

# **Aalborg Universitet**

# External possession of body-part nouns in Jumjum

Possessor raising with possessum incorporation

Andersen, Torben

Published in: Journal of African Languages and Linguistics

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1515/jall-2019-0008

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Andersen, T. (2019). External possession of body-part nouns in Jumjum: Possessor raising with possessum incorporation. Journal of African Languages and Linguistics, 40(2), 171-203. https://doi.org/10.1515/jall-2019-0008

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
   You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from vbn.aau.dk on: December 04, 2025

Torben Andersen\*

# External possession of body-part nouns in Jumjum: Possessor raising with possessum incorporation

https://doi.org/10.1515/jall-2019-0008

**Abstract:** In Jumjum, a Western Nilotic language, some body-part nouns, and only such nouns, may be externally possessed in transitive and antipassive clauses. In these external possessor constructions, the possessor is either the object of a transitive verb or the demoted patient of an antipassive verb. The externally possessed body-part noun is partly incorporated into the verb, as shown by the following properties: It immediately follows the verb, its tone is determined by the final tone of the verb, it may combine with a nominalized verb in a kind of compound, and it does not exhibit the root-final nasalization that is prevalent in monosyllabic singular nouns in Jumjum, including internally possessed body-part nouns.

**Keywords:** external possession, internal possession, body-part nouns, noun incorporation, Jumjum, Western Nilotic

# 1 Introduction

The purpose of the present article is to demonstrate that in Jumjum, a little-described Western Nilotic language, some body-part nouns may be externally possessed in such a way that they become partly incorporated into the verb. Payne and Barshi (1999: 3) define external possessor constructions as follows:

We take core instances of *external possession* (EP) to be constructions in which a semantic possessor-possessum relation is expressed by coding the possessor (PR) as a core

<sup>1</sup> Jumjum is spoken in the southern part of Blue Nile State in Sudan. In Köhler's (1955) subclassification of the Western Nilotic languages, it belongs to the Southern Burun subbranch, which is a sister of the Northern Burun subbranch. Western Nilotic is a branch of the Nilotic family, which constitutes a subbranch of the Nilo-Saharan phylum. The variety of Jumjum described in this article is the Watkey dialect.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Torben Andersen, Department of Communication and Psychology, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark, E-mail: torben@hum.aau.dk

grammatical relation of the verb and in a constituent separate from that which contains the possessum (PM).

A preliminary illustration of the difference between internal and external possession in Jumjum is given in (1). In (1a), which is an instance of internal possession, the possessor **mínnèní** 'child' occurs in the same constituent as the possessum **wíŋ-è** 'his head' before the verb **tàl-áŋ** 'it is big'. In (1b), which is an instance of external possession, the possessor **mínnèní** 'child' is separated from the possessum **wíc** 'head' by the verb **ŋɛ̂ej-n-à** 'I shaved him'. The possessor and the possessum are underlined. Square brackets in the interlinear translation indicate phrase boundaries.

- (1) a. mínnění wíp-ž thl-án. [child head-3sG] be\_big-3 'The child's head is big.'
  - b. **?ìkà** <u>mínnèní</u> néej-n-à <u>wíc.</u>
    1SG child shave-PST-1SG>3 head
    'I shaved the child's head.'

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 is an introduction to clause structure in Jumjum as a prerequisite for identifying internal and external possessor constructions in this language. It deals with constituent order and with verbal cross-reference of core arguments. Section 3 shows that there are basically two types of internal possessor constructions in Jumjum, one for alienable possession and one for inalienable possession. Section 4 reveals that some body-part nouns can be externally possessed, demonstrates that externally possessed body-part nouns have special properties, and concludes that such nouns are partly incorporated into the verb. Section 5 shows that unlike internally possessed body-part nouns, externally possessed body-part nouns have not undergone the root-final nasalization that is prevalent in monosyllabic singular nouns in Jumjum, and it suggests that this is due to the grammaticalization that such body-part nouns have undergone. Section 6 concludes the article.

The transcription of Jumjum in this article is based on the phonological analysis given in Andersen (2004). It basically conforms to IPA conventions, so that  $/\frac{1}{7}$  is a voiced palatal stop and  $/\frac{1}{7}$  a palatal glide; but  $/\frac{1}{5}$ ,  $\frac{1}{6}$ ,  $\frac{1}{1}$  have interdental rather than dental point of articulation. Phonetically, Jumjum has ten vowel qualities, the five [-ATR] qualities  $[1, \varepsilon, a, z, v]$  and the five [+ATR]

**<sup>2</sup>** Among other Western Nilotic languages, external possession of body-part nouns has also been attested in Dinka (Andersen 2019). Within the Nilotic family, external possession has in addition been attested in the Eastern Nilotic language Maa (Payne 1997).

qualities [i, e, A, o, u]. There is a contrast between short and long vowels, the latter transcribed with double vowel symbols. The tone marks indicate underlying tones, using the analysis arrived at in Andersen (2004), with a contrast between low (L) /°/, high (H) /°/, and falling (HL) /°/; in long vowels the tone mark is placed on the first vowel symbol. Examples marked by "(t.)" after the English translation are drawn from my text corpus; other examples come from elicitation.

# 2 Clausal constituent order and cross-reference

# 2.1 Core arguments of the verb

Intransitive clauses in Jumium have the constituent order SV, with the intransitive subject (S) preceding the verb (V), as in (2).

(2) S V ?ìkà ?ɔɔl-l-v. 1SG sing-PST-1SG 'I sang.'

For transitive clauses there are two alternative orders: AOV, as in (3a), and OVA, as in (3b).3 So an object (0) has preverbal position, while a transitive subject (A) occurs either before the object or after the verb.

(3)a. A 0 V mêrká ?àmmá ?ám-í. child.PL porridge eat-PST.3PL>3 'The children ate the porridge.' b. 0 Α ?àmmá ?ám-í mêrká. porridge eat-PST.3PL>3 child.PL

'The children ate the porridge.'

<sup>3 &</sup>quot;X>Y" in the interlinear glossing of transitive verbs indicates that the subject X and the object Y are expressed simultaneously by a suffix chunk and the tone pattern of the verb. Nouns in Jumjum are inflected for number; the number of a plural noun is glossed as "PL" whether the noun has a plural suffix or not, whereas the number of a singular noun is left unglossed unless the noun has a clearly separate singular suffix.

# 2.2 Cross-reference of core arguments

Jumjum has no case inflection, except that some nouns may take a locative suffix. But subjects and objects are obligatorily expressed in the verb, which thus cross-references subject and object noun phrases (NPs), including free pronouns. In the intransitive verb **?óɔl-l-ù** 'I sang' in (2), the suffix **-ù** expresses a first person singular subject and cross-references the subject NP **?ìkà** 'I'. A transitive verb obligatorily cross-references both its subject and its object, so in this respect Jumjum is similar to some polysynthetic languages such as the Amerindian language Mohawk, cf. Baker (1999: 296). The cross-reference is expressed by means of a suffix chunk and the tone pattern of the whole word. The transitive verb **?ám-í** 'they ate it' in (3) thus uses the suffix **-í** and the tone pattern H-H for expressing a third person plural subject and a third person object (as well as past tense), so that it cross-references both the subject NP **mɛ̂rká** 'children' and the object NP **?àmmá** 'porridge'.

The following account of the cross-reference system is based on Andersen (2004, 2017, 2018). Table 1 (Andersen 2018: 89–92) shows the cross-reference of subjects in simple, i. e. underived, intransitive verbs in the non-past and past tenses, exemplified with the roots 'sing' and 'cry'. The past tense is expressed by an underlyingly nasal suffix. The third person forms do not indicate number. Most of the cross-reference suffixes exhibit phonologically determined variation. In particular, it should be noted that the vowel of the 1sG and 2sG suffixes in the non-past tense and of the 1sG and third person suffixes in the past tense varies between  $/\Lambda$ ,  $/\sigma$ / and  $/\sigma$ / as determined by the quality of the root vowel:  $/\sigma$ / after an unrounded vowel,  $/\sigma$ / after a rounded [–ATR] vowel, and  $/\sigma$ / after a rounded [–ATR] vowel. Moreover, the 1plex [–ATR] suffix - $\sigma$  and the 2pl [–ATR] suffix - $\sigma$  become - $\sigma$  and - $\sigma$ , respectively, by harmonization with a high [+ATR] vowel in

**Table 1:** Cross-reference of subject in simple intransitive verbs in the non-past and past tenses, exemplified with the roots 'sing' and 'cry'.

	?ɔɔl-'sing' Non-past	Past	wεεk- 'cry' Non-past	Past
1sg	<b>?ɔ́ɔl</b> -ʊ̀	?śɔl-l-ở	wέεq-λ	wέεη-η-λ
2sg	<b>?၁၁</b> l-ઇ	?ɔ́ɔl-l-í	wśεg-ń	wέεη-η-ί
3	?òɔl	?ɔʻɔl-l-ʊ́	wὲεk	wέεη-η- <b>λ</b>
1DUIN	?óol−ì	?óol-l-ì	wéek-ì	wéeŋ-ŋ-ì
1PLIN	?óol-ìn	?óol-l-ìn	wéek-ìn	wéeŋ-ŋ-ìn
1PLEX	?śɔl-òn	?śɔl-l-òn	wέεg-òn	wέεŋ-ŋ-ɔ̀n
2PL	?śɔl-È	?óɔl-l-È	wέεg-ὲ	wέεŋ-ŋ-ὲ

the root. In the same way the 2SG [-ATR] suffix - $\hat{i}$  of the past tense form becomes [+ATR] -i when the root has a high [+ATR] vowel. The only suffixes that do not exhibit variation are the 1DUIN and 1PLIN [+ATR] suffixes -i and -in, and they spread their [+ATR] value to a non-low root vowel, so that radical /ι, ε, υ, ɔ/ become [i, e, u, o].

