



Acidification and recovery of phosphorus from digested and non-digested sludge

Quist-Jensen, Cejna Anna; Wybrandt, Lisbeth; Løkkegaard, Hanne; Antonsen, Sebastian; Jensen, Henriette Casper; Nielsen, Asbjørn Haaning; Christensen, Morten Lykkegaard

Published in:
Water Research

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.035)

Creative Commons License
CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Quist-Jensen, C. A., Wybrandt, L., Løkkegaard, H., Antonsen, S., Jensen, H. C., Nielsen, A. H., & Christensen, M. L. (2018). Acidification and recovery of phosphorus from digested and non-digested sludge. *Water Research*, 146, 307-317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.035>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -


Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Acidification and recovery of phosphorus from digested and non-digested sludge

C.A. Quist-Jensen, L. Wybrandt, H. Løkkegaard, S.B. Antonsen, H.C. Jensen,
Asbjørn H. Nielsen, M.L. Christensen



PII: S0043-1354(18)30750-4

DOI: [10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.035)

Reference: WR 14085

To appear in: *Water Research*

Received Date: 19 April 2018

Revised Date: 20 August 2018

Accepted Date: 18 September 2018

Please cite this article as: Quist-Jensen, C.A., Wybrandt, L., Løkkegaard, H., Antonsen, S.B., Jensen, H.C., Nielsen, A.H., Christensen, M.L., Acidification and recovery of phosphorus from digested and non-digested sludge, *Water Research* (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.035>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Acidification and recovery of phosphorus from digested and non-digested sludge**

2
3 C.A. Quist-Jensen¹, L. Wybrandt¹, H. Løkkegaard², S.B. Antonsen², H.C. Jensen¹, Asbjørn H. Nielsen³, M.L.
4 Christensen^{1*}

5 ¹ Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, Fredrik Bajers Vej 7H, 9220 Aalborg East, Denmark

6 ² Danish Technological Institute, Kongsvang Allé 29, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

7 ³ Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, Thomas Manns Vej 23, 9220 Aalborg East, Denmark

8 * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: mlc@bio.aau.dk

9 10 **Abstract**

11 Acidification was used to dissolve phosphorus from digested and non-digested sludge from five wastewater
12 treatment plants in order to make phosphorus accessible for subsequent recovery. More phosphorus was
13 dissolved from digested sludge (up to 80%), with respect to non-digested sludge (~25%) and the highest
14 recovery was observed at pH 2. The acid consumption for digested sludge was higher than for non-digested
15 sludge due to the presence of the bicarbonate buffer system, thus CO₂ stripping increased the acid
16 consumption. In all the experiments, the sludge was exposed to acid for 1h. For the five tested sludge types,
17 60-100 mmol o-P was released per added mol H₂SO₄. It was mainly iron and calcium compounds that
18 accounts for the phosphorus release at low pH. The release of heavy metals was in general low (<30%) for
19 all the wastewater treatment plant, as Zn, Cd and Ni showed the most critical release after acidification of
20 non-digested sludge.

21
22 **Keywords:** *Phosphorus, acidification, sludge, heavy metals*

23 **Introduction**

24 The human population will grow to 9.3 billion by 2050, meaning that food production needs to increase
25 accordingly. A high production of agricultural products can only be achieved by the use of fertilizers of

26 which nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are usually deficient in the soil. The P-fertilizers used today
27 almost exclusively comes from mining of phosphate rocks, which are estimated to be depleted by the end of
28 this century (Cordell et al., 2009; Shu et al., 2006). Consequently, it is necessary to recycle phosphorus to
29 ensure food security for future generations. Recovery of phosphorus from wastewater is one method to
30 overcome the possible phosphorus scarcity. Wastewater contains large amounts of phosphorus and the
31 Danish Environmental Protection Agency has estimated that it eventually can cover around 20% of the total
32 phosphorus import in Denmark (Miljøministeriet (The Danish Environmental Protection Agency), 2013).
33 Moreover, Denmark is next exporting agricultural products (mainly meat and dairy products), thus phosphorus is
34 also leaving the country—(Klinglmair et al., 2015). In Denmark, the ratio of out- to inflows of phosphorus is
35 0.66, which is higher compared to the EU (0.27) (Klinglmair et al., 2015). In 2013, the Danish government
36 had an ambition to increase the phosphorus recycling from sewage sludge from 50-55% to 80% (The Danish
37 Government, 2013). Therefore, new and improved methods for phosphorus recycling and recovery needs to
38 be developed. In this study, we explore the potential to improve phosphorus recovery by sludge acidification
39 of various sludge types from different wastewater treatment plants in Denmark. Today, phosphorus in
40 wastewater is usually removed biologically or chemically to meet discharge criteria whereby the phosphorus
41 ends up in sewage sludge. Sewage sludge can be used directly as a fertilizer, but in several countries in
42 Europe (Tarayre et al., 2016), land spreading of sludge is banned as sludge may be contaminated by heavy
43 metals, pathogens and toxic organic compounds (Vardanyan et al., 2018). If sludge is incinerated,
44 phosphorus can to be recovered from the ash, but it is still an expensive method and it has been questioned,
45 whether incineration and recovery of phosphorus from ash is a sustainable technology (Vaneckhaute et al.,
46 2017). An alternative method is to recover and recycle dissolved phosphorus through precipitation from the
47 liquid phase after removal of the solid material. For instance, phosphorus can be precipitated from the
48 centrate or filtrate after dewatering of digested sludge. Some wastewater treatment plants has implemented
49 fluidized bed reactors to recover phosphorus from liquid phase by adding magnesium and precipitating
50 struvite ($MgNH_4PO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$) (Cordell et al., 2011). However, Hermann et al. (Hermann, 2009) and
51 Pinnekamp et al. (Pinnekamp et al., 2013) states that only 30-50 % of all the phosphorus present in the
52 wastewater can be recovered by this technique depending on the efficiency of the biological phosphorus

