



Multi-Frequency Single Loop Passivity-Based Control for LC-Filtered Stand-Alone Voltage Source Inverter

Mu, Xiaobin; Chen, Guofu; Wang, Xiang; Zhao, Jinping; Wu, Weimin; Blaabjerg, Frede

Published in:
Energies

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.3390/en12234548](https://doi.org/10.3390/en12234548)

Creative Commons License
CC BY 4.0

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Mu, X., Chen, G., Wang, X., Zhao, J., Wu, W., & Blaabjerg, F. (2019). Multi-Frequency Single Loop Passivity-Based Control for LC-Filtered Stand-Alone Voltage Source Inverter. *Energies*, 12(23), Article 4548. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12234548>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Article

Multi-Frequency Single Loop Passivity-Based Control for LC-Filtered Stand-Alone Voltage Source Inverter

Xiaobin Mu ^{1,*}, Guofu Chen ¹, Xiang Wang ¹, Jinping Zhao ², Weimin Wu ^{2,*} and Frede Blaabjerg ³

¹ State Key Laboratory of Advanced Power Transmission Technology (Global Energy Interconnection Research Institute Co., Ltd.), Changping District, Beijing 102209, China; chenguofu@geiri.sgcc.com.cn (G.C.); wangxiang@geiri.sgcc.com.cn (X.W.)

² Department of Electronic Engineering, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai 201306, China; 201730210023@stu.shmtu.edu.cn

³ Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, DK-9220 Aalborg, Denmark; fbl@et.aau.dk

* Correspondence: muxiaobin@geiri.sgcc.com.cn (X.M.); wmwu@shmtu.edu.cn (W.W.)

Received: 4 November 2019; Accepted: 27 November 2019; Published: 29 November 2019



Abstract: The multi-frequency Passivity-Based Control (PBC) has been successfully applied in L-filtered power converters. For an LC-filtered stand-alone voltage source inverter (VSI), the mathematical model is second-order, where two state variables are used in modeling and control in conventional multi-frequency PBC controller, complicating the controller design and increasing the occupied resources both in hardware and software. In order to simplify the controller design and save the resources as well as the cost, a control scheme called multi-frequency single-loop PBC is proposed for the LC-filtered stand-alone VSI in this paper. The feasibility of the proposed control strategy is verified through the experimental results on a 3-phase/110 V/6 kW prototype.

Keywords: voltage source inverters; high-order; multi-frequency; passivity-based control

1. Introduction

Whether in traditional or modern applications, grid-tied inverters are widely utilized in industry [1]. Furthermore, stand-alone voltage source inverters (VSIs) as an another power converter are also widely adopted in various industrial situations, such as uninterrupted power supply (UPS) systems [2–4], AC power supply system [5–7], grid simulator systems [8,9], power electronic transformer systems [10,11] and etc. Therefore, to promote the performance of VSI is of great importance for the above applications. The output voltage waveform of a high-performance VSI must be sinusoidal, with specified frequency and amplitude, low total harmonic distortion (THD), especially under the condition of nonlinear loads [4]. In addition to above requirements, a VSI system must have good disturbance rejection, excellent voltage regulation, and fast dynamic response.

To improve the aforementioned performance indexes, a number of control algorithms, such as deadbeat control [12,13], repetitive control [14,15], proportional-resonant with damping control method [1,16–18], sliding mode control [3,19,20], hysteresis control [21], predictive control [22,23], adaptive control [24], optimal control [25], and passivity-based control (PBC) [26], have been proposed for VSIs. Among these control techniques, the PBC is a hybrid control scheme combined with the instruction predicting feedforward control, the disturbance feedforward control, the decoupling control, and the negative feedback control. The emergence of the PBC technique has generated a new idea of the controller design for nonlinear systems [27,28], which has attracted the attention of many scholars, and the application of PBC on power electronics can be found in [27–39].

Essentially, the PBC controller is designed from the perspective of system structure and energy. For example, as introduced in [29], the ac-side currents of the converter were well controlled by the

inner loop of the PBC together with the outer loop of the PI regulator to control dc-side voltage. The dynamic damping injection for the PBC had been studied in [34] and [35], respectively. In [36] and [37], the model of a Port-Controlled Hamiltonian system with Dissipation (PCHD) for converters with different structures was established, and the PBC controller design of the model was realized through interconnection and damping distribution.

Although the aforementioned research had proven that the application of PBC controllers in nonlinear systems is feasible and the advantages of better dynamic performance and stronger robustness can be achieved, the Conventional PBC (C-PBC) controller for the second-order system like LC-filtered stand-alone VSI is a double-loop controller, where two state variables have to be adopted. Therefore, more sensors and software resource must be occupied to realize the control scheme and more control parameters must be selected.

