Aalborg Universitet



How we talk(ed) about it

Ways of speaking about computational architecture

Horvath, Anca-Simona

Published in: International Journal of Architectural Computing

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1177/14780771211070006

Creative Commons License Other

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Horvath, A.-S. (2022). How we talk (ed) about it: Ways of speaking about computational architecture. International Journal of Architectural Computing, 20(2), 150-175. https://doi.org/10.1177/14780771211070006

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

How We Talk(ed) About It: Ways of Speaking About Computational Architecture

Abstract

If we understand architecture as a three-part system formed by the building, its image, or drawings and images describing buildings, and the critical discourse around architecture, then the texts or ways of speaking about computational architecture play a key role in understanding the field and its development. By analysing a corpus of around 4.6 million words from texts written between 2005 and 2020 that form a part of critical discourse in the field, this paper aims to map ways of speaking about computational architecture. This contributes to architectural theory and might help gain a better understanding of the evolution of the field. Findings show that computational architecture is surrounded by a specific way of speaking, hybridised with words from fields such as biology, neuroscience, arts and humanities, and engineering. While some topics such as 'sustainability' or 'biology' come up consistently in the discourse, others, such as 'people' or 'human', have periods when they are more and less popular. The paper tracks and documents trends and illuminates patterns and concludes by presenting a map of periodic and recurring topics in ways of speaking about computation in architecture over the last 15 years, discusses them within a larger context and highlights open research questions.

Keywords: architectural design, computational architecture, digital construction, natural language processing, digital architecture.

As computation is retooling most fields (1), over the past 30 years, the avantgarde in architecture has been connected to the heavy use of technology. The digitalisation that architectural design has been going through has had an impact on the profession's conceptual agenda, design, and materialisation. Repurposing software tools built for other industries and using them for architecture has created star practices such as Gehry Partners with CATIA (2), and Zaha Hadid Architects with Maya (3). Moreover, some architects from the younger generation have started to code as they discover that there is a lack of tools for specific tasks, or that existing tools are inadequate or insufficient (4), (5), (6), (7), (8). As new tools are developed, so are new ways of thinking, writing, designing, and doing. The logic of tools feeds back into the mentality of the operators (9), (10) as design is always affected by the choice of tools (11), (12), (13), (14). All this has produced many terms referring to architectural projects that make extensive use of digital technologies such as: 'digital architecture', 'parametric architecture', 'computational architecture', 'algorithmic', 'generative architecture', and 'advanced architecture'. These terms are explained and discussed extensively in architectural texts.

Menges and Ahquist (15) define computational architecture as the explicit use of scripting and/or programming in the design and/or the fabrication phase. According to Leach (16), algorithmic architecture involves the use of programming languages and/or paradigms. One definition for parametric architecture is that it implies working through software interfaces that allow relational design: virtual objects contain interconnected features and changing one feature will change the others automatically (16). In this case, the designer produces objects as well as the relationships between objects. The debate around what parametric, computational, algorithmic, and digital architecture mean (17) is ongoing. As has been shown in (18), all these terms have been used ambiguously, inconsistently, and interchangeably.

In this article, computational architecture is used as an umbrella term to discuss architecture shaped by technological advancements, and this includes digital, parametric, algorithmic, and parametric architecture. The term 'computational' is used instead of digital or digitalisation because the focus is on early adopters of advanced technologies for architectural design and not on how software applications designed for architecture are being implemented across the discipline. Therefore, this study deals with the early phases of the digitalisation of architecture.

Hensel identified a series of problems that computational architecture faces: fragmented discourse, exhausted idiosyncrasy, redundant form-function dialectic, and shallow ecological and sustainability approaches. However, most importantly, contemporary discourse does not reflect on the larger context in which computational architecture exists (19). Similarly, Cash (20) makes a compelling case on the poor state of theory and meta-theory building in design research in general.

Forty (21) describes architecture as a three-part system formed by *the building*, *its image* (drawings and photographic representations), and its accompanying *critical discourse*. Modernist architecture was not only a new style of building, but also a new way of talking about architecture, 'instantly recognizable by a distinct vocabulary' (21). By studying how architects write, Medway explains how much of writing is done to

motivate action, stating that 'architects finish a sentence with a sketch' (22). Furthermore, according to Damron (23), sketches are illuminated by sentences, as writing is part of the doing. Language then becomes an integral part of architecture (24), (21), (22), (23). Therefore, mapping and investigating the vocabulary of computational architecture becomes important for understanding the practice in general, for building theory and meta-theory for architecture, and for reflecting on the larger context in which the field evolves.

This paper investigates how computation is changing architecture by studying writings about architecture and is guided by the following research questions:

RQ1: What is the language of computational architecture?

RQ2: Does this language change over time and in what ways?

This study aims to add to the body of work that investigates the digital turns in architecture (9), (25),. In order to answer these questions, a corpus linguistics representative for computational architecture was built. This corpus contains texts written over a 15-year period between 2005 and 2020 from two sources: the journal Architectural Design and the eVolo skyscraper competition.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: after related work is presented in Section 1, the tools, methods, and research framework for investigating the research questions are introduced in Section 2, and the findings are presented in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, the main topics found in the corpus and surrounding computational architecture are discussed, and a conceptual map of the topics surrounding computational architecture over time is presented.

1. Analysing Ways of Speaking in Architecture

The term ways of speaking is sometimes used to refer to the discourse a certain community creates (26), (27), (28). The ways of speaking of an academic community help to build discipline-specific knowledge and establish its cultural identity (29), (28). In *'Words and Buildings'*, (21) argues that the ways of speaking of modernist architects were integral in helping them frame their vision, while (30) goes so far as to say that modernist architecture was 'more basically, a body of documents defining modernism and interpreting those buildings'. In *'The Words Between the Spaces: Buildings and Language'*, (31) read the history of architecture through the development of architectural texts discussing the role of language in producing buildings. In (23),

Markus argues that 'the use of language should be investigated in design simply because language is involved at every stage'.

It is generally accepted that architecture has a specific vocabulary (32), (33), yet little work has been done on analysing ways of speaking in computational architecture. (18) study a corpus of texts trying to find unified definitions for parametric, generative, and algorithmic design. (34) and (35) both collect and analyse corpuses of texts about architecture in general and report findings related to the particularities of these texts: architecture has a specific vocabulary impregnated by topics which come from connected fields, the language is technical and often metaphorical, and new words are created 'with ease'.

2. Materials and Methods

To analyse the ways of speaking about computational architecture, a corpus linguistics in English, built to be representative of the subfield, was created. This corpus, ComPara, is different from previous work by (34) and (35) in two ways. First, ComPara looks at a specific area of architecture, namely computational architecture. Second, ComPara covers the period between 2005 and 2020. This section describes the design, collection, and analysis methods for ComPara. After selecting relevant sources, data was extracted and analysed quantitatively to extract the main topics and trends in the text. The data were then assessed qualitatively. The analysis of ComPara represents a corpus-based interpretative study.

2.1. Selection of relevant sources - criteria for corpus design

The first step in building a corpus is to select relevant sources. (34) uses three criteria in designing her corpus *- representativeness, accessibility* and *contemporariness*. Representative sources are those that are relevant in describing the professional discourse of architecture. Accessible sources are those that are available for professionals and those that can be found and placed in a digital database. Contemporariness refers to up-to-date sources.

Two sources that fit the three criteria were selected: the journal Architectural Design (AD), and winners and honourable mentions of the eVolo Skyscraper Competition. AD and eVolo were chosen because they both specifically deal with

technological innovations in relation to architecture. Established in 1930, AD is widely considered to be at the forefront of architectural thought. Over the last three decades, AD has also featured many articles about technology and architecture to such an extent that Mario Carpo states that 'Not all things related to computational design have been published in AD, but a large part of them have' (25). On the other hand, eVolo's *About* section describes the journal as 'focused on technological advances in architecture and design' (36). The eVolo Skyscraper Competition is arguably one of the most popular of its kind worldwide, with around 1200 yearly submissions from over 150 countries (37). This is why AD and eVolo are representative of computational architecture. The period between 2005 and 2020 was chosen because of the accessibility of digital texts from this time. For AD, only issues starting in 2005 are available digitally on the journal's page (38), while the first edition of the eVolo Skyscraper Competition was released in 2006.

2.2. Collecting the data and size of ComPara

The following inputs from the period between 01/2005 and 12/2020 were collected from AD for use in the corpus: (a) all issue titles, (b) the titles of all 1795 articles from each issue, (c) the text of these articles, and (d) keywords associated with the *Introduction* article. This forms a corpus of around 4.5 million words. The keywords were collected from the *Information* section next to the article on the journal's webpage. It seems that they are generated automatically using a language processing algorithm, but details of the algorithm are inaccessible to external users. Keywords represent 'words which are statistically characteristic of a text' (39).

Data from 2006 to 2020 were obtained from eVolo using the following bases: (a) titles of all winning and honourable mentioned projects and (b) the descriptions (abstracts) submitted by authors for these projects. This forms a corpus of around 100,000 words.

The current total size of ComPara is around 4.6 million words and forms a special purpose medium-sized corpus (34).