53 removal process. A large fraction of phosphorus in sludge exists as particulate phosphorus including metal-
54 salts e.g. calcium phosphates and iron phosphates, and phosphorus accumulated in microorganisms (as
55 polyphosphate and in the cell wall) (Venkiteshwaran et al., 2018). Polyphosphate-accumulating organisms
56 are embedded in the sludge flocs and removed with solid fraction during dewatering (Larsen et al., 2006). A
57 part of the phosphorus stored in phosphate accumulation organism is released during digestion (Hu et al.,
58 2018). Metals salts are also expected to end up in the solid phase (Meulepas et al., 2015). Thus, most of the
59 phosphorus in the metal-salts and the microbial mass is expected to end up in the sludge and not in the liquid
60 phase and the phosphorus content in the sludge is thus not accessible for phosphate recovery.

61

62 The current study focusses on phosphorus recovery through acidification, separation and phosphorus
63 precipitation. Recent studies have shown that acidification of sludge increases the dissolved phosphorus
64 concentration due to dissolution of the metal-phosphate-complexes (Latif et al., 2015). Low pH anaerobic
65 digestion can be utilized, but it reduces the biogas production (Latif et al., 2015). Alternatively, sludge can
66 be acidified after digestion, which has previously been done by Antakyali et al. (Antakyali et al., 2013),
67 Shiba and Ntuli (Shiba and Ntuli, 2017) and SEG et al. (SEG - Stadtentwässerung Göppingen et al., 2014),
68 who acidified digested sewage sludge and precipitated struvite on a large-scale plant (The Stuttgart Process).
69 The results showed a dissolution of phosphorus by 75%, which enhances the recovery of the subsequent
70 struvite precipitation process to around 98%. The purity of the product has not been reported (Antakyali et
71 al., 2013). One of the potential negative consequence of acidification, is the simultaneously dissolution of
72 iron, aluminium, and heavy metals (Wozniak and Huang, 1982). The purity of the phosphorus product might
73 decrease and thereby lowering the value of the phosphorus product as a fertilizer. However, the requirements
74 of purity depends on if the phosphorus product is used directly or as a raw material for producing fertilizers.
75 To avoid precipitation of iron phosphate, citric acid has been added to bind iron, which increase the costs of
76 the process (SEG - Stadtentwässerung Göppingen et al., 2014). Alternatively, iron can be removed by ion
77 exchange (Shiba and Ntuli, 2017). In the Gifhorn process, sulfuric acid and Na_2S is used for acidification to
78 dissolve phosphorus and remove interfering ions such as iron by sulfidic precipitation (Amann et al., 2018;

79 Tarayre et al., 2016). Phosphorus is then precipitated as a mixture of struvite and calcium phosphate at pH 9
80 (Tarayre et al., 2016). In the Seaborn Process hydrogen sulfide from the digester tank is used to precipitate
81 aluminium, iron and heavy metals (Müller et al., 2007). In the Budenheim process, sludge is aerated with
82 CO₂ at 10 bars pressure to lower pH and dissolve phosphorus (Stössel, 2013). Phosphorus precipitate as
83 calcium phosphate and most of the CO₂ is recycled during the process (Stössel, 2013). (Levlin and Hultman,
84 2007) suggested a two-step process. In the first step, acetic acid is added to reduce pH to 4, whereby part of
85 the phosphorus is dissolved and ends up in the liquid after solid-liquid separation; iron- and aluminium
86 phosphate is not dissolved and ends up in the solid materials. In the second step sodium hydroxide is added
87 to the solid material from the first step, whereby phosphorus from iron- and aluminium phosphate is
88 dissolved. Iron and aluminium is precipitated as iron- and aluminium hydroxide and removed with the solid
89 material. Phosphorus is then precipitated as struvite and calcium phosphate (Levlin and Hultman, 2007).
90 Thus, if sludge cannot be used directly as a fertilizer; three commercial alternatives exist: recovery from the
91 liquid phase, recovery from the sludge and recovery from the ash. These methods vary in the amount of
92 phosphorus that can be recovered and the need of chemicals that have to be added (Amann et al., 2018).

93


94 In this study, we explore the potential to improve phosphorus recovery by means of sludge acidification.
95 Sludge from five different wastewater treatment plants in Denmark has been used - both digested (primary
96 and secondary sludge) and non-digested sludge (secondary sludge) has been used. The aim is to maximize
97 the phosphorus release after acidification and to study the rate of iron, aluminium and heavy metal
98 dissolution.

99 **Materials and methods**

100 *Description of the wastewater treatment plants*

101 Wastewater sludge samples were collected from five wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) located in
102 different cities in Denmark i.e. Randers, Aaby, Skagen, Kolding and Haderslev. The WWTPs located in
103 Randers and Skagen are characterized by digesting both primary and secondary sludge (Figure 1a), Aaby


104 WWTP (Figure 1b) digest secondary sludge, whereas Kolding WWTP (Figure 1c) digest only primary
105 sludge and Haderslev WWTP (Figure 1d) does not apply a digester. Four of the plants use biological
106 phosphorus removal combined with iron dosing, the exception being Kolding WWTP where iron is not
107 added in the primary tanks (Table 1).