In view of the demerit of C-PBC controller, a modified multi-frequency single loop PBC controller is proposed for LC-filtered VSI, where the double-loop controller can be simplified as a single loop controller (only one control parameter), which saves a lot of calculation time, as well as the sensor costs. Note that zero steady-state error can be also achieved by using an inserted dynamic dissipation term.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the mathematical model and C-PBC controller are presented, and the disadvantages of this method are studied. Then, a modified multi-frequency single loop based PBC controller is proposed in Section 3. The experimental results are shown in Section 4 to prove that the proposed control strategy is feasible. Finally, there is a conclusion in Section 5.

2. Mathematical Model and Conventional PBC of LC-Filtered VSI

2.1. Mathematical Model of LC-Filtered VSI

Typical structure of stand-alone VSI with nonlinear loads is shown in Figure 1, where the inverter is a three-level neutral point clamped (NPC) inverter. In Figure 1, C_1 and C_2 at DC side are the DC support capacitors. u_k is the three-phase voltage output from the converter; L_k is the filter inductor; R_k is the line impedance; i_k is the inductor current; C_k is the filter capacitor; R_{kd} is the equivalent parallel resistance of the filter capacitor and the filter damping resistance; i_{kc} is the filter capacitor current; u_{ko} is the filter capacitor voltage; and i_{ko} is the load current. $k = \text{phase-}a, b, \text{ and } c$. It can be obtained from Figure 1,

$$\begin{cases} L_k \frac{di_k}{dt} + R_k i_k = u_k - u_{ko} \\ C_k \frac{du_{ko}}{dt} + \frac{u_{ko}}{R_{kd}} = i_{kc} = i_k - i_{ko} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $u_k = f_k(S_a, S_b, S_c, u_{dc1}, u_{dc2})$, the function f_k can be calculated by using a modulation strategy, such as SPWM and SVPWM, and $S_a, S_b,$ and S_c are the switch functions.




Figure 1. Typical structure of the stand-alone Voltage Source Inverter(VSI).

The fast Fourier transform (FFT) method is utilized to achieve a better control performance, and the main advantages of this modeling method include: (1) it can realize the frequency spectral decomposition of the AC mathematical model of the series converter, which is convenient for the control at selected frequencies; and (2) it can realize the transformation from the AC model to the DC model, which is convenient for DC signal control. In addition, this method can overcome some

shortcomings of the conventional modeling method (modelling in d-q axis). For example, [40] had pointed out that it is only suitable for the modeling of three-phase systems, and the phase-to-phase coupling occurs during the modeling process, which is inconvenient to achieve individual phase control. During the modeling, the parameters of each phase of the three-phase system, such as output filter inductance, capacitance, and line resistance, are assumed to be symmetric.

Here, $\Gamma_{k-k_q^p}^n$ is denoted as the positive Fourier transform matrix of phase- k of the system, and $\Gamma_{k_q^p-k}^n$ as the inverse Fourier transform matrix, as shown in Equation (2), where, $k = a, b, c$, and $\lambda = 0, -1, 1$, respectively. $x(t)$ is any finite bandwidth periodic signal, whose period is T , and the bandwidth is from the fundamental angle frequency ω to $N_m\omega$,

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_{k-k_q^p}^n = \begin{bmatrix} X_p \\ X_q \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{T} \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^T x(t) \sin(n\omega t + \frac{2\lambda}{3}\pi) dt \\ \int_0^T x(t) \cos(n\omega t + \frac{2\lambda}{3}\pi) dt \end{bmatrix} \\ \Gamma_{k_q^p-k}^n = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(n\omega t + \frac{2\lambda}{3}\pi) & \cos(n\omega t + \frac{2\lambda}{3}\pi) \end{bmatrix} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Note that, Equation (1) can be also decomposed into the sum of n th order harmonic components as follows,

$$\begin{cases} L_k \sum_{n=1}^{n=N_m} \frac{di_k^n}{dt} + R_k \sum_{n=1}^{n=N_m} i_k^n = \sum_{n=1}^{n=N_m} (u_k^n - u_{ko}^n) \\ C_k \sum_{n=1}^{n=N_m} \frac{du_{ko}^n}{dt} + \frac{1}{R_{kd}} \sum_{n=1}^{n=N_m} u_{ko}^n = \sum_{n=1}^{n=N_m} (i_k^n - i_{ko}^n) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $n = 1, 2, \dots, N_m$, and N_m are the maximum harmonic order; i_k^n is the n th harmonic component of the inductive current; u_k^n is the n th harmonic component of the PWM voltage output from the converter; u_{ko}^n is the n th harmonic component of the filter capacitor voltage, and i_{ko}^n is the n th harmonic component of the output current (or load current). The Fourier transform process from the n th harmonic AC to DC model of each phase can be represented by the transfer function block diagram shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Single Alternating Current to Direct current (AC-to-DC) model transformation using FFT. (a) single phase ac model, (b) single phase dc model.