2.3. Tools for processing ComPara

The study presented here is corpus-driven (40), meaning there were no preassumptions or hypotheses before the analysis was conducted. The analysis of ComPara was done in two stages. First, the corpus was analysed quantitatively with the use of natural language processing (NLP) tools. Next, a qualitative analysis of the results that emerged from the quantitative analysis was carried out.

An array of NLP tools exists, with each tool implementing different algorithms derived from statistical techniques for topic modelling (41), (42), or (43). In this study, two browser-based text analysis applications were used, namely Voyant Tools (44) and Infranodus (45). These applications implemented well-known algorithms, such as the Latent Dirichlet Association (42), (41), as well as proprietary algorithms.

Voyant Tools includes a large collection of tools. The ones used here are *Summary, Trends, Phrases* and *Cirrus*. Using *Cirrus*, word clouds were created to display words that were dimensioned based on their frequency in a text (46), (47). Common connection words and punctuation are excluded. Word clouds are useful for seeing key terms in a text and have been successfully used as tools for the preliminary analysis of texts (48). However, in classical word clouds, all connections between words are lost.

Infranodus is an NLP tool that transforms pieces of text into contextual word clouds (49). Infranodus is based on a text network analysis algorithm, similar to the Latent Dirichlet Association (but described as better), that represents any text as a network and identifies the most influential words in a discourse based on terms' co-occurrence. An algorithm is applied to identify different topical clusters, which represent the main topics in the text as well as the relations between them (45). Thus, contextual word clouds represent the most common words in a text, the connections between the words, and topics, which are words that appear next to each other in text, but not with the other words.

2.4. Data analysis

The data in ComPara contains *titles, keywords*, and *prose text*. These different data types were analysed using two different approaches. Keywords are words without a context, and titles are only short sentences. As a result, contextual word clouds would either not be created or the connections between words would be too weak to produce meaningful results. The titles and keywords were transformed into word clouds to address this while the prose text was directly transformed into contextual word clouds.

Word clouds were created from titles and keywords from AD and titles from eVolo. These clouds were then printed out. After a period of becoming familiar with the data, the clouds were coded all together in initial subsets using an emerging coding approach (50). Then, these emergent codes went through a period of analysis, where Voyant tools' *Trends* and *Phrases* were used to query the data for different keywords that were traced back to their original contexts. This ensured that the meaning in context was understood correctly and helped in the production of the final list of codes. The generated list was used to code all word clouds. Afterwards, the codes were affinity diagrammed (51) until a final theme structure was created.

The prose text from AD articles and eVolo project descriptions was transformed into contextual word clouds and main topical groups, and the most influential elements were generated automatically using Infranodus NLP.

3. Findings: Architectural Design

Issue titles, article titles, article text, and the *Introduction* keywords from entries between 2005 and 2020 were retrieved from AD. The titles and keywords were transformed into word clouds and categorised under six main themes that emerged after affinity diagramming: (a) profession-specific terms, (b) places, (c) time periods and currents in art and architectural history, (d) technology, (e) sustainability, and (f) mathematics, physics, and biology. The texts in the articles were transformed into contextual word clouds, and the topical clusters and most influential elements from each issue are presented.

3.1. Data from AD issue titles (2005–2020)

Fig. 1 shows the word cloud made from the titles of the 96 AD issues. These are discussed below under the six main themes.

Profession-related terms include: 'architecture', 'design', 'space', 'cities', 'urbanism', 'urban', 'buildings', 'research', 'space', 'landscape', 'city', 'site/non-site', 'housing', 'megastructure', 'pavilion', 'territory', 'local', and 'hyperlocal'. 'Rural' and words related to it such as 'countryside' or 'pastoralism' are a lot less frequent compared to words related to 'urban'.

References to *places* in AD's issue titles include 'Europe' (2006), 'India' and 'Italy' (2007), 'China' (2008, 2018), 'Turkey' (2010), 'Latin America' (2011), 'Iran' (2012), 'London' (2012), 'UAE' and 'the Gulf' (2015), and 'Brazil' (2016).

Words related to *time periods* that stand out are 'contemporary', '21st century', '2050', 'now', '1970s', '1960s', 'age', and 'third age'. 'Future' is a lot more frequent in the titles than the term 'past'. Words related to current affairs in art or architectural history are 'radical post-modernism' (2011); 'new structuralism' (2010), which refers to a symbiosis between design, engineering and architectural technologies; 'parametricism 2.0'; 'surrealism' (2018); and 'avant-garde' (2019). By 2019, two new 'posts' had replaced the post-modern of 2012, namely 'post-digital' and 'post-Anthropocene'.



Fig. 1 – Word cloud of all words, scaled according to frequency, forming the 96 issue titles of AD (01/2005 - 12/2020). 550 total words and 293 unique words.

Words relating to *technology* were abundant in AD's issue titles, and they include the following terms: 'digital', 'computation', 'interactive', 'software', 'robots', 'open-source', 'machine', 'virtual', 'robots', 'algorithmic', 'programming', and '3D printed'.

Then, there are words related to *sustainability* such as 'sustainable', 'ecology', 'food', 'ecological', 'sustaining', 'scarcity', 'green', 'ailing planet', 'depleting', 'resources', 'environment(s)', 'post-traumatic', 'ecoredux', and 'resilient'. It is

interesting to note that the word 'sustainable' is less frequent than either 'digital' or 'computation' in AD issue titles.

References to the field of *mathematics* include 'mathematics' and '4D space'. References to *physics* include 'morphogenetic', 'morpho-physical', 'vicissitude', and 'flows', while references to *biology* include 'protocell' and 'neo-plasmatic'.

3.2. Data from AD article titles (2005–2020)

The titles of the 1795 AD articles are made up of 12,929 words with 4,146 unique words. The most frequent words in the titles are 'architecture' (199), 'design' (194), 'new' (97), 'urban' (90) and 'city' (86). The word clouds from the article titles are relatively similar to the word cloud made from the issue titles, as each issue called for articles fitting these themes. However, analysing the titles year by year reveals an interesting progression, which is also visible in the analysis of the texts of these articles. This will be discussed in succeeding subsections. Word clouds of article titles year by year are available at (52).

3.3.Keywords associated with the AD Introduction (2005-2020)

There are 13,835 keywords with 5,961 unique words associated with the *Introduction* of each of the 96 AD issues, and the most frequent keywords are 'architecture' (166), 'university' (85), 'architects' (75), 'design' (70) and 'new' (63). Fig. 2 illustrates the 500 most frequent keywords scaled according to their frequency and loosely grouped in the six thematic clusters.

Profession-related terms such as 'architecture', 'design', 'house', and 'building' lie at the centre. The most mentioned architectural functions are 'museum', 'pavilion' and 'residential project'. Other common functions are 'hotel', 'campus', 'office', 'airport', 'station', 'hospital', 'library', 'arena', 'hall', and 'square'.

Names of *places* sit at the lower right corner placed on a map that paints a polarised picture. The USA, Europe, China, Japan, and Australia are relatively well represented, while Latin America is only represented due to mentions of Mexico and Columbia. No African country, city, or place make the top 500 keywords. Zooming in and looking into the keywords year by year, we see that Africa appears twice in the keywords, once in 2015 and once in 2017. In comparison, China is mentioned 21 times, while London has 50 mentions, some in every year between 2006 and 2020. Europe is only represented by a few places or institutions. London and the Bartlett dominate the

representation, with mentions of the Architectural Association (AA) and its Design Research Laboratory (DRL), the RIBA, and the Serpentine. Next comes the Venice Biennale, followed by Paris, the Pompidou, and France. Then there are some mentions of German places and institutions: Berlin and Stuttgart with the Institute for Computational Design (ICD). Finally, Switzerland and Zurich, Vienna, the Netherlands and Barcelona-Spain are the least frequently mentioned places. Eastern Europe, Northern Europe, and Russia are not mentioned at all. Istanbul is mentioned a few times, while the Middle East is only represented through Abu Dhabi, Beirut and the Gulf. Mumbai is mentioned, although only a few times, followed by Singapore and Hong Kong, as well as China with Beijing, Shanghai, and Shenzhen. The rest of Asia is only mentioned through Japan. Even the word 'west' is more frequently mentioned and is consequently larger on the representation than the word 'east'.



Fig. 2 – Word cloud showing 500 most used keywords associated with the *Introduction* in the 96 issues of AD (01/2005 - 12/2020) scaled according to frequency and grouped based on thematic clusters. There are 13,835 keywords with 5,961 unique words.

Words related to *periods* in art and architecture are 'modernism' and 'postmodernism', 'future(s)', 'history', 'contemporary', and 'functionalism'. The term 'industrial revolution' is found in the keywords in 2009, 2015, and 2017–2020. The word 'gothic' is mentioned three times in the keywords (2013, 2016, 2018); in contrast, 'baroque' is only mentioned once, in 2011. The word 'new' is a lot more prevalent than the word 'old' throughout the years.