Tables 2 and 3 (Andersen 2018: 92–96) show the cross-reference of subjects and objects in simple transitive verbs in the non-past tense. In these tables the vertical dimension indicates the subject (A), and the horizontal dimension indicates the object (O). As seen here, the form of the verb expresses the person and number of the subject and also the person and number of the object, except that no number distinction is made for a third person object. This results in a total of 28 forms. In the non-past tense (as opposed to the past tense) the form of some of the cross-reference suffixes depends on the manner of articulation of the root-final consonant. One set of suffixes occurs after root-final stops, as exemplified with the root tuc- 'send' in Table 2. Another set occurs after root-final sonorants, as exemplified with the root daam- 'look at' in Table 3. Unlike intransitive roots, transitive roots do not fall into tonal classes, so tone has no lexical function in verbs with a transitive root. Here all tones have a grammatical function.

Table 2: Subject-object inflection of the simple transitive stem toc- 'send' in the non-past tense.

A↑ 0>	1sg	2sg	3	1DUIN	1PLIN	1PLEX	2PL
1sg	_	ţớ <sub>j</sub> -èní	ţúֈ-ờ	_	_	_	ţΰֈ-έ
2sg	ţúֈ-ֈá	_	ţύ <del>յ</del> -ΰ	_	_	ţʊ́ֈ-ֈɔ́n	_
3sg	ţờֈ-à	ţờֈ-Èj	<u>t</u> ύֈ-έ	ţù <sub>j</sub> -í	ţù <sub>j</sub> -ín	ţờֈ-ón	ţờֈ-έ
1DUIN	_	_	ţúc-ì	_	_	_	_
1PLIN	_	_	ţúc-ìn	_	_	_	_
1PLEX	_	ţớc-ì	t̪ʊ́ɟ-òn	_	_	_	ţΰc-έ
2PL	ţû <sub>j</sub> -à	_	ţύֈ-È	_	_	ţû <sub>J</sub> -òn	_
3PL	tૂớc-à	ţớc-ì	ţớc-ờ	ţûc-í	ţûc-ín	ţĉc-ón	ţΰc-έ

As seen in Tables 2 and 3, almost all possible combinations of a subject and an object are phonologically distinct from each other. Some verb forms with a root-final stop have phonologically identical cross-reference suffixes and identical tone patterns, but are distinguished by the voice value of the root-final stop, e.g. in

<sup>4</sup> Syncretism only occurs between 1PLEX>2SG and 3PL>2SG and between 1PLEX>2PL and 3PL > 2PL.

A↑ 0>	1sg	2sg	3	1DUIN	1PLIN	1PLEX	2PL
1sg	_	dáam-Èní	dáam-λ	_	_	_	dâam-έ
2sg	dáam-já	_	dáam-λ	_	_	dáam- <del>J</del> ón	_
3sg	dàam-à	dàam-Èj	dáam-έ	dàam-í	dàam-ín	dàam-ón	ḍàam-έ
1DUIN	_	_	dáam-ì	_	_	_	_
1PLIN	_	_	dáam-ìn	_	_	_	_
1PLEX	_	dáam-gì	dáam-òn	_	_	_	dầam-gέ
2PL	dâam-à	_	dáam-ὲ	_	_	dâam-òn	_
3PL	dáam-gà	dáam-gì	dáam-gì	dâam-gí	dâam-gín	dâam-gón	daam-gέ

Table 3: Subject-object inflection of the simple transitive stem <code>daam-'look</code> at' in the non-past tense.

Table 2 1SG>3  $\ref{toj-\vec{v}}$  (voiced) vs 3PL>3  $\ref{toc-\vec{v}}$  (voiceless). There are also forms whose suffixes are segmentally identical, but tonally distinct, e. g. 1SG>3  $\ref{toj-\vec{v}}$  (H-L) vs 2SG>3  $\ref{toj-\vec{v}}$  (H-H). Moreover, there are forms whose suffixes are both segmentally and tonally identical, but which are distinguished by the tone of the root vowel, e. g. 3SG>3  $\ref{toj-\vec{k}}$  (H-H) vs 3SG>2PL  $\ref{toj-\vec{k}}$  (L-H), and 3SG>1SG  $\ref{toj-\vec{k}}$  (L-L) vs 2PL>1SG  $\ref{toj-\vec{k}}$  ( $\ref{HL-L}$ ). Hence, tone has a high functional load in the verb morphology of Jumjum. Both the tones of the suffixes and the tone of the root vowel contribute to expressing the cross-reference.

Phonologically conditioned vowel quality alternation occurs in transitive verbs in the same way as in intransitive verbs. Firstly, suffixal  $/\Lambda$  varies with  $/\upsilon$  and  $/\upsilon$ . Secondly, suffixal /i, which is the only inherently [+ATR] suffix vowel, harmonizes radical non-low [-ATR] vowels; it occurs in all the six suffixes that code a first person inclusive element, whether as subject (1DUIN>3, 1PLIN>3) or as object (3SG>1DUIN, 3SG>1PLIN, 3PL>1DUIN, 3PL>1PLIN). Thirdly, a high [+ATR] vowel in the root harmonizes non-low [-ATR] vowels in suffixes.

The past tense of simple transitive verbs is generally expressed by the suffix **-(V)N**, which contains or consists of a nasal (N). The full set of subject-object inflections in the past tense is shown with the root  $\underline{tuc}$ - 'send' in Table 4 (Andersen 2018: 96–97).

Derived intransitive verbs and derived transitive verbs have the same cross-reference system as simple intransitive and transitive verbs, but some of their suffixes and some of their tone patterns are different. For a detailed description and analysis of the cross-reference system and its morphophonology, see Andersen (2018), which also deals with the inflection of derived verb stems.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>5</sup> Some of the examples in the present article have verbs with derived stems. For verification of the morphological analysis of some of them, the reader is referred to Andersen (2018).

A↑ 0→	1sg	2sg	3	1DUIN	1PLIN	1PLEX	2PL
1sg	- tùn n ân	tૂ່రົກ-ɲ-ὲní	tớn-n-ờ	_	-	- tùn n ŝan	<u>t</u> ູ້ວົກ-ກ-έ
2sg 3sg	t̪ờɲ-ɲ-âa t̪ờɲ-ɲ-à	 t̪ờɲ-ɲ-ὲj	t̞ʊ́ŋ-ɲ-í t̪ʊ́ŋ-ɲ-έ	— ţùŋ-ŋ-í	— Էùր-ր-ín	t̪ờɲ-ɲ-ɔ̂ɔn t̪ờɲ-ɲ-ɔ́n	_ t̪ờɲ-ɲ-έ
1DUIN	_	_	էլմր-ր-ì	_	_	-	_
1PLIN	_	_	tun-n-in	_	_	_	
1PLEX	_	tૂઇֈ-ín-ì	t̪ʊ́ɲ-ɲ-ɔ̀n	_	_	_	ţύֈ-ὲn-έ
2PL 3PL	t̪ʊ̂ɲ-ɲ-à t̪ʊੰɟ-án-à	– tૂój-ín-ì	t̪ʊ́ŋ-ɲ-ὲ t̪ʊဴɟ-í	— ţúֈ-ìn-í	— ţúֈ-ìn-ín	t̪ʊ̂ŋ-ɲ-ɔ̀n t̪ʊဴɟ-ɔ̀n-ɔ́n	— t̪ύֈ-ὲn-έ

Table 4: Subject-object inflection of the simple transitive stem toc- 'send' in the past tense.

Pronominal subjects and objects are often not expressed by separate pronouns, but only by the form of the verb, as in (4).

### (4) a. **?ám-í.**

eat-PST-3PL>3

'They ate it.'

b. jóor-r-ù.

see-PST-1SG>3

'I saw him.'

A postverbal third person singular subject NP is cross-referenced as third person plural, as illustrated in (5). In (5a) the third person singular subject **gûun** 'dog' occurs in clause-initial position and is cross-referenced as third person singular in the verb. In (5b), by contrast, the same subject occurs in postverbal position and is cross-referenced as third person plural. Thus, the verb in (5b) has the same form as in (5c), where its final suffix -à cross-references the clause-initial third person plural subject gùk-kú 'dogs'.

### (5) a. gôun ?ìkà kàn-n-à.

1SG bite-PST-3SG>1SG dog

'The dog bit me.'

Unfortunately, there are some printer's errors in that article's data. They are as follows. In Table 12 (p. 96), Past 3sG>3 of 'teach' should be **núun-n-ê**. Example (36c) on p. 98 should be **à=mát-î-r**. In Table 17 (p. 99), AP stem of 'squeeze' in Jumjum should be nut-. In Table 29 (p. 107), CP-3SG>3 of 'shoot' should be dìn-£. In Table 38 (p. 110) and Table 40 (p. 111), all forms with a short /ı/ in the root should have a long /II/ in the root. In Table 42 (p. 112), the 3PL>1PLEX form should be fîrp-3n.

b. **?ìkà káj-án-à gôuŋ.**1SG bite-PST-3PL>1SG dog

'The dog bit me.'

c. gùk-kứ ?ìkà káj-án-à.

dog-PL 1SG bite-PST-3PL>1SG 'The dogs bit me.'

A postverbal subject may be marked by the preposition **kà**, whereby it is focalized, but it is still cross-referenced as subject in the verb. This is seen in (6), where the focalized subject **?ìkà** 'I' is cross-referenced as a 1sG subject. Such a construction is here translated as passive in English.

