

Changes in central venous to arterial carbon dioxide gap (PCO₂ gap) in response to acute changes in ventilation

Shastri, Lisha; Kjærgaard, Benedict; Rees, Stephen Edward; Thomsen, Lars Pilegaard

Published in:
BMJ open respiratory research

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.1136/bmjresp-2021-000886](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjresp-2021-000886)

Creative Commons License
CC BY-NC 4.0

Publication date:
2021

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Shastri, L., Kjærgaard, B., Rees, S. E., & Thomsen, L. P. (2021). Changes in central venous to arterial carbon dioxide gap (PCO₂ gap) in response to acute changes in ventilation. *BMJ open respiratory research*, 8(1), Article e000886. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjresp-2021-000886>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Changes in central venous to arterial carbon dioxide gap (PCO₂ gap) in response to acute changes in ventilation

Lisha Shastri ,¹ Benedict Kjærgaard,² Stephen Edward Rees,¹ Lars Pilegaard Thomsen¹

To cite: Shastri L, Kjærgaard B, Rees SE, *et al.* Changes in central venous to arterial carbon dioxide gap (PCO₂ gap) in response to acute changes in ventilation. *BMJ Open Res* 2021;**8**:e000886. doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2021-000886

Received 29 January 2021
Revised 25 February 2021
Accepted 27 February 2021

ABSTRACT

Background Early diagnosis of shock is a predetermining factor for a good prognosis in intensive care. An elevated central venous to arterial PCO₂ difference (ΔPCO₂) over 0.8 kPa (6 mm Hg) is indicative of low blood flow states. Disturbances around the time of blood sampling could result in inaccurate calculations of ΔPCO₂, thereby misrepresenting the patient status. This study aimed to determine the influences of acute changes in ventilation on ΔPCO₂ and understand its clinical implications.

Methods To investigate the isolated effects of changes in ventilation on ΔPCO₂, eight pigs were studied in a prospective observational cohort. Arterial and central venous catheters were inserted following anaesthetisation. Baseline ventilator settings were titrated to achieve an EtCO₂ of 5±0.5 kPa (V_T = 8 mL/kg, Freq = 14 ± 2/min). Blood was sampled simultaneously from both catheters at baseline and 30, 60, 90, 120, 180 and 240 s after a change in ventilation. Pigs were subjected to both hyperventilation and hypoventilation, wherein the respiratory frequency was doubled or halved from baseline. ΔPCO₂ changes from baseline were analysed using repeated measures ANOVA with post-hoc analysis using Bonferroni's correction.

Results ΔPCO₂ at baseline for all pigs was 0.76±0.29 kPa (5.7±2.2 mm Hg). Following hyperventilation, there was a rapid increase in the ΔPCO₂, increasing maximally to 1.35±0.29 kPa (10.1±2.2 mm Hg). A corresponding decrease in the ΔPCO₂ was seen following hypoventilation, decreasing maximally to 0.23±0.31 kPa (1.7±2.3 mm Hg). These changes were statistically significant from baseline 30 s after the change in ventilation.

Conclusion Disturbances around the time of blood sampling can rapidly affect the PCO₂, leading to inaccurate calculations of the ΔPCO₂, resulting in misinterpretation of patient status. Care should be taken when interpreting blood gases, if there is doubt as to the presence of acute and transient changes in ventilation.

INTRODUCTION

For patients in the intensive care unit (ICU), measurements of blood gases are used for the assessment of acid–base and oxygenation status. Many of these patients suffer from sepsis, estimated to affect over 30 million people each year and contributing significantly to the number of hospital deaths.¹ One

Key messages

- ▶ Can acute changes in ventilation influence the PCO₂ (cv-a) gap?
- ▶ Acute increases or decreases in ventilation can alter the PCO₂ (cv-a) gap by as much as 50%, in comparison to the values before the change.
- ▶ This novel study examines the effect of simulated hyperventilation and hypoventilation on the PCO₂ (cv-a) gap, with rapid simultaneous arterial and central venous sampling (every 30 s).

of the main factors predetermining the prognosis of a patient with sepsis is the presence of septic shock.^{2–3} In the last decade, much research in this area has been focused on the early detection of shock.^{4–6} An elevated CO₂ gap, measured by the difference in central venous ('cv') and arterial ('a') PCO₂ (ΔPCO₂) has been used as an early indicator of shock. Furthermore, the ratio of ΔPCO₂ to the arterial-venous difference in oxygen content ΔPCO₂(cv-a)/ΔtO₂(a-cv) has been used to guide and assess the response of fluid resuscitation strategies.^{4–10}

