-model analysis of variances (ANOVAs) with *session*  
44  
45 (*session 2 and session 3*) and *assessment site* (quadriceps and trapezius) as within subject factor and  
46  
47 *sex* as between subject factor.  
48  
49

50  
51 Changes in PPTs after bicycling and rest were compared in a repeated-measures ANOVA  
52  
53 (RM-ANOVA) with *session* (*session 2 and session 3*), *condition* (*exercise and rest*), and *assessment*  
54  
55 *site* (quadriceps and trapezius) as within subject factors, and *sex* as between subject factor. Analyses  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 were performed on absolute change (PPT-after minus PPT-before) and relative change ((PPT-after  
2 minus PPT-before) / PPT-before \* 100%) in PPTs. Comparison of exercise parameters between  
3  
4  
5  
6 session 2 and session 3 (NRS scores, RPE, and heart rate) were analyzed with RM-ANOVAs with  
7  
8 *session* (session 2 and session 3), and *time* (0, 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, and 15 min) as within subject factors  
9  
10 and *sex* as between subject factor. *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. In case of  
11  
12 significant factors or interactions in RM-ANOVAs, Bonferroni corrected post-hoc test (paired t-  
13  
14 tests) were used to correct for multiple comparisons. Pearson's correlational analyses were  
15  
16 performed to determine possible associations between the EIH responses and the peak NRS pain  
17  
18 scores, heart rate and RPE during exercise. Due to multiple correlational analyses, *P*-values equal to  
19  
20 or less than 0.002 (0.05 / 28) were considered significant for the correlations.  
21  
22  
23

24  
25 For assessment of test-retest reliability of PPTs (within-session and between-session) and EIH  
26  
27 (between-session), the systematic error between sets of PPT assessments (within-session: before  
28  
29 and after rest in second and third session; between-session: baseline second and third session) and  
30  
31 EIH responses (between-session: absolute change in PPTs after exercise in second and third  
32  
33 session) were determined using RM-ANOVAs. Persons *r* and intraclass correlations (ICCs) based  
34  
35 on a single rating, consistency, 2-way mixed effect model were used reflecting the ability of the  
36  
37 PPTs and EIH responses to differentiate values between individuals. An ICC above 0.75 was taken  
38  
39 as excellent reliability, 0.40–0.75 was fair to good reliability, and less than 0.40 defined poor  
40  
41 reliability (Shrout & Fleiss 1979).  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 Agreement in classification of EIH responders and EIH non-responders between session 2 and  
48  
49 session 3, were assessed by the standard error of PPT measurement used as classifier as some of the  
50  
51 change in PPT in response to exercise may be due to measurement error. The SEM for repeated  
52  
53 PPTs assessment (before and after rest) in session 2 and session 3 were estimated as the square root  
54  
55 of the mean square error term in the RM-ANOVA output (Weir 2005). Subjects who had an  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 increase in PPTs after exercise which was larger than 1xSEM of the PPTs was classified as EIH  
 2  
 3 responders and subjects who did not have an increase in PPTs after exercise larger than 1xSEM of  
 4  
 5 the PPTs was classified as EIH non-responders. Agreement in the frequency of EIH responders and  
 6  
 7 EIH non-responders between sessions was compared with Cohen's kappa coefficient. Data were  
 8  
 9 analyzed using SPSS Statistics, version 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14

### 15 **3. RESULTS**

#### 16 *3.1 Pain thresholds at baseline*

17  
 18 In sessions 2 and 3, baseline PPTs was higher in men (quadriceps:  $498 \pm 120$  kPa,  $572 \pm 162$  kPa  
 19  
 20 and trapezius:  $297 \pm 76$  kPa,  $310 \pm 86$  kPa) compared with women (quadriceps:  $429 \pm 145$  kPa,  $448$   
 21  
 22  $\pm 168$  kPa and trapezius:  $223 \pm 77$  kPa,  $243 \pm 91$  kPa; ANOVA:  $F(1,32) = 6.29$ ,  $P = 0.017$ ).  
 23  
 24  
 25

26  
 27 Moreover, a significant main effect of assessment site was found (ANOVA:  $F(1,32) = 183.13$ ,  $P <$   
 28  
 29  $0.001$ ) with post-hoc test showing that PPT at the quadriceps muscle was significantly higher  
 30  
 31 compared with PPT at the trapezius muscle ( $P < 0.001$ ).  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36

#### 37 *3.2 Lactate threshold*

38  
 39 The  $[La]_b$  was increased after warm-up ( $1.8 \pm 0.7$  mmol/l) compared with rest ( $1.4 \pm 0.4$  mmol/l;  
 40  
 41 ANOVA:  $F(1,33) = 10.50$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ), but not significantly different between women and men ( $P =$   
 42  
 43  $0.95$ ). The median number of 3-min workload stages during lactate threshold determination was 6  
 44  
 45 (range: 4-9), and the corresponding  $[La]_b$  when the test was terminated was not significantly  
 46  
 47 different between women ( $6.3 \pm 1.1$  mmol/l) and men ( $6.2 \pm 1.2$  mmol/l;  $P = 0.81$ ). Mean work-rate  
 48  
 49 at the lactate threshold was  $151 \pm 39$  W. The exercise work-rate determined at the lactate threshold  
 50  
 51 was larger in men ( $166 \pm 43$  W) compared with women ( $135 \pm 29$  W;  $P = 0.02$ ).  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63

### 3.3 Comparison of bicycling and rest

The ANOVAs of absolute and relative change in PPTs after bicycling and rest demonstrated a significant interaction between conditions and assessment sites (Fig 2; ANOVA:  $F(1,33) = 8.30$ ,  $P = 0.007$ ) with post-hoc test showing a larger increase in PPT at the exercising quadriceps muscle (session 2: 13.5%; session 3: 15.7%) after bicycling in both sessions compared with the resting condition (mean difference: 45 kPa [95% CI: 19 – 72 kPa],  $P = 0.002$ ). No significant difference between bicycling (session 2: 4.6%; session 3: 5.8%) and rest (session 2: 5.9%; session 3: 7.4%) was found at the non-exercising/less active trapezius muscle ( $P = 0.81$ ). No significant absolute or relative changes in PPTs after bicycling ( $F(1,32) < 1.28$ ,  $P > 0.27$ ) were found between women and men.

