Microbial communities and metabolic pathways involved in reductive decolorization of an azo dye in a two-stage AD system
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HIGHLIGHTS

• Color removal is negatively correlated with $E_0$ in the system.
• Azo dye decolorization was mainly associated with hydrogen producing pathways.
• Fermentative diversity improved decolorization efficiency in the 1st-stage.
• Acidogens in the 1st-stage were sensitive and shifted in the presence of the azo dye.
• Microbial communities in the 2nd-stage were more protected and remained similar.
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ABSTRACT

Multiple stage anaerobic system was found to be an effective strategy for reductive decolorization of azo dyes in the presence of sulfate. Bulk color removal (56–90%) was achieved concomitant with acidogenic activity in the 1st-stage reactor (R1), while organic matter removal ($\leq 100\%$) and sulfate reduction ($\leq 100\%$) occurred predominantly in the 2nd-stage reactor (R2). However, azo dye reduction mechanism and metabolic routes involved remain unclear. The involved microbial communities and conditions affecting the azo dye removal in a two-stage anaerobic digestion (AD) system were elucidated using amplicon sequencing ($16S$ rRNA, fhs, dsrB and mcrA) and correlation analysis. Reductive decolorization was found to be co-metabolic and mainly associated with hydrogen-producing pathways. We also found evidence of the involvement of an azoreductase from Lactococcus lactis. Bacterial community in R1 was sensitive and shifted in the presence of the azo dye, while microorganisms in R2 were more protected. Higher diversity of syntrophic-acetate oxidizers, sulfate reducers and methanogens in R2 highlights the role of the 2nd-stage in organic matter and sulfate removals, and these communities might be involved in further transformations of the azo dye reduction products. The results improve our understanding on the role of different microbial communities in anaerobic treatment of azo dyes and can help in the design of better solutions for the treatment of textile effluents.
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1. Introduction

Use of multiple stage anaerobic systems can improve reductive decolorization of azo dyes by channeling electrons to preferentially reduce azo bonds in the 1st-stage of the anaerobic digestion (AD), whereas organic matter and sulfate removals are achieved in the following unit with enhanced performance (Oliveira et al., 2022). In this system’s configuration, it is expected that distinct microbial communities will establish in each stage as a result of the different operating conditions applied (Baldi et al., 2019; Demirel and Yenigün, 2002). Acidogens, acetogens and methanogens grow at their optimal ecophysiological conditions, resulting in a better overall process stability compared to single stage anaerobic treatment (García-Depraect et al., 2022). Understanding the role of these microbial communities on the biodegradation of azo dyes can help in the designing of better solutions for the treatment of textile wastewaters.

The conversion of carbohydrates into organic acids occurs in the earliest stage of AD in reactions carried by acidogenic bacteria (Cohen et al., 1980; García-Depraect et al., 2022). In the following step, acetogens and methanogens form syntrophic associations to produce methane (Stams and Plugge, 2009). Under specific conditions, acetoclastic methanogens are inhibited and the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway is inverted (Müller et al., 2013). This rather results in formation of H2+CO2 from acetate by the syntrophic acetate oxidizing bacterial (SAOB) community. All these communities are possibly involved in reductive decolorization of azo dyes to a greater or lesser extent.

Some microbial populations play a controversial role in anaerobic treatment of azo dyes. Sulfate-reducing bacterial (SRB) community was found to compete for electrons with azo reducers (Oliveira et al., 2022; Santos et al., 2007), sometimes impairing the reductive decolorization process (Amaral et al., 2014). Nevertheless, decolorization coupled to sulfate reduction was observed before (Albuquerque et al., 2005) and biogenic sulfide can chemically reduce the azo bonds (Prato-Garcia et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2021). It was hypothesized that whether SRB populations act opposing or in favor of reductive decolorization rather depends on the microbial interspecies interactions and on the biochemical reactions that they are mediating in the system.