Previous studies have illustrated that significant changes in ΔPCO₂ can be due to circulatory effects,^{4–6} focussing on how venous blood could be modified due to, for example, reduced tissue perfusion and the CO₂ stagnation phenomenon. However, there are other situations that could alter the blood gas parameters in an ICU setting, including spontaneous breathing and/or adjustment of ventilator settings.^{11–12} Disturbances around the time of blood sampling could result in inaccurate calculations of ΔPCO₂ and other related parameters. The isolated effects of a disturbance in ventilation on the CO₂ gap have however, not been investigated.

In this study, we hypothesise that acute changes in ventilation affects arterial blood faster than central venous blood and that this may result in clinically significant changes



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Respiratory and Critical Care (Rcare) Group, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

²Biomedical Research Laboratory, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, North Denmark Region, Denmark

Correspondence to

Dr Lisha Shastri;
lisha@hst.aau.dk

in the ΔPCO_2 . The aim of this study was, therefore, to determine and quantify the influences of acute changes in ventilation on the ΔPCO_2 , concluding on the clinical significance of these changes when interpreting values of ΔPCO_2 .

METHODS

This study was designed to investigate changes in ventilation on ΔPCO_2 without the concurrent effects of modification of this gap due to altered tissue perfusion, inclusive of microcirculatory functional shunting. As such, it was decided to study animals (pigs) without cardiovascular or respiratory disease, thus reflecting a more normal physiology. This study was conducted from June 2019 to April 2020 in the Biomedicine Laboratory at Aalborg University Hospital North, Aalborg, Denmark. Eight female Danish Landrace pigs were used for the study. The methods were in line with the Utstein recommendations for uniformity in animal studies.¹³

Protocol

All pigs were anaesthetised for the duration of the study. The anaesthesia was performed according to local protocols, with total intravenous anaesthesia for the duration of the study, and the presence of indwelling arterial and central venous catheters for blood sampling. The location of the catheters was checked by measurement of the respective blood pressures. Each pig was subjected to both *hyperventilation* and *hypoventilation*, with the order of the change in ventilation being randomised.

1. Blood sampling

Simultaneous blood sample pairs were taken by two trained individuals from the arterial and central venous catheters. Samples were taken at baseline, and at 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240s after the acute change in ventilation. Syringes were capped and air bubbles removed, immediately after sampling. A third person helped ensure synchronisation of the sampling and assisted with the capping of the syringes. All samples were analysed immediately after, in the order they were taken, arterial before venous, on the same ABL800 blood gas analyser (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark).

2. Ventilator settings

Mechanically ventilated patients are often on assist mode of ventilation, with spontaneous breathing.¹⁴ For these patients, a sudden increase or decrease in respiratory rate is not uncommon,¹⁵ the former if the patient becomes stressed and the latter if ventilator support levels are increased and respiratory drive

suppressed.¹⁶ This study was designed to reflect similar sudden changes in ventilation by varying respiratory frequency. Ventilator settings at baseline and for hyperventilation and hypoventilation are detailed in [table 1](#). Baseline ventilator settings were titrated to achieve a baseline end tidal CO_2 (EtCO_2) of 5 ± 0.5 kPa. The changes in ventilation corresponded to modifications of respiratory frequency to a high level (28 breaths/min), or a low level (7 breaths/min) which corresponded to an increase of 100% and a decrease of 50% in alveolar ventilation (a dead space of 150 mL was assumed for calculations). The first ventilatory change lasted for 4 min after which it was reverted to baseline for at least 30 min before the pig was subjected to a second change in ventilation. EtCO_2 and SpO_2 were measured throughout the study.

Patient and public involvement

It was not appropriate or possible to involve patients or the public in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research

Statistical analysis

Eight pigs were studied with each one being subjected to both hyperventilation and hypoventilation. The data from the two changes in ventilation are presented as a change from baseline for pH and PCO_2 . ΔPCO_2 was calculated using the difference between $\text{PCO}_{2\text{cv}}$ and $\text{PCO}_{2\text{a}}$. Normality of data was tested using Shapiro Wilk's test and data were found to be normally distributed. Statistical comparisons of the timed arterial blood samples were compared using a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a post-hoc analysis comparing the average at each time point to the average at baseline using Bonferroni's correction. Similar analyses were conducted for central venous blood and ΔPCO_2 following hyperventilation and hypoventilation changes. All results are presented as mean \pm SD, with $p<0.05$ considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was conducted on SPSS V.25 (SPSS IBM Corp.).