(6) γàmmá γám-m-λ kλ γìkà. porridge eat-PST-1SG>3 [FOC 1SG] 'The porridge was eaten by me.'

# 2.3 Antipassive verbs

Transitive verbs may undergo antipassive derivation, whereby the object of the transitive verb (the patient) is either demoted to the status of a non-core argument or is omitted. Thus, while the patient **?âak** 'milk' in (7a) occurs preverbally as object of the transitive verb **mâaḍ-à** 'I am drinking it', the same patient occurs after the antipassive verb **mât-à** 'I am drinking' in (7b) and is omitted after this verb in (7c).

(7) a. **?ìkà ?áak máaḍ-λ.** 

1SG milk.PL drink-1SG>3

'I am drinking the milk.'

b. ?ìkà máţ-à ?áak.

1SG drink.AP-1SG milk.PL 'I am drinking milk.'

c. ?ìkà máţ-à.

1SG drink.AP-1SG

'I am drinking.'

An antipassive verb is intransitive, and a demoted patient is thus not cross-referenced in the verb.<sup>6</sup> This patient occurs with no other marking than its postverbal position.

<sup>6</sup> On the formation and inflection of antipassive stems, see Andersen (2018: 97–102).

A parallel set of examples is given in (8), with the patient poon 'rabbit' being an object in (8a), a non-core argument in (8b), and omitted in (8c).

### (8)a. ?ìkè pôon nóol-l-é.

3sg\_rabbit\_chase-pst-3sg>3 'He chased the rabbit.'

### b. ?ìkè nừưt-t-ứ pôon.

3sg chase.AP-PST-3 rabbit 'He chased a/the rabbit.'

c. ?ìkè nòưt-t-ú.

3SG chase.AP-PST-3

'He chased.'

In my text corpus, all patients coded as object of a transitive verb are semantically definite, while patients coded as a non-core argument in an antipassive clause are either semantically indefinite (or non-referential) or definite (Andersen 2018: 85-86).

## 2.4 Dative verbs

Verbs may also undergo dative (applicative) derivation (by means of the suffix -k or -q) whereby a peripheral argument with the semantic role of, for instance, beneficiary gets the syntactic status of object. If the base verb is transitive, the basic object (the patient) is demoted to the status of an optional peripheral argument in postverbal position, as with antipassive verbs. This is illustrated in (9). Example (9a) is a transitive clause in which the underived transitive verb bán-n-ε 'he plastered it' is preceded by its patient-object ?λn 'house'. In (9b), with the dative verb **bht**-**k**-**£d**-**£** 'he is plastering for him', the beneficiary **bâabà** 'Baaba' occurs in object position, while the patient ?ân 'house' has postverbal position and is optional, as seen in (9c).

### (9)a. **?ɔ̂ɔn ?ʌ̂n** bán-n-έ tìınòk.

man house plaster-PST-3SG>3 yesterday 'The man plastered the house yesterday.'

b. kíilgà báabà bát-k-éd-é

Kiilga Baaba plaster-DAT-IMPF-3SG>3 house 'Kiilga is plastering a house for Baaba.'

<sup>7</sup> On the formation and inflection of dative verb stems, see Andersen (2018: 108-111).

c. kíilgà báabà bát-k-éd-é.

Kiilga Baaba plaster-DAT-IMPF-3SG>3 'Kiilga is plastering for Baaba.'

## 2.5 Adverbials

Adverbials are normally either adverbs or adpositional phrases. The latter are headed by either a preposition, as in (10), or a postposition, as in (11). In (10a) the multipurpose preposition  $\mathbf{k}\hat{\mathbf{\lambda}}$  (glossed generically as PREP) is used with instrumental meaning, while the preposition  $\mathbf{k}\hat{\mathbf{c}}$  in (10b) has comitative meaning.

(10) a. gôuŋ yêb-â kà dìl-là.

dog hit-2SG>3 [PREP stick-SG]

'Hit the dog with a stick!'

b. ʔìkì bí ʔàʌṭ-â kὲ ʔìkὲ.

2SG FUT go.CP-2SG [COM 3SG]

'You will come with him.'

The postposition  $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$  in (11) has locative meaning. Its complement has the semantic role of location (11a), goal (11b) or source (11c).<sup>8</sup>

- (11) a. **yɛ́m-m-ɛ́ ?λλm-ɛ̂ t̪î.**hit-PST-3SG>3 [thigh-3SG LOC]
  'He hit him on the thigh.'
  - b. ?ìkè pîam jèep-p-é díin tì.

    3SG stone throw.CF-PST-3SG>3 [bird LOC]

    'He threw the stone at a bird.'
  - c. **jâan tòɔr-cì wôɔ gòn-n-ì t̪i!**tree pull.CF-2SG>3 away [side-SG-1SG LOC]
    'Pull the log away from me!'

Adpositional adverbials normally occur in clause-final position, as in (10)-(11). By contrast, certain place adverbs normally occur immediately after the verb. Thus, in (12a) the adverb **wɔ̂ɔ** 'out, away' precedes the demoted patient **mâan** 'fire', and in (12b) the same adverb precedes the postverbal focalized subject **ʔìkà** 'l'.

<sup>8</sup> On the inflection of centrifugal transitive stems as in (11b-c), see Andersen (2018: 102–106).

- (12) a. **?áŋ pìccλ** ?áac-íd-í wôo mâan. and twirling stick take.CP.AP-IMPF-3 out fire 'And the twirling-stick brings fire out.' (t.) b. **pîık** pύʊk-k-ờ wôo kà ?ìkà.
  - water.PL pour.MULT.CF-PST-1SG>3 out [FOC 1SG] 'The water was spilt by me.'

# 3 Internal possessor constructions

In internal possessor constructions, the possessor and the possessum, by definition, belong to the same constituent, namely a noun phrase (NP). In Jumjum, there are basically two types of internal possessor constructions. They differ semantically with respect to alienability of the possessum, cf. Chappell and McGregor (1996).

# 3.1 Alienable internal possession

In the construction which expresses alienable possession, the possessum precedes the possessor and is morphologically marked as being in what I call the construct state, which contrasts with the morphologically unmarked absolute state. The construct state of a noun is expressed by low tones in all syllables, and it indicates that the noun is followed by a modifier. This construction is exemplified in (13), where both the possessum and the possessor are underlined. The possessa kɔ̀ɔccȯ 'hoe' (13a), nàntà 'place' (13b) and lɛ̀n 'axe' (13c) are in the construct state, the corresponding absolute state forms being, respectively, kóccó, nántá, and lén.

(13)a. gájnà kòoccừ mánjó jánj-n-é.

Gayna [hoe.cs Määjo] lose-PST-3SG>3

'Gayna has lost Määjo's hoe.'

- b. gáaggáak tár-k-én-é nàntà pîik. Gaaggaak hide-DAT-PST-3SG>3 [place.CS water.PL] 'And he hid from Gaaggaak at the place of water.' (t.)
- c. ?án wírw ?àt-t-á ?àn-n-á lèn lám-mà. and fox go.CF-PST-3 mould.AP-PST-3 [axe.CS mud-SG] 'And Fox went and moulded an axe of mud.' (t.)

The NP which constitutes the internal possessor construction is object of a transitive verb in (13a), place adverbial in (13b), and demoted patient of an antipassive verb in (13c).<sup>9</sup>

If the possessor of the alienable possessum is pronominal, the constituent order is the same as in (13), but the possessum is in the absolute state, and the possessor is a possessive pronoun which agrees in number with the possessum. This is illustrated in (14a) with the NP cícàm jɛɛ-n-ɛ̂ 'his knife', in (14b) with the NP tòn júu-n-û 'your spear', and in (14c) with the NP gòk-kó jɛɛ-k-ɛ̂ 'his dogs'. The corresponding construct state forms of the nouns are low-toned: cicàm 'knife', tòn 'spear', and gòk-kò 'dogs', with syncretism between the absolute state and the construct state in the case of 'spear'. The suffix -n in the possessive pronouns jɛɛ-n-ɛ̂ 'his' (14a) and júu-n-û 'your' (14b) cross-references the possessa cícàm 'knife' and tòn 'spear' as singular, and the suffix -k in possessive pronoun jɛɛ-k-ɛ̂ 'his' (14c) cross-references the possessum gòk-kô 'dogs' as plural.

- (14) a. **?ìkè** <u>cícàm</u> <u>jɛ́ɛ-n-ɛ́</u> **káp-ŋ-ɛ́.**3SG [knife PRO-SG-3SG] find-PST-3SG>3

  'He found his knife.'
  - b. tòn júu-n-ú tùk-è ?à jím-gà. [[spear PRO-SG-2SG] mouth-3SG] be.3 blood-PL 'There is blood on your spear.'

    (Lit. 'Your spear's mouth is bloods.')
  - c. wáj-ś gờk-kớ jɛɛ-k-ɛ túw-g-ín kà dàk.

    [father-1PL [dog-PL PRO-PL-3SG]] die-PL-PST.3 [PREP three]

    'My father's three dogs have died.'

    (Lit. 'Our father his dogs died three.')

The NP  $t \hat{\jmath} n j \hat{u} u - n \hat{u}$  'your spear' in (14b) is in turn the possessor of  $\hat{t} \hat{u} k - \hat{e}$  'its mouth' in an internal possessor construction which expresses inalienable possession, cf. the next subsection. By contrast, the NP  $\hat{g} \hat{u} k - k \hat{u}$  'his dogs' in (14c) is in turn the possessum of  $\hat{w} \hat{\jmath} - \hat{j}$  'our father', which appositionally specifies the referent of the 3SG suffix  $-\hat{\epsilon}$  in the possessive pronoun  $\hat{j} \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} - k - \hat{\epsilon}$  'his'.

