Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Correlation of anteroseptal ST elevation with myocardial infarction territories through cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging

Allencheril, Joseph; Fakhri, Yama; Engblom, Henrik; Heiberg, Einar; Carlsson, Marcus; Dubois-Rande, Jean-Luc; Halvorsen, Sigrun; Hall, Trygve S.; Larsen, Alf-Inge; Jensen, Svend Eggert; Arheden, Hakan; Atar, Dan; Clemmensen, Peter; Ripa, Maria Sejersten; Birnbaum, Yochai

Published in:
Journal of Electrocardiology

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2018.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2018.03.016)

Creative Commons License
CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Allencheril, J., Fakhri, Y., Engblom, H., Heiberg, E., Carlsson, M., Dubois-Rande, J-L., Halvorsen, S., Hall, T. S., Larsen, A-I., Jensen, S. E., Arheden, H., Atar, D., Clemmensen, P., Ripa, M. S., & Birnbaum, Y. (2018). Correlation of anteroseptal ST elevation with myocardial infarction territories through cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of Electrocardiology*, 51(4), 563-568.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2018.03.016>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Accepted Manuscript

Correlation of anteroseptal ST elevation with myocardial infarction territories through cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging

Joseph Allencherril, Yama Fakhri, Henrik Engblom, Einar Heiberg, Marcus Carlsson, Jean-Luc Dubois-Rande, Sigrun Halvorsen, Trygve S. Hall, Alf-Inge Larsen, Svend Eggert Jensen, Hakan Arheden, Dan Atar, Peter Clemmensen, Maria Sejersten Ripa, Yochai Birnbaum

PII: S0022-0736(18)30126-2

DOI: [doi:10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2018.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2018.03.016)

Reference: YJELC 52601

To appear in:

Please cite this article as: Joseph Allencherril, Yama Fakhri, Henrik Engblom, Einar Heiberg, Marcus Carlsson, Jean-Luc Dubois-Rande, Sigrun Halvorsen, Trygve S. Hall, Alf-Inge Larsen, Svend Eggert Jensen, Hakan Arheden, Dan Atar, Peter Clemmensen, Maria Sejersten Ripa, Yochai Birnbaum , Correlation of anteroseptal ST elevation with myocardial infarction territories through cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Yjelc(2017), doi:[10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2018.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2018.03.016)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Correlation of anteroseptal ST elevation with myocardial infarction territories
through cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging**

Joseph Allencherril, MD^a, Yama Fakhri, MD^{b,c}, Henrik Engblom, MD^d, Einar Heiberg, MD^d, Marcus Carlsson, MD^d, Jean-Luc Dubois-Rande, MD^e, Sigrun Halvorsen, MD^f, Trygve S. Hall, MD^f, Alf-Inge Larsen, MD^{g,h}, Svend Eggert Jensen, MD^{i,j}, Hakan Arheden, MD^d, Dan Atar, MD^f, Peter Clemmensen, MD, DMSc^{c,k,l}, Maria Sejersten Ripa, MD, DMSc^b, Yochai Birnbaum, MD^a

^aSection of Cardiology, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, Texas USA

^bDepartment of Cardiology, The Heart Centre, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

^cDepartment of Medicine, Nykøbing F Hospital, Nykøbing F, Denmark

^dDepartment of Clinical Physiology, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

^eAssistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Créteil, France

^fDepartment of Cardiology B, Oslo University Hospital Ullevål, and Faculty of Medicine, AI, Oslo, Norway

^gDepartment of Cardiology, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway

^hDepartment of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

ⁱDepartment of Cardiology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

^jDepartment of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

^kInstitute of Regional Health Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense,
Denmark

^lDepartment of General and Interventional Cardiology, University Heart Center
Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

Corresponding author: Joseph Allencherril, M.D., Department of Medicine, Baylor
College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, Texas 77030, USA.