Color-coding the names of people (upper right corner in Fig. 2) shows a maledominated scene apart from some notable exceptions such as Zaha Hadid, Neri Oxman, and Jane Burry. Names of practices such as OMA or Arup are double coloured while 'BIG' and 'Happold' from 'Buro Happold' are left blue because the names of the practices are of male architects. Both place and name analysis pictures look a lot more diverse when zooming in to the keywords year by year. Looking at the names mentioned in AD's Introduction keywords year by year, philosophers include materialists such as Deleuze, Deleuze-Guattari, and DeLanda. 'Deleuze' is a keyword in 2006, 2009, 2012, and 2014, 'Guattari' in 2009, 2012, 2014, while 'body without organs' is mentioned in 2008. 'DeLanda' is a keyword in 2009, 2012, 2015 and 2016. These three are the most popular philosophers whose names are included in the corpus. Next come the words 'deconstructivist' (2007, 2009, 2014) and 'Derrida', which were mentioned in 2009. Third, and more recently, Tim Morton was mentioned in 2012, then Harman (2016, 2019) and Heidegger (2019). Other philosophers include Kant (2014, 2019), Foucault (2006, 2008, 2012), Lefebvre (2009, 2012, 2013), and Merleau-Ponty (2012, 2019). Edward Soja (2011–2012), Roland Barthes (2009, 2016), Žižek in 2010, McLuhan (2006, 2012) and Latour (2006, 2014) are also mentioned. Scientists mentioned include Wolfram (2016), Freud (2008, 2016), and Darwin (2009, 2012, 2019). John Ruskin (2009, 2019), Heinrich Wöflin (2016), Arthur Danto (2009), and Duchamp (2009, 2013, 2019) are some of the included art historians. Finally, among architects, Rem Koolhaas and Le Corbusier are the most popular. They were part of keywords in 11 out of the 16 years. Gregg Lynn is mentioned six times (2006, 2007, 2009, 2014, 2015, 2020), Bucky Fuller is mentioned six times (2006, 2008, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2015) as well, and Frei Otto is mentioned seven times (2006–2010, 2015–2016).

The upper left corner of Fig. 2 has grouped together terms which have to do with *technology* such as 'digital', 'technology', 'computational', 'media', 'network', and 'internet'. Software families ('BIM'), programming languages ('grasshopper'), and manufacturing technologies ('CNC' and 'robotic fabrication') were mentioned as well.

The interest in engineering is also seen in the frequency of 'Arup' as a keyword between 2010 and 2012. This keyword comes back in the periods of 2014–2015 and 2017–2018, albeit less frequently.

Words related to *sustainability* placed around the top centre of Fig. 2 include 'ecologies', 'green', 'environmental', and 'homeostasis'.

Finally, there are words related to *mathematics, physics, and biology*. 'Geometry' appeared six times in 2010 and 2011. There are few words that can be connected to physics, and they include 'air', 'energy', 'sky', and 'physics'. Words which can be connected to biology include 'bio', 'biological', 'growth', 'natural', 'organic', and 'life'.

3.5. Data from the text of AD articles (2005-2020)

The texts forming the 1795 AD articles are made up of 4,544,090 words and 92,963 unique words. The most frequent words are 'design' (19,892), 'architecture' (16,915), 'new' (16,701), 'building' (10,528) and 'city' (9,668). Fig. 3–7 shows the main topical clusters and most influential elements in each AD issue. These were retrieved from the contextual word clouds generated using the Infranodus NLP tool.

Most of the topics shown are *profession-specific* words, such as 'architecture', 'design', 'building' or 'city'. The words 'form' and 'system' (marked in italics in Fig. 3–7) often come up either in the main topical groups or as the most influential elements. References to *places* make up the main topical groups sometimes, and they corelate to the AD issue titles, article titles, and *Introduction* keywords. Sometimes the words 'local' and 'hyperlocal' are significant topics. '*Time*' comes up eight times in topical clusters, sometimes appearing close to the word 'space' (see Fig. 4: 2008-4, Fig. 5: 2013-5). 'Future' comes up three times.

Words that have to do with *technology* are coloured purple, and they are relatively evenly distributed throughout the years and include 'virtual', 'software', 'parametric', 'robotic', and 'BIM'. 'Artificial intelligence' comes up 145 times in the texts, and most mentions are in 2019–2020.

Words that could be connected to *sustainability* are coloured blue. These include 'environment', 'scarcity', 'resource', and 'sustainability'.

Words that can be associated with *biology* are coloured in dark blue and include 'protocell', 'biomimicry', and 'DNA'. In 2019, some references to neuroscience were made (see Fig. 7: 2019-5). Topics about *mathematics* include 'geometry' and

'mathematic', and these were concentrated in 2011. Words that can relate to *physics* include references to outer space exploration, such as 'Moon' and 'Mars', but also

'flow' and 'energy'.

2005-1 Main topical groups: 16%: space time environment 13%: system create form 12%: architect designed designer 11%: wall made floor Most influential elements space house technology design 2005-4 Main topical groups: 20%: building making drawing 14%: material construction site

14%: spike made test 13%: design process form Most influential elements: design architecture building drawing

2006-1

Main topical groups: 22%: project house space 16%: building system form 15%: architecture design urban 10%: city centre big Most influential elements: design architecture building urban

2006-4 Main topical groups: 24%: design form system 20%: architecture software code 17%: surface cell step 9%: project work lalvani Most influential elements: design structure set rule software

2007-1

Main topical groups:

19%: elegance elegant aesthetic 17%: form project surface 17%: architecture design process 14 %: building art landscape Most influential elements: elegance architecture design 2007-4 Main topical groups: 21%: design interactive architecture

15%: visitor museum building 14%: work people body 11%: floor square house Most influential elements: interactive art work architecture space public

Main topical groups: 21%: design architecture project 18%: city research university

2005-2

14%: architect housing social 12%: building build energy Most influential elements: urban architecture design space 2005-5

Main topical groups: 15%: design project urban 14%: city architect york 13%: house street view 13%: architecture art park Most influential elements: building city community

2006-2 Main topical groups: 21%: system material structure 16%: design process base 16%: surface space roof 13%: model digital component Most influential elements: system design material 2006-5 Main topical groups: 25%: design form practice 22%: information software technology 11%: network intelligence collective 8%: system model material Most influential elements: design system network form

2007-2 Main topical groups: 27%: landscape urban design 26%: city park area 13%: create garden space 7%: long high square Most influential elements: landscape city urban

2007-5 Main topical groups:

27%: building form space 21%: architecture architect rationalist 13%: work unger design 9%: project urban housing Most influential elements: architecture building rationalist

2005-3

Main topical groups: 16%: street market people 15%: restaurant shop small 13%: city garden farm 12%: urban local building Most influential elements: food space city street

2005-6

Main topical groups: 16%: building block development 14%: city model world 12%: space area public 12%: data time local Most influential elements: city building virtual area design

2006-3 Main topical groups: 23%: architecture architect country 15%: building space area 13%: house street private 11%: city centre riga Most influential elements: building architecture architect 2006-6 Main topical groups: 23%: building structure surface

21%: textile architecture design 14%: project architect city 11%: museum art DRD Most influential elements: textile design building structure

2007-3

Main topical groups: 27%: project space create 20%: architecture design work 14%: building office complete 11%: art university yale Most influential elements: architecture italian building art

2007-6 Main topical groups: 16%: architecture city work 14%: building house site 12%: space area designed 12%: architect mumbai studio Most influential elements: building architect space

Main topical groups:

24%: urban *form* development 16%: project centre large 14%: space public create 11%: city area build Most influential elements:

urban space city 2008-4

Main topical groups:

23%: space time form
23%: architecture design work
14%: wall construction meter
12%: model physical scale
Most influential elements:
design architecture space

2009-1

Main topical groups:

27%: design architect architectural
27%: architecture art building
7%: paul english chu
7%: brown venturi scott
Most influential elements:
architecture design architect art
2009-4

Main topical groups: 28%: urban city *form* 18%: design project architectural 13%: space spatial public 12%: architectural research urbanism

Most influential elements: design urban architecture

2010-1

Main topical groups: 21%: city building istambul 17%: project urban space 14%: architecture architect year 9%: part level floor

Most influential elements: city design istambul

2010-4

Main topical groups: 28%: design model architectural 14%: shell top isler 13%: material digital architecture 12%: form structure surface Most influential elements: design form architect material 2008-2

Main topical groups: 19%: material *system* structural 16%: environment morphology relationship 15%: design process performance 14%: structure *form* force Most influential elements: **material** *form* **surface**

2008-5 Main topical groups: 24%: city chinse planning

17%: china urban development17%: buidling design architecture7%: policy economic reformMost influential elements:city china urban

2009-2

Main topical groups: 20%: *form system* material 18%: building model BIM 16%: design construction project 15%: architecture create engineering Most influential elements: **design building model**

2009-5 Main topical groups: 27%: design space urban 16%: city future ballard 13%: world experiece make 11%: place large centre Most influential elements: city building urban design

2010-2

Main topical groups:

23%: form system spatial
16%: design architectural digital
13%: project space exuberant
9%: building level high
Most influential elements:
design architecture digital building form
2010-5
Main topical groups:
26%: space urban system
17%: city project beirut
17%: architecture studio rice

15%: site opposite east Most influential elements.

city urban space

2008-3

Main topical groups: 17%: interior space atmosphere 15%: room wall scale 15%: design architecture project 14%: house time designed Most influential elements:

space interior design air 2008-6 Main topical groups:

22%: design *system* structure 15%: body cell human 14%: architect water make 11%: project part tissue Most influential elements: **project living design cell**

2009-3

Main topical groups: 21%: architecture design energy 17%: air system light 13%: building environment interior 11%: wall organization spatial Most influential elements: architecture design air energy space

2009-6 Main topical groups:

29%: pattern design architecture 21%: *system* building structure 11%: city university york 7%: architect group MIT Most influential elements: **pattern design** *system* architect

2010-3

Main topical groups: 22%: architecture nature design 15%: urban space form 15%: city system material 10%: site context local Most influential elements: design architecture city urban

2010-6 Main topical groups: 18%: environment system world

18%: environment system world
16%: building design architecture
13%: material ecological future
9%: project space opposite
Most influential elements:
material building design ecological city
architecture

Main topical groups:

22%: type architecture form 19%: urban building architectural 19%: city development idea 8%: space public office Most influential elements:

city urban type

2011-4 Main topical groups:

17%: mathematic design geometry

14%: space *form* structure 11%: figure contemporary structural

11%: point line scale

Most influential elements: surface design line space figure

geometry mathematic point

2012-1 Main topical groups:

23%: development regneration urban 14%: area east line 11%: city centre stratford 11%: place building high Most influential elements: site city urban regeneration 2012-4

Main topical groups: 15%: building food local 14%: design urban project 13%: scarcity space resource 11%: architect term sustainability Most influential elements: design city urban system

2013-1

Main topical groups: 35%: architecture design innovation 9%: university life science 9%: project art space 8%: roche mark guest Most influential elements: architecture design innovation 2013-4 Main topical groups:

20%: city *system* form 17%: urban design process 14%: building space social 13%: network flow energy Most influential elements: city urban system flow 2011-2 Main topical groups:

21%: protocell architecture technology 20%: *system* living chemical 19%: material structure building 11%: environment soil hylozoic Most influential elements: protocell architecture material

2011-5 Main topical groups: 18%: building house facade 12%: project housing context 11%: art work street 11%: architectural *form* space Most influential elements: architecture post modern

2012-2

Main topical groups: 26%: *system* structure elment 18%: material *form* behaviour 17%: design process base 15%: research university ICD Most influential elements: material design *system*

2012-5 Main topical groups: 21%: city architecture project 16%: urban china condition 16%: house housing traditional 13%: building infrastructure space Most influential elements: city urban architecture form

2013-2 Main topical groups: 22%: building model geometry 20%: design project process 11%: digital modelling foster 9%: form energy user Most influential elements: design parametric computer model 2013-5 Main topical groups: 23%: drawing architectural image

16%: project space time 13%: architecture design university 13%: city building idea Most influential elements: drawing architectural architecture 2011-3 Main topical groups:

23%: urban project community
17%: city informal area
15%: public space building
10%: latin architecture architect
Most influential elements:
city urban public

2011-6 Main topical groups:

16%: building system energy
14%: design research project
12%: environmental simulation base
12%: group modelling biomimicry
Most influential elements:
design building research

2012-3

Main topical groups: 17%: building garden project 15%: architecture iran iranian 15%: isfahan house build 14%: material mirmiran complex Most influential elements: architecture iranian iran

2012-6 Main topical groups: 16%: place space identity 15%: architect ARUP studio 12%: architecture university award 12%: city urban form Most influential elements: architecture architect school work

2013-3

Main topical groups:

35%: city nature landscape
11%: digital painting site
10%: architecture design process
8%: image son titman
Most influential elements:
architecture city design nature image
2013-6
Main topical groups:
19%: architecture transgression time
17%: space urban public
12%: building site structure
11%: work project architect
Most influential elements:

architecture space urban city

Main topical groups: 17%: design building process 17%: space data build 16%: material *form* surface 13%: point scale model Most influential elements:

design material architecture technology

2014-4 Main topical groups:

19%: detail architecture design 17%: material system form 11%: DNA pattern dynamic 11%: project research cyborg Most influential elements: detail design architecture

2015-1

Main topical groups:

21%: building development urban
12%: museum national bahrain
10%: world tower center
9%: project qatar doha
Most influential elements:
city dubai gulf museum building UA
2015-4
Main topical groups:

17%: city future today
16%: world building social
14%: design human architect
13%: water project infrastructure
Most influential elements:
city future design world urban

2016-1

Main topical groups: 27%: time building architecture 21%: design project process 16%: landscape human condition 9%: space public year Most influential elements: time design building drawing 2016-4 Main topical groups:

21%: village town house
19%: rural urban design
17%: city social modern
15%: landscape territory industrial
Most influential elements:
rural urban city village

2014-2

Main topical groups: 17%: space living social 17%: housing building community 16%: design ageing project 13%: home resident people Most influential elements:

design space ageing architecture

2014-5 Main topical groups: 22%: design architectural *system* 17%: space building *form* 12%: architecture university centre 11%: spatial experience pattern Most influential elements: **space design spatial**

2015-2

Main topical groups: 32%: design local building 13%: space wall house 11%: work studio landscape 10%: architecture school oslo Most influential elements: architecture design local

2015-5 Main topical groups: 22%: structure structural fibre 20%: material *system form* 18%: design computational institute 12%: research pavillion group Most influential elements: design material research structure

2016-2 Main topical groups: 23%: design architectural process 20%: social form urban 18%: material structure system 13%: research project work Most influential elements: design architecture material 2016-5 Main topical groups: 21%: design digital technology

15%: architect designer information 13%: project game developed 12%: architectural practice work Most influential elements: design architectural digital patent 2014-3

Main topical groups: 17%: robot construction building 15%: fabrication architecture digital 15%: design research architectural 14%: robotic system potential Most influential elements:

fabrication design robotic architecture process construction ETH 2014-6

Main topical groups:

24%: space architecture station
22%: moon earth mars
11%: technology construction building
9%: laboratory image
Most influential elements:
space NASA architecture system

2015-3

Main topical groups: 18%: structure create building 17%: architecture design studio 15%: space project public 14%: architect build art Most influential elements: city architecture space design pop

2015-6 Main topical groups: 25%: design architecture urbanism 19%: urban *form* model 13%: project development housing 12%: city building scale Most influential elements: **design urban city mass**

2016-3

Main topical groups: 21%: city brazil building 17%: urban project design 13%: public area park 7%: cultural square office Most influential elements: city urban public brazilian

2016-6

Main topical groups: 21%: architecture mood digital 17%: object space *form* 15%: human time scale 14%: design architectural project Most influential elements: architecture mood architectural

Main topical groups:

17%: urban project design15%: local community shared

13%: social platform technology9%: hyperlocal data createMost influential elements:

city media urban social

2017-4 Main topical groups:

17%: city high urban 14%: space program create

11%: water level sky11%: system ade series

Most influential elements: water tower city system space high production produce

2018-1 Main topical groups:

14%: energy system site 14%: design simulation build

13%: building performance time13%: solar facade roofMost influential elements:

building energy design house

2018-4 Main topical groups: 23%: housing unit project 14%: design urban community 12%: public space create 11%: architect social work Most influential elements: housing public design urban

2019-1

Main topical groups: 23%: human machine space 17%: landscape architecture infrastructure 14%: technology car autonomous 10%: amazon data centre Most influential elements: human machine landscape 2019-4 Main topical groups:

18%: work architect superstudio
17%: project architectural drawing
13%: world space time
13%: architecture art chicago
Most influential elements:

architecture project avant garde work architectural superstudio 2017-2 Main topical groups:

19%: space patient care 13%: health environment wellbeing 12%: design architecture healthcare 12%: hospital building designed Most influential elements: design hospital health building

2017-5 Main topical groups: 26%: building space office 11%: carbon high future 11%: design system architect 9%: build housing house Most influential elements: building design space city

2018-2

Main topical groups: 27%: architectural drawing space 15%: surrealist project world 12%: image poetic point 9%: architecture surrealism breton Most influential elements: architecture architectural surrealist

2018-5 Main topical groups: 17%: project local community 15%: design urban process 15%: public work space 13%: practice architecture architectural Most influential elements: practice public architecture

2019-2 Main topical groups: 29%: discrete digital project 16%: design computational process 13%: architecture retsin gilles 11%: london architect university Most influential elements: discrete design digital architecture 2019-5 Main topical groups: 28%: beauty aesthetic building

19%: design architectural space
15%: art create brain
14%: architecture base urban
Most influential elements:
architecture beauty design art

2017-3

Main topical groups: 19%: design workflow process 15%: building information BIM 13%: architect work structural 12%: project space large

Most influential elements: design building project process

2017-6 Main topical groups:

16%: printed material create 14%: design printing digital 12%: architect designer shoe 12%: body architecture *form* Most influential elements: **design printed architecture**

2018-3

Main topical groups: 17%: building space work 15%: architecture social practice 15%: architect freedom project 14%: image charles son Most influential elements: architecture architect public

2018-6 Main topical groups: 26%: space structure traditional 17%: architecture architect chinese 17%: design architectural practice 15%: natural university lighting Most influential elements: design architecture chinese

2019-3

Main topical groups: 19%: research practice architectural 17%: design building project 13%: space office public 10%: architecture london school Most influential elements: research design practice

2019-6

Main topical groups: 28%: architect work identity 23%: architecture building world 9%: practice project architectural 7%: big photo peter Most influential elements: architect architecture design

2020-1 2020-2 2020-3 Main topical groups: Main topical groups: Main topical groups: 34%: landscape project design 27%: design project building 23%: design urban future 21%: architect practice firm 17%: data time base 17%: space social work 10%: drawing form base 9%: UNstudio vork citv 9%: place land people 7%: time institute capita Most influential elements: Most influential elements: landscape city design design technology practice design urban city 2020-4 2020-5 2020-6 Main topical groups: Main topical groups: Main topical groups: 17%: social impact economic 21%: material form space 17%: light visual system 15%: design project urban 20%: design building digital 13%: architecture architect create 12%: architecture architectural practice 12%: building community tool 11%: impact city cultural Most influential elements: Most influential elements: design social city design digital architecture space

16%: digital work physical 11%: city technology building Most influential elements: 16%: human brain understanding 15%: experience space time 12%: environment design research Most influential elements: human brain architecture experience

Fig. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 – texts making up the 1795 AD articles between 2005-2020. Main topical groups and most influential elements as analysed using the Infranodus NLP. The topics are presented for each AD issue, year by year. The texts total 4,544,090 words and 92,963 unique words.

