Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY

The ability of the toe-brachial index to predict the outcome of treadmill exercise testing in patients with a normal resting ankle-brachial index

Høyer, Christian; Strandberg, Jesper; Overvad Jordansen, Malene Kragh; Zacho, Helle D

Journal Pre-proof

The ability of the toe-brachial index to predict the outcome of treadmill exercise testing in patients with a normal resting ankle-brachial index.

Christian Høyer, MD, PhD, Jesper Strandberg, MD, Malene Kragh Overvad Jordansen, BS, Helle D. Zacho, MD, PhD

Please cite this article as: Høyer C, Strandberg J, Overvad Jordansen MK, Zacho HD, The ability of the toe-brachial index to predict the outcome of treadmill exercise testing in patients with a normal resting ankle-brachial index., *Annals of Vascular Surgery* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avsg.2019.10.041.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The ability of the toe-brachial index to predict the outcome of treadmill exercise testing in patients with a normal resting ankle-brachial index.

Christian Høyer, MD, PhD[1,2]
Jesper Strandberg, MD[2]
Malene Kragh Overvad Jordansen, BS[2]
Helle D Zacho, MD, PhD[2]

(1) Department of Clinical Physiology, Viborg Regional Hospital, DK-8800 Viborg, Denmark.
(2) Department of Nuclear Medicine, Aalborg University Hospital, DK-9000 Aalborg, Denmark.

Corresponding author:
Christian Høyer, MD, PhD, As. Prof.
Department of Clinical Physiology
Viborg Regional Hospital
Heibergs Allé 4
DK-8800 Viborg
Denmark

Tel (+45) 7844 3300
Fax (+45) 7844 3399
E-mail: christian.hoeyer@midt.rm.dk

E-mail for co-authors: JS: *j.strandberg@rn.dk*
                          MKOJ: *mako@rn.dk*
                          HDZ: *h.zacho@rn.dk*

Manuscript category: Original article

Word count: 2,737

Mesh terms: Ankle brachial index
                Toe brachial index
                Diagnostic test
                Peripheral arterial disease
                Postexercise ABI

1

Abstract

Objective

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) in the presence of a normal ankle-brachial index (ABI) can be

diagnosed noninvasively by measuring a postexercise ABI or by measuring the toe-brachial index

(TBI).

Methods

This was a prospective comparative study. Over a period of 30 months, a total of 415 patients who

were referred with the suspicion of vascular claudication and resting values of 0.91≤ABI<1.40 were

further evaluated for the resting TBI and postexercise ABI by treadmill testing.

Results

A total of 325 (39%) of the 830 investigated limbs had a low TBI (≤0.70), and 505 (61%) had a

normal TBI. Of the limbs with a low TBI, 160 (49%) had PAD according to a postexercise ABI

versus 165 (33%) of the limbs with normal TBI. The overall agreement in PAD classification

between the two methods was 500/830 (60%) with a Cohen's kappa = 0.166 (95% CI: 0.096-0.232).

The data showed an inverse correlation between the magnitude of the TBI decrease, as well as the

resting ABI, and the probability of an abnormal postexercise ABI. On average, limbs with a low

TBI had a lower resting ABI than patients with a normal TBI (1.07±0.09 vs. 1.13±0.10, P<.001).

The groups with a low TBI had a significantly higher ratio of abnormal test results than patients

with a normal TBI, in limbs with ABI (0.96-1.00) and ABI >1.10 (P ≤ .022 for both), but there

were no statistically significant differences found in other ABI intervals (P > .200 for all).

Conclusions

The magnitude of the TBI reduction correlates with an increased probability of an abnormal

postexercise ABI. However, this is due in part to limbs with a low TBI having a lower resting ABI

on average than limbs with a normal TBI, which also correlates with the probability of an abnormal

2

75   exercise test result. This study shows that the TBI and the postexercise ABI are not interchangeable

76   for establishing a PAD diagnosis.