For the n th harmonic system, Equation (3) can be rewritten using FFT transformation as,

$$\begin{cases} L_k \frac{dI_{kp}^n}{dt} + R_k I_{kp}^n - \omega L_k I_{kq}^n = U_{kp}^n - U_{kop}^n \\ L_k \frac{dI_{kq}^n}{dt} + R_k I_{kq}^n + \omega L_k I_{kp}^n = U_{kq}^n - U_{koq}^n \\ C_k \frac{dU_{kop}^n}{dt} + \frac{U_{kop}^n}{R_{kd}} - \omega C_k U_{koq}^n = I_{kp}^n - I_{kop}^n \\ C_k \frac{dU_{koq}^n}{dt} + \frac{U_{koq}^n}{R_{kd}} + \omega C_k U_{kop}^n = I_{kq}^n - I_{koq}^n \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The Euler Lagrange (EL) model is adopted to describe the system, and Equation (4) can be rewritten in EL form as,

$$M\dot{x} + Jx + Rx = u \quad (5)$$

$$\text{where } M = \begin{pmatrix} L_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_k \end{pmatrix}, R = \begin{pmatrix} R_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R_{kd}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R_{kd}} \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -n\omega L_k & 1 & 0 \\ n\omega L_k & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -n\omega C_k \\ 0 & -1 & n\omega C_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} I_{kp}^n \\ I_{kq}^n \\ U_{kop}^n \\ U_{koq}^n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } u = \begin{pmatrix} U_{kp}^n - U_{kop}^n \\ U_{kq}^n - U_{koq}^n \\ I_{kp}^n - I_{kop}^n \\ I_{kq}^n - I_{koq}^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.2. C-PBC Controller for LC-Filtered VSI

The passivity of the LC-filtered grid-tied inverter (GTI) is proven in [39], so it is omitted here. Define the reference vector as $x^* = (I_{kp}^{n*} \ I_{kq}^{n*} \ U_{kop}^{n*} \ U_{koq}^{n*})^T$, the error vector is $x_e = x^* - x$, then the error EL model can be obtained as

$$M\dot{x}_e + Jx_e + Rx_e = M\dot{x}^* + Jx^* + Rx^* - u \quad (6)$$

In steady state, x_e equals to zero. In order to accelerate the speed of convergence, a dissipation matrix r_d is added to the error system. The dissipation matrix and new dissipation matrix is obtained as

$$r_d = \text{diag}(r_2 \ r_2 \ r_1 \ r_1), R_{new} = R + r_d \quad (7)$$

So, the new error EL equation is obtained as

$$M\dot{x}_e + Jx_e + R_{new}x_e = M\dot{x}^* + Jx^* + Rx^* + r_dx_e - u \quad (8)$$

When x_e is equal to zero, the conventional PBC control law u can be obtained,

$$u = M\dot{x}^* + Jx^* + Rx^* + r_dx_e \quad (9)$$

According to Equation (9), the C-PBC control structure is depicted in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Conventional Passivity-Based Control (C-PBC) control structure.

From Figure 3, it can be seen that there are two control loops to track two state variables in the C-PBC controller. Therefore, at least six sensors (current and voltage) must be adopted in the three-phase system to achieve the control scheme and more software resource must be occupied. In order to simplify the controller and save costs, a multi-frequency single loop controller is proposed, which is described in detail in the next section. Note that, the inertia term $\frac{1}{T_\omega s+1}$ in Figure 2 represents the time delay caused by FFT.

3. Modified Multi-Frequency Single Loop PBC Controller

3.1. Multi-Frequency Single Loop PBC Control Law

Equation (4) also can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} M_{Lk}\dot{x}_{lk}^n + J_{Lk}x_{lk}^n + R_k x_{lk}^n = U_k^n - x_{Uk}^n \\ M_{Ck}\dot{x}_{Uk}^n + J_{Ck}x_{Uk}^n + R_{kd}x_{Uk}^n = x_{lk}^n - I_{ko}^n \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

As can be seen from (10), the system variables including x_{lk}^n and x_{Uk}^n , while x_{lk}^n is a temporary variable and I_{ko}^n is a disturbance variable. Therefore, the two equations in Equation (9) can be combined to a new EL equation as

$$M_k \ddot{x}_k^n + (J_{1k}\dot{x}_k^n + J_{2k}x_k^n) + D_k \dot{x}_k^n + K_k^n x_k^n + N_k^n = u_k^n \quad (11)$$

where, $M_k = \begin{pmatrix} L_k C_k & 0 \\ 0 & L_k C_k \end{pmatrix}$, $J_{1k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2n\omega L_k C_k \\ 2n\omega L_k C_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,
 $J_{2k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(n\omega R_k C_k + \frac{n\omega L_k}{R_{kd}}) \\ n\omega R_k C_k + \frac{n\omega L_k}{R_{kd}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $D_k = \begin{pmatrix} R_k C_k + \frac{L_k}{R_{kd}} & 0 \\ 0 & R_k C_k + \frac{L_k}{R_{kd}} \end{pmatrix}$,
 $K_k^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (n\omega)^2 L_k C_k + \frac{R_k}{R_{kd}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - (n\omega)^2 L_k C_k + \frac{R_k}{R_{kd}} \end{pmatrix}$,
 $N_k^n = \begin{pmatrix} L_k & 0 \\ 0 & L_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} I_{kop}^n \\ \frac{d}{dt} I_{koq}^n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R_k & -n\omega L_k \\ n\omega L_k & R_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{kop}^n \\ I_{koq}^n \end{pmatrix}$, $x_k^n = \begin{pmatrix} U_{kop}^n \\ U_{koq}^n \end{pmatrix}$, and $u_k^n = \begin{pmatrix} U_{kop}^n \\ U_{koq}^n \end{pmatrix}$.