Lastly, words which can be associated with *human* are coloured in orange and they include 'social', 'community', 'human', and 'people'. It is interesting that not a single word that could be connected to humans was part of the most used topics between 2009 and 2013. However, they have been frequently used in the last three to four years (see 2016 through 2020 in Fig. 5-7). The word 'human' itself appears a total of 4006 times in the texts of the AD articles, but it is used significantly more often in 2014, 2019, and 2020.

4. Findings: eVolo Skyscraper Competition (2006–2020)

There are 42 winning projects and 307 honourable mentions in the eVolo Skyscraper competition between 2006 and 2020, which in total form 349 projects. Some of these projects have been described and categorised in detail over the years in (53), (54) and (37). Below, the topics forming the titles of eVolo projects are presented under the same main themes used for AD. The abstracts describing the projects were transformed into contextual word clouds. The main topical clusters and the most influential elements from these contextual word clouds are presented year by year.

4.1. Data from the titles of eVolo winning projects and honourable mentions (2006–2020)

Fig. 9 shows the words forming all titles of the winning projects and honourable mentions of the eVolo skyscraper competition between 2006 and 2020.

Terms connected to *architecture*, and more specifically to high rises, such as 'vertical', 'tower', 'skyscraper', 'city', 'urban', and 'structure' stand out at first glance. Architectural functions that have high frequencies are 'airport', 'bridge', and strangely, 'pyramid'.



Fig. 9 – Word cloud of all words, dimensioned according to frequency, in titles of winning projects and honourable mentions for the eVolo skyscraper competition (2006–2020). 1,483 total words, 753 unique words.

The names of *places* of high density, such as New York, Hong Kong, Paris, London, India, and Shanghai, have high frequencies. But 'Babel' is used as often as

these real places and appears as part of titles six times in total (twice in 2012 and 2014, and once in both 2016 and 2017). Babel is connected to a skyscraper under perpetual construction (The New Tower of Babel (55)), a home built at almost any height with the help of aerostatic construction (House of Babel (56)), an ecological structure designed as a scientific facility and tourist attraction for the desert (Sand Babel (57)), a massive collage of cultural symbols (Taiwan Babel Tower (58)), and a memorial for workers in the building industry (The Scaffold of Babel (59)). A series of projects look at outer space as a place to build human habitats. The word 'Mars' appears relatively frequently in the titles: twice in 2013 and once in 2017. 'Moon' is also part of titles with the Moonscraper in 2011 (60), while 'stratosphere' is mentioned in 2013 (61). Generally, these projects describe concepts of terraforming that would save humanity in the face of overpopulation, depleting resources, and the negative effects of climate change.

On the other hand, maps of geographies that produced successful eVolo submissions can be found in (52) but also under (53), (54), and (37). For the winning submissions, the 42 projects came from 16 countries. When looking at the countries of both winning projects and honourable mentions, 48 countries are represented, but the distribution is uneven. The United States is clearly dominating (88 projects), with China (51 project) second, the United Kingdom (41 projects) third, France (26 projects) fourth, South Korea fifth (19 projects), and Poland (11 projects) and Russia (11 projects) sixth. Africa is almost off the map, with only two honourable mentions from Egypt, while South America is only represented by Chile (3 projects), Peru (one project), and Venezuela (one project).

The most referenced *period* in eVolo's titles is the 21st century. The term "future" also appeared frequently and was used to refer to the year 2016 (for an entry in 2010) and to more distant ones such as 2100 or 3015. The only reference to the past that comes up in eVolo titles is 'the 70s'.

When it comes to *names*, the prevalence of 'Babel' is complemented by other Christian religious references such as 'Noah' and 'Moses' (in the context of depicting apocalyptic scenarios). An honourable mention from 2011 called Rhizome Tower: A Thousand Underground Plateaus (62) makes the influence of both Deleuze and Guattari explicitly present in the titles of eVolo projects.

References to *technology* include words such as 'machine', 'algorithmic' and 'parametric', '3d printed', 'drone', and 'data'.

While direct technology references are not as common in the eVolo titles as they are in AD, there are more words that can be connected with *sustainability* in eVolo. For example, the terms 'ecology', 'climate', 'sustainable', 'living', 'earth', 'clean', 'pollution', and 'recycling' frequently appear in eVolo titles.

There are no direct references to *mathematics* in the project titles. However, references to *biology* are ample and include 'geno-tower', 'bioclimatic', 'peristal living', 'cell', 'geno-matrix', 'bio-city', 'bionomic', 'bio-pyramid', 'bio-habitat', and 'biomorph'. *Physics* is also referenced, although less often than biology. For example, the word 'quantum' is part of titles with 'Quantum City' in 2007 (63) and 'Quantum Skyscraper' in 2013 (64).

4.5. Data from eVolo abstracts of winning projects and honourable mentions (2006–2020)

The abstracts of winning projects and honourable mentions in the eVolo skyscraper competition between 2006 and 2020 have 96,016 words and 9,988 unique words. The most frequently used words are 'city' (482 mentions), 'building' (371 mentions), 'new' (360 mentions), 'water' (322 mentions) and 'structure' (298 mentions). Fig. 10 presents the most influential topics and elements in the eVolo abstracts year by year between 2006 and 2020.

Among the most common topics in the abstracts are *profession-specific* words, such as 'tower', 'building', 'space', and 'structure'.

There are no *names* or *periods* that come up in the main topical groups or most influential elements, and the only topic that can be connected to *technology* is 'drone' (Fig. 10: 2016).

It is interesting to note that the word 'water' is among the words that are part of both the most influential topics and the most influential elements in the abstracts, and this has a rather uniform distribution throughout the years (see 2008–2010, 2012–2014, 2018–2020 in Fig. 10). 'Water' is used in connection to *sustainability* and framed as a problem that needs to be addressed through architectural projects for a sustainable future. Less frequently, 'carbon' and 'air' appear among the most influential words in the abstracts (Fig. 10: 2014, 2020).

Words that can be connected to '*human*' (in orange) have a growth in frequency from 2008 onwards. This can be seen both by looking at the relative frequency of 'human' in the abstracts, but also by looking at the most influential topics in the

abstracts (see Fig. 10: 2018–2020). In the years 2006 through 2015, the most influential topics in the abstracts were 'structure', 'building', 'skyscraper', 'space' or 'project'. 'Structure' is very often among the most influential words in the abstracts (see 2006 to 2008, 2013, 2015 in Fig. 10). From 2016 onwards, 'people' is used more often (see 2016–2018, 2020 in Fig. 10), although a trend towards this was already indicated when 'resident' was included in the most influential topics in 2012. This is a similar trend to what we saw in AD article texts, and it shows that the topics surrounding computational architecture change over time and that there is a transition of interests from building (as a noun and as a verb) to the act of habitation, people, and humans.