**<sup>9</sup>** In (13b) the verb has the dative (applicative) suffix **-k**. The applied object is 'Gaaggaak' since this NP occurs in preverbal position, cf. Section 2.4. So the NP 'place of water' is not the object. An NP headed by 'place of' can function as a place adverbial without any adposition, as it does here.

# 3.2 Inalienable internal possession

In the construction that expresses inalienable possession, the possessor precedes the possessum, and the possessum has a possessive suffix which crossreferences the possessor with respect to person and number. The possessum in this construction is typically a body-part noun, as in (15a-c), or a kinship noun, as in (15d), but it can also be, among others, a verbal noun, as in (15e), or an "adjectival" noun, as in (15f).10

- (15)a. mínnèní wín-è tàl-án.
  - head-3sg] be\_big-3 [child
  - 'The child's head is big.' (= (1a))
  - b. ?ìkà bóɔɨ-ừ kà kán kúrkú-gén-é.

1SG fear-1SG [PREP [leopard nail.PL-PL-3SG]

'I am afraid of the leopard's claws.'

- c. ?ìkè cànj ?ân nán-È tì.
  - 3sg sit.3 [[house back-3sg] Loc]
  - 'He is sitting behind the house.'
- máad-èn d. **?ìɪŋ** iâanıà ióor-r-ìn.

[[woman DEM3.SG] brother-3PL] see-PST-1PLIN>3

'We saw that woman's brother.'

- e. **?án báam páaj-n-à** 
  - and dove fly-PST-3
  - wèek kà 3-nci nàm-m-έ.

while cry.3 [PREP [chest-3sg be\_tasteful-NMLZ-3sg]]

'And Dove flew while crying with happiness.' (t.)

(Lit. '[...] while she is crying with her chest its tastefulness')

- f. ?ìkè kừn-n-ớ náalók díl-là lèel-è.
  - 3SG take.AP-PST-3 up [stick-sg short\_one-3sg]
  - 'He picked up a short stick.'

As seen in (15), the sequence possessor + possessum is an NP, since it occupies an NP position. In (15a), mínnèní wíp-è 'the child's head' is an intransitive subject in which mínnèní 'child' is cross-referenced by the suffix -è in wín-è 'his head'. In (15b), káp kórkó-gén-é 'the leopard's claws' is a prepositional complement in which káp 'leopard' is cross-referenced in kúrkú-gén-é 'its claws'. In (15c), **?în ŋấp-ề** 'the house's back' is a postpositional complement

<sup>10</sup> On cross-linguistic variability in what counts as inalienable possession, see Chappell and McGregor (1996).

in which **?ân** 'house' is cross-referenced in **ŋáp-ɛ** 'its back'. In (15d), **?ìm jâapyà máʌḍ-ɛ̀n** 'that woman's brother' is the object of a transitive verb, and here the possessor **?ìm jâapyà** 'that woman' is cross-referenced by **máʌḍ-ɛ̀n** 'their brother'; as in some other kinship nouns, a singular possessor is here cross-referenced as plural. In (15e), **jón-ɛ̀ pàm-m-ɛ̂** 'her chest's tastefulness', i. e. 'happiness', is the complement of the preposition **kà**, and the possessor **jón-ɛ̂** 'her chest' is cross-referenced by **pàm-m-ɛ̂** 'its being tasteful', the third person possessive form of the verbal noun **pàm-má** 'to be tasteful', whose root is the adjectival verb **pap-** 'be tasteful'. In (15f), finally, **dìl-là lɛɛl-ɛ̂** 'short stick' is a demoted patient of the antipassive verb **kòp-p-ô** 'he took', and the possessor **dìl-là** 'stick' is cross-referenced in the possessum **lɛɛl-ɛ̂** 'its short one', the third person possessive form of the adjectival noun **lɛɛl** 'short one'. So the constructions in (15) are internal possessor constructions.

The possessor in the inalienable possession construction may be expressed by a possessive suffix alone, that is, without a possessor NP, as seen in (16). In (16a) **bùŋ-ì** 'my arm' is an intransitive subject, in (16b) **?ìnṭ-ú** 'your hand' is the complement of the preposition  $k\lambda$ , in (16c) **wáŋ-g-ɛ̂** 'his eyes, his face' is the complement of the postposition  $t\hat{t}$ , and in (16d) **mìg-ɔ̂** 'our mothers' is the object of the transitive verb 'take'.

- (16) a. <u>bừŋ-ì</u> **líŋ-ŋ-ʎ.** arm-1SG break-PST-3 'My arm broke.'
  - b. ŋànà mùg-ḍ-ú kà <u>ʔìn-ṭ-ú</u>.

    PROH catch-IMPF-2SG>3 [PREP hand-SG-2SG]

    'Don't catch it with your hand!'
  - c. **?áŋ pàaṭ-ṭ-ɛ̂ wáŋ-g-ɛ̂ ţì.**and plaster.CF-PST-3SG>3 [eye-PL-3SG LOC]
    'and he plastered it onto his face.' (t.)
  - d. mig-5 Yic-i j\u00e1ac-c-i w\u00f30. mother.PL-1PL take-1DUIN>3 sell-CF-1DUIN>3 out 'Let's take our mothers and sell them!' (t.)

Internally possessed body-part nouns normally occur in the inalienable possession construction, as in (15)–(16). If a body-part noun occurs in the alienable possession construction, as in (17a), its referent is understood as being detached from the original possessor. Thus, the bone referred to in (17a) is understood not to be an inalienable part of the dog, but a bone which the dog is eating. Hence, (17a) contrasts with the inalienable possession construction (17b).

### (17) a. **?\hat{\lambda}w-n\hat{\lambda}** gữưŋ

bone-sg.cs dog 'the dog's bone (which it is eating)' b. gôun ?áw-n-è dog bone-sg-3sg 'dog's bone'

# 4 External possession of body-part nouns

While all body-part nouns in Jumjum can be internally possessed, some bodypart nouns (and only such nouns) can also be externally possessed. As demonstrated in this section, the external possessor is either the object of a transitive verb (Section 4.1) or the demoted patient of an antipassive verb (Section 4.2), and externally possessed body-part nouns have some special properties. Section 4.3 shows that although externally possessed nouns do not cross-reference their external possessor, they are inflected for number, as partly determined by the possessor. Section 4.4 demonstrates that externally possessed body-part nouns occur immediately after the verb, and Section 4.5 illustrates that most of them get their tones from the verb. Finally, Section 4.6 argues that externally possessed body-part nouns are partly incorporated into the verb.

# 4.1 External possessor as object

As seen in (18), the object position of a transitive clause may be occupied by an NP in which a body-part noun is internally possessed. In (18a), will-è 'its tail' is possessed by qûun jɔɔ-n-ɔ 'our dog'; in (18b), tín-è 'its stomach', i.e. 'its inside', is possessed by ?ân 'house'; and in (18c), tûk-è 'its mouth', i.e. 'its door', is also possessed by ?în 'house'.

- (18) a. **?ìkè gôuŋ jɔɔ-n-ɔ́** wìil-è tɔ́ɔr-r-ε̂.
  - 3SG [[dog PRO-SG-1PL] tail-3SG] pull-PST-3SG>3
  - 'He pulled our dog's tail.'
  - 2ân b. **?ìɪŋ** յլ՜ր-è wéen-n-é.

woman [house stomach-3sg] sweep-PST-3sG>3

'The woman swept the house.'

(Lit. 'The woman swept the house's stomach.')

c. ?à náanì kèn ?în tùk-è kúp-k-én-é?

be.3 who.sg [REL [house mouth-3sg] open-DAT-PST-3sG>3]

'Who opened the door?'

(Lit. 'Who is it that opened for the house's mouth?')

However, the body-part noun may alternatively be separated from the possessor. as in (19). <sup>11</sup> In (19a) the possessor **?ìkì** 'you' (sg.) of **pòk** 'oral cavity' occurs alone in preverbal position and is cross-referenced as a second person singular object The possessum, the body-part noun pɔk 'oral cavity', occurs after the verb and has no possessive suffix which cross-references the possessor. In (19b), similarly, the possessor ?ìkêɛ 'you' (pl.) of dòug-ìn 'necks' occurs on its own in preverbal position and is cross-referenced as a second person plural object in the applicative transitive verb  $\mathbf{d\hat{u}q}$ - $\mathbf{e}$ - $\mathbf{\hat{r}}$  we will tie for you'. The possessum dùuq-ìn 'necks' occurs after the verb and has no possessive suffix which crossreferences the possessor. Example (19c) follows the same pattern: The possessor kàaη 'snake' occurs before the transitive verb ?èt-t-é 'he cut it', in which it is cross-referenced as a third person object, while the possessum wic 'head' has postverbal position. Thus, the constructions in (19) satisfy Payne and Barshi's (1999) definition of external possession: The possessor is coded as a core grammatical relation, namely that of object, and in a constituent separate from that which contains the possessum. In this way, the transitive clauses in (19) differ structurally from the transitive clauses in (18), which exhibit internal possession.

(19) a. **?áŋ ?ìkì bí ?ér-èní pòk.** 

and 2sg FUT cut-1sg>2sg oral\_cavity

'and I will slaughter you.' (t.) (Lit. 'and I will cut your oral cavity.')

b. ?án ?ìkêe bí díng-g-èné

<u>dờʊg-ìn</u> wìn-gλ.

and 2PL FUT tie.MULT-DAT-1PLEX>2PL neck-PL rope-PL

'And we will tie ropes around your necks.' (t.)