77

78   <u>Keywords:</u> Ankle brachial index (MeSH), Toe brachial index (MeSH), Diagnostic test (MeSH),

79   Peripheral arterial disease (MeSH), Postexercise ABI.

80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118

3

1. Introduction

Arteriosclerosis in the lower limbs is a common condition affecting 15-20% of persons older than 70-years.[1] The presence and severity of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) can be diagnosed by measuring the ankle-brachial index (ABI) at rest. Having an ABI≤0.90 is indicative of the presence of arterial disease and is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity. However, a normal resting ABI does not rule out the presence of PAD.[2]

The normal physiological response to leg exercise, such as walking, is an increase in the central systolic pressure along with vasodilation in the exercising muscles, thus reducing peripheral vascular resistance. In cases with occlusive PAD, this can lead to a significant drop in ankle pressure or ABI post exercise.[3] The resting ABI can be unreliable in conditions related to medial arterial calcinosis such as diabetes, chronic kidney insufficiency, or age, which can lead to falsely elevated, or even falsely normal ankle pressures.[4]

In addition to the ABI, PAD can be diagnosed by measuring the toe-brachial index (TBI), which is less prone to medial arterial calcinosis.[5] Previous studies have shown that approximately 20% of patients suspected of PAD have normal ankle pressures but low TBI. [6] However, this subset of patients consists of both patients with masked large vessel disease, and small vessel disease with lesions below the ankle level. The aim of the current study is to test the ability of the toe-brachial index to predict the outcome of treadmill testing, and establish whether a normal TBI would render a supplementary treadmill testing redundant.

4

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Design

This was a prospective study.

2.2 Subjects

Over a 30 month inclusion period from 2015-2018, patients who were referred to the Department of

Nuclear Medicine at Aalborg University Hospital for distal blood pressure measurements and

clinically suspected of vascular claudication were screened for enrollment. The inclusion criteria

were patients with $0.91 \leq ABI < 1.40$ who were able to participate in standardized treadmill testing.

The study protocol was approved by the Northern Danish Regional Committee on Biomedical

Research Ethics and the Danish Data Protection Agency. The study complied with the Helsinki II

declaration.

2.3 Experimental procedure

The patients rested in a supine position for at least 15 minutes prior to the measurements. Adequate

limb temperatures were maintained at 35-40°C using heating overlays prior to testing (Dorcas

Activator Pack, ProTerapi, Ballerup, Denmark). Toe- and ankle pressures were assessed by

photoplethysmography (Falcon PRO , Viasonix, Ra'anana, Israel). [7] Appropriately sized pneumatic

occlusion cuffs were positioned at the site of measurement. The photoplethysmograhic sensors were

positioned distal to the cuff and measured changes in light absorption upon illumination of the skin.

The cuff deflated automatically with the sensor detecting flow throughout the deflation period. The

brachial blood pressure was measured simultaneusly with each toe or ankle pressure (automatic

digital blood pressure monitor M6, Omron Healthcare Europe, Hoofdorp, Netherlands), with the

side with the highest systolic pressure selected as the reference for the ABI and TBI calculations.

5

163 **2.4 Exercise test**

164 Following the assessment of the resting ABI and TBI, the patients underwent standardized treadmill

165 testing at a set speed of 4 kilometers per hour (2.5 miles per hour), and at a fixed inclination (10%)

166 for 5 minutes or until they were unable to continue due to symptoms (MedTrack CR60, Quinton

167 Instrument, Washington, USA). Immediately following completion of the treadmill exercise, the

168 patients were repositioned in a supine position, and ankle and brachial measurements were repeated

169 until the normalization of the ABI. The observers were not blinded to the outcome of the TBI

170 measurements.

171

172 **2.5 Diagnostic classification**

173 A resting ABI was considered within the normal range between 0.91≤ABI<1.40, and TBI>0.70

174 according to criteria set by the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association

175 (ACC/AHA).[1,2] An abnormal postexercise ABI was defined according to the AHA scientific

176 statement by one of the two following criteria: a postexercise ABI decrease of more than 20% or a

177 postexercise ankle pressure decrease of more than 30 mmHg.[3] An abnormal postexcercise increase

178 in ABI was defined as an ABI increase in either of the two limbs.[8] This was, however, not deemed

179 an abnormal test result.