Moreover, it is not clear whether mixed microbial cultures rely on specialized enzymes for biodegrading azo dyes. More than a decade ago, Santos et al. (2007) stated that the main mechanism is likely a co-metabolic reaction in which reducing equivalents, as well as reduced cofactors, work as secondary electron donors to cleave the azo bonds. By that time, there were evidence of azoreductases among aerobic bacteria, but no proof in anaerobic microorganisms (Santos et al., 2007; Stolz, 2001). Later on, Morrison et al. (2012) isolated and characterized an anaerobic azoreductase from *Clostridium perfringens*. Decolorization of azo dyes by other enzymes from the oxidoreductase enzyme system, including laccases, NADH–DCIP reductases, veratryl alcohol oxidases, tyrosinases, riboflavin reductases, and lignin and manganese peroxidases was recently reported among pure and co-cultures of microorganisms (Ali et al., 2020; Kurade et al., 2017; Saratale et al., 2013; Yin, 2017). Some microbial populations play a controversial role in anaerobic treatment of azo dyes. Sulfate-reducing bacterial (SRB) community was found to compete for electrons with azo reducers (Oliveira et al., 2022; Santos et al., 2007), sometimes impairing the reductive decolorization process (Amaral et al., 2014). Nevertheless, decolorization coupled to sulfate reduction was observed before (Albuquerque et al., 2005) and biogenic sulfide can chemically reduce the azo bonds (Prato-Garcia et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2021). It was hypothesized that whether SRB populations act opposing or in favor of reductive decolorization rather depends on the microbial interspecies interactions and on the biochemical reactions that they are mediating in the system.

Moreover, it is not clear whether mixed microbial cultures rely on specialized enzymes for biodegrading azo dyes. More than a decade ago, Santos et al. (2007) stated that the main mechanism is likely a co-metabolic reaction in which reducing equivalents, as well as reduced cofactors, work as secondary electron donors to cleave the azo bonds. By that time, there were evidence of azoreductases among aerobic bacteria, but no proof in anaerobic microorganisms (Santos et al., 2007; Stolz, 2001). Later on, Morrison et al. (2012) isolated and characterized an anaerobic azoreductase from *Clostridium perfringens*. Decolorization of azo dyes by other enzymes from the oxidoreductase enzyme system, including laccases, NADH–DCIP reductases, veratryl alcohol oxidases, tyrosinases, riboflavin reductases, and lignin and manganese peroxidases was recently reported among pure and co-cultures of microorganisms (Ali et al., 2020; Kurade et al., 2017; Saratale et al., 2013; Yin, 2017).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reactor setup and operational strategies

Two anaerobic reactors were operated continuously over a period of 328 days. The two-phase anaerobic digestion system was composed of a 1st-stage anaerobic structured-bed reactor (R1) and a 2nd-stage upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor (R2) running in series and under mesophilic conditions (30 °C). In R1, the fixed-bed was built with polyurethane foam strips (10 × 10 × 650 mm). R1 was operated with a theoretical chemical oxygen demand (COD) of 28.7 gCOD·L−1 (organic loading rate = 11.7 gCOD·L−1·d−1) and R2 was operated with 2.7 gCOD·L−1 (organic loading rate = 2.0 gCOD·L−1·d−1). Respective hydraulic retention times were 3.5 and 16 h.

R1 was fed with synthetic textile wastewater composed of: Direct Black 22, DB22 (0–65 mg L−1), glucose (1.70 gCOD·L−1), K2HPO4 (0.25 g L−1), NaSO4 (0–50 g L−1), NaCl (0.50 g L−1), yeast extract (0.20 g L−1), NaHCO3 (0.15 g L−1), and 1 mL L−1 trace elements solution (supplementary material). The pH of the feed was kept at 7.0 ± 0.1. R2 was fed with the effluent from R1 after the addition of NaHCO3 (0.70 g L−1) to raise pH to 6.0. Bioreactors were inoculated with anaerobic sludge from a full scale upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor, located in the city of Pereira – SP, processing wastewater from a poultry slaughterhouse. For R1, methanogenic archaea were inactivated by heat-treating the sludge (100 °C, 1 h) previously to inoculation (Wang and Yin, 2017).

Strategies used in the operation of the AD system were as following: startup and stabilization period with no addition of DB22 or SO24 (Phase PI); addition of 32.5 mg·L−1·d−1 DB22 (Phase PII); addition of both 32.5 mg·L−1·d−1 DB22 and 338 mg·L−1 SO24 (Phase PIII); and addition of 65.0 mg·L−1·d−1 DB22 and 338 mg·L−1 SO24 (Phase PIV).

2.2. Microbial sampling and DNA extraction

Biofilm from R1 and R2 was sampled at different times of each phase after system’s performance was stable. In R1, samples were harvested from the bottom biomass of the polyurethane foam used as support for growth. In R2, samples were collected from the sludge blanket (lower portion). Genomic DNA was extracted using the FastDNA® Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, USA) and following the manufacturer’s protocols. DNA quantification was assessed using the Quant-iT High-Sensitivity DNA Assay Kit on a plate reader (TECAN Infinite M1000) and integrity was evaluated using the TapeStation 2200 with genomic DNA ScreenTapes (Agilent, USA).