RESULTS

The eight pigs weighed an average of 34.0 ± 8.7 kg, and had mean values of pH and PCO_2 at baseline of 7.478 ± 0.050 and 5.34 ± 0.61 kPa (40.1 ± 4.6 mm Hg) for arterial blood, and 7.440 ± 0.048 and 6.10 ± 0.70 kPa (45.8 ± 5.3 mm Hg) for central venous blood, respectively.

Table 1 Ventilatory settings during baseline, hyperventilation and hypoventilation

Parameters	Baseline	Hyperventilation	Hypoventilation
Tidal volume (V_T)	8 mL/kg	8 mL/kg	8 mL/kg
Respiratory frequency	14 ± 2 breaths/min	28 ± 4 breaths/min	7 ± 1 breaths/min
Criteria for termination		$\text{EtCO}_2 < 1.5$ kPa	$\text{SpO}_2 < 88\%$ $\text{EtCO}_2 > 6.5$ kPa




Figure 1 Changes in arterial and central venous pH and PCO_2 (kPa) following an acute change in ventilation. Changes from baseline in arterial (red, 'a') and central venous (blue, 'cv') pH and PCO_2 (kPa) in response to acute hyperventilation (A, B) and hypoventilation (C, D). Presented as mean and SD (one sided error bars). $n=8$. *Statistically significant when compared with baseline using a repeated measures analysis of variance and a post-hoc analysis with Bonferroni's correction ($p<0.05$).

Responses to hyperventilation and hypoventilation

Changes in pH and PCO_2 from baseline at each sampling time are depicted in [figure 1](#) for both arterial and central venous blood. Following acute hyperventilation ([figure 1A,B](#)), values of arterial pH and PCO_2 changed faster than venous and were significantly different from baseline at 60s ($p<0.005$). The maximum arterial difference was observed at 120s with $\text{pH}=0.059$ and $\text{PCO}_2=-0.74$ kPa (5.5 mm Hg). There was no statistically




Figure 2 Response of ΔPCO_2 to acute changes in ventilation. Changes in ΔPCO_2 (kPa) in response to acute hyperventilation (black) and hypoventilation (grey). $n=8$. *Statistically significant when compared with baseline using a repeated measures analysis of variance and a post-hoc analysis with Bonferroni's correction ($p<0.05$).

significant response observed in the central venous blood over the 4 min.

Following acute hypoventilation ([figure 1C,D](#)), there was a similar response in the arterial blood as with hyperventilation, with a rapid and statistically significant difference in values of pH and PCO_2 seen 60s after the change in ventilation ($p<0.005$). Central venous blood was significantly different from baseline at 120s for PCO_2 ($p<0.05$), while there appeared to be a statistically significant response in pH at 240s ($p=0.035$). Oxygenation did not change for the duration of the study, where the pigs also had a stable and constant FiO_2 and SpO_2 .

Effects on ΔPCO_2

[Figure 2](#) illustrates the average changes in ΔPCO_2 following acute changes in ventilation. The average ΔPCO_2 at baseline was 0.76 ± 0.29 kPa (5.7 ± 2.2 mm Hg). Following acute hyperventilation, there was a rapid increase in the ΔPCO_2 , with a maximal change of 1.35 ± 0.29 kPa (10.1 ± 2.2 mm Hg). There was a corresponding decrease in the ΔPCO_2 following an acute hypoventilation, decreasing maximally to 0.23 ± 0.31 kPa (1.7 ± 2.3 mm Hg). Changes in ΔPCO_2 in response to both changes in ventilation achieved statistical significance 30s following an acute change in ventilation ($p<0.05$).

DISCUSSION

The insertion of a central venous and arterial catheter is common practice for patient management in the intensive care setting, be it for monitoring, fluid and drug administration or blood sampling. Circulatory status of the patient can be assessed by calculation of various parameters using central venous and arterial blood gases, commonly ΔPCO_2 .¹⁷ However, especially on assisted ventilation, acute changes in respiratory frequency and/or tidal volume can influence blood acid-base parameters. Previous studies have assessed the effects of circulatory changes on ΔPCO_2 .^{4,6} This study is the first to assess the isolated effects of changes in ventilation on ΔPCO_2 . The study has demonstrated that ΔPCO_2 responds rapidly to acute changes in ventilation, with these changes due to the influences of ventilation on arterial blood, which are observed without delay, in comparison to central venous blood.