180 **2.6 Statistical analysis**

181 The data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. The differences in hemodynamic and

182 demographic variables between the two groups were analyzed using an unpaired t-test, in the case

183 of quantitative variables and a chi-square test (χ^2) in the case of categorical variables. Agreement in

184 diagnostic classification (PAD/not PAD) was analyzed by Cohen's kappa (κ). A P-value < 0.05 was

185 considered to be statistically significant, and the statistical analysis was performed using SPSS

186 software version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Illinois, USA).

6

187 **3 Results**

188 **3.1 Patients and data sampling**

189 During the 30 month inclusion period, a total of 4020 distal pressure measurements were performed

190 at the department, of which 432 patients met the inclusion criteria. A total of 18 patients were

191 excluded due to incomplete exercise tests (n=15) or failure to obtain toe pressures (n=3), leaving

192 415 patients/830 limbs eligible for further analysis. Of these patients, 210 (51%) had a resting

193 TBI≤0.70, and 205 (49%) had a resting TBI>0.70. Patient demographics for the two groups are

194 presented in Table I. The parameters derived from pretest ankle and toe pressure assessment are

195 presented in Table II, along with postexercise measurements. Overall, the patients with low TBIs

196 had lower pre- and post-exercise mean ankle pressures, and mean ABI than patients with a normal

197 TBI (all P ≤.002).

198 **3.2 Diagnostic Agreement**

199 A total of 117 (28%) of the 415 patients had PAD according to the outcome of the exercise testing

200 and the TBI and 128 (31%) had normal test results for both evaluations according to AHA/ACC

201 criteria. Another 93 (22%) patients had a low TBI but a normal treadmill testing result, and 77

202 (19%) patients had a normal TBI but PAD according to the treadmill testing. The overall agreement

203 in the diagnostic classification was 245/415 (59%) with a Cohen's kappa (κ) = 0.181 (95% CI:

204 0.087-0.276). When analysing the agreement on a limb basis, 160 (49%) of the 325 limbs with low

205 TBI had an abnormal exercise test, whereas 165 (33%) of the 505 limbs with a normal TBI had an

206 abnormal exercise test. The overall agreement on a limb basis was 500/830 (60%) with a Cohen's

207 kappa (κ) = 0.166 (95% CI: 0.096-0.232). The diagnostic outcome for the exercise testing on a limb

208 basis is displayed in Table III and on a patient basis in Table IV. The sensitivity, specificity,

209 positive and negative predictive values for the TBI with postexercise ABI as reference are shown in

210 Table V. There were no significant differences in the ratio of abnormal tests within the two major

7

211 groups when comparing patients who were able to complete the full 5 minutes of the treadmill

212 testing to the subgroups that were only able to complete less than 3 minutes or 3 to 5 minutes,

213 respectively (all P ≥ .481). There were no significant differences in the ratio of abnormal exercise

214 test results in the subgroup of patients with diabetes (49% abnormal tests) or chronic kidney failure

215 (54% abnormal tests) compared to the other groups (P ≥.144 for both).

216

217 **3.3 The ABI and TBI versus outcome of exercise test**

218 The probability of an abnormal treadmill testing result increased with the magnitude of the TBI

219 reduction, as shown in Fig. 1 (P<.005). The same was true for pre-exercise ABI (Fig. 2), with a

220 borderline reduced ABI (0.91-1.00) having a higher probability (52%) of an abnormal exercise test

221 than limbs with an ABI >1.00 (36%) (P<.0.001). The groups with a low TBI had a significantly

222 higher ratio of abnormal test results than patients with a normal TBI, in limbs with ABI (0.96-1.00)

223 and ABI >1.10 (P ≤ .022 for both). However, there were no statistically significant differences in

224 the remaining groups (P ≥ .200 for all). The correlation between the pretest ABI and the TBI is

225 shown in Fig. 3. A receiver operator curve for ABI and TBI was constructed and showed an area

226 under the curve of 0.564 (95% CI: 0.509-0.619) for the ABI and 0.580 for the TBI (95% CI: 0.525-

227 0.634), and no clear diagnostic cut-off was determined for the prediction of the outcome of exercise

228 test (Fig. 4).