It can be seen from Equation (11) that the EL equation of the system becomes a higher-order equation. Here, select a stored (Lyapunov) function

$$H_k^n = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{x}_k^n)^T M_k \dot{x}_k^n + \frac{1}{2} (x_k^n)^T K_k x_k^n \quad (12)$$

Taking the time derivative of (12), it yields

$$\dot{H}_k^n = (\dot{x}_k^n)^T u_k^n - (\dot{x}_k^n)^T D_k \dot{x}_k^n - (\dot{x}_k^n)^T N_k^n < (\dot{x}_k^n)^T (u_k^n - N_k^n) \quad (13)$$

According to the passive condition, it can be seen from (13), the system at harmonic high-order is strictly passive. Therefore, the PBC can be designed on high-order n th harmonic system.

Redefine the reference vector and error vector of the n th harmonic voltage of the filter capacitor as

$$x_k^{n*} = [U_{kop}^{n*} \quad U_{koq}^{n*}]^T, x_{ke}^n = x_k^{n*} - x_k^n \quad (14)$$

If the Equation (14) is taken into Equation (11), the higher-order EL equation of the n th harmonic error system of the series converter can be obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} M_k \ddot{x}_{ke}^n + (J_{1k}^n \dot{x}_{ke}^n + J_{2k}^n x_{ke}^n) + D_k \dot{x}_{ke}^n + K_k^n x_{ke}^n \\ = M_k \ddot{x}_k^{n*} + (J_{1k}^n \dot{x}_k^{n*} + J_{2k}^n x_k^{n*}) + D_k \dot{x}_k^{n*} + K_k^n x_k^{n*} + N_k^n - u_k^n \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Similarly, an additional dissipation term should be added on both sides of (15), note that, the dissipation term in conventional PBC is static, where the steady-state error will occur if the parameters drift [38]. In order to achieve zero steady-state error, a modified dissipation term named dynamic dissipation term is adopted and it can be written as

$$r_{new} = r_{kd}^n x_{ke}^n + k_{ki}^n \int_0^{T_i} x_{ke}^n dt \quad (16)$$

where, $r_{kd}^n = \text{diag}(r_{kd}^n > 0, r_{kd}^n > 0)$, $k_{ki}^n = \text{diag}(k_{ki}^n > 0, k_{ki}^n > 0)$. And (16) should be satisfied

$$1 - (n\omega)^2 L_k C_k + (R_k / R_{kd}) + r_{kd}^n > 0 \quad (17)$$

Then, the new error EL model can be obtained,

$$\begin{aligned} M_k \ddot{x}_{ke}^n + (J_{1k}^n \dot{x}_{ke}^n + J_{2k}^n x_{ke}^n) + D_k \dot{x}_{ke}^n + (K_k^n + r_{kd}^n) x_{ke}^n + k_{ki}^n \int_0^{T_i} x_{ke}^n dt \\ = M_k \ddot{x}_k^{n*} + (J_{1k}^n \dot{x}_k^{n*} + J_{2k}^n x_k^{n*}) + D_k \dot{x}_k^{n*} + K_k^n x_k^{n*} + r_{kd}^n x_{ke}^n + k_{ki}^n \int_0^{T_i} x_{ke}^n dt + N_k^n - u_k^n \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

If the right side of (18) is set to zero, the error dynamics can be stabilized as

$$M_k \ddot{x}_{ke}^n + (J_{1k}^n \dot{x}_{ke}^n + J_{2k}^n x_{ke}^n) + D_k \dot{x}_{ke}^n + (K_k^n + r_{kd}^n) x_{ke}^n + k_{ki}^n \int_0^{T_i} x_{ke}^n dt + N_k^n - u_k^n = 0 \quad (19)$$

Therefore, the high-order PBC control law is

$$u_k^n = M_k \ddot{x}_k^{n*} + (J_{1k}^n \dot{x}_k^{n*} + J_{2k}^n x_k^{n*}) + D_k \dot{x}_k^{n*} + K_k^n x_k^{n*} + r_{kd}^n x_{ke}^n + k_{ki}^n \int_0^{T_i} x_{ke}^n dt + N_k^n \quad (20)$$