2006

Main topical groups:

22%: building space area 20%: tower spatial single 17%: body proposal program 15%: skyscraper city structure Most influential elements: skyscraper building space structure

2009

Main topical groups: 17%: city high urban 14%: space program create 11%: water level sky 11%: system ade series Most influential elements: water tower city system space high production produce

2012

Main topical groups: 14%: city locate resident 14%: structure floor part 13%: skyscraper project build 13%: water sea system Most influential elements: city tower skyscraper water building

2015

Main topical groups: 17%: space land area 14%: city construction *system* 14%: building skyscraper living 11%: structure vertical spatial Most influential elements: city structure space building land

2018

Main topical groups: 17%: building people space 16%: water system infrastructure 15%: city area world 14%: structure energy power Most influential elements: city building water people

2007

Main topical groups: 19%: urban skyscraper form 13%: tower *system* energy 12%: structure vertical element 12%: water skin air Most influential elements: **building city space structure urban**

2010 Main topical groups: 23%: city tower world 19%: space level housing 13%: skyscraper project create 10%: water provide year Most influential elements: city skyscraper building project

2013 Main topical groups: 21%: city water energy 16%: earth top surface 16%: structure building *system* 13%: skyscraper project life Most influential elements: city water energy structure

2016 Main topical groups: 26%: city people project 17%: building skyscraper human 17%: module large drone 15%: space create vertical Most influential elements: space city building

2019

Main topical groups: 19%: city time living 17%: building skyscraper year 15%: space area create 14%: structure project *system* Most influential elements: city space building

2008

Main topical groups:

18%: tower water main
18%: building space system
15%: city urban area
12%: skyscraper layer exist
Most influential elements:
urban vertical tower structure

2011 Main topical groups: 16%: structure main site 14%: city skyscraper world 12%: specific plant layer 12%: area recreational housing Most influential elements: city area skyscraper project

2014

Main topical groups: 17%: structure space create 15%: tower water result 15%: city urban project 14%: capture carbon method Most influential elements: city tower capture carbon

2017

Main topical groups: 26%: city population world 17%: space urban skyscraper 17%: waste natural structure 15%: factory bring life Most influential elements: city building factory

2020

Main topical groups: 24%: skyscraper tower structure 23%: energy air water 20%: building space time 15%: people living world Most influential elements: building residential energy people

Fig. 10 - eVolo abstracts of winning projects and honourable mentions 2006-2020. Main topical groups and most influential elements as analysed using the Infranodus NLP. There are 96,016 total words and 9,988 unique words. The topics are presented year by year.

5. Discussion

Computational architecture makes use of a specific vocabulary that allows for the refinement of ideas and the cultivation of culture around the field. This section discusses the topics that consistently appear in ways of talking about computational architecture and the topics that come in a periodic fashion.

5.1. Recurring topics in ways of speaking about computational architecture

Computational architecture is more interested in the future rather than the past, in the new rather than the historical, and in the urban rather than the rural—all this broadly follows the field of technology. In architectural theory, the 'rejection of history' has been well debated, at least since modernism. The discourse is dominated by the West, although projects from China have won mentions in eVolo in recent years (see Section 4.2.). The avant-garde of the 70s is mentioned across the corpus, and there are references to the Moon, Mars, and space exploration.

In general, the discourse is developed and hybridised with topics coming from the natural sciences, specifically biology and physics. Topics from mathematics are also prevalent in, but the contribution of explicit mathematical topics was concentrated in the period between 2010 and 2012. Importantly, the topic of sustainability comes up often and consistently.

Strangely, eVolo contains words related to biblical characters such as Noah and Moses, and biblical places such as Babel—which comes up in titles with a surprising frequency. Almost every year, a number of eVolo Skyscraper Competition winners or honourable mentions have the word 'Babel' in their titles. It might be interesting to investigate the origin of projects which make these biblical references.

The words 'form', 'space', and 'system' often appear as main topics in ComPara. While 'space' and 'form' are traditional concerns in architectural theory, the word 'system' might be newer in architectural discourse, and understanding how and where it is used could uncover interesting patterns.

5.1.1. Sustainability

In general, sustainability is described as a problem to which architecture (many times enhanced by technology) is seen as a solution. Investigating how sustainability is understood in the field of computational architecture over time is a possible direction for future research. The following are potential research questions that can be investigated: What does it mean to be sustainable? Can sustainability be achieved? How will we know when we have achieved it? Can sustainability be described without reaching tensions about diverging interests?

Sustainability comes up as a topic more often in the eVolo corpus, where most projects state problems related to the environment and climate change that the project can solve. Generally, the projects start with stating a problem that is dramatic and large, and continue with suggesting highly technological, built (conceptual) solutions that can solve the problem. The problems mostly deal with high population density and its associated issues of over-population and pollution of the sea, earth, and sky. Stressed infrastructures, desertification, the depletion of natural resources, potential nuclear disasters, or the melting of polar caps are frequently mentioned. This results in a series of words hinting at rather pessimistic realities and futures such as 'cemetery', 'landfill', 'Chernobyl', 'garbage', 'plastic waste', and 'pollution' (see Fig. 10). However, these futures are saved by the solutions suggested through the projects. But starting in 2015, the word 'problem' becomes more frequent than the word 'solution' in the abstracts. This might show a transition towards a different understanding of sustainability as a more complex or wicked problem (65). To exemplify the problem-solution dynamic, Noah's Ark: Sustainable City (an honourable mention from 2012) is a floating city that could support all living species once they have been evicted from land 'by natural disasters, warfare, whatever disasters the end days may bring' (66). Oceanscraper (67), is a large underwater architectural structure that 'does not have to abide by the laws of gravity' and would use decommissioned Russian submarines lying on the sea bed as nuclear power sources. Moses: A Decentralized Floating Network of Skyscraper Cities (68) and The Promised Land Waterscraper (69), are solutions to rising sea levels. The metaphor of the ark appears a couple of times in the projects as a solution to apocalyptic futures. All of these conceptual projects clearly articulate a real-world problem, usually related to sustainability, and then continue to offer solutions to that problem. The solution does not have to be feasible, or even realistic, in any way, but the problem needs to be real and of monumental proportion. This corresponds to design's inbuilt optimism in general (70), and also follows hopeful views that technology will solve most problems. While investigating sustainability understandings in the winning projects and honourable mentions of the eVolo skyscraper competition would be a research paper on its own, it can be said that sustainability is understood as a limitation

in these conceptual projects, and as a problem, or something to resolve. It is important to note here that the calls of the competition frame the projects responses and that the calls change slightly year by year, although the core focus remains on high rise architecture, technology and sustainability.

AD and eVolo reference sustainability and technology differently: while AD is filled with topics that have to do with technology and with fewer references to sustainability, the opposite is true for eVolo, where sustainability is a recurring topic throughout the years, and technology is less often referenced directly.

5.1.2. Biology

Throughout the years, biology and topics which can be associated to it frequently appear in both AD and eVolo. This simply puts quantitative data behind Phillip Steadman's (71) statement that 'as a matter of historical fact, biology, of all sciences, has been that to which architectural and design theory have most frequently turned to.' Recently, other studies have looked at the relationship between biology and architecture, and similar points were made by (72), (71), (73), (74), (75). Biology comes up as a topic strongly connected to computational architecture. Tracing the depth and scope of biology's influence as a model, as a metaphor, as an analogy, as a source for novel building materials, and as a field to entangle to computational architecture into a new paradigm, as suggested by (76) can be subject for fruitful future research. Looking specifically at the relationship between sustainability, biology, and computation in contemporary architecture can also make for interesting investigations. Technological advancements help to integrate biology and architecture and revisit the idea of growing living buildings.

Based on the topics that come up constantly surrounding computational architecture, namely technology, sustainability, and biology (and to a lesser extent mathematics and physics), it can be argued that the field is currently shaped according to the following model:

(Mathematics + Physics + **Biology**) * Technology / Sustainability

Technology helps to explore and enhance old (but yet unexplored) or new ideas from mathematics (as argued for example in (77)), biology, physics (as discussed for example in (78)) in architecture, while sustainability comes as a constraint or limitation, sometimes to avoid creating purely technological explorations.

5.2. Waves of influence

While the topics described above appear with a rather even distribution, there are topics that are more popular in certain periods. In (79) Heinrich Wölfflin read the history of art in waves, explaining that art takes turns between being fascinated with the static aspect of life (the classical) to focusing on the dynamic aspect of life (the baroque) and returns in an upward spiral. Looking at the topics that come up in ways of speaking about computational architecture, similar waves of influence might be visible. Fig. 11 shows a map of topics that come up when speaking about computational architecture. On the upper part are the topics that come up in waves, and at the bottom are topics that come up constantly in the corpus.



Fig. 11 – Topics that come up consistently and in waves in ways of speaking about computational architecture

5.2.1. Computational architecture between engineering and art

In the entire corpus, art comes up as a topic more often than engineering in general. However, there is a period roughly between 2007 and 2012 when there are more references to engineering and words connected to it (such as ARUP). This also corresponds to the two traditions of architecture-as-technology and architecture-as-art established after the Enlightenment (31). In the words of Nigel Cross, designerly ways of knowing do not fall neither in the humanities nor within the sciences (80).

5.2.2. The Deleuze connection might be fading

ComPara shows numerous references to philosophers Deleuze, Deleuze-Guattari and DeLanda, who was the philosopher whose declared role was to explain Deleuze to architects (81), and who has done so by teaching in many of the avant-garde architectural programmes around the world. All three names appear in the keywords associated with the *Introduction* article in AD. A title of one eVolo project from 2011: 'Rhizome Tower: A Thousand Underground Plateaus' (82), makes a direct reference to Deleuze and Guattari. These correspond to the so-called Deleuze connection to architecture (83), (84), (85), (86), (87). Since 2016, neither 'Deleuze' nor 'DeLanda' have appeared in the AD *Introduction* keywords. 'Deleuze' still appears in the texts of AD articles, but with less frequency. On the other hand, object-oriented ontology (OOO) has been gaining popularity: 'Harman' and 'Morton', together with 'Merleau-Ponty' and 'Heidegger', were part the keywords six times since 2012. The word 'perception' is also much more frequent in 2020 than in previous years in AD article texts.