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

8

236

4 Discussion

238 This study shows that the TBI and postexercise ABI are not interchangeable methods for the

239 diagnosis of PAD, with agreement in only 59% of cases. Although we found a correlation between

240 the magnitude of the TBI decrease and the probability of an abnormal exercise test result, this could

241 be partially explained by the known correlation between the resting ABI and TBI within the

242 investigated pressure range.[9] The lower the resting ABI, the higher the probability of obtaining an

243 abnormal test result, as was also indicated by this study.[10] Since limbs with a low TBI have a lower

244 ABI in average than limbs with a normal TBI, there is a logical increase in the probability of an

245 abnormal test result in that group. Accordingly, we did not detect a significant difference between

246 patients with a normal TBI and low TBI within most of the ABI subgroups.

247

248 Having a reduced resting ABI is a well established indicator of increased risk of cardiovascular

249 morbidity and mortality.[3] Furthermore, studies have shown that an abnormal postexercise ABI in

250 the presence of a normal resting ABI, is an independent predictor of mortality and is related to a

251 higher incidence of revascularization. [11,12] The TBI has also been shown to be an independent risk

252 marker for mortality, although this remains to be verified in large-scale trials.[5, 13,14] It has been

253 hypothesized that a reduced TBI in the presence of a normal ABI reflects small vessel disease, and

254 the TBI has been shown to have a superior correlation to prognostic markers in comorbidities such

255 as diabetes, kidney disease and microvascular disease than the ABI.[5] However, the group of patients

256 with a low TBI and normal ABI likely contains a mixture of patients with masked large vessel

257 disease (e.g., due to vessel stiffness), patients with small vessel disease, or the use of flawed

258 diagnostic limits for the TBI. The treadmill testing could in theory offer a way to discriminate these

9

259   subgroups, as significant large vessel stenosis would result in a postexercise ABI decrease.[15]

260   Patients with diabetes are more prone to develop distal lesions, whereas smokers or young patients

261   are more prone to develop proximal lesions.[16] However, we did not find any significant difference

262   in the probability of an abnormal test result for patients with suspected microvascular disease, such

263   as patients with diabetes or chronic kidney failure, although these subgroups were too small to

264   allow any firm conclusions to be made.

265

266   Large-scale studies have shown, that a supranormal ABI is associated with increased mortality due

267   to vessel stiffness.[17] Recently, Hammand and coworkers found that patients with an abnormal

268   increase in ABI following an exercise test also have an increased risk of mortality, although this

269   finding needs to be verified.[8] It could be hypothesized that this is a reflection of increased vessel

270   stiffness which compromises the arterial windkessel function, leading to an alteration of the

271   pressure curve.[18] However, we did not find any discrepancies in the ratio of patients with an

272   abnormally high postexercise ABI between patients with a low or normal TBI.

273

274   In the 2016 ACC/AHA guidelines on the management of patients with lower extremity PAD, the

275   postexercise ABI is recommended for patients with exertional nonjoint related symptoms and

276   resting ABI within the range of 0.91-1.40 for the PAD diagnosis.[2] On the other hand, it is also

277   stated that PAD can be diagnosed by measuring a TBI ≤0.70, and this method is recommended in

278   patients with a supranormal ABI (>1.40) or patients with nonhealing wounds or gangrene. In other

279   words, the methods are used more or less interchangeably to establish a PAD diagnosis. The

280   findings in our study highlight that there is substantial disagreement between the two diagnostic

281   modalities, likely due to differences in the sites of the vessel lesions. The treadmill testing primarily

282   offers information on lesions proximal to the ankle, whereas patients with a reduced TBI are a

10

283 heterogeneous group including both patients with masked large vessel disease and patients with

284 distal vessel lesions.[16] Another possible reason for the discrepancy is the limited evidence for the

285 diagnostic limits in use for both methods.[5,19]. There is a substantial need for additional large-scale

286 trials that correlate these methods to angiographically verified vessel stenoses and cardiovascular

287 morbidity and mortality to clarify this.

5 Conclusion

289 The results of the present study show that the magnitude of the TBI reduction correlates with an

290 increased probability of an abnormal postexercise ABI with 49% of limbs with a low TBI having an

291 abnormal test result vs. 33% of the limbs with normal TBI. However, this was due in part to limbs

292 with a low TBI having a lower resting ABI on average than limbs with a normal TBI, as the level of

293 the resting ABI also relates to the probability of an abnormal exercise test result. This study shows

294 that the TBI and the postexercise ABI are not interchangeable for establishing a PAD diagnosis, and

295 likely reveal different entities of peripheral arteriosclerotic disease.