In order to analyze the global stability of the modified error system, a storage function is selected as

$$H_{ke}^n = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{x}_{ke}^n)^T M_k \dot{x}_{ke}^n + \frac{1}{2} (x_{ke}^n)^T (K_k^n + r_{kd}^n) x_{ke}^n + \frac{1}{2} (x_{ke}^n)^T k_{ki}^n \int_0^{T_i} x_{ke}^n dt \quad (21)$$

Taking the time derivative of (21), it yields

$$\dot{H}_{ke}^n = -(\dot{x}_{ke}^n)^T D_k \dot{x}_{ke}^n < 0 \quad (22)$$

Since $H_{ke}^n > 0$ and $\dot{H}_{ke}^n < 0$, the error vector can asymptotically converge into zero, according to the Lyapunov stability criterion. In summary, Equation (20) is the modified multi-frequency single

loop PBC control law. The modified control structure diagram is shown in Figure 4, where T_i is a newly added controller parameter called the coefficient of dynamic dissipation term. $1/(T_\omega s+1)$ is a delay term existed in the control system, which causes a fixed dominant pole $p = 1/T_\omega$. According to the principle of pole-zero cancellation, let $z = 1/T_i = p = 1/T_\omega$, so T_i and T_ω can be obtained as $T_i = T_\omega = 0.02$.

From Figure 4, it can be seen that the PBC controller becomes a single loop controller. Therefore, only one control parameter (damping gain) should be selected, which will simplify the controller design. Furthermore, less hardware and software resources are needed, which can save the costs and reduce the failure rate of hardware.




Figure 4. Modified multi-frequency single loop PBC control scheme.

3.2. Control Parameter Selection for the Multi-Frequency Single Loop PBC Controller

Note that the minimum value of designed control parameter can be obtained from (17). Since the control system shown in Figure 4 is a MIMO high-order system, a feasible selection principle about the control parameter is similar to the method introduced in [38], which can be summarized as follows:

Firstly, according to the root trajectory of the open-loop transfer function of the system shown in Figure 4, find the maximum value of the control parameter r_{kd}^n at selected frequencies.

Secondly, select the appropriate control parameter r_{kd}^n , according to the step response of the closed-loop transfer function (Equation (23)) of this system, where it is appropriate here to set the control parameter $r_{kd}^n = 0.2$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{kop}^n(s) \\ U_{koq}^n(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{Upp}^n & G_{Upq}^n \\ G_{Uqp}^n & G_{Uqq}^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{kop}^{n*}(s) \\ U_{koq}^{n*}(s) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} G_{Ipp}^n & G_{Ipq}^n \\ G_{Iqp}^n & G_{Iqq}^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{kop}^n(s) \\ I_{koq}^n(s) \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

3.3. Performance Analysis for the Proposed PBC Controller

Unit step responses of the modified control system are shown in Figure 5. Let the parameters $L \neq L_E, R \neq R_E, C \neq C_E$ and $R_d \neq R_{dE}$, where the variation ranges of these parameters are both $\pm 50\%$, and the simulation parameters are as shown in Table 1. The frequencies $n = 1, 9, 17,$ and 25 are selected to simulate the system under on-load condition when parameters drift. It can be seen from Figure 5 that, although $L \neq L_E, R \neq R_E, C \neq C_E$ and $R_d \neq R_{dE}$, the unit step responses of the forward channel of the modified control system equals are equal to 1 in the steady-state, the unit step responses of the coupled channel are equal to 0 in the steady-state, and the satisfied dynamic performance also can be obtained.




Figure 5. Unit step response of the modified control under different situations.

Table 1. Simulation parameters.

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
R	0.1 Ω	L_E	0.9 mH	Ω	100 π rad/s
L	0.9 mH	R_{dE}	200 Ω	r_d	0.2
R_d	200 Ω	C_E	10.0 μ F	T_i	0.02 s
C	10.0 μ F	T_ω	0.02 s		
R_E	0.1 Ω	T_s	100 μ s		

4. Experimental Verification

The whole converter structure shown in Figure 1 is adopted for the experimental platform. The nonlinear load current is realized by using a three-phase uncontrolled rectifier bridge with a resistor (11 Ω) and inductor (0.5 mH) load. The overall control scheme is shown in Figure 6, where the fundamental frequency peak reference voltage $u_{kp}^{1*} = 110.0\sqrt{2}$ V and $u_{kq}^{1*} = 0.0$ V; harmonic frequency peak command voltage $u_{kp}^{n*} = 0.0$ V and $u_{kq}^{n*} = 0.0$ V; and the DC link capacitor voltage $u_{dc} = u_{dc1} + u_{dc2} = 400.0$ V with $C_1 = C_2 = 5.44$ mF. Processor TMS320F28335DSP is used and the sampling and switching frequency are both 7.5 kHz. The execution time of the main programs is shown in Table 2 and the experimental setup is shown in Figure 7. Note that, the execution time of control algorithm at every selected frequency of the proposed PBC is 16 us, while it is 20 us in the conventional PBC (not shown in Table 2). Therefore, about 25 percent of time reduction is obtained.