### 3.4 Comparison of exercise parameters between sessions

The work-rate of bicycling in the two sessions did not differ as identical protocols were used, however a significant effect of gender was found with higher exercise work-rate in men compared with women (mean difference: 23.1 W [95% CI: 3.7 – 42.5]; Fig. 3A, ANOVA:  $F(1,32) = 5.86$ ,  $P = 0.021$ ).

Heart rate during exercise increased over time (Fig. 3B;  $F(6,192) = 908.25$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) with post-hoc test showing progressively increasing higher heart among all time points ( $P < 0.001$ ). Moreover, heart rate during exercise was higher in women compared with men (mean difference: 11.5 beats/min [95% CI: 3.7 – 19.2]; ANOVA:  $F(1,32) = 9.01$ ,  $P = 0.005$ ). No significant difference in heart rate between sessions were found (ANOVA:  $F(1,32) = 0.88$ ,  $P = 0.36$ ).

Rating of perceived exertion was increased over time (Fig. 3C; ANOVA:  $F(6,192) = 712.34$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) with post-hoc test showing increasing RPE over time (all time points,  $P < 0.001$ ). Moreover, a difference was found between session 2 and session 3 ( $F(1,32) = 6.05$ ,  $P = 0.019$ ) with

1 higher RPE during exercise in session 2 compared with session 3 (mean RPE difference: 0.35 [95%  
 2 CI: 0.06 – 0.64];  $P = 0.019$ ). No significant difference in RPE between women and men were found  
 3  
 4  
 5  
 6 (ANOVA:  $F(1,32) = 0.25$ ,  $P = 0.62$ ).  
 7

8       The NRS ratings of leg pain intensity during bicycling increased over time (Fig. 3D;  
 9 ANOVA:  $F(6,192) = 48.48$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) with post-hoc test showing increased NRS scores over time  
 10  
 11 (all time points,  $P < 0.001$ ) except between the first two assessments (0 min and 2 min). Moreover,  
 12  
 13 a difference was found in reported NRS pain scores between session 2 and session 3 (ANOVA:  
 14  
 15  $F(1,32) = 8.64$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ) with higher pain NRS scores in session 2 compared with session 3 (mean  
 16  
 17 NRS difference: 0.25 [95% CI: 0.08 - 0.42];  $P = 0.006$ ). No significant difference in peak NRS  
 18  
 19 scores of pain intensity between session 2 ( $2.5 \pm 2.0$ ) and session 3 ( $2.2 \pm 2.0$ ;  $P = 0.08$ ), and  
 20  
 21 between men and women were found ( $P = 0.48$ ).  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

26  
 27       Significant correlations were found between peak HR and the change in PPT at the trapezius  
 28  
 29 muscle after exercise in both sessions, which could indicate a link between a baroreceptor  
 30  
 31 mechanism and EIH. Moreover, both the absolute and relative change in PPT at the quadriceps  
 32  
 33 muscle was significantly associated with the change in PPT at the trapezius muscle after exercise in  
 34  
 35 session 2 suggesting that subjects with the largest local EIH response also exhibited the largest  
 36  
 37 systemic EIH response. In session 3, this association was not significant. However, after adjusting  
 38  
 39 for multiple correlations, all associations between the EIH responses at the quadriceps or trapezius  
 40  
 41 muscles and the peak NRS pain scores, heart rate and RPE during exercise turned insignificant  
 42  
 43 (Table 1).  
 44  
 45  
 46  
 47  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51  
 52

### 53 *3.5 Test-retest reliability of PPTs and EIH*

54  
 55 Within-session test-retest reliability of PPT at the quadriceps muscle showed no systematic errors  
 56  
 57 between two repeated assessments separated by 15 min quiet rest, although the difference in session  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62

3 approached significance (ANOVA:  $F(1,33) < 3.80$ ,  $P > 0.06$ ); assessments were strongly  
 4 correlated ( $r \geq 0.91$ ), and ICCs were excellent with values  $\geq 0.95$  (Table 2). However, within-  
 5 session test-retest reliability of PPT at the trapezius muscle showed higher PPT after rest compared  
 6 with before rest in both sessions (ANOVA:  $F(1,33) > 6.21$ ,  $P > 0.02$ ); assessments were strongly  
 7 correlated ( $r \geq 0.92$ ), and ICCs were excellent with values  $\geq 0.96$ .