E-mail: jallencherril@gmail.com or allenche@bcm.edu

Phone: 281.854.8919

Running head – Addressing nomenclature of anteroseptal STEMI through CMR
correlations

Key words: Electrocardiography, myocardial infarction, magnetic resonance imaging, ST
elevations, cardiology

ABSTRACT

Background

Anteroseptal ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is traditionally defined on the electrocardiogram (ECG) by ST elevation (STE) in leads V1-V3, with or without involvement of lead V4. It is commonly taught that such infarcts affect the basal anteroseptal myocardial segment. While there are suggestions in the literature that Q waves limited to V1-V4 represent predominantly apical infarction, none have evaluated anteroseptal ST elevation territories. We compared the distribution of the myocardium at risk (MaR) in STEMI patients presenting with STE limited to V1-V4 and those with more extensive STE (V1-V6).

Methods

We identified patients in the MITOCARE study presenting with a first acute STEMI and new STE in at least two contiguous anterior leads from V1 to V6. Patients underwent cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging three to five days after acute infarction.

Results

Thirty-two patients met inclusion criteria. In patients with STE in V1-V4 (n=20), myocardium at risk (MaR) > 50% was seen in 0%, 85%, 75%, 100%, and 90% in the basal anteroseptal, mid anteroseptal, apical anterior, apical septal segments, and apex, respectively. The group with STE in V1-V6 (n=12), MaR > 50% was seen in 8%, 83%, 83%, 92%, and 83% of the same segments.

Conclusions

Patients with acute STEMI and STE in leads V1-V4, exhibit MaR in predominantly apical territories and rarely in the basal anteroseptum. We found no evidence to support

existence of isolated basal anteroseptal or septal STEMI. “Anteroapical” infarction is a more precise description than “anteroseptal” infarction for acute STEMI patients exhibiting STE in V1-V4.

1. INTRODUCTION

ST-elevation (STE) isolated to leads V1 to V3 with or without involvement of lead V4 in the electrocardiogram (ECG) have traditionally defined “anteroseptal” ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).[1] This definition was derived decades ago from histopathological studies, correlating the distribution of Q waves and the extent of infarction, and its validity has been questioned given the selection bias native to such works.[2, 3]

Later investigations have used cardiac imaging to correlate ECG infarct patterns with the purported infarct territories. The common belief in isolated anteroseptal myocardial infarction (MI) was not supported by early echocardiographic and angiographic data, and the inability of echocardiography to distinguish between stunned, hibernating and infarcted myocardium was a limiting factor in these investigations.[4, 5] Ultimately Selvanayagam et al. evaluated a group of 19 patients with acute MI and new Q waves in V1 to V2-V4 with LGE MRI, and reported that the majority evidenced mostly apical infarction with some mid-ventricular anteroseptum involvement.[6]

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) allows for assessment of myocardium at risk (MaR) and MI. Myocardial edema is precipitated by acute coronary thrombosis, resulting in an increased myocardial extracellular volume fraction (ECV) in the affected region, referred to as MaR. The increased ECV within the MaR can be depicted by contrast-enhanced steady state free precession (CE-SSFP) up to one week after the acute event.[7] Myocardial ischemia precedes the development of infarction, which can be perceived through late gadolinium enhancement cardiac MRI (LGE MRI), an exquisitely

sensitive method for assessment of the size and extent of infarcted myocardium.[8] The MaR may thus be visualized and compared to the region of subsequent infarct.[7]

We aimed to evaluate the location and extent of MaR in patients fitting the conventional definition of “anteroseptal” STEMI and those with more extensive STE in the precordial leads. We hypothesized that the ECG term “anteroseptal MI” actually refers to an area of MaR that is mostly apical, rather than basal, as traditional pedagogy sets forth. To our knowledge, this is the first work to directly correlate ECG findings of anteroseptal STEMI and areas of MaR.