108

109


(a)



110

111


(b)



112

113

(c)



(d)

Figure 1: Flow sheet for the wastewater treatment plants, a) Randers and Skagen; b) Aaby; c) Kolding; d) Haderslev

114

115

116

117

118 Data for inlet flow and phosphorus concentration of the raw wastewater is shown in Table 1. The amount of
 119 phosphorus removed by the biological and chemical process varies between 80-95% for the different plants.
 120 These data are average values provide by the wastewater treatment plants and the details of the sludge
 121 analyzed in this study can be found in Table 2and Table 3.

122

123

Table 1: Characteristics of the wastewater treatment plants.

	Sludge type	Inlet flow [m ³ /d] ^a	Inlet phosphorus concentration [mg/L] ^a	Outlet phosphorus concentration [mg/L] ^a
Randers WWTP	Digested (Primary + secondary)	23,150	6.78	0.42
Aaby	Digested (Secondary)	16,874	6.70	0.34
Skagen	Digested (Primary + secondary)	8,736	20	0.47
Kolding WWTP	Digested (Primary)	28,449	6.20	1.03
Haderslev WWTP	Non-digested (Primary + secondary)	10,953	7.75	0.45

124 ^a Average values provided by the wastewater treatment plants

125 *Acidification of sludge*

126 Sludge samples from each WWTP were collected after digestion but prior to dewatering except for
127 Haderslev where excess sludge from the secondary treatment process was collected. A series of experiments
128 were performed where 100 ml of sludge was acidified by 0.5 M H₂SO₄ to pH 4, 5, 6, 7 and 2 M H₂SO₄ to pH
129 2 and 3. The 2M H₂SO₄ solution was used at the lower pH in order to reduce dilution of the sludge samples.
130 In addition, 100 ml of sludge was collected and pH increased to pH 11 by adding 2 M NaOH. All sludge
131 samples (with sulfuric acid, sodium hydroxide or no treatment) was centrifuged at 2880 G for 3 minutes and
132 filtered through a 0.45 µm filter. The concentration of ortho-phosphate (o-P), total phosphorus, metals and
133 heavy metals were measured. One series of experiments (one for each type of sludge) were carried out in
134 order to test the rate of phosphorus dissolution by reducing pH to 2.5, after which o-P was analyzed after 10
135 min and up to 5 h. The concentration of o-P was almost constant during the whole time period; thus for all
136 samples reported in this paper, the samples were left for stirring for 1 h after addition of sulfuric acid or
137 sodium hydroxide.

138 The o-P concentration was measured in the filtrate, whereas the total phosphorus concentration was
139 measured before centrifugation and filtration. Both ortho-phosphate and total-phosphorus was measured as
140 described in the Danish Standards (Danish Standard, 1985a, 1985b). The o-P release was estimated
141 according to equation 1:

$$142 \quad \text{o-P release [\%]} = \frac{[\text{o-P}]}{[\text{t-P}]} \cdot 100 \quad (\text{eq. 1})$$

143 where [o-P] is the concentration of o-P in the filtrate after sludge acidification and [t-P] is the concentration
144 of total phosphorus in the sludge.

145 Concentration of metals and heavy metals was analyzed in the filtrate by inductively coupled plasma optical
146 emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) and compared to the concentration of metals in the sludge before
147 acidification. The ICP-OES was a Thermo Scientific iCap 6300 operated in axial view mode. The
148 spectrometer was calibrated against matrix matched multi element standards. The dry matter content of the

149 samples was estimated by leaving around 15 g of raw sludge in a drying oven at 105 °C for 24 hours.
150 Afterwards, the dry matter was incinerated at 550 °C for 2 h to determine the organic/inorganic matter. The
151 weight loss was determined as the organic matter, whereas the inorganic part was determined as from the
152 weight that remains incineration at 550 °C.

153 *Sludge analysis*

154 Potentiometric titrations were performed using Titralab™ 900 equipment from Radiometer Denmark, and a
155 SI Analytics Blue Line 17 pH glass electrode calibrated against standard buffer solution at pH 4 and 7.
156 Diluted samples were prepared dissolving 1 mL sludge samples in 19 mL 0.1 M NaClO₄. All experiments
157 were performed at 23 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere by stepwise adding 100 mM H₂SO₄ until pH reached
158 pH3, then the experiment was stopped. The buffer capacity was calculated according to eq. 2.

$$159 \quad \beta = \frac{dn}{dpH} \quad (\text{eq. 2})$$

160 where, β is the buffer capacity, dn denotes the changes of moles acid added to the sludge and dpH denotes
161 the equal changes in pH. It is assumed that the acid is completely dissociated. The second dissociation
162 constant for sulfuric acid is $1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ M; thus at pH 3 less than 10% of the sulfuric acid is present as HSO₄⁻.
163 The alkalinity is equal to the amount of strong acid required to lower pH to 4.5 and can be calculated by
164 integrating the buffer capacity from pH 4.5 to the initial pH value. The alkalinity is often used as a measure
165 of the bicarbonate/carbonate concentration, but a more precise analysis is possible by plotting the buffer
166 capacity as function of pH.

167 Particle size distributions were analyzed using a laser diffraction particle size analyzer (Beckman & Coulter
168 LS 13320) with universal liquid module. The Fraunhofer theory was used for data treatment, and tap water
169 was used for background measurements. The experiments were replicated twice. Sludge before and after
170 acidification has been analyzed by light microscope (Zeiss Axioskop) connected to a computer in order to
171 identify the floc structure. The sludge has been spread on a glass plate and imaging through the microscope.