2.3. Amplicon sequencing

PCR reactions targeting the V4 variable region of the bacterial 16 S rRNA gene and the functional genes formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase (fts), dissimilatory sulfite reductase β-subunit (dsrB), and methyl coenzyme-M reductase (mcrA) were further conducted to investigate the SAOB, SRB and methanogenic communities, respectively. Corresponding primer sets are shown in the supplementary materials section. Thermocycler settings were as following: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min; amplification for 25 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s, 50 °C for 15 s, and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension of 5 min at 72 °C. Number of cycles, annealing temperature and extension time were appropriately adjusted according to the target gene, as shown in the supplementary materials. Sample preparation was conducted similarly to that described elsewhere (Agnoressens et al., 2017), except that for fts a 200 ng of extracted DNA was used as template.
2.5. Analytical methods and performance evaluation

COD, pH and standard reduction potential (Eh) were measured according to APHA (2005). Sulfide interference on COD analysis was previously eliminated with zinc sulfate addition. For color analysis, samples were appropriately diluted with phosphate buffer (10.86 g L\(^{-1}\) Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) and 5.98 g L\(^{-1}\) Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) (Firmino et al., 2010) and assessed according to the spectra record method (Wu et al., 1998; APHA, 2005). Soluble carbohydrates (CH) and lactic acid were determined as previously described (DuBois et al., 1956; Taylor, 1996). For Eh\(^{'}\) profiling in R1, samples were collected at different heights (155, 305, 455, 630 and 750 mm) with the aid of a syringe and transferred to vacuum blood collection tubes. Samples were handled in an anaerobic chamber with N\(_2\) atmosphere. VFA\(_{\text{a}}\) (C2-C6) and solvents were analyzed by gas chromatography (Adorno et al., 2014).

COD removal (in %) and conversion of CH (CH%, in %) were calculated using Eq. (1).

\[
X(\%) = \left(\frac{X_{\text{feed}} - X_{\text{effl}}}{X_{\text{feed}}}\right) \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 1})
\]

in which \(X\) is either COD removal or CH conversion, \(X_{\text{feed}}\) is the concentration of \(X\) in the feed, and \(X_{\text{effl}}\) is the concentration of \(X\) in the effluent.

Decolorization (in %) was calculated using Eq. (2):

\[
\text{Decolorization} = \frac{\int_{400 \text{ nm}}^{700 \text{ nm}} A_{\text{feed}} \int_{400 \text{ nm}}^{700 \text{ nm}} A_{\text{effl}} \cdot d\lambda}{\int_{400 \text{ nm}}^{700 \text{ nm}} A_{\text{feed}} \cdot d\lambda} \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 2})
\]

in which \(A_{\text{feed}}\) is the absorbance of the feed; \(A_{\text{effl}}\) is the absorbance of the effluent; and \(d\lambda\) is an infinitesimal wavelength interval.

2.6. Data analysis

Pearson’s correlation analyses were performed in R version 4.0.1 via R Studio version 2021.09.0 using the R CRAN package corrplot (https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot). A significance level of \(p \leq 0.05\) was used.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Two-stage AD system’s overall performance

The two-stage AD system was operated for 328 days to investigate how the performance was affected by loading of color and exposure to sulfate. In R1, COD removal efficiencies were below 20% during the entire operation (Table 1) and the volumetric methane production rate rarely exceeded 50 mL\(\text{L}^{-1}\)\(\text{d}^{-1}\). R1 achieved an average decolorization efficiency of 69 ± 13% when a DB22 loading rate of approximately 222 mg\(\text{L}^{-1}\)\(\text{d}^{-1}\) was applied in phase PIII. Although sulfate was added to the feed as from phase PIII (338 mg\(\text{L}^{-1}\)\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\)), sulfidogenic activity in R1 was minimal (median sulfate removal 3.5%, interquartile range 8.3) and did not impair color removal performance. R2 achieved over 97% of COD and almost 100% sulfate removal efficiencies. Mass balance analysis showed that methanogenesis and sulfidogenesis demanded nearly all the electrons in R2, meaning that the use of a two-stage anaerobic system was important to alleviate the demand of both these processes for reducing equivalents in R1, driving electrons preferentially to reduce the azo dye. Further information on the performance of the two-stage AD system, including the mass balance analysis, had been published elsewhere (Oliveira et al., 2022).