8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28  
 29  
 30  
 31  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40  
 41  
 42  
 43  
 44  
 45  
 46  
 47  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65  
 66  
 67  
 68  
 69  
 70  
 71  
 72  
 73  
 74  
 75  
 76  
 77  
 78  
 79  
 80  
 81  
 82  
 83  
 84  
 85  
 86  
 87  
 88  
 89  
 90  
 91  
 92  
 93  
 94  
 95  
 96  
 97  
 98  
 99  
 100  
 101  
 102  
 103  
 104  
 105  
 106  
 107  
 108  
 109  
 110  
 111  
 112  
 113  
 114  
 115  
 116  
 117  
 118  
 119  
 120  
 121  
 122  
 123  
 124  
 125  
 126  
 127  
 128  
 129  
 130  
 131  
 132  
 133  
 134  
 135  
 136  
 137  
 138  
 139  
 140  
 141  
 142  
 143  
 144  
 145  
 146  
 147  
 148  
 149  
 150  
 151  
 152  
 153  
 154  
 155  
 156  
 157  
 158  
 159  
 160  
 161  
 162  
 163  
 164  
 165  
 166  
 167  
 168  
 169  
 170  
 171  
 172  
 173  
 174  
 175  
 176  
 177  
 178  
 179  
 180  
 181  
 182  
 183  
 184  
 185  
 186  
 187  
 188  
 189  
 190  
 191  
 192  
 193  
 194  
 195  
 196  
 197  
 198  
 199  
 200  
 201  
 202  
 203  
 204  
 205  
 206  
 207  
 208  
 209  
 210  
 211  
 212  
 213  
 214  
 215  
 216  
 217  
 218  
 219  
 220  
 221  
 222  
 223  
 224  
 225  
 226  
 227  
 228  
 229  
 230  
 231  
 232  
 233  
 234  
 235  
 236  
 237  
 238  
 239  
 240  
 241  
 242  
 243  
 244  
 245  
 246  
 247  
 248  
 249  
 250  
 251  
 252  
 253  
 254  
 255  
 256  
 257  
 258  
 259  
 260  
 261  
 262  
 263  
 264  
 265  
 266  
 267  
 268  
 269  
 270  
 271  
 272  
 273  
 274  
 275  
 276  
 277  
 278  
 279  
 280  
 281  
 282  
 283  
 284  
 285  
 286  
 287  
 288  
 289  
 290  
 291  
 292  
 293  
 294  
 295  
 296  
 297  
 298  
 299  
 300  
 301  
 302  
 303  
 304  
 305  
 306  
 307  
 308  
 309  
 310  
 311  
 312  
 313  
 314  
 315  
 316  
 317  
 318  
 319  
 320  
 321  
 322  
 323  
 324  
 325  
 326  
 327  
 328  
 329  
 330  
 331  
 332  
 333  
 334  
 335  
 336  
 337  
 338  
 339  
 340  
 341  
 342  
 343  
 344  
 345  
 346  
 347  
 348  
 349  
 350  
 351  
 352  
 353  
 354  
 355  
 356  
 357  
 358  
 359  
 360  
 361  
 362  
 363  
 364  
 365  
 366  
 367  
 368  
 369  
 370  
 371  
 372  
 373  
 374  
 375  
 376  
 377  
 378  
 379  
 380  
 381  
 382  
 383  
 384  
 385  
 386  
 387  
 388  
 389  
 390  
 391  
 392  
 393  
 394  
 395  
 396  
 397  
 398  
 399  
 400  
 401  
 402  
 403  
 404  
 405  
 406  
 407  
 408  
 409  
 410  
 411  
 412  
 413  
 414  
 415  
 416  
 417  
 418  
 419  
 420  
 421  
 422  
 423  
 424  
 425  
 426  
 427  
 428  
 429  
 430  
 431  
 432  
 433  
 434  
 435  
 436  
 437  
 438  
 439  
 440  
 441  
 442  
 443  
 444  
 445  
 446  
 447  
 448  
 449  
 450  
 451  
 452  
 453  
 454  
 455  
 456  
 457  
 458  
 459  
 460  
 461  
 462  
 463  
 464  
 465  
 466  
 467  
 468  
 469  
 470  
 471  
 472  
 473  
 474  
 475  
 476  
 477  
 478  
 479  
 480  
 481  
 482  
 483  
 484  
 485  
 486  
 487  
 488  
 489  
 490  
 491  
 492  
 493  
 494  
 495  
 496  
 497  
 498  
 499  
 500  
 501  
 502  
 503  
 504  
 505  
 506  
 507  
 508  
 509  
 510  
 511  
 512  
 513  
 514  
 515  
 516  
 517  
 518  
 519  
 520  
 521  
 522  
 523  
 524  
 525  
 526  
 527  
 528  
 529  
 530  
 531  
 532  
 533  
 534  
 535  
 536  
 537  
 538  
 539  
 540  
 541  
 542  
 543  
 544  
 545  
 546  
 547  
 548  
 549  
 550  
 551  
 552  
 553  
 554  
 555  
 556  
 557  
 558  
 559  
 560  
 561  
 562  
 563  
 564  
 565  
 566  
 567  
 568  
 569  
 570  
 571  
 572  
 573  
 574  
 575  
 576  
 577  
 578  
 579  
 580  
 581  
 582  
 583  
 584  
 585  
 586  
 587  
 588  
 589  
 590  
 591  
 592  
 593  
 594  
 595  
 596  
 597  
 598  
 599  
 600  
 601  
 602  
 603  
 604  
 605  
 606  
 607  
 608  
 609  
 610  
 611  
 612  
 613  
 614  
 615  
 616  
 617  
 618  
 619  
 620  
 621  
 622  
 623  
 624  
 625  
 626  
 627  
 628  
 629  
 630  
 631  
 632  
 633  
 634  
 635  
 636  
 637  
 638  
 639  
 640  
 641  
 642  
 643  
 644  
 645  
 646  
 647  
 648  
 649  
 650  
 651  
 652  
 653  
 654  
 655  
 656  
 657  
 658  
 659  
 660  
 661  
 662  
 663  
 664  
 665  
 666  
 667  
 668  
 669  
 670  
 671  
 672  
 673  
 674  
 675  
 676  
 677  
 678  
 679  
 680  
 681  
 682  
 683  
 684  
 685  
 686  
 687  
 688  
 689  
 690  
 691  
 692  
 693  
 694  
 695  
 696  
 697  
 698  
 699  
 700  
 701  
 702  
 703  
 704  
 705  
 706  
 707  
 708  
 709  
 710  
 711  
 712  
 713  
 714  
 715  
 716  
 717  
 718  
 719  
 720  
 721  
 722  
 723  
 724  
 725  
 726  
 727  
 728  
 729  
 730  
 731  
 732  
 733  
 734  
 735  
 736  
 737  
 738  
 739  
 740  
 741  
 742  
 743  
 744  
 745  
 746  
 747  
 748  
 749  
 750  
 751  
 752  
 753  
 754  
 755  
 756  
 757  
 758  
 759  
 760  
 761  
 762  
 763  
 764  
 765  
 766  
 767  
 768  
 769  
 770  
 771  
 772  
 773  
 774  
 775  
 776  
 777  
 778  
 779  
 780  
 781  
 782  
 783  
 784  
 785  
 786  
 787  
 788  
 789  
 790  
 791  
 792  
 793  
 794  
 795  
 796  
 797  
 798  
 799  
 800  
 801  
 802  
 803  
 804  
 805  
 806  
 807  
 808  
 809  
 810  
 811  
 812  
 813  
 814  
 815  
 816  
 817  
 818  
 819  
 820  
 821  
 822  
 823  
 824  
 825  
 826  
 827  
 828  
 829  
 830  
 831  
 832  
 833  
 834  
 835  
 836  
 837  
 838  
 839  
 840  
 841  
 842  
 843  
 844  
 845  
 846  
 847  
 848  
 849  
 850  
 851  
 852  
 853  
 854  
 855  
 856  
 857  
 858  
 859  
 860  
 861  
 862  
 863  
 864  
 865  
 866  
 867  
 868  
 869  
 870  
 871  
 872  
 873  
 874  
 875  
 876  
 877  
 878  
 879  
 880  
 881  
 882  
 883  
 884  
 885  
 886  
 887  
 888  
 889  
 890  
 891  
 892  
 893  
 894  
 895  
 896  
 897  
 898  
 899  
 900  
 901  
 902  
 903  
 904  
 905  
 906  
 907  
 908  
 909  
 910  
 911  
 912  
 913  
 914  
 915  
 916  
 917  
 918  
 919  
 920  
 921  
 922  
 923  
 924  
 925  
 926  
 927  
 928  
 929  
 930  
 931  
 932  
 933  
 934  
 935  
 936  
 937  
 938  
 939  
 940  
 941  
 942  
 943  
 944  
 945  
 946  
 947  
 948  
 949  
 950  
 951  
 952  
 953  
 954  
 955  
 956  
 957  
 958  
 959  
 960  
 961  
 962  
 963  
 964  
 965  
 966  
 967  
 968  
 969  
 970  
 971  
 972  
 973  
 974  
 975  
 976  
 977  
 978  
 979  
 980  
 981  
 982  
 983  
 984  
 985  
 986  
 987  
 988  
 989  
 990  
 991  
 992  
 993  
 994  
 995  
 996  
 997  
 998  
 999  
 1000