172 *Separation and precipitation of phosphorus*

173 Sludge samples from Randers and Kolding were acidified to pH 2. The solid material was removed by
 174 centrifugation at 2800 G for 3 minutes and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μm filter. Phosphorus
 175 was precipitated from the liquid phase by adding 2M NaOH to pH 6, 7, 8, and 9, respectively. The
 176 precipitate was removed by centrifugation, weighed and analyzed by ICP-OES.

177

178 **Results and discussion**

179 *Raw sludge analysis*

180 Sludge from five different WWTPs was collected and analyzed (Table 2). At the WWTPs with a digester,
 181 sludge is thickened before digestion; hence the digested sludge has a higher dry matter content than un-
 182 digested sludge (Haderslev WWTP). Kolding WWTP, which only digests primary sludge, was found to have
 183 a slightly lower dry matter content than Randers, Aaby and Skagen WWTPs, where both primary and
 184 secondary sludge is digested. The highest fraction of organic matter was observed in the un-digested sludge
 185 from Haderslev as expected.

186

Table 2: Dry matter, organic and inorganic matter for the different sludge types

	pH	Dry matter [g/kg]	Organic matter [g/kg]	Inorganic matter [g/kg]
Randers WWTP	7.35	39.3	22.0 (56%)	17.3 (44%)
Aaby WWTP	7.1	42.1	28.4 (68%)	14.7 (32%)
Skagen WWTP	8.24	41.2	26.2 (64%)	15.0 (36%)
Kolding WWTP	7.31	31.4	19.1 (61%)	12.3 (39%)
Haderslev WWTP	6.60	15.4	11.1 (72%)	4.23 (28%)

187

188 The composition of sludge has been analyzed (Table 3). All concentrations were lower in the non-digested
 189 sludge as the dry matter content of the sludge was low. The concentration of iron varied between the
 190 different plants depending on the wastewater treatment process and how much iron that was added to reduce
 191 the amount of discharged phosphorus. The concentration of iron in Aaby WWTP was relatively low as

192 phosphorus was removed biologically in the secondary treatment process. The concentration of iron was also
 193 low in Kolding WWTP, as no iron is added during the primary wastewater treatment (Table 1). The
 194 concentrations of heavy metals was high at Kolding WWTP. The reason for this is not known.

195

196

Table 3: Composition of the different sludge types.

	total-P mg/gTS	Ca mg/gTS	Mg mg/gTS	Al mg/gTS	Fe mg/gTS	Cd mg/gTS	Cr mg/gTS	Cu mg/gTS	Ni mg/gTS	Pb mg/gTS	Zn mg/gTS
Randers WWTP	33.1	39.0	5.78	8.2	45.9	0.00168	0.0298	0.187	0.0442	0.0657	1.17
Aaby WWTP	33.6	28.2	7.68	6.7	22.2	0.00111	0.0172	0.217	0.0302	0.0542	0.627
Skagen WWTP	32.1	14.8	4.19	3.2	72.6	0.00196	0.0110	0.0622	0.0219	0.0125	0.354
Kolding WWTP	37.6	51.1	3.90	14.1	16.3	0.00483	0.0641	0.457	2.30	0.114	2.92
Haderslev WWTP	23.5	25.4	5.61	5.9	30.4	0.000970	0.0626	0.140	0.0408	0.0446	0.798

197

198 The floc size has been measured (Table 4) and images are shown for all sludges (Figure 2). Activated sludge
 199 (Haderslev) had the largest floc structures (~80 μm). The floc size of digested sludge was slightly smaller
 200 than non-digested sludge and contained more fines and irregular flocs. The smallest floc size was observed in
 201 the digested primary sludge (Kolding). The floc structure was seemingly not fully broken down after
 202 acidification for all the sludge types but more fluffy flocs was obtained and more particles and filament was
 203 observed between the flocs (Figure 3).

204

205

206

207

208

209


Table 4: Size of sludge

	Mean [μm]	Median [μm]	S.D. [μm]
Randers WWTP	130	73	160
Aaby WWTP	110	64	160
Skagen WWTP	90	63	110
Kolding WWTP	110	37	172
Haderslev WWTP	110	80	126

210

211

212



213


214

215

(a)

(b)


(c)



216

217


(d)



(e)

218


Figure 2: Floc structure in raw sludge (100x magnification) at (a) Randers; (b) Aaby; (c) Skagen; (d) Kolding; (e) Haderslev WWTP



227 *Figure 3: Floc structure at pH 3 (100x magnification) at (a) Randers; (b) Aaby; (c) Skagen; (d) Kolding; (e) Haderslev WWTP*

228 *Sludge acidification*


229 The sludge samples were acidified with sulfuric acid (Figure 4). The required amount of acid was lowest for
230 the undigested sludge (Haderslev). In the digested sludge, more acid were consumed due to CO₂ stripping as
231 high amounts of carbonate were produced when the substrate were degraded to methane and carbonate
(Chipasa, 2003). The removal of CO₂ is illustrated by a buffer capacity plot (Figure 5), which corresponds
well with the H₂CO₃/HCO₃⁻ equilibrium that has a pKa value of 6.3.



232

233

Figure 4: Acid consumptions for pH regulation of the different sludge types.



234

235


236

237

Figure 5: Buffer capacity for the different sludge types.