3.2. Azo dye decolorization is mediated by electron shuttles

Color removal profiling in R1 was assessed after performance was stable (Fig. 1-A). The highest increment in color removal was observed between the sampling points a and b, where decolorization increased from 33 to 90% (as compared to the system’s influent). Surprisingly, color removal efficiency decreased in samples taken in sampling point c (decolorization = 67%), and further fluctuated across the reactor’s profile. This suggests that intermediates produced after the cleavage of the azo bonds were reoxidized in particular zones of the reactor, resulting in the formation of newer azo bonds. It is well known that exposure of byproducts from reductive decolorization to atmospheric oxygen causes the formation of color (Menezes et al., 2019; Oliveira et al., 2020), since hydrazine groups and/or azo anion free radicals in the molecules react with oxygen (Zimmermann et al., 1982). However, there are no studies reporting the reoxidation of aromatic amines inside anaerobic digestors themselves, causing cyclic increases and decreases in color removal across the reactor’s profile.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Operation days</th>
<th>Average decoloration (%)</th>
<th>Average carbohydrates removal (%)</th>
<th>Average COD removal (%)</th>
<th>Average (\text{SO}_4^{2-}) removal (%)</th>
<th>Effluent pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st-stage reactor (R1)</td>
<td>PI No DB22 or (\text{SO}_2)</td>
<td>1-87</td>
<td>97.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>14.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>7.9 ± 11</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PII 32.5 mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{DB22})</td>
<td>88-182</td>
<td>69 ± 13</td>
<td>91.5 ± 9.7</td>
<td>15.3 ± 5.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIII 32.5 mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{DB22} + 338\text{ mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{SO}_4^{2-})})</td>
<td>183-263</td>
<td>84 ± 5</td>
<td>99.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIV 65 mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{DB22} + 338\text{ mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{SO}_4^{2-})})</td>
<td>264-328</td>
<td>67 ± 12</td>
<td>97.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>18 ± 3.8</td>
<td>8.0 ± 9.0</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd-stage reactor (R2)</td>
<td>PI No DB22 or (\text{SO}_2)</td>
<td>1-87</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PII 32.5 mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{DB22})</td>
<td>88-182</td>
<td>89 ± 4</td>
<td>98.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIII 32.5 mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{DB22} + 338\text{ mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{SO}_4^{2-})})</td>
<td>183-263</td>
<td>80 ± 7</td>
<td>98.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>94.4 ± 8.6</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIV 65 mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{DB22} + 338\text{ mg(\text{L}^{-1}) (\text{SO}_4^{2-})})</td>
<td>264-328</td>
<td>87 ± 6</td>
<td>97.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>99.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sampling along R1 further revealed strong zonation with different redox potentials. This reduction potential ($E'_0$) profiling in R1 provided valuable insight into the mechanism of color removal across the 1st-stage reactor’s vertical profile. The lower portion of R1, which includes sampling points a and b, presented more reduced conditions ($E'_0 \sim -200$ mV). This is probably because CH conversion reactions into VFAs occurred in the bottom of the reactor (Supplementary material). Moreover, it was previously observed that biogas (bioH$_2$) production from sugars mainly occurs in the basal portion of fixed-bed reactors (Fuess et al., 2021), supporting the hypothesis that the DB22 reduction mechanism is governed by – but not limited to – co-metabolic reactions, in which reducing equivalents or reduced cofactors such as NADH act as secondary electrons donors to cleave azo bonds (Santos et al., 2007).

In the intermediary zone (sampling point c), $E_0$ increased to $-49$ mV, and DB22 intermediates reoxidized as a result of the less reduced conditions. $E_0$ values decreased to $-93$ mV, and further to $-188$ mV in sampling points d and e, respectively, causing color removal efficiencies to rise again, oscillating between 81 and 86%. Upon exposure of the effluent to the atmosphere for approximately 30 min, $E_0$ increased to $-51$ mV and decolorization decreased to 51%. After repeated measurements were taken across the R1’s profile (Fig. 1-B), we confirmed that color removal is negatively correlated with $E_0$ in system ($R = -0.64; p = 0.045$).