Between-session test-retest reliability of PPT at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles,  
 respectively, showed no systematic errors, although the PPT quadriceps difference between sessions  
 approached significance (ANOVA:  $F(1,33) < 4.04$ ,  $P > 0.05$ ), which was also reflected in the 95%  
 CI of the mean differences, where zero lies within the interval. Moreover, between sessions  
 assessments were moderately correlated ( $r \geq 0.62$ ), and ICCs were excellent with values  $\geq 0.76$  for  
 both sites (Table 3).

### 3.6 Change in PPTs after exercise considered as an EIH responder

No systematic errors in EIH at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles between sessions  
 were found (ANOVA:  $F(1,33) = 1.41$ ,  $P > 0.24$ ), and between-session test-retest reliability of EIH  
 at the quadriceps (local EIH) and trapezius (remote EIH) muscles were fair to good with ICCs of  
 0.45 and 0.57, respectively (Table 3).

The minimal differences needed between two PPT assessments separated by 15 min on a subject for  
 the difference in the PPT for a subject to be considered an EIH responder were 43 kPa and 57 kPa  
 for quadriceps and 19 kPa and 27 kPa on trapezius in session 2 and session 3, respectively (Table  
 2). Nineteen and 20 subjects demonstrated increases in PPT at the quadriceps muscle larger than  
 1xSEM in session 2 and session 3, respectively. Fifteen subjects were classified as local EIH  
 responders in both sessions, and 10 subjects were consistently classified as EIH non-responders  
 (Table 4;  $\kappa = 0.46$  (95% CI: 0.17 to 0.75),  $P = 0.007$ ). Twelve and 13 subjects demonstrated

1 increases in PPT at the trapezius muscle larger than 1xSEM at session 2 and session 3, respectively.  
2  
3 Eight subjects were classified as EIH responders in both sessions, and seventeen subjects were  
4  
5 consistently classified as EIH non-responders in both sessions (Table 4;  $\kappa = 0.43$  (95% CI: 0.11 to  
6  
7 0.74),  $P = 0.012$ ).  
8  
9

#### 10 11 12 **4. DISCUSSION** 13

14  
15 As hypothesized, the bicycling exercise significantly increased PPT at the exercising quadriceps  
16  
17 muscle in both sessions compared with a duration matched quiet rest control condition, however  
18  
19 contrary to the hypothesis the increase in trapezius PPT was not significantly different after exercise  
20  
21 and quiet rest. The EIH response at exercising and non-exercising/less active muscles demonstrated  
22  
23 fair to good between-session test-retest reliability. In addition, the agreement in EIH responders and  
24  
25 non-responders between sessions was significant. Assessment of PPTs showed excellent within-  
26  
27 session and between-session test-retest reliability.  
28  
29  
30

##### 31 32 33 *4.1 EIH after bicycling* 34 35

36  
37 Bicycling at individual-based lactate threshold work-rate increased PPT at the exercising quadriceps  
38  
39 muscle, which is in accordance with previous research on local versus remote effects after aerobic  
40  
41 exercise (Vaegter et al. 2014; Vaegter et al. 2018a). However, rather unexpected the bicycling  
42  
43 exercise did not have specific exercise-related effects on the non-exercising/less active muscle  
44  
45 compared with quiet rest. These findings indicate that hypoalgesia after the exercise protocol used  
46  
47 in this study is primarily related to activation of local or segmental pain inhibitory mechanisms, and  
48  
49 not systemic pain inhibitory mechanisms with widespread anti-nociceptive effects demonstrated for  
50  
51 other aerobic exercise protocols (Ellingson et al. 2014; Vaegter et al. 2014; Vaegter et al. 2015;  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57 Vaegter et al. 2018a). Hypoalgesia at the exercising muscle could be related to the Gate Control  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 Theory (Melzack & Wall 1965), where limb movement during exercise may excite large diameter  
2 afferent nerve fibers inhibiting nociceptive. Interestingly, in healthy subjects, passive movements  
3 induced hypoalgesia compared with a control condition, indicating a potential role of joint  
4 movement or proprioception in EIH (Nielsen et al. 2009). However, if this was the main  
5 mechanism, aerobic exercise at a low work-rate would also be expected to produce hypoalgesia in  
6 the exercising body parts, which is often not the case in healthy subjects (Vaegter et al. 2014).  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