238 The released amount of dissolved ortho-phosphate (o-P) increased with decreasing pH (Figure 6) as observed
 239 in previous studies due to dissolving of mainly inorganic phosphorus (He et al., 2016; Xu et al.,
 240 2015) Digestion followed by acidification can release more than 80% of phosphorus, which corresponds with
 241 previous studies (Antakyali et al., 2013). Aaby WWTP has a higher amount of dissolved phosphorus at pH
 242 7-8 (no acidification) compared to the other WWTPs. The Aaby plant is designed for biological P removal
 243 and has a fluidized bed reactor implemented to precipitate and extract struvite from the digester centrate. As
 244 already discussed, an optimized biological P removal during secondary treatment and P release during
 245 digestion can facilitate recovery of struvite or other P products, still the potential for phosphorus recovery

246 can be significantly improved by lowering pH to 3 prior to sludge dewatering. Contrary to the digested
 247 sludge, the non-digested sludge (Haderslev WWTP) shows low o-P release during acidification. Moreover,
 248 the inorganic matter (Table 2) from Haderslev WWTP is low, and thus the metal-phosphorus salt content
 249 also limits the o-P release. A slight increase in dissolved phosphorus is observed for sludge from Randers
 250 and Skagen when NaOH is added, but P release is low compared with the phosphorus release obtained by
 251 acidification. To conclude, acidification improve P removal - i.e. around 85% of the phosphorus was
 252 dissolved for WWTP handling mixed primary and secondary sludge that has been digested. For WWTPs
 253 using chemical P removal, acidification is the only feasible way to release and harvest phosphorus.
 254 Moreover, (Chen et al., 2001) found that acidification improves the dewaterability of activated sludge due to
 255 exocellular polymer (ECP) leaves the activated sludge surface, which makes it easier to pack the sludge and
 256 reduces the water content of the sludge. Therefore, acidification both increases phosphorus recovery and
 257 improve dewaterability. On the contrary, acidification limits the later use on agricultural land, but since land
 258 application is not allowed in many European countries and which is the reason for the acidification process
 259 (Tarayre et al., 2016), incineration is a more feasible method that at the same time produces energy (Lundin
 260 et al., 2004).




262
 263 *Figure 6: o-P concentration with respect to t-P concentration at different pH. Reaction time: 1h.*

264 The required amount of acid for dissolving phosphorus is shown in Figure 7. The release of phosphorus
 265 increases almost linearly with the added amount of sulfuric acid. The regression line (dashed line) shows that

266 about 100 mmol o-P was released per added mol H₂SO₄, i.e. about 10 mole diprotic acid per mole o-P. The
 267 larger amount of acid used is due to CO₂ stripping. It should be noticed that for Aaby WWTP, 10 mM o-P
 268 was dissolved when no acid was added. Still the extra release of phosphorus was the same as for the other
 269 sludge types e.g. 100 mmol o-P was released per added mol H₂SO₄. The lowest release of phosphorus as
 270 function of sulfuric acid dosage was observed for Skagen and Kolding. The phosphorus release was 25%
 271 lower for Skagen and 40% lower for Kolding.

272



273


274

Figure 7: Acid consumption with respect to o-P release

275 *Dissolution of metals during acidification*

276 Most of the released phosphorus and carbonate originate from calcium, magnesium, aluminum and iron
 277 carbonates and phosphates. The metals in these compounds dissolve following the same trend as the
 278 phosphorus release at decreasing pH (Figure 8). Randers WWTP has a high total concentration of calcium
 279 and iron in the raw sludge and Kolding has a high concentration of calcium. The magnesium release is
 280 between 30% and 70% depending on the WWTP, but as magnesium is found in lower concentrations in the
 281 raw sludge (Table 3), it is not the main contributor to phosphorus release. Aluminum has in general a low


282 release and also a low concentration in raw sludge (Table 3), which is as expected as the WWTPs
 283 investigated are not adding aluminum during the wastewater treatment.




287 *Figure 8: Metal concentration in the liquid phase with respect to the concentration in raw sludge.*

288
 289 In several papers, it is reported that phosphate precipitate as vivianite $\text{Fe}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as the main product
 290 after addition of iron coagulant (Frossard et al., 1997; Wilfert et al., 2016) i.e. the stoichiometry for Fe:o-P is
 291 equal to 1.5. Looking at data for all plants, except Skagen WWTP, more than 10 – 20 mM phosphorus is
 292 released before any iron is dissolved, after which the ratio between Fe and o-P release is close to 1.5 (Figure
 293 9a). Thus, the data indicates that vivianite may be one of the P-minerals dissolved during acidification, but it
 294 is not the only mineral. The data support the idea of Levlin and Hultman (Levlin and Hultman, 2007), who

295 report that part of the phosphorus can be dissolved without a high release of iron. Sludge also contains
296 $\text{Ca}_5(\text{PO}_4)_3\text{OH}$ (Yi and Lo, 2003) where the stoichiometry Ca:o-P is equal to 1.67. Plotting the release of Ca
297 as function of o-P indicates that the first mineral released may be calcium phosphate (Figure 9b), since
298 calcium release is higher with respect to iron in the beginning. The molar amount of released o-P is more
299 than 9-10 times higher than the released amount of magnesium and 15 times higher than the released amount
300 of aluminium e.g. only minor amount of phosphate is bound as aluminium or magnesium salts.