The rate of azo reduction has previously been shown to depend on the dye redox potential if the rate-limiting step involves a redox equilibrium between the azo molecule and a reducing agent (Dubin and Wright, 1975). The mechanism hypothesized involves a redox cycle in which a redox mediator transfer electrons between the enzyme and the substrate (Fig. 2). Reduction of the azo dye (R$_1$-N=N-R$_2$) to its hydrazo intermediate (R$_1$-HN-NH-R$_2$) will only occur if the mediator is present in sufficient concentrations and shifted toward a reduced form (Dubin and Wright, 1975). High concentrations of the reduced mediator will result in decreased $E_0$ values, and electron transfer to azo compounds will happen once the redox potential in the environment approach that of the dye. However, this last reaction is reversible as long as the hydrazo intermediate is not further degraded. It can be hypothesized that the equilibrium shifted towards re-oxidation of DB22 intermediates (e.g., hydrazo to azo) as reduction potential in the system increased due to consumption of mediators in the reduced form, causing reappearance of color in the upper portion of R1.

3.3. Co-metabolic routes in reductive decolorization

Conversion of carbohydrates occurred in R1 with efficiencies of approximately 99% throughout the 328 days of operation. Production of VFAs and metabolites in the effluent after R1 was monitored and is shown in the supplementary materials section.

Butyrate-type fermentation was the main pathway observed during the stabilization phase. Median concentrations of HBu and HAc were, respectively, 295 mg•L$^{-1}$ (interquartile range = 245) and 154 mg•L$^{-1}$ (interquartile range = 220) in this period, which accounts for a HBu/HAc ratio of 1.91. In phases PII and PIII (32.5 mg•L$^{-1}$ DB22), HBu/HAc ratios decreased to 0.79 and 0.98, while HPr concentrations remained similar (PI: median 132 mg•L$^{-1}$, interquartile range = 117; PII: median 118 mg•L$^{-1}$, interquartile range = 46; and PIII: median 156 mg•L$^{-1}$, respectively).
interquartile range = 82). These results indicate the predominance of the acetic pathway (Table 2, Eq. 2) during most of the operation with the azo dye, which is usually associated with higher bioH₂ production rates from CH when compared to other more reduced metabolites (Fernandes et al., 2010; Fussel et al., 2016).

The involvement of the acetic fermentation pathway on reductive decolorization is further supported by results from Pearson’s correlation test (R = 0.48, p = 0.001) performed with samples collected all over the operation (Fig. 3-A). Butyrate fermentation (Table 2, Eq. 3), another hydrogen-producing reaction, was also significant for color removal (R = 0.35, p = 0.021). Since bioH₂ was not released in the biogas phase (Oliveira et al., 2022), some of the reducing power generated via these metabolic routes might have been consumed during co-metabolic decolorization of DB22.

The strongest correlation was found to be between decolorization and CH% (R = 0.60, p < 0.001). In fact, the catabolism of glucose to pyruvate yields two NADH molecules (Table 2, Eq. 1), which can serve as reducing power for the cleavage of azo bonds. Moreover, glycolysis is the precursor of all mixed acid fermentation reactions and acidogenesis was found to be a critical step in AD of azo dyes (Firmiino et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014).

Interestingly, metabolites produced via hydrogen-consuming reactions such as n-valeric acid (Table 2, Eq. 9), ethanol (Table 2, Eq. 10) and methanol (Table 2, Eq. 11) were also positively correlated with color removal. For instance, n-valerate elongation from propionate consumes six moles of H₂. Both ethanol and methanol formation obtain reducing power from NADH + H⁺. Nevertheless, the correlations between these metabolites and reductive decolorization may reflect the fermentative diversity in R1 rather than causation directly. Several studies have shown the benefits of metabolic diversity on decolorization of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system. Observed increases of azo dyes by mixed microbial cultures due to the synergistic action of different enzymes from the oxidoreductase system.

Addition of sulfate (338 mg L⁻¹ SO₄²⁻), in phase PI1, did not appear to affect decolorization and CH% efficiencies in R1 (Fig. 3-C). Effluent sulfate concentrations remained similar and, sometimes, slightly higher than those measured in the feed tank. In fact, the 1st-stage reactor was designed with the aim of preventing sulfidogenesis, thus avoiding competition between the azo dye and sulfate for reducing equivalents. Although no sulfate removal occurred in R1, Pearson’s correlation analysis results showed that distinct metabolic routes were involved in DB22 decolorization in phase PI1. Decolorization was positively correlated with acetone formation (R = 0.57, p = 0.018), which is a bioH₂ producing reaction (Table 2, Eq. 6). However, negatively associated with HBu concentration (R = −0.55, p = 0.040). Moreover, lactate was detected in samples collected all over PI1 operation at concentrations ranging from 10 to 32 mg L⁻¹. Given the high abundance of homolactic bacteria in R1 (see Topic 3.6), lactic acid was probably utilized to generate n-caproic acid. It can therefore be hypothesized that decolorization of DB22, in phase PI1, was mainly driven by reactions involved in chain elongation for n-caproate production.