2. METHODS

Patients in our work were participants in the MITOCARE study, a phase II, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study assessing the safety and efficacy of TRO40303 for reduction of reperfusion injury in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for acute STEMI. [9, 10]

Patient population

Inclusion criteria for the original study were first acute STEMI with occlusion of either the left anterior descending artery (LAD), the right coronary artery (RCA) or the left circumflex (LCX) artery; nitrate resistant chest pain for at least 30 minutes; presentation within six hours of onset of chest pain; new ST elevation at J-point in two contiguous leads with cut-off points: >0.2 mV in males or >0.15 mV in females in leads V2-V3 and/or >0.1 mV in other leads. Patients with cardiac arrest, cardiogenic shock, previous acute MI, previous coronary artery bypass graft, intravenous fibrinolytic therapy within 72 hours prior to PCI were excluded. Additional details of the MITOCARE study

have been previously published in full.[9, 10]. In the present study we included patients with anterior myocardial infarction presenting with ST elevation in two or more of the precordial leads V1-V3 with or without ST elevation in additional precordial leads. Patients with wide QRS or electronic paced rhythm on the presenting ECG were excluded.

Electrocardiograms were recorded at hospital admission, with analyses performed in the ECG core laboratory at Rigshospitalet (Copenhagen, Denmark). ST-segment deviation was measured manually to the nearest 0.5 mm at the J-point in all 12 ECG leads. Three to five days after the acute infarction, CMR was performed on whole-body 1.5 T magnetic resonance scanners with cardiac applications used for standard clinical CMR.

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

Image acquisition: The MR images were acquired in multiple CMR-centers (n=10) across Europe on either a 1.5T or 3T MR scanner. CE-SSFP short-axis cine images of the left ventricle were obtained five minutes after intravenous injection of a gadolinium-based contrast agent. Approximately 15 minutes after the contrast injection, LGE images were acquired in the same image planes as the CE-SSFP.[9, 11]

Image analysis: Left ventricular function and MaR were assessed from the CE-SSFP short-axis cine images. The endo- and epicardial borders as well as MaR were manually delineated in both end-systole and end-diastole as previously described.[7] MaR was expressed both as a percentage of the LV and as a percentage of each of the 17 segments pertaining to the American Heart Association (AHA) 17-segment model for

uniform description of myocardial segments.[12] Infarct size was assessed by LGE CMR.[13] CMR analyses were performed by a core laboratory (Imacor AB, Lund, Sweden: www.imacor.se) blinded to all clinical data using the software Segment (www.medviso.com).[14]

Statistical methods

The Fisher's exact test was carried out to compare categorical variables. Continuous variables were compared with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). A p -value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. When appropriate, values are described as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Frequencies and percentages have been used to describe categorical variables.

3. RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

A total of 32 patients met inclusion criteria.[9] The mean age of the patients was 63 ± 11 years. Nineteen patients (59%) were male (Table 1).

Principal analysis

Patients were categorized according to the distribution of anterior STE on the admission ECG. Twenty patients had STE extending up to V4 (two patients with STE in V1-V2, two with STE in V1-V3, 14 with STE in V1-V4, and two with STE in V2-V4). Twelve patients had STE extending up to V6 (five with STE in V1-V5, two with V1-V6, five with V2-V5).

Mean left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) assessed by CMR was $46.0\% \pm 10.2\%$.

There was no statistically significant difference in the LVEF between the two groups (Table 1)

Patients with extensive anterior STEMI (STE beyond V4) exhibited greater percentage of MaR ($p = 0.04$) as well as mass of MaR ($p = 0.0006$) (Table 1).

Figure 1 illustrates the extent of myocardial edema across the 17 myocardial segments in the two groups. The apical lateral myocardial segment (segment 16) was affected more frequently in patients with extensive anterior STEMI, but otherwise there were no statistically significant differences in the segmental involvement between the two groups.

In patients with anterior STE extending to V4 ($n = 20$), MaR $>25\%$ was seen in seven (35%), nineteen (95%), eighteen (90%), twenty (100%), and twenty (100%) patients in the basal anteroseptal, mid anteroseptal, apical anterior, apical septal segments, and apex, respectively (Figure 1a). MaR $>50\%$ was seen in zero (0%), seventeen (85%), fifteen (75%), twenty (100%), and eighteen (90%) (Figure 1b) at the same segments.