(a)









(b)

Figure 9: Stoichiometry release for (a) Fe:o-P and (b) Ca:o-P

306 *Release of heavy metals during acidification*

307 Acidification of sludge was previously used as a method to release heavy metals into the liquid phase in
 308 order to easily extract them from sludge (Mingot et al., 1995; Naoum et al., 2001; Stylianou et al., 2007).
 309 Heavy metals are often found in different fractions in sludge including soluble, exchangeable, adsorbed,
 310 bound to organics and sulfides, bound to carbonate and residual components (Tessier et al., 1979; Wang et
 311 al., 2005). There are two ways to view the heavy metal release from sludge: It can be considered negative as
 312 the released heavy metals can easily be incorporated into the phosphorus fertilizer product, or positively, as it
 313 is easier to remove the heavy metals from sludge when the heavy metals are released to the liquid phase.
 314 According to Naoum et al. (Naoum et al., 2001), the remaining heavy metals in the sludge after acid
 315 treatment are stabilized in the sludge (Naoum et al., 2001). In this study, the release of heavy metals is in
 316 general low (<30%) for all the wastewater treatment plants (Figure 10), the exceptions being Zn and Cd
 317 which show a higher release at some WWTPs.

318 The main concern regarding heavy metal dissolution in this study is related to the risk of incorporation into a
 319 precipitated fertilizer product. Therefore, some preliminary precipitation experiments have been performed
 320 by simply increasing pH as discussed in the following section.



324

325 *Figure 10: Release of heavy metals into the liquid phase at various pH-values, a) Cd, b) Cr, c) Cu, d) Ni, e) Pb and f) Zn.*

326

327 *Recovery of released phosphorus after acidification*

328 The solid material was removed from acidified samples (pH 2) by centrifugation after which phosphorus was
329 precipitated from the liquid phase by adding NaOH to pH 6, 7, 8 and 9. The phosphorus recovery increases
330 from 53.7% at pH 6 to 80.8% at pH 9 with respect to the o-P concentration at pH 2. Besides phosphorus, the
331 precipitate contains high amounts of calcium and iron. This indicates that phosphorus was mainly
332 precipitated as iron phosphate and calcium phosphate (Figure 11). In the acidified sludge from Kolding
333 WWTP more calcium was precipitated with respect to Randers WWTP. Iron precipitation was decreasing as
334 pH was increased, and the same trend was observed for phosphorus. On the other hand, calcium precipitation
335 increased with pH, which can be due to calcium sulfate precipitation. Calcium carbonate precipitation is
336 unlikely, since CO₂ was removed during acidification. The removal of CO₂ might also ensure, that there are
337 more cations in the solution that precipitate with phosphorus, since the metal-carbonate compounds
338 originally present in the sludge is no longer an issue. Only very low amounts of Zn, Al and Mg were found in
339 the precipitate, and thus the purity was high. The data in Figure 11 shows that precipitation follows the same
340 trend as the dissolution of P, metals (Figure 8) and heavy metals (Figure 10) and is also in accordance with
341 the sludge composition before acidification (Table 3), where for instance Zn has a higher concentration
342 compared to the other heavy metals.




Figure 11: Precipitation at different pH (a) Randers; (b) Kolding.

346 Economic evaluation of sludge acidification

347 Acidification of sludge improves phosphorus recovery, in particular from digested sludge. However, today it
 348 might not be cost competitive with respect to mining since the use of chemicals are rather high for
 349 acidification (0.2-0.44 mole H_2SO_4 /kg sludge). Moreover, the subsequent recovery of phosphorus by either
 350 precipitation (increasing pH) or other methods also limits the economic feasibility. It is more likely that
 351 phosphorus recovery will be politically driven or that phosphorus is in high risk of depletion. In this study,
 352 the cost of acidification is around 4€/kg P based on Figure 7 and a price of H_2SO_4 (95%) of 0.13€/kg.
 353 Equipment and operational costs have not been taken into account. According to (Egle et al., 2016), recovery
 354 from sludge ash is 5-6 €/kg P, recovery from digester supernatant is 6-10 €/kg P and from acidified sludge it
 355 is 9-16 €/kg P. However, the ash is used directly and separation of heavy metals is not considered, as in this
 356 study. Moreover, recovery from supernatant normally only recovers around 30-50% of the phosphorus
 357 (Pinnekamp et al., 2013), thus acidification can be a potential solution for a high phosphorus recovery
 358 process.

359

360 Conclusion

361 Digested and non-digested sludge from five wastewater treatment plants were acidified by addition of H₂SO₄
362 to dissolve phosphorus. For the five tested sludge types, 60-100 mmol o-P was released per added mol
363 H₂SO₄. The highest phosphorus release was observed when pH was lowered to pH 2 (The sludge was
364 exposed to acid for 1h for all the experiments). More acid was required for digested sludge as CO₂ stripping
365 increases the acid consumption. However, more phosphorus can be released from digested sludge (up to
366 80%) compared to non-digested sludge (25%). Simultaneously release of heavy metals was low and not a
367 major concern. The most critical release of heavy metals were observed for Cd, Zn and Ni after acidification
368 of non-digested sludge. High amounts of iron was released during acidification, which can be a potential
369 problem if iron is unwanted in the phosphate product. Preliminary precipitation experiments by pH increase
370 proved that iron is the main precipitant together with phosphorus.

371 **Acknowledgement**

372 The Danish Environmental Protection Agency is gratefully acknowledged for funding this work through the
373 project “Genindvinding af fosfor fra spildevandsslam –mål: 80 % genindvinding af fosfor” (in English:
374 Recovery of phosphorus from wastewater sludge – target 80 % recovery of phosphorus).