Addition of 438 ± 13 mg L⁻¹ CH₄ (65 mg L⁻¹, Phase PIV), we observed a lower metabolic diversity in R1 (Fig. 3-D). Methanol, ethanol and acetate were not detected as from the 5th day after transition to phase PIV, and HBu/Hac ratio increased to 1.70. Moreover, no correlations were found between the produced metabolites and decolorization. This period of operation was marked by a decrease in decolorization efficiencies in R1, with later stabilization at lower removal rates. This further supports our hypothesis that a higher metabolic diversity is beneficial for reductive decolorization of azo dyes.

3.4. Overall bacterial community and sensitivity of acidogens towards azo dye

After a DB22 loading rate increased to 222 ± 9 mg mL⁻¹ L⁻¹ (32.5 mg mL⁻¹, Phase PI1) and CH% had a sudden drop from approximately 99 to 70%, with gradual recovery in the following weeks (Oliveira et al., 2022). This suggests that microorganisms adapted to the dye and to intermediates of the reductive decolorization. However, this could also mean that a microbial community with different structure was stabilized in the system. Molecular identification of microorganisms based on the 16S rRNA and functional genes was further conducted to understand the possible causes.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Hydrogen-producing reactions (as H₂ or NADH⁺⁺)</td>
<td>Glucose to pyruvate formation</td>
<td>Glucose → pyruvate + 2 NADH⁺⁺ (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose to acetic acid formation</td>
<td>Glucose + 2H₂O → 2acetic acid + 4H₂ + 2CO₂ (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose to butyric acid formation</td>
<td>Glucose → butyric acid + 2H₂ + 2CO₂ (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethanol to n-caproic acid generation</td>
<td>1ethanol + 3acetic acid → n-caproic acid + 4H₂ + 8H₂O (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lactic acid to n-caproic acid generation</td>
<td>1lactic acid + 5caproic acid → 5CO₂ + 10H₂ + 5H₂O (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose to acetone formation</td>
<td>Glucose + 2H₂O → 1acetone + 4H₂ + 3CO₂ (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-Butyric acid oxidation</td>
<td>n-Butyric acid + 2H₂O → 2acetic acid + 2H₂ (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Hydrogen-consuming reactions (as H₂ or NADH⁺⁺)</td>
<td>Pyruvate to acetic acid</td>
<td>Pyruvate + NADH⁺⁺ → Lactic acid + NAD⁺ (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Propionic acid to n-valeric acid elongation</td>
<td>Propionic acid + 2CO₂ + 6H₂ → n-valeric acid + 4H₂O (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methane to methanol formation*</td>
<td>CH₄ + ½O₂ + NADH⁺⁺ → Methanol + NAD⁺ (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* No net hydrogen production/consumption.
Amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene targeting the V4 region revealed a significant shift in the bacterial community structure in R1 after DB22 was added to the system, in phase PII (Fig. 4-A). Samples clustered into four groups, of which each one represented a different operational strategy. DB22 was found to be the main source of variation in the dataset, since samples from phase PI (operation without the azo dye) clustered separately on the left side of NMDS1 axis, apart from the other phases, which grouped to the right side. Within this main group, samples from phase PII (operation with no sulfate addition) clustered in the upper-right quadrant, while samples from phases PIII and PIV (338 mg L\(^{-1}\) SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\), n = 17 obs.) grouped in the lower-right portion. These results indicate that sulfate is the second largest source of variation in the gradient analysis. Calculated stress-value was 0.05, which suggests a relative strong separation of the microbial communities (Paliy and Shankar, 2016). Some members of the family Clostridiaceae and of the genera Lactococcus, Prevotella and Atopobium increased in proportion in the presence of the azo dye, while members of Ruminococcaceae and Clostridium sensu stricto 1 were negatively affected. Members of Firmicutes predominated in all conditions, with relative abundance values of 66–80% (PI), 53–67% (PII), 72–76% (PIII) and 76–81% (PIV) (Supplementary materials). This phylum is often favored under acidogenic conditions (Ribeiro et al., 2022) and was recently associated with azo-reducing activity in the gut microbiota (Zahran et al., 2021). Fig. 5-A shows the most abundant genera in R1 as a function of operational phase. Lactococcus, an homolactic bacteria belonging to Firmicutes, increased in proportion from 0.9 to 9.2% (PI) to 16.9%, in PII, and up to 26.7% after DB22 loading rate was further increased (PIV). In a study conducted by Pérez-Díaz and McFeeters (2009), several lactic acid bacteria were able to modify an azo dye under anaerobic and even aerobic conditions, and therefore Lactococcus is a potential azo degrader. Clostridium was also positively selected in the presence of the azo dye, accounting for 19.5% of the overall bacterial community in the end of the operation (day 328). An anaerobic azoreductase capable of reducing high molecular weight sulfonated azo dyes has been recently described in a member of Clostridium (Morrison and John, 2015), meaning that this bacterium might be involved in the biodegradation of DB22. Prevotella and Atopobium, which have no previous records regarding azo decolorization, were also among the genera that were positively selected in the presence of DB22. Prevotella can produce acetate from glucose (Takahashi and Yamada, 2000) and major end-products of Atopobium include lactic acid and acetate (Acevedo Monroy and Kizilova, 2006; Burton et al., 2004). Since acetate formation presented a...
strong correlation with color removal (Section 3.3), these bacteria could be involved in decolorization of DB22 via inter-species hydrogen transfer.