In patients with extensive anterior STEMI ($n = 12$), three (25%), ten (83%), eleven (92%), eleven (92%), and eleven (92%) patients were found to have MaR $>25\%$ at the basal anteroseptal, mid anteroseptal, apical anterior, apical septal segments, and apex, respectively (Figure 1a). A similar pattern was observed when comparing the distribution of segments with $>50\%$ MaR involvement: one (8%), ten (83%), ten (83%), eleven (92%), and ten (83%) patients, respectively (Figure 1b).

A separate analysis of these same study patients was carried out to examine whether STE in lead V1 is associated with involvement of the basal segments. All study patients

were regrouped into those with STE in V1 (n= 25) and those without STE in V1 (n = 7). There was no statistically significant difference in frequency of MaR >50% for any segments of the basal myocardium between these groups, with infrequent involvement noted overall (Table 2). Similar results were found for MaR >25% (data not shown).

4. DISCUSSION

Conventional ECG nomenclature with regard to STEMI diagnosis has been based on old histopathological correlations, which are inherently skewed due to the inherent selection bias of such works. Advanced CMR techniques permit the quantitative and qualitative assessment of MaR and infarction with higher sensitivity than other modalities.[15]

To our knowledge, our work is the first to directly examine correlations between MaR and ECG distributions of STE in the various leads. Selvanayagam et al., using LGE MRI, demonstrated principally apical infarction and some involvement of the mid-ventricular anteroseptum in 19 patients with Q-wave MI restricted to leads V1 to V2-V4.[6] The basal anteroseptum was not affected. Patients with Q waves limited to V1-V3 (n=8) and more extensive anterior Q waves (n=11) were not separately analyzed. Our findings with the presenting ECG are in general agreement with those of Selvanayagam et al in the chronic phase of infarction, suggesting that the pattern called “anteroseptal” STEMI is actually anteroapical STEMI.

To categorize the ECGs of the study patients, we used the classical definition of “anteroseptal” infarction, namely, STE in leads V1 to V4.[16-18] Figures 2 and 3 depicts the CMR images and ECGs of patients with limited and extensive anterior STE.

A large advantage of our study is that we were able to include patients with more extensive anterior STE, from leads V1 to V6 and compare to those with more limited “anteroseptal” STE, which has not been done in any prior work. The distributions of myocardial edema (Figure 1) suggest that more extensive anterior STE are correlated with overall increased MaR. However, it should be noted that the apical segments are affected in both “anteroseptal” and extensive anterior STEMI and the only statistically significant difference is more apical lateral segment involvement in the V1-V6 group. A minority of patients in both groups evidenced MaR in the basal anteroseptal segments. There was a dominant involvement of the apical anterior (segment 13), septal (segment 14), and apex (segment 17) in both groups, and the mid anterior (segment 7) mid ventricular anteroseptum (segment 8) were also commonly affected.

Quite strikingly, no patient in this study exhibited isolated basal anteroseptal (segment 2) MaR, in contrast to the prevailing theories in the literature. An example of this is seen in a prominent American Heart Association (AHA) ECG interpretation course, which instructs learners that “anteroseptal infarction” is limited to the basal anteroseptum.[19]

The left anterior descending (LAD) artery branches from the left main coronary artery (LM), coursing along the anterior interventricular groove toward the apex, supplying branches to the septum and the free wall of the left ventricle (LV).[16] Occlusion of the LAD would put the apical segments at risk, except in cases where the LAD is short, and there is an alternate blood supply to the apex. Because apical involvement is to be expected in LAD occlusion, the significance of STE in precordial leads beyond V1-V4 is unclear. Huang et al. suggested that the lack of STE in leads V4-

V6 in some patients with “anteroseptal” STEMI may actually signify cancellation of the opposing apical and basal-anterior injury vectors (caused by proximal occlusion of a long LAD), rather than a smaller MaR.[20] Yet, our study showed basal involvement in only a small proportion of patients (Figure 1).