15 Lack of increase in PPT at a remote/non-exercising site after moderate work-rate aerobic  
16 exercise as used in this study are in agreement with a previous study demonstrating increases in  
17 PPT only after vigorous work-rate exercise (Naugle et al. 2014). The lack of a multisegmental  
18 hypoalgesic response after exercise in this study may be related to the relatively low pain intensity  
19 experienced during the exercise condition which was nearly half compared with pain intensity  
20 experienced during bicycling protocols used previously (Vaegter et al. 2018a). Based on a previous  
21 EIH dose-response study (Vaegter et al. 2014), the work-rate of the exact lactate threshold was  
22 chosen to ensure that the exercise work-rate was high enough to induce hypoalgesia, but not so high  
23 that the influence of a higher peak muscle pain due to lactic acid build up would potentially  
24 influence the hypoalgesic response due to CPM effects. Previous studies have demonstrated a link  
25 between EIH after aerobic exercise and the ‘pain inhibits pain’ mechanisms. Ellingson and  
26 colleagues showed that EIH after bicycling was greater following painful exercise than non-painful  
27 exercise (Ellingson et al. 2014), and this hypothesis is further supported by studies in chronic pain  
28 patients finding an association between reduced EIH and reduced CPM (Fingleton et al. 2017;  
29 Vaegter et al. 2016). In contrast to these findings, a recent EIH study showed a larger EIH response  
30 in a session when less pain was experienced during bicycling exercise compared to a session where  
31 more intense pain was reported during exercise (Vaegter et al. 2018a). Although the reduced muscle  
32 pain rating may be associated with the larger EIH response, thus supporting the hypothesis of  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 shared mechanisms, further research on the mechanisms of EIH is warranted. In addition, as the  
2  
3 work-rate chosen in this study may have been too low to induce hypoalgesia at the non-  
4  
5 exercising/less active trapezius muscle, future studies might want to investigate test-retest reliability  
6  
7 of EIH at e.g. 120% of lactate threshold. It should also be noted that several different incremental  
8  
9 cycling protocols that can be modified in terms of starting and subsequent work rates, increments  
10  
11 and duration of each stage have been described for determination of [La-]<sub>b</sub>, and it has previously  
12  
13 been shown that modification of these parameters might influence the physiological variables tested  
14  
15 including the lactate threshold (Bentley et al. 2007).  
16  
17  
18

19  
20 The EIH responses did not differ between women and men, which is in agreement with  
21  
22 previous studies showing comparable EIH responses in men and women (Hoffman et al 2004;  
23  
24 Kosek & Lundberg 2003; Vaegter et al. 2015). However, other studies have shown larger effects in  
25  
26 women (Koltyn et al. 2001; Sternberg et al. 2001), and limitations regarding the gender effects  
27  
28 should be considered. First of all, this study was not powered to detect potential gender differences  
29  
30 as it was not the primary aim. Moreover, no data were collected on menstrual cycle or the use of  
31  
32 contraceptives which may affect pain perception in women (Riley et al. 1999). However, different  
33  
34 phases of the menstrual cycle do not appear to influence the magnitude of the EIH response in women  
35  
36 (Hoeger Bement et al. 2009).  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

#### 45 *4.2 Reliability of PPT and EIH*

46 Although the results showed some systematic bias for quadriceps in session 3 and for trapezius in  
47  
48 both sessions, the within-session (before and after rest) and between-session test-retest reliability  
49  
50 for PPTs at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles demonstrated excellent ICC values (>0.75)  
51  
52 suggesting that PPT is a reliable quantitative method to assess muscle pain sensitivity in humans.  
53  
54

55 This finding is in accordance with previous PPT reliability studies reporting good to excellent ICC  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 values (Graven-Nielsen et al. 2015; Vaegter et al. 2016; Vaegter et al. 2018a). Moreover, the SEM  
2 reported for PPTs at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles in this study was smaller than previously  
3 shown at the same muscles within a similar re-test period of 15 min (Vaegter et al. 2018a),  
4 indicating that the inclusion of an initial familiarization session reduces the measurement error,  
5 which are in agreement with previous findings (Balaguier et al. 2016). It should be noted that one of  
6 the main limitations of this study were the non-randomized order between quiet rest and exercise as  
7 that SEM for the PPTs were determined based on the quiet rest control condition which was  
8 performed before the bicycling condition in session 2 and session 3. In case, the within-session  
9 variability in PPTs decreases over time due to a training effect of repeated assessments, the SEM  
10 could be overestimated. Finally, although not consistently significant some variation was observed in  
11 PPT at the trapezius muscle with increasing PPT after rest. The fact that the increase in PPT after rest  
12 was only for the trapezius muscle and not the quadriceps muscle suggests that it was not related to  
13 contextual factors like anxiety due to the procedures, or distraction during assessment. One  
14 potential reason could be related to a larger habituation effect at this muscle (compared with the  
15 quadriceps muscle) which further supports the inclusion of a control condition when investigating  
16 EIH but also the responder/non-responder approach.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 The EIH response produced at the exercising quadriceps muscle after the bicycling exercise  
41 were comparable between the two sessions, and the between-session test-retest reliability for EIH  
42 showed a fair to good ICC value. Moreover, the agreement in classification of EIH responders and  
43 non-responders with 1xSEM of the PPTs as classifier between sessions was significant indicating  
44 improved reliability of this exercise protocol compared to a previous aerobic exercise protocol  
45 (Vaegter et al. 2018a).