On the contrary, samples from R2 rather grouped by either the absence (P1 and PII) or presence (PIII and PIV) of sulfate ions in the feed (Fig. 4-B), suggesting that azo dyes and/or intermediates from reductive decolorization had little impact on the bacterial community structure in R2. This is likely because microorganisms in R2 were less exposed to the dye, since most of the decolorization occurred in R1, which also received a higher DB22 load. Nevertheless, these microorganisms were still exposed to aromatic amines and other DB22 fragments recalcitrant to the AD.

*Syntrophobacter* and *Desulfovibrio* were among the genera that were enriched in the presence of sulfate. *Syntrophobacter* increased in proportion from 0.7 to 1%, in phase PII, to 2.5–5.8% after sulfate was introduced into the system (Fig. 5-B). *Desulfovibrio* increased its relative abundance from 0.5 to 1.6 to up to 2.6% under the same conditions. Both are sulfate reducers with fermentation ability (Muyzer and Stams, 2008). SRB community in the two-stage AD system will be covered with more detail in the next section.

### 3.5. Sulfate reducing bacterial (SRB) and methanogenic communities in the two-stage AD system

No sequences of the *dsrB* and few sequences of the *mcrA* genes were obtained in samples from R1. Indeed, SRB and methanogens were not expected in this reactor due the low pH (pH 4.3–4.5). In R2, methanogenic community presented minor variations during the system’s operation (supplementary materials). Uncultured *Methanobacteriales archaean*, *Methanosaeta concilii* GP-6, and *Methanosaeta concilii* were the only taxa enriched in the presence of DB22 (Phases PII, PIII and PIV). Members of the order *Methanobacteria* generally use H₂ + CO₂ to produce methane (Acevedo Monroy and Kizilova, 2006), therefore uncultured *Methanobacteriales archaean* is likely to compete with azo reducers, especially since it accounted for nearly 50% of the methanogenic community in R2. *Methanosaeta concilii*, which comprised up to 20.6% of the *mcrA* sequences in phase PIV, is the only methanogen in R2 capable of utilizing acetate as substrate for methanogenesis (Barber et al., 2011). The increased proportion of hydrogenotrophic methanogens shows that production of methane derived primarily from hydrogen and carbon dioxide, but competition between azo reducers and hydrogen-utilizing methanogens was minimized because bulk color removal occurred in the preceding unit, i.e. R1.

It is important to point out that R2 was inoculated with sludge from a UASB reactor processing wastewater from a poultry slaughterhouse, which are enriched with hydrogenotrophic methanogens. Ammonia released in the biodegradation of protein-rich effluents leads to inhibition of acetoclastic methanogens and in inversion of the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway by SAOB community (Miller et al., 2013). DB22 biodegradation may result in increasing concentrations of ammonia as well. Both the source of the inoculum and the presence of DB22 might have influenced the predominance of hydrogen-utilizing methanogens.