A granular categorization of ECG anterior infarction patterns has been suggested by others, such as “septal,” “apical anteroseptal,” and “limited anterior.”[21, 22] Our data demonstrate that the myocardium appears to be principally at risk at the apical segments. Thus, we believe that “anteroapical” infarction should replace the term “anteroseptal” infarction, supporting the propositions made by Shalev et al. and Bogaty et al in the more chronic stage of infarction.[4, 5]

Ben-Gal et al. suggested that STE in lead V1 is precipitated by ischemia of the basal septum as a result of involvement of the proximal septal branches of the LAD in patients without a large conal branch of the RCA.[23, 24] The group suggested that the absence of STE in lead V1 implies the presence of a large conal branch of the RCA supplying the basal part of the interventricular septum. We in fact found minimal involvement of the basal segments with no significant differences between segments on separate analysis of patients with and without STE in V1 (Table 2).

Future directions

Further investigations in traditional ECG terminology are needed, especially territorial definitions of MI. CMR allows for noninvasive assessment of several infarction parameters without need for radiation and is an exquisitely useful tool in this regard. Larger studies will be of great value. While the expense of CMR poses a barrier to

adoption, wider availability, especially in larger medical centers, may facilitate its use in appropriate clinical scenarios.

Study limitations

Due to the small number of patients with limited “septal” STE in V1-2, we were unable to do further subgroup data analysis. Further investigations in this domain are warranted, as the field of CMR remains fertile.[15] Also, anteroseptal STE have been reported in conus branch artery occlusion.[25]

Conclusion

Acute STEMI with STE in leads V1-V3/4 primarily affects the apical myocardial territories (apical septal, apical anterior, and apex). Rarely is the basal interventricular septum involved. This work refutes the existence of lone basal anteroseptal or septal MI. Thus “anteroapical” is a more descriptive label than “anteroseptal” for an ECG with STE in V1-V3/V4 in a patient with acute MI.

Acknowledgements

No organizations sponsored this study.

Conflicts of Interest

Einar Heiberg is founder of Medviso AB, developing cardiovascular image processing software. Marcus Carlsson and Henrik Engblom are consultants at Imacor AB. Håkan Arheden is founder of and employed by Imacor AB.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Patient characteristics in the anterior ST-elevation (STE) distributions. Where applicable, values are reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. *P* values were produced by analysis of variance (ANOVA) comparing sample means among the three groups. IS = infarct size; MaR = myocardium at risk.

	ECG STE distribution		<i>P</i> value	All groups
	V1-V4	V1-V5/V6		
Number of patients	20	12	-	32
Age (years)	64.7 $\pm$ 11.2	60.3 $\pm$ 11.1	0.42	63.1 $\pm$ 11.0
Male, n (%)	12 (60)	7 (58)	0.61	19 (59)
Tobacco use history, n (%)	10 (50)	7 (58)	0.46	17 (53)
Myocardial segments with edema (n)	10.1 $\pm$ 1.71	11 $\pm$ 1.8	0.26	10 $\pm$ 1.8
Myocardium at risk (%)	38.7 $\pm$ 9.49	45.6 $\pm$ 8.08	0.04	41.3 $\pm$ 9.48
Myocardium at risk (g)	47.4 $\pm$ 11.8	64.8 $\pm$ 13.5	0.0006	53.9 $\pm$ 14.9
IS/MaR (%)	43.8 $\pm$ 20.0	54.0 $\pm$ 13.7	0.15	47.6 $\pm$ 18.4
LVEF (%)	47.7 $\pm$ 9.82	42.3 $\pm$ 10.2	0.16	46.0 $\pm$ 10.2

Table 2. A comparison patients with ST elevation in V1 and those without. Frequency of myocardium at risk >50% in the basal myocardium for study patients is described. *P* values were computed by Fisher's exact test.