46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 Although the increase in PPT at the non-exercising/less active muscle was comparable  
55 between bicycling and rest, the reliability of the systemic EIH response was fair to good ICC, and  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 the agreement in classification of EIH responders and non-responders between sessions was  
2  
3 significant. These findings could indicate that although the aerobic exercise protocol didn't induce  
4  
5 multisegmental hypoalgesia on a group level, approximately 30% of the included subjects  
6  
7 consistently had a systemic EIH response across sessions.  
8

9  
10  
11 Despite significant agreement in classification between EIH responders and non-responders  
12  
13 between sessions 25% of the subjects (n = 9) had discrepancies in their EIH classification between  
14  
15 sessions. This could be influenced by training effects (e.g., performing the first test serves as  
16  
17 practice for subsequent tests) as more subjects were classified as EIH responders in session 3 than  
18  
19 in session 2. Significant differences between session 2 and session 3 in leg pain intensity and ratings  
20  
21 of exertion during exercise may also influence the results. Interestingly, no systematic errors in EIH  
22  
23 at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles between sessions were found although RPE and muscle  
24  
25 pain intensity was significantly reduced in session 3 compared with session 2, suggesting that pain  
26  
27 during exercise did not play a significant role in the magnitude of the EIH with this exercise  
28  
29 protocol. In support of this, no significant associations were found between the EIH responses and  
30  
31 the RPE or muscle pain during exercise. Further standardization of these factors should be  
32  
33 investigated in future EIH reliability studies. Moreover, although increases in PPTs are observed  
34  
35 rather consistently after exercises, other aspects of the pain experience (e.g. pain tolerance) may be  
36  
37 modulated more reliably by exercise, and should be investigated in the future.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

#### 47 *4.3 Conclusions*

48  
49  
50 A short bicycling exercise at the individual-based lactate threshold increased PPT at the exercising  
51  
52 muscle compared with a duration matched control condition. The EIH response showed fair to good  
53  
54 between-session reliability, and the classification of EIH responders and non-responders between  
55  
56 sessions were significant. Strict standardization procedures in relation to the exercise protocol seem  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 to increase the reliability of the EIH response compared to previous studies (Vaegter et al. 2018a),  
2  
3 and this should be considered in future EIH studies. The results have implications for future EIH  
4  
5 studies in subjects with and without pain and for clinicians who designs exercise programs for pain  
6  
7 relief. Future research is warranted to investigate the applicability of these findings in a clinical pain  
8  
9  
10  
11 population.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 **REFERENCES**

- 2  
3  
4 1. Balaguier R, Madeleine P, Vuillerme N. Is one trial sufficient to obtain excellent pressure pain  
5  
6 threshold reliability in the low back of asymptomatic individuals? A test-retest study. PLoS One  
7  
8 2016;11(8):e0160866.
- 9  
10 2. Bentley DJ, Newell J, Bishop D. Incremental exercise test design and analysis: implications for  
11  
12 performance diagnostics in endurance athletes. Sports Med 2007;37(7):575-86.
- 13  
14 3. Bonaventura JM, Sharpe K, Knight E, Fuller KL, Tanner RK, Gore CJ. Reliability and accuracy  
15  
16 of six hand-held blood lactate analysers. J Sports Sci Med 2015;14(1):203-14.
- 17  
18 4. Buono MJ, Yeager JE. Intraerythrocyte and plasma lactate concentrations during exercise in  
19  
20 humans. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1986;55(3):326-9.
- 21  
22 5. Coyle EF, Martin WH, Ehsani AA, et al. Blood lactate threshold in some well-trained ischemic  
23  
24 heart disease patients. J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol 1983;54(1):18-23.
- 25  
26 6. Ellingson LD, Koltyn KF, Kim JS, Cook DB. Does exercise induce hypoalgesia through  
27  
28 conditioned pain modulation? Psychophysiology 2014;51(3):267-76.
- 29  
30 7. Fingleton C, Smart K, Doody C. Exercise-induced Hypoalgesia in People with Knee  
31  
32 Osteoarthritis with Normal and Abnormal Conditioned Pain Modulation. Clin J Pain  
33  
34 2017;33(5):395-404.
- 35  
36 8. Graven-Nielsen T, Vaegter HB, Finocchietti S, Handberg G, Arendt-Nielsen L. Assessment of  
37  
38 musculoskeletal pain sensitivity and temporal summation by cuff pressure algometry: A reliability  
39  
40 study. Pain 2015;156(11):2193-202.
- 41  
42 9. Heck H, Mader A, Hess G, Mücke S, Müller R, Hollman W. Justification of the 4-mmol/l lactate  
43  
44 threshold. Int J Sports Med 1985;6:117-130.
- 45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

- 1 10. Hoeger Bement MK, Rasiarimos RL, DiCapo JM. The role of the menstrual phase in pain  
2 perception before and after an isometric fatiguing contraction. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2009;106(1):105-  
3  
4  
5  
6 12.
- 7  
8 11. Hoffman MD, Shepanski MA, Ruble SB, Valic Z, Buckwalter JB, Clifford PS. Intensity and  
9  
10 duration threshold for aerobic exercise-induced analgesia to pressure pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*  
11  
12 2004;85(7):1183-7.
- 13  
14 12. Jacobs RA, Rasmussen P, Siebenmann C, et al. Determinants of time trial performance and  
15  
16 maximal incremental exercise in highly trained endurance athletes. *J Appl Physiol*  
17  
18 2011;111(5):1422-30.
- 19  
20  
21 13. Kindermann W, Simon G, Keul J. The significance of the aerobic-anaerobic transition for the  
22  
23 determination of work load intensities during endurance training. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*  
24  
25 1979;42(1):25-34.
- 26  
27  
28 14. Koltyn KF, Trine MR, Stegner AJ, Tobar DA. Effect of isometric exercise on pain perception  
29  
30 and blood pressure in men and women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33(2):282-90.
- 31  
32  
33 15. Kosek E, Ekholm J, Hansson P. Modulation of pressure pain thresholds during and following  
34  
35 isometric contraction in patients with fibromyalgia and in healthy controls. *Pain* 1996;64(3):415-23.
- 36  
37  
38 16. Kosek E, Lundberg L. Segmental and plurisegmental modulation of pressure pain thresholds  
39  
40 during static muscle contractions in healthy individuals. *Eur J Pain* 2003;7(3):251-8.
- 41  
42  
43 17. Lannersten L, Kosek E. Dysfunction of endogenous pain inhibition during exercise with painful  
44  
45 muscles in patients with shoulder myalgia and fibromyalgia. *Pain* 2010;151(1):77-86.
- 46  
47  
48 18. Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. *Science* 1965;150(3699):971-9.
- 49  
50  
51 19. Micalos PS, Marino FE, Kay D. Reduced muscle pain intensity rating during repeated cycling  
52  
53 trials. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine* 2004;3(2):70-5.
- 54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