Figure 6. Overall control scheme.

Table 2. The execution time of the main programs.

Procedural Content	Execution Time
Sampling period	133.33 us
Control algorithm at every selected frequency	16 us
ADC	8 us
Protection and communication	8 us




Figure 7. Experimental setup.

As the nonlinear load used in the experiment mainly produces $6m \pm 1$ order harmonic currents, where $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, its influence on the voltage is also concentrated at these harmonic frequencies. However, the harmonic current after the 19th order is already low, so its influence on the voltage formation at the point of common coupling (PCC) is weak. Therefore, in this experiment, the fundamental frequency and the 5th, 7th, 11th, 13th, 17th, and 19th frequencies are selected as the main control object for the experimental verification of the proposed multi-frequency single loop passivity-based control scheme.

Experiments are carried out to verify whether the reference voltage tracking (the fundamental wave voltage output and the harmonic voltage suppression) can be achieved, especially when the accurate mathematical model of the control object cannot be obtained. The experiments include five cases as following,

Case (1) Set the default estimation values L_E , R_E , C_E , and R_{dE} in the controller to the nominal values (L , R , C , and R_d) of the actual device, namely, let $L_E = L = 0.9$ mH, $R_E = R = 0.1$ Ω , $C_E = C = 10$ μ F, and $R_{dE} = R_d = 200$ Ω ; Case (2) Reduce L_E artificially by 50% to let $L_E = 0.45$ mH $\neq L$, and keep other model parameters unchanged; Case (3) Increase R_E artificially by 50% to let $R_E = 0.15$ $\Omega \neq R$, and keep other model parameters unchanged; Case (4) Reduce C_E artificially by 50% to let $C_E = 5.0$ μ F $\neq C$, and keep other model parameters unchanged; Case (5) Increase R_{dE} artificially by 50% to let $R_{dE} = 300$ $\Omega \neq R_d$, and keep other model parameters unchanged.

The results of the five-group experimental data are shown in Figure 8a–e. Each group of figures contains the filter capacitor line voltage and load current waveforms, as well as the histogram of the corresponding harmonic voltage content at $6m \pm 1$ order.

The experimental data are organized in Table 3. The phase- ab line voltage u_{oab} of filter capacitor is taken as an example for analysis. THD represents the total harmonic distortion rate of u_{oab} , u_{oab1} represents the fundamental frequency content of u_{oab} which can be obtained from the histogram, and n^t represents the percentage of each harmonic content to the fundamental frequency content.

As seen from Table 3, the line voltage fundamental values of the five-group experiments are between 190.4 V and 190.6 V, and the error among the command values is between -0.05% and $+0.05\%$, the maximum THD is $\leq 1.6\%$, the maximum percentage of other selected harmonics content is $\leq 0.2\%$.

It can be concluded that, the modified multi-frequency single loop PBC controller can track the command signal accurately at selected frequencies with less sensors.

Besides, the second group of experimental parameters are used to verify the dynamic performance of the modified multi-frequency single loop PBC controller (because the change in inductance exerts the greatest impact on the system). The step dynamic response of the voltage command under no-load condition is shown in Figure 9a, and the current disturbance under the condition of step load changes is shown in Figure 9b. And the dynamic response using conventional PI control is shown in Figure 10. It can be seen that the modified multi-frequency single loop PBC controller has satisfactory dynamic

performance under both no-load (where the regulation time is 0.02 s) and on-load (where the regulation time is 0.05 s) conditions, and the response time is faster than conventional PI control (where the regulation time is 0.07 s).




Figure 8. Cont.




Figure 8. Experimental data using multi-frequency single loop PBC controller under different situation, (a) Case (1), (b) Case (2), (c) Case (3), (d) Case (4), (e) Case (5).

Table 3. Experimental results from Figure 7.

Group	THD	u_{oab1}	5th	7th	11th	13th	17th	19th
Ref.	\	190.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-MPBC	1.6	190.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
2-MPBC	1.5	190.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
3-MPBC	1.6	190.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
4-MPBC	1.6	190.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
5-MPBC	1.6	190.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1




Figure 9. Dynamic response of the improved multi-frequency cascade passivity-based control, (a) under no-load situation, (b) under on-load situation.




Figure 10. Dynamic response of the Proportional Integral (PI) control.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a multi-frequency single-loop passivity-based control strategy is proposed in the frequency domain for the LC-filtered stand-alone VSI, where the FFT transformation and dynamic dissipation term are adopted to achieve the frequency spectral decomposition and zero steady-state error. The advantages of the proposed control strategy include:

- (1) Less control variables, less hardware and software resources are occupied, thereby the calculation time can be saved a lot.
- (2) Zero steady-state error can be obtained by using the dynamic dissipation term, while a satisfactory dynamic performance can be gotten under both no-load and on-load conditions.