Segment number	Myocardial Segment	ECG STE distribution		<i>P</i> value
		STE in V1, n (%)	No STE in V1 n (%)	
1	Basal anterior	0 (0)	0 (0)	1
2	Basal anteroseptal	2 (8)	0 (0)	0.61
3	Basal inferoseptal	0 (0)	0 (0)	1
4	Basal inferior	0 (0)	0 (0)	1
5	Basal inferolateral	0 (0)	0 (0)	1
6	Basal anterolateral	0 (0)	0 (0)	1

Figure 1. An illustration of myocardial segments evidencing >25% myocardium at risk (A) and >50% myocardium at risk (B) assessed by cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging across the entire study group. Patients are grouped by ECG ST elevation (STE) distribution (V1-V4 and V1-V5/6). *P* values were computed by Fisher's exact test. There was a significant difference in the extent of MaR at the apical lateral segment (*) for MaR >25% ($p = 0.017$) and MaR >50% ($p = 0.049$). Other comparisons between segments were statistically nonsignificant.

Figure 2. Myocardium at risk (MaR) shown as contrast-enhanced area at MR in basal, mid-ventricular and apical short-axis views of a patient with STE in V1-V5 (a) and in a patient with STE limited to V1-V2 (b). Arrows show the MaR. Patient A has a larger MaR compared to patient B. Both patients have apical involvement; however, patient A (with STE in V1-V5) has additional involvement of the mid-anterior and mid-inferoseptal segments. Neither patient demonstrates any MaR in the basal anteroseptal or basal anterior wall.

Figure 3. Electrocardiograms of two study patients: one with extensive anterior ST elevations (V1-V6) (a) and the other with more limited anterior ST elevations (V1-V4) (b).

References

- [1] GS Wagner DS. Marriott's Practical Electrocardiography 12th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013.
- [2] Porter A, Wyshesky A, Strasberg B, Vaturi M, Solodky A, Imbar S, et al. Correlation between the admission electrocardiogram and regional wall motion abnormalities as detected by echocardiography in anterior acute myocardial infarction. *Cardiology*. 2000;94:118-26.
- [3] Bandeali SJ, Stone S, Huang HD, Kayani WT, Wilson JM, Birnbaum Y. Comparison of segmental wall motion abnormalities on echocardiography in patients with antero-septal versus extensive anterior wall ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Journal of electrocardiology*. 2012;45:551-5.
- [4] Shalev Y, Fogelman R, Oettinger M, Caspi A. Does the electrocardiographic pattern of “anteroseptal” myocardial infarction correlate with the anatomic location of myocardial injury? *Am J Cardiol*. 1995;75:763-6.
- [5] Bogaty P, Boyer L, Rousseau L, Arsenault M. Is antero-septal myocardial infarction an appropriate term? *Am J Med*. 2002;113:37-41.
- [6] Selvanayagam JB, Kardos A, Nicolson D, Francis J, Petersen SE, Robson M, et al. Antero-septal or apical myocardial infarction: a controversy addressed using delayed enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2004;6:653-61.
- [7] Sorensson P, Heiberg E, Saleh N, Bouvier F, Caidahl K, Tornvall P, et al. Assessment of myocardium at risk with contrast enhanced steady-state free precession cine

cardiovascular magnetic resonance compared to single-photon emission computed tomography. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2010;12:25.

[8] Kim RJ, Fieno DS, Parrish TB, Harris K, Chen E-L, Simonetti O, et al. Relationship of MRI delayed contrast enhancement to irreversible injury, infarct age, and contractile function. *Circulation*. 1999;100:1992-2002.

[9] Atar D, Arheden H, Berdeaux A, Bonnet JL, Carlsson M, Clemmensen P, et al. Effect of intravenous TRO40303 as an adjunct to primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction: MITOCARE study results. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:112-9.