375 The authors are thankful to Martin Thau (Randers WWTP), Karin Refsgaard (Kolding WWTP), Marlene
376 Staub (Haderslev WWTP), René Hansen (Skagen WWTP), Peter Balslev and Morten Hvolby (Aaby
377 WWTP) for providing the sludge samples and to Alf Simonsen (Hjortkær Maskinfabrik) for guidance and
378 discussions.

379 **References**

- 380 Amann, A., Zoboli, O., Krampe, J., Rechberger, H., Zessner, M., Egle, L., 2018. Environmental impacts of
381 phosphorus recovery from municipal wastewater. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 130, 127–139.
382 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.11.002>
- 383 Antakyali, D., Meyer, C., Preyl, V., Maier, W., Steinmetz, H., 2013. Large-scale application of nutrient
384 recovery from digested sludge as struvite. *Water Pract. Technol.* 8, 256–262.
385 <https://doi.org/10.2166/wpt.2013.027>

- 386 Chipasa, K.B., 2003. Accumulation and fate of selected heavy metals in a biological wastewater treatment
387 system. *Waste Manag.* 23, 135–143. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X\(02\)00065-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(02)00065-X)
- 388 Cordell, D., Drangert, J.-O., White, S., 2009. The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for
389 thought. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* 19, 292–305.
- 390 Cordell, D., Rosemarin, A., Schröder, J.J., Smit, A.L., 2011. Towards global phosphorus security: A systems
391 framework for phosphorus recovery and reuse options. *Chemosphere* 84, 747–758.
392 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.02.032>
- 393 Danish Standard, 1985a. DS 291:1985.
- 394 Danish Standard, 1985b. DS 292:1985.
- 395 Egle, L., Rechberger, H., Krampe, J., Zessner, M., 2016. Phosphorus recovery from municipal wastewater:
396 An integrated comparative technological, environmental and economic assessment of P recovery
397 technologies. *Sci. Total Environ.* 571, 522–542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.019>
- 398 Frossard, E., Bauer, J.P., Lothe, F., 1997. Evidence of vivianite in FeSO₄-flocculated sludge. *Water Res.* 31,
399 2449–2454. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(97\)00101-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(97)00101-2)
- 400 He, Z.W., Liu, W.Z., Wang, L., Tang, C.C., Guo, Z.C., Yang, C.X., Wang, A.J., 2016. Clarification of
401 phosphorus fractions and phosphorus release enhancement mechanism related to pH during waste
402 activated sludge treatment. *Bioresour. Technol.* 222, 217–225.
403 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.010>
- 404 Hermann, L., 2009. Rückgewinnung von Phosphor aus der Abwasserreinigung. Eine Bestandesaufnahme.
405 Umwelt-Wissen Nr. 0929. Bundesamt für Umwelt. Bern.
- 406 Hu, P., Liu, J., Bao, H., Wu, L., Jiang, L., Zou, L., Wu, Y., Qian, G., Li, Y.Y., 2018. Enhancing phosphorus
407 release from waste activated sludge by combining high-voltage pulsed discharge pretreatment with
408 anaerobic fermentation. *J. Clean. Prod.* 196, 1044–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.06.153>
- 409 Klingmair, M., Lemming, C., Jensen, L.S., Rechberger, H., Astrup, T.F., Scheutz, C., 2015. Phosphorus in
410 Denmark: National and regional anthropogenic flows. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 105, 311–324.
411 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.09.019>
- 412 Larsen, P., Eriksen, P.S., Lou, M.A., Thomsen, T.R., Kong, Y.H., Nielsen, J.L., Nielsen, P.H., 2006. Floc-

- 413 forming properties of polyphosphate accumulating organisms in activated sludge. *Water Sci. Technol.*
414 54, 257–265. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2006.394>
- 415 Latif, M.A., Mehta, C.M., Batstone, D.J., 2015. Low pH anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge for
416 enhanced phosphorous release. *Water Res.* 81, 288–293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.05.062>
- 417 Levlin, E., Hultman, B., 2007. Phosphorus Recovery From Sewage Sludge - Ideas for Further Studies to
418 Improve Leaching, in: *Integration and Optimisation of Urban Sanitation Systems: Proceedings of*
419 *Polish-Swedish Seminars*. pp. 61–70.
- 420 Lundin, M., Olofsson, M., Pettersson, G.J., Zetterlund, H., 2004. Environmental and economic assessment of
421 sewage sludge handling options. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 41, 255–278.
422 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2003.10.006>
- 423 Meulepas, R.J.W., Gonzalez-Gil, G., Teshager, F.M., Witharana, A., Saikaly, P.E., Lens, P.N.L., 2015.
424 Anaerobic bioleaching of metals from waste activated sludge. *Sci. Total Environ.* 514, 60–67.
425 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.073>
- 426 Miljøministeriet (The Danish Environmental Protection Agency), 2013. Innovationspartnerskab for
427 anvendelse af fosfor fra spildevand og spildevandsslam fra spildevandsforsyninger. [https://doi.org/978-](https://doi.org/978-87-92903-82-2)
428 [87-92903-82-2](https://doi.org/978-87-92903-82-2)
- 429 Mingot, J.I., Obrador, A., Manuel Alvarez, J., Rico, M.I., 1995. Acid extraction and sequential fractionation
430 of heavy metals in water treatment sludges. *Environ. Technol. (United Kingdom)* 16, 869–876.
431 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593331608616325>
- 432 Müller, J. a, Günther, L., Dockhorn, T., Dichtl, N., Phan, L., Urban, I., Weichgrebe, D., 2007. Nutrient
433 Recycling from Sewage Sludge using the Seaborne Process. *Mov. Forward. Wastewater Biosolids*
434 *Sustain. Tech. Manag. Public Synerg.* 629–633.
- 435 Naoum, C., Fatta, D., Haralambous, K.J., Loizidou, M., 2001. Removal of heavy metals from sewage sludge
436 by acid treatment. *J. Environ. Sci. Heal. - Part A Toxic/Hazardous Subst. Environ. Eng.* 36, 873–881.
437 <https://doi.org/10.1081/ESE-100103767>
- 438 Pinnekamp, J., Baumann, P., Cornel, P., Everding, W., Göttlicher-Schmidle, U., Heinzmann, B., Jardin, N.,
439 Londong, J., Meyer, C., Mocker, M., Montag, D., Müller-Schaper, J., Petzet, S., Sonderdruck, C.S.,