5.2.3. From object to subject

Perhaps the most interesting trend in the corpus is a transition in interests from object to subject. The frequency of the word 'perception' might be connected to the progression of trends throughout the years. In the period between 2008 and 2012, the main topics revolved around structures, engineering, and building (both as a noun and as a verb). In 2012, both AD and eVolo began including topics that involved humans. In 2012, the word 'human' appeared for the first time in the issue titles of AD, while the word 'resident' is an influential topic in the eVolo abstracts. Since then, topics related to humans and people have been used more frequently (see Fig. 6, 7, 8, 10) and they are discussed in the following ways:

1. Architecture in relationship to the social ('people', 'community', social') The social is a traditional concern in architectural theory in general. The literature on design for sustainability shows that design is moving from product-level approaches to a social-technical system focus (88). Reappraising the *social* might also be connected to the frequency of the word *system* over the years in the corpus. For computational architecture, this has interesting implications, as computational architecture has long been dominated by: interest in the objects that can be created by means of computation, the design processes that computation can facilitate, and the development of novel materials and new tools, rather than the social.

2. Architecture in relation to perception ('human') Perception has also been a topic connected to architecture and its theory (89), although less frequently or directly than the social. Again, this trend echoes what is happening in other design fields. For example, in interaction design, rooted in Dewey's *Art as Experience* (90), the interest has similarly moved from investigating objects to focusing on and studying experiences (91)

 Human creativity and artificial intelligence ('neuro', 'brain', 'AI', 'machine learning').

Here, the discussions run between the future role of the architect, digital authorship, and toolmaking. Some question whether AI will render the role of the architect obsolete (92), while others state that it will simply become a prosthesis, helping architecture evolve and allowing architects to generate more and better solutions (88). In this way, AI would simply be a continuation of CAAD tools. Recently, much work has been dedicated to using computation to partly automate the generation of architectural solutions (94), (95), (96) while others have tried to articulate the relationship between neuroscience, artificial intelligence, and architecture (97), (98).

In the last few years, the ways of speaking about computational architecture have shown more topics that have to do with subjects rather than objects. It can be said that computational architecture is surrounded by a new subjectivity which has at its core 'people', those for whom architecture is and how they perceive space, but also the future role and relevance of the architect herself.

References

- David B, Dieter M. Postdigital Aesthetics. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK; 2015. 1–3.
- Dassault Systems. Catia [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Nov 2]. Available from: https://www.3ds.com/products-services/catia/
- Autodesk. Maya [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Nov 2]. Available from: https://www.autodesk.com/products/maya/overview?support=ADVANCED&plc =MAYA&term=1-YEAR&quantity=1
- 4. Wortmann T, Tunçer B. Differentiating parametric design: Digital workflows in contemporary architecture and construction. Design Studies. 2017 Sep;52.
- Castelo-Branco R, Brás C, Leitão AM. Inside the Matrix: Immersive Live Coding for Architectural Design. International Journal of Architectural Computing. 2021 Jun 28;19(2).
- Horvath A-S. Assessing Site-Geometry for Architectural Design Using Graph Theory. In: Chiorean C, editor. Proceedings of the Second International Conference for PhD students in Civil Engineering and Architecture: Building the Community of Young Researchers. Cluj-Napoca: U.T. Press; 2014. p. 611–9.
- Horvath A-S, Becus R. Cluj Geoweb. In: Proceedings of the 1st International Edition of Cadet Inova for Young Inventors [Internet]. 2015. Available from: https://vbn.aau.dk/en/publications/6a9cae48-e009-4341-9741-e27283236c02
- Bjørn P, Wulff M, Petræus MS, Møller NH. Immersive Cooperative Work Environments (CWE): Designing Human-Building Interaction in Virtual Reality. Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW). 2021 Jun 16;30(3):351–91.
- Carpo M. The Second Digital Turn Design Beyond Intelligence. MIT Press;
 2017.

- Horvath A-S, Rühse V. The Chladni Wall [Internet]. Vol. 1294, Communications in Computer and Information Science. 2020 [cited 2021 Oct 18]. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-60703-6_50
- Dahlstedt P. Between Material and Ideas: A Process-Based Spatial Model of Artistic Creativity. In: McCormack Jon and d'Inverno M, editor. Computers and Creativity [Internet]. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2012. p. 205–33. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31727-9 8
- Vite C, Horvath A-S, Neff G, Møller NLH. Bringing Human-Centredness to Technologies for Buildings. In: CHItaly 2021: 14th Biannual Conference of the Italian SIGCHI Chapter. New York, NY, USA: ACM; 2021.
- 13. Horvath A-S, Vite C, Holten Møller NL, Neff G. messyBIM: Augmenting a building information model with messy talk to improve a buildings' design process. In: Menéndez-Blanco M, Uğur Yavuz S, Schubert J, Fogli D, Paternò F, editors. Title of host publication CHItaly 2021 Joint Proceedings of Interactive Experiences and Doctoral Consortium. CEUR Workshop Proceedings; 2021. p. 7–14.
- Horvath A-S, Rühse V, Raptis D. SoundSculpt: A Design Framework for 3D Modelling and Digitally Fabricating Sound Patterns. In: ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. 2020.
- Menges A, Ahlquist S. Computational Design Thinking. London: Wiley; 2011.
 11–13.
- Leach N. Digital Morphogenesis. Architectural Design [Internet]. 2009 Jan;79(1):32–7. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/ad.806
- Schumacher P, Gage MF. Architects Patrik Schumacher and Mark Foster Gage face off [Internet]. U.S.A.: Youtube: Texas A&M College of Architecture; 2017

31

[cited 2020 Nov 2]. Available from:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i1LHqssdGE8

- Caetano I, Santos L, Leitão A. Computational design in architecture: Defining parametric, generative, and algorithmic design. Frontiers of Architectural Research. 2020 Jun;9(2).
- Michale Hensel. IoA Silver Lecture Michael Hensel: Confronting the Current Crisis of Architecture [Internet]. Austria: Youtube: IoA, Die Angewandte; 2013 [cited 2020 Nov 2]. Available from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g9G2WEcFKRE
- Cash PJ. Developing theory-driven design research. Design Studies. 2018 May;56.
- Forty Adrian. Words and buildings : a vocabulary of modern architecture .
 Words and buildings : a vocabulary of modern architecture /. London: Thames & Hudson; 2000.
- Medway P. Virtual and Material Buildings. Written Communication. 1996 Oct 6;13(4).
- 23. Damron R, Spector T. How Architects Write. New York: Routledge; 2013.
- Thomas A. Markus. Language Structure and Building Types. Nordic Journal of Architectural Research. 1992;5(4):35–48.
- 25. Carpo M. The Digital Turn in Architecture 1992-2012. London: Wiley; 2012. 8–
 10.
- Becher T. Academic tribes and territories : intellectual enquiry and the cultures of disciplines. Reprint. Milton Keynes : Society for Research into Higher Education Open University Press; 1993.

- 27. Flowerdew J. Discourse in English Language Education. London: Routledge;2013.
- 28. Ghassan A, Blythe M, Yee J. Designerly Ways of Speaking: Investigating How the Design Tribe of Researchers Speak on Design Thinking [Internet]. PQDT -Global. [Ann Arbor]: University of Northumbria at Newcastle (United Kingdom); 2019. Available from: https://www.proquest.com/dissertationstheses/designerly-ways-speaking-investigating-howdesign/docview/2425238336/se-2?accountid=8144
- Trowler P. Academic Tribes and Territories: the theoretical trajectory.
 Österreichische Zeitschrift f
 ür Geschichtswissenschaften : ÖZG. 2014;25(3).
- Bearn G. The Formal Syntax of Modernism: Carnap and Le Corbusier. British Journal of Aesthetics. 1992;32(3):227–41.
- 31. Cameron D, Markus TA. The Words Between the Spaces. Routledge; 2003.
- Gausa M. The Metapolis Dictionary of Advanced Architecture: City, Technology and Society in the Information Age. Barcelona: Actar; 2003.
- Curl JS. The Oxford Dictionary of Architecture. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015.
- Beloso BS. A Lexical description of English for Architecture: A Corpus-based Approach. Santiago de Compostela: Peter Lang; 2015.
- Cabrera T. Interpreting Architecture: The ARCHINT Corpus. Tradumàtica: traducció i tecnologies de la informació i la comunicació. 2016;(14):156–71.
- Evolo. Evolo [Internet]. Evolo Magazine. 2020 [cited 2020 Nov 2]. Available from: http://www.evolo.us/about/
- Aielo C. eVolo Skyscrapers 3: Visionary Architecture and Urban Design. New York: Evolo Press; 2018.