[10] MITOCARE Study Group. Rationale and design of the 'MITOCARE' Study: a phase II, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to assess the safety and efficacy of TRO40303 for the reduction of reperfusion injury in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction. *Cardiology*. 2012;123:201-7.

[11] Simonetti OP, Kim RJ, Fieno DS, Hillenbrand HB, Wu E, Bundy JM, et al. An Improved MR Imaging Technique for the Visualization of Myocardial Infarction 1. *Radiology*. 2001;218:215-23.

[12] Cerqueira MD, Weissman NJ, Dilsizian V, Jacobs AK, Kaul S, Laskey WK, et al. Standardized myocardial segmentation and nomenclature for tomographic imaging of the heart a statement for healthcare professionals from the cardiac imaging committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology of the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2002;105:539-42.

- [13] Heiberg E, Ugander M, Engblom H, Gotberg M, Olivecrona GK, Erlinge D, et al. Automated quantification of myocardial infarction from MR images by accounting for partial volume effects: animal, phantom, and human study. *Radiology*. 2008;246:581-8.
- [14] Heiberg E, Sjögren J, Ugander M, Carlsson M, Engblom H, Arheden H. Design and validation of Segment-freely available software for cardiovascular image analysis. *BMC medical imaging*. 2010;10:1.
- [15] Beek AM, van Rossum AC. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 2010;96:237-43.
- [16] GS Wagner DS. *Marriott's Practical Electrocardiography* 12th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013.
- [17] Rodriguez MI, Anselmi A, Sodi-Pallares D. The electrocardiographic diagnosis of septal infarctions. *Am Heart J*. 1953;45:525-44.
- [18] Myers GB, Klein HA, Stofer BE. I. Correlation of electrocardiographic and pathologic findings in antero-septal infarction. *American Heart Journal*. 1948;36:535-75.
- [19] Learn Rapid STEMI. American Heart Association; 2017.
- [20] Huang HD, Tran V, Jneid H, Wilson JM, Birnbaum Y. Comparison of angiographic findings in patients with acute antero-septal versus anterior wall ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:827-32.
- [21] Nikus K, Birnbaum Y, Eskola M, Sclarovsky S, Zhong-Qun Z, Pahlm O. Updated electrocardiographic classification of acute coronary syndromes. *Curr Cardiol Rev*. 2014;10:229-36.
- [22] Bayés de Luna A, Wagner G, Birnbaum Y, Nikus K, Fiol M, Gorgels A, et al. A New Terminology for Left Ventricular Walls and Location of Myocardial Infarcts That

- Present Q Wave Based on the Standard of Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging A Statement for Healthcare Professionals From a Committee Appointed by the International Society for Holter and Noninvasive Electrocardiography. *Circulation*. 2006;114:1755-60.
- [23] Ben-Gal T, Sclarovsky S, Herz I, Strasberg B, Zlotikamien B, Sulkes J, et al. Importance of the conal branch of the right coronary artery in patients with acute anterior wall myocardial infarction: electrocardiographic and angiographic correlation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;29:506-11.
- [24] Ben-gal T, Herz I, Solodky A, Birnbaum Y, Sclarovsky S, Sagie A. Acute anterior wall myocardial infarction entailing st-segment elevation in lead v1: Electrocardiographic and angiographic correlations. *Clinical cardiology*. 1998;21:399-404.
- [25] Lyle M, Van Woerkom RC, Tweet M, Young PM, Best PJ. Conus artery occlusion causing isolated right ventricular outflow tract infarction: novel application of cardiac magnetic resonance in anterior STEMI. *Cardiovascular diagnosis and therapy*. 2016;6:262.

Highlights

- Acute MI with STE in V1-V4 exhibits MaR in predominantly apical territories
- Our data do not support existence of isolated basal anteroseptal or septal STEMI
- “Anteroapical” infarction is a more precise description than anteroseptal infarct

A

B

Figure 1

A

B

Figure 2

a.

b.

Figure 3