The experimental results on a 3-phase/110 V/6 kW prototype has verified the proposed control scheme, which making it efficient to extend the multi-frequency passivity-based control to engineering practice, even for higher-order systems.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, X.M. and W.W.; methodology, X.M., G.C., and X.W.; software, X.M. and J.Z.; validation, X.M. and G.C.; formal analysis, X.M. and W.W.; investigation, G.C.; resources, G.C. and W.W.; data curation, X.W.; writing—original draft preparation, X.M. and J.Z.; writing—review and editing, X.M., W.W., G.C, J.X., and F.B.; visualization, X.M.; supervision, W.W.; project administration, G.C. and W.W.; funding acquisition, W.W.

Funding: This work was supported in part by NSFC under Grant 51577114 and in part by the Shanghai Science and Technology Commission under Grant 17040501500.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Wu, W.; He, Y.; Blaabjerg, F. An LLCL Power Filter for Single-Phase Grid-Tied Inverter. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2012**, *27*, 782–789. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Aamir, M.; Mekhilef, S. An Online Transformerless Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) System with a Smaller Battery Bank for Low-Power Applications. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2017**, *32*, 233–247. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Pichan, M.; Rastegar, H. Sliding-Mode Control of Four-Leg Inverter with Fixed Switching Frequency for Uninterruptible Power. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2017**, *64*, 6805–6814. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Tamyurek, B. A High-Performance SPWM Controller for Three-Phase UPS Systems Operating Under Highly Nonlinear Loads. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2013**, *28*, 3689–3701. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Michal, V. Three-Level PWM Floating H-Bridge Sinewave Power Inverter for High-Voltage and High-Efficiency Applications. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2016**, *31*, 4065–4074. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Zheng, L.; Jiang, F.; Song, J.; Gao, Y.; Tian, M. A Discrete Time Repetitive Sliding Mode Control for Voltage Source Inverters. *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Power Electron.* **2018**, *6*, 1553–1566. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Zhang, Z.; Wu, W.; Shuai, Z.; Wang, X.; Luo, A.; Chung, H.S.H.; Blaabjerg, F. Principle and Robust Impedance-Based Design of Grid-tied Inverter with LLCL-Filter under Wide Variation of Grid-Reactance. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2019**, *34*, 4362–4374. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Zou, Z.; Zhou, K.; Wang, Z.; Cheng, M. Fractional-order repetitive control of programmable ac power sources. *IET Power Electron.* **2014**, *7*, 431–438. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Liu, T.; Wang, D.; Zhou, K. High-Performance Grid Simulator Using Parallel Structure Fractional Repetitive Control Parallel. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2016**, *31*, 2669–2679. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Wang, D.; Tian, J.; Mao, C.; Lu, J.; Duan, Y.; Qiu, J.; Cai, H. A 10kV_400V_500kVA Electronic Power Transformer. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2016**, *63*, 6653–6663. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. She, X.; Huang, A.Q.; Burgos, R. Review of solid-state transformer technologies and their application in power distribution systems. *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Power Electron.* **2013**, *1*, 186–198. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Pichan, M.; Rastegar, H.; Monfared, M. Deadbeat Control of the Stand-Alone Four-Leg Inverter Considering the Effect of the Neutral Line Inductor. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2017**, *64*, 2592–2601. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Lidozzi, A.; Ji, C.; Solero, L.; Zanchetta, P.; Crescimbin, F. Digital Deadbeat and Repetitive Combined Control for a Stand-Alone Four-Leg VSI. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* **2017**, *53*, 5624–5633. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Liu, T.; Wang, D. Parallel Structure Fractional Repetitive Control for PWM Inverters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2015**, *62*, 5045–5054. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Lidozzi, A.; Solero, L.; Bifaretti, S.; Crescimbin, F. Sinusoidal voltage shaping of inverter-equipped stand-alone generating units. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2015**, *62*, 3557–3568. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Wu, W.; Liu, Y.; He, Y.; Chung, H.S.H.; Liserre, M.; Blaabjerg, F. Damping methods for resonances caused by LCL-filter-based current-controlled grid-tied power inverters: An Overview. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2017**, *64*, 7402–7413. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Lidozzi, A.; Di Benedetto, M.; Bifaretti, S.; Solero, L.; Crescimbin, F. Resonant controllers with three degrees of freedom for AC power electronic converters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* **2015**, *51*, 4595–4604. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Liu, Y.; Wu, W.; He, Y.; Lin, Z.; Blaabjerg, F.; Chung, H.S.H. An efficient and robust hybrid damper for LCL- or LLCL-based grid-tied inverter with strong grid-side harmonic voltage effect rejection. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2016**, *63*, 926–936. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Sebaaly, F.; Vahedi, H.; Kanaan, H.Y.; Moubayed, N.; Al-Haddad, K. Sliding Mode Fixed Frequency Current Controller Design for Grid-Connected NPC Inverter. *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Power Electron.* **2016**, *4*, 1397–1405. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Gudey, S.K.; Gupta, R. Reduced state feedback sliding-mode current control for voltage source inverter-based higher-order circuit. *IET Power Electron.* **2015**, *8*, 1367–1376. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Wang, L.; Lam, C.S.; Wong, M.C.; Dai, N.Y.; Lao, K.W.; Wong, C.K. Non-linear adaptive hysteresis band pulse-width modulation control for hybrid active power filters to reduce switching loss. *IET Power Electron.* **2015**, *8*, 2156–2167. [[CrossRef](#)]