- 1 20. Naugle KM, Naugle KE, Fillingim RB, Samuels B, Riley JL 3<sup>rd</sup>. Intensity thresholds for aerobic  
2 exercise-induced hypoalgesia. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2014;46(4):817-25.  
3
- 4 21. Nielsen MM, Mortensen A, Sorensen JK, Simonsen O, Graven-Nielsen T. Reduction of  
5 experimental muscle pain by passive physiological movements. *Man Ther* 2009;14(1):101-9.  
6
- 7 22. Riley JL, 3<sup>rd</sup>, Robinson ME, Wise EA, Price DD. A meta-analytic review of pain perception  
8 across the menstrual cycle. *Pain* 1999;81(3):225-35.  
9
- 10 23. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychol Bull*  
11 1979;86(2):420-8.  
12
- 13 24. Sjodin B, Jacobs I. Onset of blood lactate accumulation and marathon running performance. *Int*  
14 *J Sports Med* 1981;2(1):23-6.  
15
- 16 25. Stegmann H, Kindermann W, Schnabel A. Lactate kinetics and individual anaerobic threshold.  
17 *Int J Sports Med* 1981;2(3):160-5.  
18
- 19 26. Sternberg WF, Bokac C, Kass L, Alboyadjian A, Gracely RH. Sex-dependent components of the  
20 analgesia produced by athletic competition. *J Pain* 2001;2(1):65-74.  
21
- 22 27. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Graven-Nielsen T. Similarities between exercise-induced hypoalgesia  
23 and conditioned pain modulation in humans. *Pain* 2014;155(1):158-67.  
24
- 25 28. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Jorgensen MN, Kinly A, Graven-Nielsen T. Aerobic exercise and  
26 cold pressor test induce hypoalgesia in active and inactive men and women. *Pain Med*  
27 2015;16(5):923-33.  
28
- 29 29. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Graven-Nielsen T. Hypoalgesia After Exercise and the Cold Pressor  
30 Test is Reduced in Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain Patients With High Pain Sensitivity. *Clin J Pain*  
31 2016;32(1):58-69.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63



- 1 30. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Emmeluth C, Graven-Nielsen T. Preoperative Hypoalgesia after Cold  
2  
3 Pressor Test and Aerobic Exercise is Associated with Pain Relief Six Months after Total Knee  
4  
5 Replacement. *Clin J Pain* 2017;33(6):475-84.  
6  
7 31. Vaegter HB, Dorge DB, Schmidt KS, Jensen AH, Graven-Nielsen T. Test-Retest Reliability of  
8  
9 Exercise-Induced Hypoalgesia After Aerobic Exercise. *Pain Med* 2018a. Epub ahead of print.  
10  
11 32. Vaegter HB, Lyng KD, Yttereng FW, Christensen MH, Sørensen MB, Graven-Nielsen.  
12  
13 Exercise-induced Hypoalgesia After Isometric Wall Squat Exercise: A Test-Retest Reliability  
14  
15 Study. *Pain Med* 2018b. Epub ahead of print.  
16  
17 33. Wasserman K, Whipp BJ, Koyl SN, Beaver WL. Anaerobic threshold and respiratory gas  
18  
19 exchange during exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 1973;35(2):236-43.  
20  
21 34. Weir JP. Quantifying test-retest reliability using the intraclass correlation coefficient and the  
22  
23 SEM. *J Strength Cond Res* 2005;19(1):231-40.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

1 **Figure Legends**


2  
3 **Fig. 1:** Illustration of the experimental procedure performed on the three testing days. The pressure  
4 pain thresholds (PPT) familiarization procedure and determination of lactate threshold was  
5 performed in session 1. PPTs were assessed on two assessment sites (quadriceps and upper  
6 trapezius) before and immediately after rest and bicycling in session 2 and session 3.  
7  
8





9  
10  
11  
12 **Fig. 2:** Mean (+ SEM, n = 34) change in pressure pain threshold (PPT, kilopascal (kPa)) recorded at  
13 the two assessment sites (quadriceps and upper trapezius muscles) after 15 min quiet rest and 15  
14 min bicycling in session 2 and session 3. Significantly different compared with rest condition (\*,  $P$   
15 < 0.05). ‘Quad’: m. quadriceps dominant side. ‘Trap’: upper trapezius muscle non-dominant side.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24  
25  
26  
27 **Fig. 3:** Mean (+/- SEM, n = 34) exercise work-rate (A), heart rate (B), rating of perceived exertion  
28 (C), and numerical rating scale (NRS) scores of leg pain intensity (D) assessed during exercise in  
29 session 2 and session 3. As exercise work-rate and heart rate was not significantly different between  
30 session 2 and session 3 the mean of sessions are presented. Significantly different between women  
31 and men (\*,  $P$  < 0.05). Significantly different compared with the other session (†,  $P$  < 0.05).  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63



D Rest ■ Bic.ydi lil





**Table 1:** Pearson’s correlations between absolute and relative change in PPTs after exercise, peak heart rate (HR), peak rating of perceived exertion (RPE), and peak muscle pain intensity (NRS) during exercise in the two sessions. Due to multiple correlational analyses, P-values equal to or less than 0.002 (0.05 / 24) were considered significant. ‘Abs Δ’: Absolute change. ‘Rel Δ’: Relative change. ‘PPT’: Pressure Pain Threshold. ‘Quad’: Quadriceps femoris muscle. ‘Trap’: Upper trapezius muscle.