(Lit. 'And we will tie ropes for your necks.')

c. **?ìkè <u>kàaŋ</u> ?èt-t-é** 

wìc wô

3SG snake cut.CF-PST-3SG>3 head away

'He cut off the snake's head.'

<sup>11</sup> Only body-part nouns whose singular form is monosyllabic, and their corresponding disyllabic plural forms, have been attested as externally possessed. Some singular body-part nouns consist of more than one syllable, for instance, in their unpossessed form, lénnà 'tooth', ʔìntʌ´ 'hand', ŋùbdɔ´n 'heel', and jìtcán 'armpit'.

An external possessor construction may have the same propositional content as an internal possessor construction, as seen in (20). Example (20a) is an internal possessor construction in that the possessor of 'backs' is expressed by the 2PL possessive suffix -ic in nat-k-ic 'your backs'. This noun is a demoted patient of the antipassive verb dânm-à 'I am looking'. Example (20b) is an external possessor construction with the same propositional content. Here the possessor ?ìkɛɛ 'you' is the object of the transitive verb dâam-ɛ 'I am looking at you', since it occurs preverbally and is cross-referenced in the verb, while the possessum nat-in 'backs' occurs in postverbal position.

### (20) a. **?ìkà dínm-ì** ηλt-k-ic.

1SG look at.AP-1SG back-PL-2PL

'I am looking at your backs.'

# b. ?ìkêe dâam-é

look at-1SG>2PL back-PL 2PL

'I am looking at your backs.'

A similar pair of clauses is given in (21). In (21a) the NP mínnèní wát-è 'the child's buttocks', where the buttocks are internally possessed by the child, is a demoted patient of the antipassive verb lúk-í 'she is washing'. In (21b), with the same propositional content, the postverbal body-part noun wát-in 'buttocks' is possessed by the (preverbal) object **mínnění** 'child'.

### (21)a. lúk-í mínnèní wát-è.

wash.AP-3 [child buttock.PL-3SG

'She is washing the child's buttocks.'

### b. mínnèní lóog-é wát-ìn.

child wash-3sG>3 buttock-PL

'She is washing the child's buttocks.'

As noted in Section 2.2, an object does not need to be represented by an NP, a fact exemplified by (11a) and (16b-c) above. In the absence of such an NP, the external possessor is only represented suffixally in the verb. This is seen in (22), where the object NP slot is empty, but where the cross-reference suffixes in the verbs express a third person object, in addition to a second person plural subject in (22a) and a third person singular subject in (22b). 12 Thus, the body-part nouns wíc 'head' (22a) and jók-ìn 'bodies' (22b) do have possessors. Here these again

<sup>12</sup> Multiplicative transitive stems, as in (22a), are inflected in the same way as simple transitive stems, see Andersen (2018: 111-113).

do not occur in the same constituent as the possessa, and they are therefore external possessors.

# (22) a. **?íɪr-ɛ̀** wíc. cut.MULT-2PL>3 head 'Intercept her!' (t.) (Lit. 'Cut her head repeatedly!') b. **ŋìip-p-ê** jók-ìn. greet.CF-PST-3SG>3 body-PL 'He greeted them.' (t.) (Lit. 'He greeted their bodies.')

# 4.2 External possessor as demoted patient

An external possessor may also be a demoted patient of an antipassive verb, as illustrated in (23). In the transitive clause (23a), with the transitive verb  $\eta \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon j - n - \lambda$  'I shaved him', the object  $m \hat{n} n \hat{\epsilon} n \hat{l}$  'child' is the external possessor of  $m \hat{l}$  'head'. In the corresponding antipassive clause (23b), with the antipassive verb  $m \hat{l} n - n - \lambda$  'I shaved', the patient 'child' is retained in clause-final position, thus occurring after its possessum 'head'.

# (23) a. ?ìkà mínnění néej-n-à wíc. 1SG child shave-PST-1SG>3 head 'I shaved the child's head.' (= (1b)) b. ?ìkà nín-n-à wíc mínnění. 1SG shave.AP-PST-1SG head child 'I shaved the child's head.'

Although 'head' and 'child' are contiguous in (23b), they do not form a constituent of the clause. The word sequence **wíc mínnèní** (24a) is not possible as a citation form for 'the child's head'. The citation form would be **mínnèní wín-è** (24b), cf. (15a) above.

# (24) a. \*wíc mínnèní head child 'the child's head' b. mínnèní wín-è child head-3sG 'the child's head'

Also, the word sequence **wíc mínnèní** in (23b) in not an instance of the internal possessor construction in which the possessum is in the construct state followed

by the possessor, since wic 'head' is not in the construct state, cf. Section 3.1 above. It seems to be impossible for body-part nouns referring to attached body parts to be used in that construction.

As illustrated by the pair of clauses in (23), if a body-part noun can be externally possessed by the object of a transitive verb, then it can also be externally possessed by the demoted patient of the corresponding antipassive verb. Similar clause pairs are given in (25) and (26), where the (a)-clauses have transitive verbs and the (b)-clauses the corresponding antipassive verbs. In (25) qiti 'stomachs' is externally possessed by jàn-ná 'meat', and in (26) jók-ìn 'bodies' is externally possessed by kìl-ká 'broth'.

### (25) a. **?ìkè jàŋ-ŋá** ?íır-É gìtì.

3sg meat-sg cut.MULT-3sg>3 stomach.PL

'She is cutting the meat.'

(Lit. 'She is cutting meat's stomachs', i.e. 'the inside of meat into pieces')

### b. ?ìkè ?ìır-à gítí jàn-ná.

3SG cut.MULT.AP-3 stomach.PL meat-SG 'She is cutting meat.'

### (26) a. **?ìɪŋ** kìl-ká náam-m-έ

jók-ìn.

woman broth-PL be\_tasteful.CAUS-PST-3SG>3 body-PL

'The woman made the broth tasteful.'

### b. **?ìɪŋ** nàap-p-á

jók-ìn kìl-ká.

woman be tasteful.CAUS.AP-PST-3 body-PL broth-PL

'The woman made the broth tasteful.'

Antipassive clauses with a postverbal body-part noun but without an explicit possessor do not occur in my data, and I don't know whether such clauses are possible. External possession with intransitive verbs that are not antipassive has not been attested either.

# 4.3 Number inflection of possessum

An externally possessed body-part noun cannot be modified. Thus, it cannot take a possessive suffix, which would cross-reference its external possessor. That is, the same form is used whether the possessor is first, second or third person. This is illustrated in (27) with first and second person possessors.

(27) a. ?ìkè ?ìkà dàam-à ηác. 3sg 1sg look at-3sg>1sg back

'He is looking at my back.'

b. ?ìkà ?ùul-l-à iók.

1SG be black.caus-pst-3sg>1sg body

'He has made me black.' (Lit. 'He has made my body black.')

c. nànà ?ìkà nàg-d-âa dák.

PROH 1SG kill-IMPF-2SG>1SG neck

'Do not kill me!' (t.) (Lit. 'Do not kill my neck!')

d. ?ìkè ?ìkà bòr-à jók.

3sg 1sg defeat-3sg>1sg body

'He is bigger than me.' (Lit. 'He defeats my body.')

e. **?án ?ìkì bí** ?ér-èní pòk.

and 2sg FUT cut-1sg>2sg oral cavity

'and I will slaughter you.' (t.) (Lit. 'and I will cut your oral cavity.')

(=(19a))

However, the possessum is inflected for number. The singular forms are morphologically unmarked, while the plural forms generally have the suffix -m. A plural external possessor requires the plural form of the body-part noun. This is exemplified in (28) with the noun for 'neck'. In (28a) the external possessor is a third person object which refers to a single camel (which has only one neck), so 'neck' has the singular form dok. In (28b) the possessor is the second person plural pronoun ?ìkêɛ, and therefore the plural form dòug-ìn is used. In this sentence, ?ìkêɛ 'you' is a beneficiary object of the dative verb díɪg-g-ɛ̀nɛ̂ 'we tie for you', while win-gà 'ropes' is a demoted patient. But the possessum of a singular external possessor may also be plural if it refers to a pair of body parts, as in (28c), where **w**\(\hat{\mathbf{t}}\)-in 'buttocks' is possessed by **m**\(\hat{\mathbf{n}}\)\(\hat{\mathbf{n}}\)' (child'.

(28) a. **?áŋ dɛ́q-í** dòk kà wín-nàn.

and tie-PST.3PL>3 neck [PREP rope-SG]

'And they tied its neck with a rope.' (t.)

b. **?án ?ìkêe bí** díıq-q-èné

dùug-ìn wìn-gà. and 2PL FUT tie.MULT-DAT-1PLEX>2PL neck-PL rope-PL

'And we will tie ropes around your necks.' (t.)

(Lit. 'And we will tie ropes for your necks.') (= (19b))

c. mínnění lóog-é wáţ-ìn.

child wash-3sG>3 buttock-PL

'She is washing the child's buttocks.' (= (21b))

In the same way, the singular possessor ?ìkɛ (3sg) in (29a) combines with the singular form of 'body', jók, while the plural possessor ?ìkîin (1PLIN) in (29b) requires the plural form **jók-ìn** 'bodies'.

### (29) a. **?ìkà ?ìkὲ bór-ù** jók.

3sg defeat-1sg>3 body

'I am bigger than him.' (Lit. 'I defeat his body.')

### b. **?íkèn ?ìkîin bôr-qín** jók-ìn.

1PLIN defeat-3PL>1PLIN body-PL

'They are bigger than us.' (Lit. 'They defeat our bodies.')