- Neil Spiller (ed.). Architectural Design [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Nov 4].
 Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/15542769
- Culpeper J, Demmen J. Keywords. In: Biber D, Reppen R, editors. The Cambridge Handbook of English Corpus Linguistics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press;
- 40. Mackiewicz J, Thompson I. Adding Quantitative Corpus-Driven Analysis to Qualitative Discourse Analysis: Determining the Aboutness of Writing Center Talk. The Writing Center Journal [Internet]. 2016;35(3):187–225. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/43965694
- Landauer TK, Foltz PW, Laham D. An introduction to latent semantic analysis.
 Discourse Processes. 1998 Jan;25(2–3).
- 42. Hofmann T. Probabilistic latent semantic analysis. In: Proceeding of Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence. Stockholm; 1999. p. 289–96.
- Blei D, Ng AY, Jordan MI. Latent Dirichlet Allocation. Journal of Machine Learning Research. 2003;993–1022.
- 44. Sinclair S, Rockwell G. Voyant Tools [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2020 Nov 2].Available from: http://voyant-tools.org/
- 45. Paranyushkin D. InfraNodus: Generating Insight Using Text Network Analysis.In: The World Wide Web Conference. New York, NY, USA: ACM; 2019.
- Atenstaedt R. Word cloud analysis of the *BJGP*: 5 years on. British Journal of General Practice. 2017 May;67(658).
- Heimerl F, Lohmann S, Lange S, Ertl T. Word Cloud Explorer: Text Analytics Based on Word Clouds. In: 2014 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. IEEE; 2014.

- McNaught C, Lam P. Using Wordle as a Supplementary Research Tool. The Qualitative Report. 2010 May;15(3):630–43.
- 49. Padmanabhan K, Hendrix W. Introduction. In: Practical graph mining with R.Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2013. p. 1–7.
- 50. Corbin J, Strauss A. Basics of Qualitative Research. Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory. 4th ed. Los Angeles: Sage Publications; 2015.
- Beyer H, Holtzblatt K. Contextual Design: Defining Customer-Centered Systems. San Francisco, CA, USA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.; 1997.
- Horvath A-S. ComPara: A Corpus Linguistics Dataset of Computation in Architecture. Mendeley; 2020.
- 53. Aielo C. Evolo Skyscrapers. New York: Evolo Press; 2012.
- Aielo C. eVolo Skyscrapers 2: 150 New Projects Redefine Building High. New York: Evolo Press; 2014.
- 55. Stoevski P. The New Tower of Babel [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2014 Skyscraper Competition. 2014 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/the-new-tower-of-babel/
- 56. Asadov N. House of Babel: Post-Crisis Skyscraper [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2012 Skyscraper Competition. 2012 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/house-of-babel-post-crisis-skyscraper/
- 57. Song Q, Pengfei K, Ying B, Nuoya R, Shen G. Sand Babel: Solar-Powered 3D
 Printed Tower [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2014 Skyscraper Competition.
 2014 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/sand-babel-solar-powered-3d-printed-tower/

- 58. Hsin L te. Taiwan Babel Tower [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2016 Skyscraper Competition. 2016 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/taiwan-babel-tower/
- 59. Sun Y, Xu T, Zhang L, Wang D, Wang T. The Scaffold of Babel [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2017 Skyscraper Competition. 2017 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/the-scaffold-of-babel/
- Quinones L. Moonscraper [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2011 Skyscraper Competition. 2011 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: http://www.evolo.us/moonscraper/
- Dong M, Xiang Y, Xie A, Han X. Stratosphere Network of Skyscrapers. 2013 Mar 12 [cited 2020 Nov 3]; Available from: https://www.evolo.us/stratospherenetwork-of-skyscrapers/
- 62. Tognoni E, Tinti F, Mariani D. Rhizome Tower: A Thousand Underground Plateaus [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2011 Skyscraper Competition. 2011 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/rhizome-tower-athousand-underground-plateaus/
- Chauvel S. Quantum City [Internet]. Special Mention 2007 Skyscraper Competition. 2007 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/quantum-city/
- Maltsev I, Melnik A. Quantum Skyscraper: Multipurpose Research Complex [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2013 Skyscraper Competition. 2013 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/quantum-skyscrapermultipurpose-research-complex/
- 65. Murphy R. Sustainability: A Wicked Problem. Sociologica. 2012;(2):1–23.

- 66. Joksimovic A, Nikolic J. Noah's Ark: Sustainable City [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2012 Skyscraper Competition. 2012 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/noah%E2%80%99s-ark-sustainable-city/
- Chen H, Guo L. Oceanscraper. Honorable Mention 2012 Skyscraper Competition. 2012.
- 68. Vlastic M, Djordjevic V, Jovanovic M, Markovic D. Moses: A Decentralized Floating Network of Skyscraper Cities [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2013 Skyscraper Competition. 2013 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/moses-a-decentralized-floating-network-of-skyscrapercities/
- 69. Yao C, Yunfeng X, Xiaodi L, Rui X, Xiaoxiang Y. Promised Land Waterscraper [Internet]. Honorable Mention 2013 Skyscraper Competition. 2013 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.evolo.us/promised-land-waterscraper/
- 70. Dunne A, Raby F. Speculative Everything. Boston: MIT Press; 2013. 1–3.
- Steadman P. The Evolution of Designs: Biological Analogy in Architecture and the Applied Arts. 2nd ed. Abington, Oxton: Routledge; 2008.
- 72. Chayaamor-Heil N, Vitalis L. Biology and architecture: An ongoing hybridization of scientific knowledge and design practice by six architectural offices in France. Frontiers of Architectural Research [Internet]. 2021;10(2):240–62. Available from:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2095263520300704

 Pohl G, Nachtigall W. Biomimetics for Architecture and Design: Nature -Analogies - Technology. Biomimetics for Architecture and Design. Cham: Springer International Publishing AG; 2015.

- 74. Gumuskaya G. Multimaterial bioprinting—minus the printer: Synthetic bacterial patterning with UV-responsive genetic circuits. International Journal of Architectural Computing [Internet]. 2021;19(2):121–41. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/1478077120963373
- 75. Mizobuti V, Vieira Junior LCM. Bioinspired architectural design based on structural topology optimization. Frontiers of Architectural Research [Internet].
 2020;9(2):264–76. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2095263519300949
- Pasquero C, Poletto M. Bio-digital aesthetics as value system of post-Anthropocene architecture. International Journal of Architectural Computing. 2020 Jun 5;18(2).
- Picon A. Architecture and Mathematics: Between Hubris and Restraint.Architectural Design. 2011 Jul;81(4).
- 78. Arida A. Quantum City. 1st ed. Architectural Press; 2002.
- Heinrich Wölfflin. Principles of Art History: the Problem of the Development of Style in Later Art. 7th ed. New York: Dover; 1950.
- Cross N. Designerly Ways of Knowing. In: Designerly Ways of Knowing. London: Springer; 2005. p. 1–13.
- BeLanda M. Deleuze and the Use of the Genetic Algorithm in Architecture. Architectural Design. 2001;72(1):7–12.
- Tognoni E, Tinti F, Mariani D. Rhizome Tower: A Thousand Underground Plateaus. eVolo Skyscraper competition. 2011.
- Frichot H, Loo S. Deleuze and Architecture. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 2013.

- DP Architects. Rhizome House [Internet]. Archello. 2016 [cited 2020 Nov 3].
 Available from: https://archello.com/project/rhizome-house
- 85. Erik Yek Ung Hieng. The Rhizome and The Wasted Square [Internet].
 KooZArch. 2018 [cited 2020 Nov 3]. Available from: https://www.koozarch.com/interviews/the-rhizome-and-the-wasted-square/
- Jakobsen A. Experience in-between architecture and context: the New Acropolis Museum, Athens. Journal of Aesthetics & Culture. 2012 Jan 25;4(1).
- 87. White SR. Gilles Deleuze and the project of architecture: an expressionist design-research methodology. PhD by Architectural Design, The Bartlett; 2014.
- Ceschin F, Gaziulusoy I. Evolution of design for sustainability: From product design to design for system innovations and transitions. Design Studies. 2016 Nov;47.
- Pop D. Space Perception and Its Implication in Architectural Design. Acta
 Technica Napocensis: Civil Engineering & Architecture. 2013;56(2):211–21.
- Dewey J. Art as Experience. (orig. 1934). New York: The Berkley Publishing Group; 2005.
- Buxton B. Sketching User Experiences: Getting the Design Right and the Right Design. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers; 2006.
- Leach N. AI and creativity. University of Bologna, Department of Architecture. Bologna; 2020.
- 93. Steinfeld K. Significant others: Machine learning as actor, material and provocateur in art and design. In: As I, Basu P, editors. The Routledge Companion to Artificial Intelligence in Architecture. Abington, Oxon; New York: Routledge, 2021.: Routledge; 2021.

- 94. Nisztuk M, Myszkowski PB. Hybrid Evolutionary Algorithm applied to Automated Floor Plan Generation. International Journal of Architectural Computing. 2019 Sep 13;17(3).
- 95. del Campo M, Carlson A, Manninger S. Towards Hallucinating Machines -Designing with Computational Vision. International Journal of Architectural Computing. 2021 Mar 17;19(1).
- 96. As I, Pal S, Basu P. Artificial intelligence in architecture: Generating conceptual design via deep learning. International Journal of Architectural Computing. 2018 Dec 28;16(4).
- 97. Cutellic P. Towards encoding shape features with visual event-related potential based brain–computer interface for generative design. International Journal of Architectural Computing. 2019 Mar 14;17(1).
- Ghandi M, Blaisdell M, Ismail M. Embodied empathy: Using affective computing to incarnate human emotion and cognition in architecture. International Journal of Architectural Computing. 2021 Aug 28;