SRB community structure in samples from phases PIII and PIV – which correspond to the operation with added sulfate – did not present a significant shift after the increment in DB22 loading rate (supplementary materials). Although *Desulfobulbus propionicus* demonstrated a large increase in proportion in phase PIV (approximately 52%), this enrichment had started in the previous phase, at lower concentrations of the azo dye. *Desulfobulbus propionicus* is capable of oxidizing propionate in the presence of sulfate, sulfite or thiosulfate as terminal electron acceptors (Widdel and Pfennig, 1982). Sulfide produced (Reaction 1) can be involved in further transformation of DB22 reduction products in R2, as well as in additional removal of color, since an increase in the concentration of total aromatic amines was observed in this reactor (Oliveira et al., 2022). This is because chemical reduction of azo bonds by sulfide can be expected under sulfidogenic conditions, but the contribution of this mechanism was found to be little compared to biological reduction (Van Der Zee et al., 2003). Propionate oxidation leads to formation of acetate (Reaction 1), and therefore *D. propionicus* can establish syntrophic interactions with *Methanosaeta concilii* instead of competing for acetate.

\[
4\text{propionate}^- + 3\text{SO}_4^{2-} \rightarrow 4\text{acetate}^- + 4\text{HCO}_3^- + 3\text{HS}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (\text{Reaction 1})
\]

### 3.6. Syntrophic acetate-oxidizing bacterial (SAOB) and acetogenic communities in the two-stage AD system

Amplification sequencing targeting the *fhs* gene was conducted in samples from the two-stage AD system to investigate the SAOB and acetogenic communities. A low diversity of these populations was observed in R1, where *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* predominated in all operational phases (62–95%), regardless of the presence of DB22 or sulfate (supplementary materials). *L. mesenteroides* is an heterolactic lactic acid bacterium (LAB) that produces lactic acid, ethanol and/or acetate as
main fermentation end-products (Ozcan et al., 2019).

On the other hand, the homolactic LAB *Lactococcus lactis* was clearly selected in the presence of the azo dye, increasing its relative abundance from 0 to 2.4% in the stabilization phase to up to 11.5% at 32.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) DB22 (Phases PII and PIII), and further to 16.1% when DB22 concentration was further increased to 65 mg L\(^{-1}\) DB22 (Phase PIV). These results are compatible with those from the 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing analysis (Section 3.4) and suggests that *L. lactis* is involved in reductive decolorization of DB22 through the pathway in Eq. 8 (Table 2). However, it is not clear whether decolorization mediated by *L. lactis* is a typical co-metabolic reaction carried by redox mediators. NADH + H\(^+\) produced in glycolysis is recycled during lactate formation from pyruvate, resulting in no net hydrogen production. Other studies reported azo dye reduction by *L. lactis* (You and Teng, 2009) and LAB (Perez-Diaz and McFeeters, 2009). We hypothesize that azo dye decolorization by *L. lactis* occurs through the action of enzymes with high specificity to azo dyes, since genes encoding the production of the enzyme FMN-dependent NADH-azoreductase were found in the genome of *L. lactis* isolated from this same reactor (unpublished data).

SAOB and acetogenic communities were more diverse in R2 (supplementary materials). *Prevotella melaninogenica* was remarkably enriched at higher DB22 concentrations (Phase PIV), accounting for up to 41.1% of the *fhs* sequences. This bacterium is able to produce acetic and succinic acids as primary end products of glucose or lactose fermentation (Shah and Gharbia, 1992). On the other hand, *Sporolitus thermophilus* (Ogg and Patel, 2009), which utilizes both malate and citrate, decreased in proportion throughout R2 operation, particularly under sulfidogenic conditions (Phases PIII and PIV). Probably, this apparent drop in the relative abundance is rather a consequence of the enrichment of *P. melaninogenica*.

*Brachybacterium phenoliresistens* presented an increasing pattern in its relative abundance values as from phase PII, i.e. after sulfate addition. This species can degrade hydrocarbons under high salinity conditions and have been isolated from oil-contaminated sites (Chou et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014). The ability to survive in saline environments explain the enrichment of this bacterium after exposure to sulfate. Moreover, the proportion of *B. phenoliresistens* further increased to 12.4% when higher DB22 concentrations were loaded into the system, which can be attributed to the ability of this species to tolerate high levels of phenol and degrade hydrocarbons. DB22 was converted to aromatic compounds...
in the preceding unit, which could not be further mineralized, but were transformed in R2 (Oliveira et al., 2022). This means that B. phenoliresistens could be involved in the transformation of hydrocarbons in R2.

4. Conclusion

Azo dye decolorization in the two-stage AD system occurs mainly as a result of hydrogen-producing reactions (in the form of H₂) in R2. -


Appendix A. Supplementary data

Data will be made available on request.
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