The word for 'stomach' (the inside of something) is deviant. Its singular form tì or jí does not end in a consonant, and its plural form giti or giti does not end in the plural suffix -in (or -in). Moreover, while the singular form can only be used with a singular possessor, the plural form can be used with both a singular and a plural possessor, although it does not denote a pair of body parts. Thus, singular tì is possessed by singular dòn 'gourd' in (30a), and plural gìtì is possessed by singular wín-nàn jâanní 'this rope' in (30b) and by plural dák 'cows' in (30c).

### (30) a. **d**n pύq-ύ ŧì.

gourd pour-2SG>3 stomach

'Empty the gourd!' (Lit. 'Pour the gourd's stomach!')

### b. **wín-nàn jâanní** ?ér-á qìtì.

[rope-SG DEM1.SG] cut-2SG>3 stomach.PL

'Cut this rope!' (Lit. 'Cut this rope's stomachs!')

### c. **?ôɔn dák** páq-έ gìtì.

man cow.PL count-3sG>3 stomach.PL

'The man is counting the cows.' (Lit. 'The man is counting the cows' stomachs.')

# 4.4 Position of possessum

An externally possessed body-part noun occurs immediately after the verb; that is, it precedes any other postverbal constituent. It precedes adverbials which normally occur clause-finally, as illustrated in (31), where the possessum dòk 'neck' precedes the instrument adverbial kà wín-nàn 'with a rope'. The possessor object of 'neck' is here not represented by an NP, but only by the crossreference suffix -i on the verb.

(31) **?áŋ dếg-í** <u>dồk</u> kà wíṇ-ṇàn. and tie-PST.3PL>3 neck [PREP rope-SG] 'And they tied its neck with a rope.' (t.) (=(28a))

The possessum also precedes a postverbal subject, as seen in (32). In (32a-b) the possessum <code>jɔk</code> 'body' precedes the subjects <code>lìtk</code> 'elephants' and <code>mɛlm</code> 'kind of gazelle', respectively. In (32c-d) the possessa <code>dɔk</code> 'neck' (of <code>mɪnnɛni</code> 'child') and <code>gìt</code> 'stomachs' (of <code>pal-l</code> jaapja 'that pot') precede the focalized subjects <code>k</code> kap 'hunger' and <code>k</code> maad-ɔ 'my/our brother', respectively.

(32) a. **?áŋ ?óȝ-í** <u>jɔ̂k</u> lìɪk.

and pursue-PST.3PL>3 body elephant.PL

'And the elephants pursued him.' (t.)

(Lit. 'And the elephants pursued his body.')

- b. **púnáj bór-gù jók mélmá.** kind\_of\_gazelle defeat-3PL>3 body kind\_of\_gazelle 'The *mélm*á is bigger than the *púnáj*.' (Lit. 'The *mélm*á defeats the *púnáj*'s body.')
- c. mínnèní nág-í dàk kà kân.
  child kill-PST.3PL>3 neck [FOC hunger]
  'The child starved to death.' (Lit. 'Hunger killed the child's neck.')
- d. pál-là jâaŋyà líg-í gìtì kà mánḍ-ś.

  [pot-SG DEM3.SG] break-PST.3PL>3 stomach.PL [FOC brother-1PL]

  'That pot has been broken by my brother.'

  (Lit. 'Our brother broke that pot's stomachs.')

There is one exception: The postverbal plural subject  $m\hat{\epsilon}$  'people' precedes the possessum, as seen in (33), where it precedes  $d\hat{s}k$  'neck'. This is evidence that  $m\hat{\epsilon}$ , which may function as a marker of an impersonal passive, is an enclitic rather than an independent phonological word.

(33) Piki bí nák-i mè dák.

2SG FUT kill-3PL>2SG person.PL neck

'You will be killed.' (Lit. 'People will kill your neck.')

As mentioned in Section 2.5, certain place adverbs normally occur immediately after the verb, before, for instance, a postverbal subject. Those adverbs are also preceded by the possessum, as illustrated in (34). In (34a) the possessum **jɔk** 'body' of the object **wíl-là** 'guest' precedes the adverb **?ìpì** 'down', and in (34b)

the possessum wic 'head' of the object kàan 'snake' precedes the adverb wîc 'away, out'.

```
(34) a. ?ĵɔn wíl-là
                      d>c-c-έ
                                        iàk
                                             ?ìnì.
       man guest-SG put.CF-PST-3SG>3 body down
       'The man seated the guest.' (Lit. 'The man put the guest's body down.')
     b. ?ìkè kàan ?èt-t-é
                                     wìc wôo.
       3SG snake cut.CF-PST-3SG>3 head away
       'He cut off the snake's head.' (= (19c))
```

As seen in Section 4.2 above, the possessum also precedes its possessor in antipassive clauses. Further examples of this are given in (35). Here the possessum wic 'head' (35a) precedes the possessor káw-èn 'her/their sister', which is a demoted patient, and similarly, the possessum giti 'stomachs' (35b) precedes the possessor jîrk jâakkà 'those words'.

```
(35) a. ?ìkè dút-í
                          wìc
                                káw-èn.
        3SG braid.AP-3 head sister-3PL
        'She is braiding her sister's hair.'
        (Lit. 'She is braiding their sister's head.')
     b. ?àt -ì
                ?úk-c-íd-í
                                    gìtì
                                                 ŧîık
                                                           iâakkà
        go.CF-3 visit.AP-CF-IMPF-3 stomach.PL [word.PL DEM2.PL]
        tớl-gớ tì.
        [girl-PL LOC]
        'He went to the girls to investigate that case.' (t.)
        (Lit. 'He goes, he visits thither to the girls those words' stomachs.')
```

The fact that an externally possessed body-part noun occurs immediately after the verb would seem to be evidence that such a body-part noun is syntactically tied to the verb.

# 4.5 Polar tone of possessum

There is also phonological evidence that externally possessed body-part nouns are closely tied to the verb, namely their tonal behaviour. Unlike other monosyllabic nouns, externally possessed singular body-part nouns, all of which are monosyllabic, exhibit tone alternation between low and high. This alternation is determined by the tone of the preceding syllable, which is the final syllable of the preceding verb: The body-part noun carries a low tone after a high tone, and vice versa. So unlike other monosyllabic nouns, externally possessed monosyllabic body-part nouns are lexically toneless and are assigned a polar tone. This tonal polarity is exemplified with  $\eta \Lambda c$  'back' in (36) and with  $j \lambda k$  'body' in (37)–(38). For instance,  $\eta \Lambda c$  'back' carries a low tone after the final high tone of the verb **bàad-d**: 'she is following him' in (36a), and it carries a high tone after the final low tone of the verb **báat-\lambda** 'I follow her thither' in (36b). In the same way, **dɔ̃k** 'neck' carries a high tone after the low-toned enclitic noun **mɛ̃** 'people' in (33) above.

(36) a.  $\frac{2\hat{n}}{n}$  bàad-d- $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\frac{n}{n}$ .

woman man follow-IMPF-3SG>3 back

'The woman is following the man.'

(Lit. 'The woman is following the man's back.')

b. ?ìkà ták-à báat-à

1SG want.AP-1SG follow.CF-1SG>3 back

'I want to follow her.' (Lit. 'I want, I follow her back.')

(37) a. ?ìkè tớul nip-p-é jòk.

3SG girl greet.CF-PST-3SG>3 body

'He greeted the girl.' (Lit. 'He greeted the girl's body.')

b. ?ìkè tớul bí nìip-è jók.

3SG girl FUT greet.CF-3SG>3 body

'He will greet the girl.' (Lit. 'He will greet the girl's body.')

(38) a. **?ìiŋ mínnèní lóɔg-é jòk**.

woman child wash-3sG>3 body

'The woman is washing the child.'

(Lit. 'The woman is washing the child's body.')

b. ?ìkà bớrnờ lím-n-à

jók.

ηác.

1SG cloth fold.MULT-PST-1SG>3 body

'I folded the cloth repeatedly.'

(Lit. 'I folded the cloth's body repeatedly.')

Some externally possessed disyllabic body-part nouns, which are plural forms, behave tonally in the same way as monosyllabic ones. That is, unlike other disyllabic nouns, which do not exhibit tone alternation, they have low tones after a high tone, and high tones after a low tone. This is the case with, for instance, the plural nouns **giţi** 'stomachs', as seen in (39), and **dvug-ın** 'necks' in (40). In (39a) **giţi** carries low tones in both syllables after the verb **?ɛ̂r-î** 'you cut it', which ends in a high tone, and in (39b) it carries high tones after the verb **yɛ̂p-p-à** 'I tore it', which ends in a low tone.

### (39) a. **wín-nàn jâanní** ?ér-á gìtì.

[rope-SG DEM1.SG] cut-2SG>3 stomach.PL

'Cut this rope!' (Lit. 'Cut this rope's stomachs!')

### b. ?ìkà bứrnữ ¡ến-ṇ-à aítí.

1SG cloth tear-PST-1SG>3 stomach.PL

'I tore the cloth.' (Lit. 'I tore the cloth's stomachs.')

The same alternation is seen in (40).<sup>13</sup>

### (40) a. **?áŋ ?ìkêɛ bí díɪg-g-èné** dùug-ìn wìn-gà.

FUT tie.MULT-DAT-1PLEX > 2PL neck-PL rope-PL and 2PL 'And we will tie ropes around your necks.' (t.) (= (19b))

(Lit. 'And we will tie ropes for your necks.')