- 440 2013. Stand und Perspektiven der Phosphorrückgewinnung aus Abwasser und Klärschlamm. KA –
441 Korrespondenz Abwasser, Abfall, 60 10/11.
- 442 SEG - Stadtentwässerung Göppingen, iat - Ingenieurberatung GmbH, Institut für Siedlungswasserbau, W.
443 und A. (ISWA), 2014. Rückgewinnung von Phosphor aus Klärschlamm – Machbarkeitsstudie.
- 444 Shiba, N.C., Ntuli, F., 2017. Extraction and precipitation of phosphorus from sewage sludge. *Waste Manag.*
445 60, 191–200.
- 446 Shu, L., Schneider, P., Jegatheesan, V., Johnson, J., 2006. An economic evaluation of phosphorus recovery
447 as struvite from digester supernatant. *Bioresour. Technol.* 97, 2211–2216.
448 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.11.005>
- 449 Stylianou, M.A., Kollia, D., Haralambous, K.J., Inglezakis, V.J., Moustakas, K.G., Loizidou, M.D., 2007.
450 Effect of acid treatment on the removal of heavy metals from sewage sludge. *Desalination* 215, 73–81.
451 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2006.11.015>
- 452 Stössel, E., 2013. Budenheim Carbonic Acid Process – An environment friendly process for recovering
453 phosphates from sewage sludge, in: International Symposium Re-Water Braunschweig, 6–7. November
454 2013. Braunschweig. Germany.
- 455 Tarayre, C., De Clercq, L., Charlier, R., Michels, E., Meers, E., Camargo-Valero, M., Delvigne, F., 2016.
456 New perspectives for the design of sustainable bioprocesses for phosphorus recovery from waste.
457 *Bioresour. Technol.* 206, 264–274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01.091>
- 458 Tessier, A., Campbell, P.G.C., Bisson, M., 1979. Sequential Extraction Procedure for the Speciation of
459 Particulate Trace Metals. *Anal. Chem.* 51, 844–851. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac50043a017>
- 460 The Danish Government, 2013. Denmark without waste 1–37. <https://doi.org/978-87-03026-59-5>
- 461 Vaneckhaute, C., Lebuf, V., Michels, E., Belia, E., Vanrolleghem, P.A., Tack, F.M.G., Meers, E., 2017.
462 Nutrient Recovery from Digestate: Systematic Technology Review and Product Classification. *Waste*
463 *and Biomass Valorization* 8, 21–40.
- 464 Vardanyan, A., Kafa, N., Konstantinidis, V., Shin, S.G., Vyrides, I., 2018. Phosphorus dissolution from
465 dewatered anaerobic sludge: Effect of pHs, microorganisms, and sequential extraction. *Bioresour.*
466 *Technol.* 249, 464–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.188>

- 467 Venkiteshwaran, K., McNamara, P.J., Mayer, B.K., 2018. Meta-analysis of non-reactive phosphorus in
468 water, wastewater, and sludge, and strategies to convert it for enhanced phosphorus removal and
469 recovery. *Sci. Total Environ.* 644, 661–674. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.369>
- 470 Wang, J.Y., Zhang, D.S., Stabnikova, O., Tay, J.H., 2005. Evaluation of electrokinetic removal of heavy
471 metals from sewage sludge. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 124, 139–146.
472 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.04.036>
- 473 Wilfert, P., Mandalidis, A., Dugulan, A.I., Goubitz, K., Korving, L., Temmink, H., Witkamp, G.J., Van
474 Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2016. Vivianite as an important iron phosphate precipitate in sewage treatment
475 plants. *Water Res.* 104, 449–460.
- 476 Wozniak, D.J., Huang, J.Y.C., 1982. Variables affecting metal removal from sludge. *Water Pollut. Control*
477 *Fed.* 54, 1574–1580. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25041763>
- 478 Xu, Y., Hu, H., Liu, J., Luo, J., Qian, G., Wang, A., 2015. PH dependent phosphorus release from waste
479 activated sludge: Contributions of phosphorus speciation. *Chem. Eng. J.* 267, 260–265.
480 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.01.037>
- 481 Yi, W.G., Lo, K.V., 2003. Phosphate Recovery from Greenhouse Wastewater. *J. Environ. Sci. Heal. Part B,*
482 38, 501–509. <https://doi.org/10.1081/PFC-120021669>

483

484

485

486

- Digested and non-digested sludge were acidified to dissolve phosphorus.
- The highest yield was obtained from digested sludge.
- For all sludge types, 60-100 mmol ortho-phosphate was released per added mol H₂SO₄.
- Release of heavy metals was low and not a major concern.
- Phosphate precipitates with iron at increased pH.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT