

Aalborg Universitet

Photoprotection against visible light

implications for clinical practice

Searle, Tamara; Ali, Faisal R.; Al-Niaimi, Firas

Published in:

Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1111/phpp.12632

Publication date: 2021

Document Version Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Searle, T., Ali, F. R., & Al-Niaimi, F. (2021). Photoprotection against visible light: implications for clinical practice. *Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine*, *37*(3), 198-199. https://doi.org/10.1111/phpp.12632

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from vbn.aau.dk on: December 06, 2025



Article type : Letter to the Editor

Title: Photoprotection against visible light: implications for clinical practice

Article type: Letter to editor

Tamara Searle¹; Faisal R. Ali^{2,3}; Firas Al-Niaimi^{4*}

Institutions: ¹University of Birmingham Medical School, Birmingham, UK

²Dermatological Surgery & Laser Unit, St John's Institute of Dermatology, Guy's and St

Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

³Vernova Healthcare CIC, Macclesfield, UK

⁴Department of Dermatology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark.

*Corresponding author: Dr Firas Al-Niaimi. Email: firas55@hotmail.com

Running title: Visible light sunblock

Word count (excluding title page and references): 458

Keywords: melasma, photoprotection, solar urticaria sunblock, sunscreen, UVA, UVB, visible

light,

Consent for publication: All authors have approved this final submitted version of the

manuscript and consent to its submission for consideration of publication

Funding sources: None

Conflicts of Interest: None declared.

Dear editor,

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/PHPP.12632

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

We read with interest the case report of a patient with solar urticaria exacerbated by visible light (VL) published by Wright and colleagues.¹ Dermatologists often highlight the importance of the use of sunblock with sun protection factor against ultraviolet A and B rays, yet many sunblocks fail to protect against VL. There is enough evidence at present to highlight the harmful effects of VL and its implication upon several photodermatoses including chronic actinic dermatitis, cutaneous porphyrias and solar urticaria, in addition to hyperpigmentary disorders.²

Inorganic filters (present in physical sunblocks) such as iron oxide can attenuate the effects of VL and must be visible on application ('tinted' to the user) to be efficaious.³ Iron oxide has been shown to be the most successful agent, protecting against both VL and UV rays.³ Tinted sunscreens containing iron oxide have shown to be more effective than those without iron oxide in reducing and scattering VL independent from the presence of inorganic filters such as titanium dioxide or zinc oxide.⁴ Iron oxide therefore can be inferred to play a key role in absorbing and neutralising VL. Sunblock containing iron oxide should be recommended in cases such as the one described as both a first-line of treatment and long-term prophylactic measure, as the solar urticaria in this case has been triggered by VL.

Evidence supporting the use of iron oxide containing sunblocks has been best illustrated in pigmentary disorders (such as melasma) to date.⁵ For example, in 68 patients with melasma the addition of iron oxide and zinc oxide (conferring additional VL protection as well as UV protection) to the sunblock (UV protection only), the group using UV- and VL-protective sunblock had greater improvements in measures of melasma severity compared to the UV-only protective sunblock alone. ⁶ This beneficial effect can be extrapolated to other dermatoses triggered and affected by VL such as solar urticaria.² Objectionable aspects of VL sunblocks should also be acknowledged including the fact that most sunblocks that block VL will appear coloured on the skin which may be as undesirable as the *pigmentary disorder itself.

Other photodermatoses that require VL protection include the cutaneous porphyrias where the implicated wavelength is in the blue/violet range and is close to UV. Dundee Reflectant Sunscreen (Tayside Pharmaceuticals) is a large particle size reflectant cream, effective at blocking VL, and is available in three colours which aim to colour match one's skin tone.

Greater awareness about the importance of photoprotection against VL is needed, most importantly for patients with pigmentary disorders and photodermatoses.

Dermatologists should now ensure that beyond standard recommendations of the need for sun avoidance behaviour, patients with photodermatoses and pigmentary disorders ⁶ that may be aggravated by VL should use sunblocks that protect from both UV radiation and VL as part of their photoprotective measures.

References

- (1) Wright E, Kurland E, Lim HW. Solar urticaria caused by visible light in a 33-year-old male refractory to treatment with omalizumab. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. 2020;36(4):316-317.
- (2) Lyons AB, Trullas C, Kohli I, *et al.* Photoprotection Beyond Ultraviolet Radiation: A Review of Tinted Sunscreens. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2020; (in press)
- (3) Kaye ET, Levin JA, Blank IH *et al.* Efficiency of opaque photoprotective agents in the visible light range. *Arch Dermatol.* 1991;127(3):351-5.
- (4) Osterwalder U, Sohn M, Herzog B. Global state of sunscreens. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. 2014;30(2-3):62-80.

- (5) Dumbuya H, Grimes PE, Lynch S, et al. Impact of Iron-Oxide Containing Formulations Against Visible Light-Induced Skin Pigmentation in Skin of Color Individuals. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2020;19(7):712-717.
- (6) Castanedo-Cazares JP, Hernandez-Blanco D, *et al*. Near-VL and UV photoprotection in the treatment of melasma: a double-blind randomized trial. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. 2014;30(1):35-42.