### b. **míg-Èn** dìig-g-ì dứug-ín wìn-gà.

mother.PL-3PL tie.MULT-DAT-PST.3PL>3 neck-PL rope-PL

'They tied ropes around their mothers' necks.' (t.)

(Lit. 'They tied ropes for their mothers' necks.')

Some externally possessed disyllabic plural body-part nouns do not exhibit tone alternation. Such nouns, exemplified by jók-in 'bodies' in (41), have the tone pattern H-L whether the preceding tone is high (41a) or low (41b).

### (41) a. **nìip-p-é** jók-ìn.

greet.CF-PST-3SG>3 body-PL

'He greeted them.' (t.) (Lit. 'He greeted their bodies.')

# b. **?íkèn ?ìkîin bôr-qìn**

1PLIN defeat-3PL>1PLIN body-PL 3PL

'They are bigger than us.' (Lit. 'They defeat our bodies.') (= (29b))

# 4.6 Noun incorporation

To sum up, it seems that possessed body-part nouns in external possessor constructions in Jumium are syntactically closely connected to the verb. The following facts support this idea: (i) the body-part nouns are adjacent to the

<sup>13</sup> In (40) the verbs are applicative (DAT) so that the beneficiaries (or maleficiaries) 'you' (40a) and 'their mothers' (40b) replace 'ropes' as object.

verb, occurring immediately after it; (ii) many of the body-part nouns, and all of the monosyllabic ones, have no lexical tone, but receive their tones by polar tone assignment from the verb, and this suggests that the body-part nouns are enclitics; (iii) although the body-part nouns are inflected for number, they cannot be modified, for instance by a possessive suffix; (iv) although the body-part nouns are basically externally possessed by the object of a transitive verb, they may also be externally possessed by a demoted patient of the corresponding antipassive verb. Hence, the sequence verb + body-part noun seems to exhibit a kind of noun incorporation which is similar to what Mithun (1984) calls Types I and II noun incorporation, although the body-part noun is inflected for number. In this way, the external possessor construction in Jumjum belongs to the type which Haspelmath (1999: 119) calls "[p]ossessor raising with possessum incorporation". That is, while the possessor is (metaphorically) "raised" to the syntactic status of object, the possessum is "demoted" from object status and incorporated into the verb.

Further evidence for noun incorporation is seen in nominalization. Verbal nouns can combine with a following body-part noun which exhibits the same tonal behaviour as after a verb and which has no possessor. For instance, the verb 'shave', which combines with the externally possessed body-part noun **wic** 'head' in (42a), may be nominalized as  $\eta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} j - n \hat{\lambda}$  'shaving' as in (42b), where it is immediately followed by the same body-part noun with polar tone.

# (42) a. ?ìkà mínnèní ηέεj-n-λ wíc.

1sg child shave-PST-1sG-3 head

'I shaved the child's head.' (=(1b))

# b. ŋɛ̀ɛj-nʌ́ wìc

shave-NMLZ head

'to shave the head, shaving the head'

Similarly, the verb 'cut repeatedly' co-occurs with the body-part noun **giţi** 'stomachs' in (43a), and the corresponding verbal noun **?irr-ìn** 'cutting repeatedly' in (43b) is immediately followed by the same body-part noun with polar tone.

<sup>14</sup> This implies that the verb in (33) has two consecutive enclitics, so that it could be transcribed as nák-ì=mè=dók.

### (43) a. **?ìkè jàŋ-ŋá ?íɪr-é** gìtì.

3SG meat-SG cut.MULT-3SG>3 stomach.PL 'She is cutting the meat.' (= (25a))

b. **?íɪr-ìn** aítí

cut.MULT-NMLZ stomach.PL

'to cut repeatedly, cutting repeatedly'

Expressions like (42b) and (43b) are constituents, as evidenced by the fact that they can be modified by a demonstrative pronoun. In (44b), for instance, the nominalized phrase từup-nứ jòk 'refusal', which corresponds to the clause in (44a), is modified by the demonstrative pronoun **jâanní** 'this (one)'.<sup>15</sup>

# (44) a. ?ìkè ?àmmá tớun-n-é

3sg porridge refuse-PST-3sG>3 body

'He refused the porridge.' (Lit. 'He refused the porridge's body.')

### b. từơn-nớ iàk jâanní.

[NP [NP refuse-NMLZ body] DEM1.SG]

'this refusal'

The nominalized form of the verb is in the absolute state before the body-part noun. So the nominalized phrases are not internal possessor constructions. Thus, they differ from NPs in which a nominalized verb occurs in the construct state before its possessor, as in (45)-(46). Example (45a) is a transitive clause with the patient déel 'goat' as object of the verb dór-r-ò 'I skinned it'. The nominalized form of the verb, as shown in (45b), is **dòr-ró** in the absolute state, with the tone pattern L-H. In (45c) this verbal noun is in the construct state with the tone pattern L-L before the patient **dɛɛl**, so that **dor-ro dɛɛl** 'skinning of goat' is an internal possessor construction. This NP is the complement of the preposition kà.

# (45) a. ?ìkà déɛl dúr-r-ù.

1sg goat skin-pst-1sg>3 'I skinned the goat.'

b. dòr-rớ

skin-NMLZ

'to skin, skinning'

<sup>15</sup> Alternatively, the demonstrative pronoun may be analyzed as the head of the outer NP. This head cross-references, by means of its number inflection, the preceding inner NP, which appositionally specifies the referent of the head, lit. 'body-refusing, this one'.

c. **?íkèn dáŋ-ŋ-**â **kà <u>d</u>ŷr-rỳ** <u>dɛ́el.</u>
3PL finish-PST-3 [PREP [skin-NMLZ.CS goat]]
'They have finished skinning the goat.'

A parallel set of examples is given in (46), where the NP ?\haipna p\u00e1d\u00e1\u00edi\u00e

(46) a. ?ìkè pál-là ?áʌj-é.

3sg pot-sg mould-3sg>3 'She is moulding the pot.'

b. ?\anj-n\alpha

mould-NMLZ

'to mould, moulding'

c. màngà núuṭ-k-én-é <u>ʔànj-nà</u> <u>pál-dì</u>.

woman.PL show-DAT-PST-3SG>3 [mould-NMLZ.CS pot-PL]

'She showed the women how to mould pots.' (t.)

In conclusion, the phrases in (42b), (43b) and (44b) above are not possessor constructions: Structurally, 'head' is not a possessor of 'shaving' (42b), 'stomachs' is not a possessor of 'cutting' (43b), and 'body' is not a possessor of 'refusing' (44b). Rather, these phrases seem to be a kind of compound nouns: 'head-shaving', 'stomach-cutting', and 'body-refusing', which again points to noun incorporation. Accordingly, a sentence like (42a) above might structurally more appropriately be given the literal translation 'I head-shaved the child'.

# 5 Absence of root-final nasalization

Body-part nouns that are not externally possessed are normally used with possessive suffixes, which indicate the person and number of the possessor, cf. Section 3.2 above. The stems of such nouns generally differ from the corresponding externally possessed nouns.<sup>16</sup> This is seen in Table 5, which shows, as far as attested, the form of some externally possessed body-part nouns in the singular and plural, and the corresponding internally possessed nouns with the 3SG suffix

<sup>16</sup> I here take "stem" to denote what precedes the possessive suffix.

<b>Table 5:</b> Some forms of body-part nouns in Jumjum: Ex	externally possessed and internally
possessed by 3SG and 3PL possessive suffixes.	

Externally possessed		Internally posse	ssed by 3SG/3PL	
Singular	Plural	Singular, 3SG	Plural, 3PL	
dok	dʊʊg-ɪn	dóŋ-έ	dớʊg-g-ὲn	'neck'
jok	jók-ìn	jór-È	jók- <b>è</b> n	'body'
ji	giţ-i	յլ՛ր-è	gít -k-èn	'stomach'
łэk	រូបបg-ɪn	jón-È	<sub>j</sub> ΰʊg-g-ὲn	'chest'
ηΛС	ŋʌt̪-ɪn	ηλη-ὲ	ηλţ-k-ὲn	'back'
pok	pʊʊg-ɪn	ρόη-ὲ		'oral cavity'
tuk		<u>t</u> ùk-è	túg-g-èn	'lip'
waŋ		wáŋ-È	wáŋ-g-Èn	'eye'
wic		wíŋ-ὲ	wíţ-k-èn	'head'
	wá <u>t</u> -ìn	wʎŋ-ŋ-È	wśt-èn	'buttock'

- $\epsilon$  (or - $\epsilon$ ) in the singular and the 3PL suffix - $\epsilon$ n (or - $\epsilon$ n) in the plural. If the externally possessed monosyllabic singular noun ends in a stop, then the stem of the corresponding internally possessed noun typically ends in a homorganic nasal, as seen in, for instance, dok vs don- 'neck' and nac vs nap- 'back'. Moreover, the stem of an internally possessed plural noun mostly ends in a velar plural suffix -k or -q, while the corresponding externally possessed noun ends in the plural suffix -**in** (or -**in**), cf. Section 4.3 above. Nouns that exhibit the alternation between a stop and a nasal (or an /r/) in root-final position in the singular, typically have a root-final stop in the plural of not only externally possessed nouns but also internally possessed nouns, as seen in, for instance, sg. don- vs pl. duug- 'neck' and sg. jor- vs pl. jok- 'body'. This is evidence that the root-final stop of singular externally possessed nouns is more original than the root-final nasal.