Variables	Correlation	HR Session 2	RPE Session 2	NRS Session 2	Abs Δ PPT Trap Session 2	Rel Δ PPT Trap Session 2	HR Session 3	RPE Session 3	NRS Session 3	Abs Δ PPT Trap Session 3	Rel Δ PPT Trap Session 3
Abs Δ PPT Quad Session 2	R P-value	0.10 0.57	0.22 0.21	0.11 0.52	0.40 0.018	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Rel Δ PPT Quad Session 2	R P-value	0.12 0.51	0.33 0.058	0.08 0.64	- -	0.48 0.004	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Abs Δ PPT Trap Session 2	R P-value	0.35 0.04	0.20 0.26	-0.13 0.46	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Rel Δ PPT Trap Session 2	R P-value	0.39 0.023	0.15 0.39	-0.13 0.48	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Abs Δ PPT Quad Session 3	R P-value	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	-0.2 0.91	0.07 0.71	0.16 0.375	-0.04 0.81	- -
Rel Δ PPT Quad Session 3	R P-value	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	0.01 0.94	0.20 0.25	0.28 0.11	- -	-0.06 0.75
Abs Δ PPT Trap Session 3	R P-value	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	0.39 0.023	0.10 0.585	0.12 0.51	- -	- -
Rel Δ PPT Trap Session 3	R P-value	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	0.33 0.06	0.17 0.35	0.05 0.79	- -	- -

**Table 2:** Within-session test-retest reliability for pressure pain threshold at the dominant quadriceps and non-dominant upper trapezius muscles before and after the quiet rest condition

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Before Rest Mean <math>\pm</math> SD (95%CI)</b>	<b>After Rest Mean <math>\pm</math> SD (95%CI)</b>	<b>Within-session difference Mean <math>\pm</math> SD (95%CI)</b>	<b>P- value</b>	<b>Pearson r</b>	<b>ICC (95%CI)</b>	<b>Standard error of measurement</b>
<b>Quad Session 2</b>	466 $\pm$ 135 kPa (418 - 513)	483 $\pm$ 148 kPa (431 – 534)	17 $\pm$ 60 kPa (-4 – 38)	0.105	0.91 P<0.001	0.95 (0.90 – 0.98)	43 kPa
<b>Quad Session 3</b>	513 $\pm$ 174 kPa (453 - 574)	540 $\pm$ 204 kPa (469 – 612)	27 $\pm$ 81 kPa (-1 – 55)	0.059	0.92 P<0.001	0.95 (0.91 – 0.98)	57 kPa
<b>Trap Session 2</b>	263 $\pm$ 84 kPa (233 - 292)	274 $\pm$ 83 kPa (245 – 303)	11 $\pm$ 27 kPa (2 – 21)	0.018	0.95 P<0.001	0.97 (0.95 – 0.99)	19 kPa
<b>Trap Session 3</b>	279 $\pm$ 94 kPa (246 - 311)	296 $\pm$ 96 kPa (263 – 330)	18 $\pm$ 37 kPa (4 – 31)	0.010	0.92 P<0.001	0.96 (0.92 – 0.98)	27 kPa

**Table 3:** Between-session relative test-retest reliability for baseline pressure pain threshold (PPT) and exercise-induced hypoalgesia (EIH) assessed at the dominant quadriceps and non-dominant upper trapezius muscles as absolute change in PPT at session 2 and session 3.

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Session 2 Mean ± SD (95%CI)</b>	<b>Session 3 Mean ± SD (95%CI)</b>	<b>Between-session difference Mean ± SD (95%CI)</b>	<b>P- value</b>	<b>Pearson r</b>	<b>ICC (95%CI)</b>
<b>Quadriceps</b>	466±135 kPa (418 - 513)	513±174 kPa (453 - 574)	48±139 kPa (-1 - 96)	0.052	0.62 P<0.001	0.76 (0.51 - 0.88)
<b>Trapezius</b>	263±84 kPa (233 - 292)	278±94 kPa (246 - 311)	16±70 kPa (-8 - 40)	0.188	0.70 P<0.001	0.82 (0.64 - 0.91)
<b>EIH Quadriceps</b>	55±70 kPa (30 - 79)	80±110 kPa (41 - 118)	25±122 kPa (-18 - 68)	0.244	0.29 P=0.09	0.45 (0.1 - 0.72)
<b>EIH Trapezius</b>	10±35 kPa (-2 - 22)	15±29 kPa (5 - 25)	5±36 kPa (-7 - 18)	0.396	0.42 P = 0.015	0.57 (0.1- 0.79)

**Table 4:** Crosstabulations of the EIH responders and non-responders after 15 min bicycling at session 2 and session 3 at the quadriceps muscle and the trapezius muscle. Responders and non-responders are classified based on the standard error of measurement (SEM) for two repetitive pressure pain threshold (PPT) assessments (before and after 15 min rest). Responders are defined as an increase in PPT after bicycling larger than PPT before bicycling plus 1 SEM.

<b>EIH response at the exercising quadriceps muscle after bicycling</b>		<b>EIH responders in session 2</b>	
		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>EIH responders in session 1</b>	<b>Yes</b>	15	4
	<b>No</b>	5	10
<b>EIH response at the non-exercising trapezius muscle after bicycling</b>		<b>EIH responders in session 2</b>	
		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>EIH responders in session 1</b>	<b>Yes</b>	8	4
	<b>No</b>	5	17

**Editors in Chief H. Westerblad & K.R. Westerterp**  
**European Journal of Applied Physiology**

Odense, May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2018

**Author contribution statement**

**Authors:** Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Louise Kathrine Bjerregaard, Mia-Maja Redin, Sara Hartung Rasmussen, and Thomas Graven-Nielsen.

All authors contributed to the design of the study, the analysis and interpretation of the data, as well as making intellectual contributions to its content. Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Louise Kathrine Bjerregaard, Mia-Maja Redin, and Sara Hartung Rasmussen collected all data in the laboratory. All authors approved the final manuscript.