6 Acknowledgements

7 Conflict of Interest Statement

300 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

301

302

303

304

11

305

306

8 References

1. Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA, et al. Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease (TASC II). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2007;33 Suppl 1:S1-75.

2. Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, et al. 2016 AHA/ACC Guideline on the Management of Patients With Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2017;135:e686-e725.

3. Aboyans V, Criqui MH, Abraham P, et al. Measurement and interpretation of the ankle-brachial index: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2012;126:2890-2909.

4. Suominen V, Rantanen T, Venermo M et al. J. Prevalence and risk factors of PAD among patients with elevated ABI. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2008;35:709-714.

5. Høyer C, Sandermann J, Petersen LJ. The toe-brachial index in the diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease. *J Vasc Surg*. 2013;58:231-238.

6. Høyer C, Høgh AL, Sandermann J et al. Risk factors and haemodynamic variables in patients with low toe-brachial index but normal ankle-brachial index. *Atherosclerosis* 2019;289:21-26.

7. Høyer C, Nielsen NS, Jordansen MKO et al. Comparison of two methods based on photoplethysmography for the diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2017;77:622-627.

8. Hammad TA, Hiatt WR, Gornik HL, et al. Prognostic value of an increase in post-exercise ankle-brachial index. *Vasc Med*. 2017;22:204-209.

326   9. Aboyans V, Ho E, Denenberg JO et al. The association between elevated ankle systolic pressures and

327   peripheral occlusive arterial disease in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects. *J Vasc Surg*. 2008;48:1197-1203.

328   10. Armstrong DWJ, Tobin C, Matangi MF. Predictors of an abnormal postexercise ankle brachial index:

329   Importance of the lowest ankle pressure in calculating the resting ankle brachial index. *Clin Cardiol*.

330   2017;40:1163-1168.

331   11. Sheikh MA, Bhatt DL, Li J, et al. Usefulness of postexercise ankle-brachial index to predict all-cause

332   mortality. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:778-782.

333   12. Hammad TA, Strefling JA, Zellers PR, et al. The Effect of Post-Exercise Ankle-Brachial Index on Lower

334   Extremity Revascularization. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2015;8:1238-1244.

335   13. Wickstrom JE, Laivuori M, Aro E, et al. Toe Pressure and Toe Brachial Index are Predictive of

336   Cardiovascular Mortality, Overall Mortality, and Amputation Free Survival in Patients with Peripheral

337   Artery Disease. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2017;53:696-703.

338   14. Zobel EH, von Scholten BJ, Reinhard H, et al. Toe-brachial index as a predictor of cardiovascular

339   disease and all-cause mortality in people with type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria. *Diabetologia*.

340   2017;60:1883-1891.

341   15. Tehan PE, Barwick AL, Sebastian M et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the postexercise ankle-brachial index

342   for detecting peripheral artery disease in suspected claudicants with and without diabetes. *Vasc Med*.

343   2018;23:116-125.

344   16. Aboyans V, Lacroix P, Criqui MH. Large and small vessels atherosclerosis: similarities and differences.

345   *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2007;50:112-125.

14

346   17. Ankle Brachial Index Collaboration, Fowkes FG, Murray GD, et al. Ankle brachial index combined with

347   Framingham Risk Score to predict cardiovascular events and mortality: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*.

348   2008;300:197-208.

349   18. Westerhof N, Lankhaar JW, Westerhof BE. The arterial Windkessel. *Med Biol Eng Comput*.

350   2009;47:131-141.

351   19. Mahe G, Pollak AW, Liedl DA, et al. Discordant Diagnosis of Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery

352   Disease Using American Heart Association Postexercise Guidelines. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015;94:e1277.

353

15

Table I: Demographics.