It might be thought that the nasalization is an effect of the possessive suffix. But that is not the case. To the extent that body-part nouns can occur unpossessed as citation forms, they have the same root-final nasal as before the possessive suffixes, as in, for instance **dɔn** 'neck', **nʌn** 'back', and **wɪn** 'head'. Moreover, monosyllabic singular nouns that do not denote body parts have also typically undergone a historical process of nasalization of root-final stops and glides. This is seen in Table 6, which shows singular and plural forms of nouns in Jumjum and of cognate nouns in Kurmuk, a Northern Burun language. As can be observed in this table, singular nouns that do not end in a nasal in Kurmuk end in a homorganic nasal in Jumjum, while the plural forms in both languages

**Table 6:** Cognate nouns in Jumjum (Southern Burun) and Kurmuk (Northern Burun): Nasalization of root-final consonants in Jumjum monosyllabic singular nouns.

	Jumjum		Ku	rmuk	
	Singular	Plural	Singular	Plural	
/t/	ţìin	յíid-gá	jítt	jìɪḍ-ìɪn	'scorpion'
	<sub>f</sub> âan	ֈὲn-gλ	jáa <u>t</u>	j∧n	'tree'
/t/	?ân	?ź∧r-gλ	?ńt	?λλď-ìin	'house'
/r/	?îın	?íɪr-gʎ	?íɪr	?ír-ìţ	'thief'
/c/	mâaŋ	mác-kà	máa∫	mʎz-ìţ	'fire'
	lε̂εɲ	lìr-k	lέε∫	líɪz-iɪn	'elephant'
/k/	gôʊŋ	gờk-kớ	gΰσk	gúg-ìɲ	'dog'
	<sub>ີ</sub> ງວິງກ	յ <del>մ</del> ŋ-kớ	zóok	zớʊg-ìɪn	'god'
/j/	gàan	gáj-kà	gáaj	gλj-íţ	'hide'
	pâan	pán-kà	páaj	pʎj-ìṯ	'moon'
/w/	kùun	kúu-gù	kớυ	kớơw-ìɪn	'thorn'
	?ŝɔn	?ɔ̀ɔ-k	?5ე	nzí-wcć?	'man'

do not have a root-final nasal. So the root-final nasals of the singular nouns in Jumjum are an innovation.

As seen in Table 7, there are also monosyllabic singular nouns in Jumjum whose root-final nasal, which also occurs in the plural, corresponds to a nasal in both the singular and the plural of cognates in Kurmuk and where the nasal is therefore original. Hence, the root-final nasalization in Jumjum neutralized an original contrast between stops and nasals.

**Table 7:** Cognate nouns without alternation in the root-final consonant in Jumjum and Kurmuk.

	Jumjum		Kurmuk		
	Singular	Plural	Singular	Plural	
/m/	lờơm	lờm-kớ	lúum	lúm-bàn	'grass'
	?λ̄λm	?λm-ǵ∧	?À∧m	?́Am-ìn	'thigh'
/n/	jớn	jờơn-gờ	rún	rún-dàn	'year'
/ŋ/	, náŋ	náη-kλ	jáaŋ	jáaŋ-àk	'crocodile'
	tờơn	tớn-kờ	túun	túŋ-ìn	'horn'
/l/	kớl .	, kớl-kờ	kùl	kùl-àk	'wart-hog'
	wìil	wìl-gá	winl	wíl-ìn	'tail'

So externally possessed singular body-part nouns are different from other singular nouns in that they have not undergone root-final nasalization. The explanation for this seems to be their status as incorporated nouns. If that is the case, then the external possessor construction must have existed prior to the nasalization process.

The alternation between a root-final stop in the plural and a root-final homorganic nasal in the singular is also prevalent in Mabaan, another language of the Southern Burun subbranch of Western Nilotic. In Andersen (2006: 20–24) it was hypothesized that the root-final nasal of the singular in these languages reflects a former singular suffix which was what Greenberg (1978: 61-74) refers to a Stage III article, that is, originally a demonstrative (Stage 0), which first became a definite article (Stage I), then "an article which includes [...] both definite determination and non-definite specific uses" (Greenberg 1978: 62) (Stage II), and finally a mere sign of nominality (Stage III). The reason why the externally possessed body-part nouns did not get this suffix would seem to be their basically generic (non-referential) meaning as incorporated nouns.

A comparison of the plural forms of cognate nouns in Jumjum and Kurmuk suggests that the former nasal singular suffix in Jumjum has an extant plural counterpart, namely a suffix with a velar stop /k/ or /g/. As seen in Tables 6 and 7, Kurmuk has a variety of plural suffixes, including -in, -in, -it, -ak, -in, and **-CAn**. The corresponding plural forms in Jumjum all have a velar plural suffix -k, -kV or -qV, which would seem to have replaced the presumably older plural suffixes retained in Kurmuk, As seen in Table 5, the velar plural suffix does not occur in the plural form of externally possessed body-part nouns in Jumjum. The plural form instead has the plural suffix -**in** (with variants), which is also a plural suffix in Kurmuk. So both the singular and the plural of externally possessed body-part nouns in Jumjum did not get the number suffixes that many other nouns received.

# 6 Conclusion

As shown in this article, Jumjum has an external possessor construction such that body-part nouns may be possessed by the object of a transitive verb and the demoted patient of an antipassive verb. An object NP in Jumjum precedes the verb and is cross-referenced in the verb, whereas a patient demoted from object status to the status of an optional non-core argument by antipassive derivation in the verb has postverbal position and is not cross-referenced in the verb. An externally possessed body-part noun has no core grammatical relation to the verb, being neither a subject nor an object. It immediately follows the verb and thus occurs before other postverbal constituents such as a subject, a demoted patient or an adverbial. Moreover, in most cases, it has no lexical tone, but gets its tone from the verb by polar tone assignment. Unlike an internally possessed body-part noun, which cross-references its possessor by means of a suffix, an externally possessed body-part noun carries no such suffix, but like an internally possessed body-part noun, it is inflected for number. Unlike numerous other monosyllabic singular nouns in Jumjum, including the root of internally possessed body-part nouns, monosyllabic singular body-part nouns that are externally possessed have not undergone a historical change of root-final stops into homorganic nasals. Given these facts, externally possessed bodypart nouns may be analyzed as partly incorporated into the verb. Additional evidence for this morphosyntactic status is the fact that the same body-part nouns may immediately follow a nominalized verb with which they form a compound noun or at least a noun phrase. In such constituents the body-part nouns are clearly non-referential.

# **Abbreviations**

The following abbreviations are used in interlinear translations and elsewhere: 1DUIN = first person dual inclusive; 1PL = first person plural; 1PLEX = first person plural exclusive; 1PLIN = first person plural inclusive; 1SG = first person singular; 2PL = second person plural; 2SG = second person singular; 3 = third person; 3PL = third person plural; 3SG = third person singular; AP = antipassive; CAUS = causative; CF = centrifugal; COM = comitative; CP = centripetal; CS = construct state; DAT = dative; DEM1 = first person demonstrative; DEM2 = second person demonstrative; DEM3 = third person demonstrative; FOC = focus; FUT = future; IMPF = imperfective; LOC = locative; MULT = multiplicative; NMLZ = nominalizer; PL = plural; PREP = (multipurpose) preposition; PRO = proform; PROH = prohibitive; PST = past; REL = relative; SG = singular.

**Acknowledgements:** The Jumjum data on which this article is based were collected in Khartoum in July–August 2002, February–April 2004, July–August 2006, and October–November 2007. I wish to thank my Jumjum informants Juzuli Fadol Lago, Ramadan Makin Bashir and Yusif Juzuli for their assistance. I also wish to thank two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on an earlier version of this article.

# References

- Andersen, Torben. 2004. Jumjum phonology. Studies in African Linquistics 33(2). 133-162. Andersen, Torben. 2006. Layers of number inflection in Mabaan (Western Nilotic). Journal of African Languages and Linguistics 27(1). 1-27.
- Andersen, Torben. 2017. Clausal constituent order and cross-reference in Jumjum (Western Nilotic). In Raija Kramer & Roland Kießling (eds.), Mechthildian approaches to Afrikanistik: Advances in language based research on Africa. Festschrift für Mechthild Reh, 9-25. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.
- Andersen, Torben. 2018. The encoding of subjects and objects in Jumjum, a Nilotic OV language. Linaua 204, 78-116.
- Andersen, Torben. 2019. External possession of body-part nouns in Dinka. Linguistics 57(1).
- Baker, Mark. 1999. External possession in Mohawk: Body parts, incorporation, and argument structure. In Doris L. Payne & Immanuel Barshi (eds.), External possession (Typological Studies in Language 39), 293-323. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Chappell, Hilary & William McGregor. 1996. Prolegomena to a theory of inalienability. In Hilary Chappell & William McGregor (eds.), The grammar of inalienability: A typological perspective on body part terms and the part-whole relation (Empirical Approaches to Language Typology, 14), 3-30. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Greenberg, Joseph H. 1978. How does a language acquire gender markers? In Joseph H. Greenberg (ed.), Universals of human language. Volume 3: Word structure, 47-82. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 1999. External possession in a European areal perspective. In Doris L. Payne & Immanuel Barshi (eds.), External possession (Typological Studies in Language 39), 109-136. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Köhler, Oswin. 1955. Geschichte der Erforschung der nilotischen Sprachen. Afrika und Übersee. Beiheft 28.
- Mithun, Marianne. 1984. The evolution of noun incorporation. Language 60(4). 847-894.
- Payne, Doris L. 1997. The Maasai external possessor construction. In Joan Bybee, John Haiman & Sandra Thompson (eds.), Essays on language function and language type, 395-422. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Payne, Doris L. & Immanuel Barshi. 1999. External possession: What, where, how, and why. In Doris L. Payne & Immanuel Barshi (eds.), External possession (Typological Studies in Language 39), 3-29. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.