This study shows that acute changes in ventilation can result in ΔPCO_2 changes of ± 0.6 kPa. Normal values of ΔPCO_2 have previously been shown to be 0.8 kPa, with patients considered to have insufficient perfusion of the tissues if ΔPCO_2 is above this value.¹⁸ Values of ΔPCO_2 have shown to be elevated to the range of 1.6 to 2 kPa (12–15 mm Hg) for patients with septic shock.¹⁹ The PCO_2 gap has been used in the intensive care departments as a surrogate to identify the onset of anaerobic metabolism, a measure of microcirculatory perfusion and to gauge fluid responsiveness during resuscitation for patients in shock.²⁰ A measurement of ΔPCO_2 concomitant with hypoventilation or hyperventilation

resulting in ΔPCO_2 changes of $\pm 0.6\text{ kPa}$ is therefore clinically significant, and may result in misclassification of patient state. A clinical example for this could be in the event of hyperventilation in response to metabolic acidosis secondary to tissue hypoxia²¹ in patients with intact respiratory drive, which could acutely affect the ΔPCO_2 , causing even higher values than the low flow state of tissue hypoxia itself, leading to misinterpretation of patient prognosis.³ The interpretation of this parameter becomes particularly tricky when narrow cut-off values of ΔPCO_2 or similar indices, for example, the $\Delta\text{PCO}_2/\Delta\text{tO}_2$ ratio, are used. The $\Delta\text{PCO}_2/\Delta\text{tO}_2$ ratio has been shown to be a good marker for global anaerobic metabolism and fluid responsiveness.^{8–10} A high $\Delta\text{PCO}_2/\Delta\text{tO}_2$ ratio, with cut-offs of ≥ 1.8 , ≥ 1.6 or $\geq 1.68\text{ mm Hg/mL}$ have been associated with a worse prognosis.^{8–10} Although the routine use of this ratio in critical care is controversial,²² the narrow difference in the cut-offs make it imperative to understand the various influences on blood gas parameters, to be applied during clinical interpretation.

In interpreting these results, it is important to understand the degree to which transient changes in ventilation are seen in these patients, and of what magnitude. Around 80% of sepsis patients admitted into an ICU require ventilatory support, primarily due to the development of acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome.²³ For these patients, an initial short period of deep sedation, muscle paralysis and full ventilator control, typically less than 48 hours, is usually followed by the onset of assisted ventilation to preserve respiratory muscle function.¹⁴ Spontaneous breathing with too little support or asynchrony often results in rapid shallow breathing with high respiratory frequency, similar to that applied in this study.¹⁵ In contrast, over assistance from the mechanical ventilator has been shown to suppress drive and reduce respiratory frequency, with over assistance associated with values of respiratory frequency lower than 12 breaths/min.¹⁶ It is therefore possible that the rapid changes in ΔPCO_2 of $\pm 0.6\text{ kPa}$ shown here are present in the usual treatment of critically ill patients.

Limitations

Due to the differences in measurement of oxygen saturation in this animal model, it was not possible to measure oxygenation and therefore calculate changes in $\Delta\text{PCO}_2/\Delta\text{tO}_2$. As inspired oxygenation levels were not changed in this study, and oxygenation is relatively insensitive to ventilation volume, it is likely that ΔtO_2 was constant, and that these results apply similarly to that ratio.

CONCLUSION

This study has shown that important clinical variation in ΔPCO_2 can be due to acute changes in ventilation, which may result in patient misclassification. Care should be taken when measuring ΔPCO_2 to ensure that ventilation

is stable, particularly in patients ventilated with assist modes of ventilation.

Contributors LS, SER and LPT conceptualised the study. LS, BK and LPT were involved in data collection and analysis. All authors contributed to the interpretation of results and writing the manuscript.

Funding The research group of LS, SER and LPT receive research money from OBI Medical A/S.

Competing interests SER was a previous shareholder of OBI Medical A/S.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Animal Experiments Inspectorate (no. 2018-0201-01392), and the animals were reused the same day for educational purposes and sacrificed.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. Data analysed in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Lisha Shastri <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7976-0429>

REFERENCES

- 1 Fleischmann C, Scherag A, Adhikari NKJ, *et al.* Assessment of global incidence and mortality of Hospital-treated sepsis. current estimates and limitations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016;193:259–72.
- 2 Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, *et al.* The third International consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016;315:801–10.
- 3 Ronfl   R, Lefebvre L, Duclos G, *et al.* Venous-to-Arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure difference: predictor of septic patient prognosis depending on central venous oxygen saturation. *Shock* 2020;53:710–6.
- 4 Mallat J, Vallet B. Difference in venous-arterial carbon dioxide in septic shock. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2015;81:419–25.
- 5 Mallat J, Pepy F, Lemyze M, *et al.* Central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure difference in early resuscitation from septic shock: a prospective observational study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2014;31:371–80.
- 6 Muller G, Mercier E, Vignon P, *et al.* Prognostic significance of central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide difference during the first 24 hours of septic shock in patients with and without impaired cardiac function. *Br J Anaesth* 2017;119:239–48.
- 7 Mekontso-Dessap A, Castelain V, Anguel N, *et al.* Combination of venoarterial PCO_2 difference with arteriovenous O_2 content difference to detect anaerobic metabolism in patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2002;28:272–7.
- 8 Monnet X, Julien F, Ait-Hamou N, *et al.* Lactate and venoarterial carbon dioxide difference/arterial-venous oxygen difference ratio, but not central venous oxygen saturation, predict increase in oxygen consumption in fluid responders. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:1412–20.
- 9 Saludes P, Proen  a L, Gruartmoner G, *et al.* Central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide difference and the effect of venous hyperoxia: a limiting factor, or an additional marker of severity in shock? *J Clin Monit Comput* 2017;31:1203–11.
- 10 Mallat J, Lemyze M, Meddour M, *et al.* Ratios of central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide content or tension to arteriovenous oxygen content are better markers of global anaerobic metabolism than lactate in septic shock patients. *Ann Intensive Care* 2016;6:1–9.
- 11 Taskar V, John J, Larsson A, *et al.* Dynamics of carbon dioxide elimination following ventilator resetting. *Chest* 1995;108:196–202.
- 12 Janssens JP, Howarth-Frey C, Chevrolet JC, *et al.* Transcutaneous PCO_2 to monitor noninvasive mechanical ventilation in adults: assessment of a new transcutaneous PCO_2 device. *Chest* 1998;113:768–73.

- 13 Idris AH, Becker LB, Ornato JP, *et al.* Utstein-style guidelines for uniform reporting of laboratory CPR research. *Circulation* 1996;94:2324–36.
- 14 Serpa Neto A, Schultz MJ, Festic E. Ventilatory support of patients with sepsis or septic shock in resource-limited settings. In: *Sepsis management in resource-limited settings*. Cham (CH: Springer International Publishing, 2019: 131–49.
- 15 Gilstrap D, MacIntyre N. Patient-Ventilator interactions. Implications for clinical management. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;188:1058–68.
- 16 Brochard L, Telias I. Bedside detection of Overassistance during pressure support ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 2018;46:488–90.
- 17 Vallée F, Vallet B, Mathe O, *et al.* Central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide difference: an additional target for goal-directed therapy in septic shock? *Intensive Care Med* 2008;34:2218–25.
- 18 Cecconi M, De Backer D, Antonelli M, *et al.* Consensus on circulatory shock and hemodynamic monitoring. Task force of the European Society of intensive care medicine. *Intensive Care Med* 2014;40:1795–815.
- 19 Zhou J, Song J, Gong S, *et al.* Persistent hyperlactatemia-high central venous-arterial carbon dioxide to arterial-venous oxygen content ratio is associated with poor outcomes in early resuscitation of septic shock. *Am J Emerg Med* 2017;35:1136–41.
- 20 Mallat J, Lemyze M, Tronchon L, *et al.* Use of venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide tension difference to guide resuscitation therapy in septic shock. *World J Crit Care Med* 2016;5:47–56.
- 21 Kraut JA, Madias NE. Metabolic acidosis: pathophysiology, diagnosis and management. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2010;6:274–85.
- 22 Dubin A, Pozo MO, Hurtado J. Central venous minus arterial carbon dioxide pressure to arterial minus central venous oxygen content ratio as an indicator of tissue oxygenation: a narrative review. *Rev Bras Ter Intensiva* 2020;32:115–22.
- 23 Schädler D, Elke G, Engel C, *et al.* Ventilatory strategies in septic patients. *Anaesthesist* 2013;62:27–33.