	Normal TBI (n=205)	Low TBI (n=210)	P-value
Age [years]	61.0 ± 12.4	65.9 ± 11.4	< .001
Height [cm]	173.5 ± 9.1	172.9 ± 9.7	.526
Weight [kg]	86.4 ± 18.5	82.6 ± 19.1	.043
Diabetes Mellitus	26 (13%)	32 (15%)	.453
Myocardial Infarction	21 (10%)	31 (15%)	.165
Chronic Kidney Insufficiency	7 (3%)	10 (5%)	.489
Arterial Hypertension	106 (52%)	107 (51%)	.878
Hypercholesterolemia	97 (47%)	107 (51%)	.459
Smokers	56 (27%)	48 (23%)	.295

Abbreviations: Data shown as mean (± standard deviation) or total (percentage).

Table II: Hemodynamic variables.

	Normal TBI (n=205)	Low TBI (n=210)	P-value
Pre-test resting values			
Brachial pressure [mmHg]	135 ± 16	136 ± 19	.534
Right ankle pressure [mmHg]	152 ± 21	146 ± 24	.002
Left ankle pressure [mmHg]	152 ± 22	146 ± 22	.005
Right ABI	1.13 ± 0.10	1.07 ± 0.09	< .001
Left ABI	1.12 ± 0.09	1.07 ± 0.09	< .001
Right toe pressure [mmHg]	113 ± 18	87 ± 21	< .001
Left toe pressure [mmHg]	114 ± 18	87 ± 20	< .001
Right TBI	0.84 ± 0.09	0.63 ± 0.12	< .001
Left TBI	0.84 ± 0.09	0.64 ± 0.12	< .001
1-minute post-exercise			
Brachial pressure [mmHg]	172 ± 26	176 ± 29	.182
Right ankle pressure [mmHg]	167 ± 39	150 ± 51	< .001
Left ankle pressure [mmHg]	167 ± 40	149 ± 48	< .001
Right ABI	0.98 ± 0.19	0.85 ± 0.24	< .001
Left ABI	0.97 ± 0.19	0.85 ± 0.23	< .001

Abbreviations: Data shown as mean (± standard deviation) or total (percentage).

Table III: Exercise testing on a limb basis.

	Normal TBI (n=505 limbs)	Low TBI (n=325 limbs)	P-value
≥30 mmHg decrease in ankle pressure	43 (9%)	59 (18%)	.0003
≥20% decrease in ABI	165 (33%)	160 (49%)	.002
≥30 mmHg decrease in ankle pressure or ≥20% decrease in ABI	165 (33%)	160 (49%)	.002
Postexercise increase in ABI	80 (16%)	47 (14%)	.644
Postexercise ABI drop 0-19%	260 (51%)	119 (37%)	.009

Abbreviations: Data shown as the mean (± standard deviation) or total (percentage).

Table IV: Exercise testing on a patient basis.

|	Normal TBI (n=205)	Low TBI (n=210)	P-value
≥30 mmHg decrease in ankle pressure	24 (12%)	48 (23%)	.003
≥20% decrease in ABI	77 (38%)	117 (56%)	.0002
≥30 mmHg decrease in ankle pressure or ≥20% decrease in ABI	77 (38%)	117 (56%)	.0002
Postexercise increase in ABI	43 (21%)	39 (19%)	.539
Postexercise ABI drop 0-19%	87 (42%)	56 (27%)	.001

Abbreviations: Data shown as the mean (± standard deviation) or total (percentage).

**Table V:** Test accuracy of the TBI with postexercise ABI as reference.

|	On a patient basis (n=415)	On a limb basis (n=830)
Sensitivity	60.3%	49.2%
Specificity	57.9%	67.3%
Positive predictive value	55.7%	49.2%
Negative predictive value	62.4%	67.3%

Figure 1: The probability of an abnormal exercise test vs. the TBI on a limb basis.

Figure 2: The probability of an abnormal exercise test vs. the ABI on a limb basis. *) Denotes P ≤ .022

Figure 3: The correlation between TBI and pre-test ABI. The equation for the linear regression line (dotted line) were y = 0.63x+0.01 (R^2=0.173). Full dots indicate patients with an abnormal exercise test and hollow dots patients with a normal exercise test.

Figure 4: Receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curve for various TBI (full line) and pretest ABI (dotted line) cut-offs.