22. Yaramasu, V.; Rivera, M.; Narimani, M.; Wu, B.; Rodriguez, J. Model Predictive Approach for a Simple and Effective Load Voltage Control of Four-Leg Inverter with an Output LC Filter. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2014**, *61*, 5259–5270. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Rohten, J.A.; Espinoza, J.R.; Muñoz, J.A.; Pérez, M.A.; Melin, P.E.; Silva, J.J.; Rivera, M.E. Model Predictive Control for Power Converters in a Distorted Three-Phase Power Supply. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2016**, *63*, 5838–5848. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Do, T.D.; Leu, V.Q.; Choi, Y.S.; Choi, H.H.; Jung, J.W. An adaptive voltage control strategy of three-phase inverter for stand-alone distributed generation systems. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2013**, *60*, 5660–5672. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Kim, E.K.; Mwasilu, F.; Choi, H.H.; Jung, J.W. An Observer-Based Optimal Voltage Control Scheme for Three-Phase UPS Systems. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2015**, *62*, 2073–2081. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Komurcugil, H. Improved passivity-based control method and its robustness analysis for single-phase uninterruptible power supply inverters. *IET Power Electron.* **2015**, *8*, 1558–1570. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Ortega, R.; Perez, J.A.L.; Nicklasson, P.J.; Sira-Ramirez, H.J. *Passivity-Based Control of Euler-Lagrange Systems: Mechanical Electrical and Electromechanical Application*; Springer: London, UK, 1998.
28. Lee, T.S. Lagrangian modeling and passivity-based control of three phase AC/DC voltage-source converters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2004**, *51*, 892–902. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Mehrasa, M.; Adabi, M.E.; Pouresmaeil, E.; Adabi, J. Passivity-based control technique for integration of DG resources into the power grid. *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.* **2014**, *58*, 281–290. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Yang, B.; Jiang, L.; Yu, T.; Shu, H.C.; Zhang, C.K.; Yao, W.; Wu, Q.H. Passive control design for multi-terminal VSC-HVDC systems via energy shaping. *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.* **2018**, *98*, 496–508. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Xu, R.; Yu, Y.; Yang, R.; Wang, G.; Xu, D.; Li, B.; Sui, S. A Novel Control Method for Transformerless H-Bridge Cascaded STATCOM with Star Configuration. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2015**, *30*, 1189–1202. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Chen, Y.; Wen, M.; Lei, E.; Yin, X.; Lai, J.; Wang, Z. Passivity-based control of cascaded multilevel converter based D-STATCOM integrated with distribution transformer. *Electr. Power Syst. Res.* **2018**, *154*, 1–12. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Del Puerto-Flores, D.; Scherpen, J.M.; Liserre, M.; de Vries, M.M.; Kransse, M.J.; Monopoli, V.G. Passivity-based control by series/parallel damping of single-phase PWM voltage source converter. *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.* **2014**, *22*, 1310–1322. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Gui, Y.; Kim, W.; Chung, C.C. Passivity-based control with nonlinear damping for type 2 STATCOM systems. *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* **2016**, *31*, 2824–2833. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Serra, F.M.; Angelo, C.H.D.; Forchetti, D.G. Interconnection and damping assignment control of a three-phase front end converter. *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.* **2014**, *60*, 317–324. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Fan, X.; Guan, L.; Xia, C.; Ji, T. IDA-PB control design for VSC-HVDC transmission based on PCHD model. *Int. Trans. Electr. Energy Syst.* **2015**, *25*, 2133–2143. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Tiantian, Q.; Shihong, M.; Ziwen, L. Passive Control and Auxiliary Sliding Mode Control Strategy for VSC-HVDC System Based on PCHD Model. *Trans. China Electrotech. Soc.* **2016**, *31*, 138–144.
38. Mu, X.; Wang, J.; Wu, W.; Blaabjerg, F. A Modified Multifrequency Passivity-Based Control for Shunt Active Power Filter with Model-Parameter-Adaptive Capability. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2018**, *65*, 760–769. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Wang, J.; Mu, X.; Li, Q. Study of Passivity-Based Decoupling Control of T-NPC PV Grid-Connected Inverter. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2017**, *64*, 7542–7551. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Akagi, H.; Kanazawa, Y.; Nabae, A. Instantaneous reactive power compensators comprising switching devices without energy storage components. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* **1984**, *IA-20*, 625–630. [[CrossRef](#)]

