



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY  
DENMARK

## Serological biomarkers of COVID-19 severity at hospital admission are not related to long-term post-COVID pain symptoms in hospitalized COVID-19 survivors

Fernández-de-Las-Peñas, César; Ryan-Murua, Pablo; de-la-Llave-Rincón, Ana I; Gómez-Mayordomo, Víctor; Arendt-Nielsen, Lars; Torres-Macho, Juan

*Published in:*  
Pain

*DOI (link to publication from Publisher):*  
[10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002608](https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002608)

*Publication date:*  
2022

*Document Version*  
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Fernández-de-Las-Peñas, C., Ryan-Murua, P., de-la-Llave-Rincón, A. I., Gómez-Mayordomo, V., Arendt-Nielsen, L., & Torres-Macho, J. (2022). Serological biomarkers of COVID-19 severity at hospital admission are not related to long-term post-COVID pain symptoms in hospitalized COVID-19 survivors. *Pain*, 163(11), 2112-2117. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002608>

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# PAIN

## Serological biomarkers of COVID-19 severity at hospital admission are not related to long-term post-COVID pain symptoms in hospitalized COVID-19 survivors --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	PAIN-D-21-01096R3
<b>Full Title:</b>	Serological biomarkers of COVID-19 severity at hospital admission are not related to long-term post-COVID pain symptoms in hospitalized COVID-19 survivors
<b>Article Type:</b>	Clinical Note
<b>Keywords:</b>	COVID-19, pain, post-COVID, biomarkers.
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	César Fernández de las Peñas, PT, PhD Universidad Rey Juan Carlos Alcorcón, Madrid SPAIN
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Universidad Rey Juan Carlos
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	César Fernández de las Peñas, PT, PhD
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	César Fernández de las Peñas, PT, PhD Pablo Ryan-Murua, MD Ana I De-la-Llave-Rincón, PT, PhD Victor Gómez-Mayordomo, MD Lars Arendt-Nielsen, PhD Juan Torres-Macho, MD, PhD
<b>Additional Information:</b>	
<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>
Have you posted this manuscript on a preprint server (e.g., arXiv.org, BioXriv, PeerJ Preprints)?	No

## Abstract

1  
2  
3           This study investigated the association between serological biomarkers at hospital  
4 admission with the development of long-term post-COVID pain symptoms in previously  
5 hospitalized COVID-19 survivors. A cohort study including patients hospitalised due to  
6 COVID-19 in one urban hospital of Madrid (Spain) during the first wave of the outbreak  
7 was conducted. Hospitalisation data, clinical data and eleven serological biomarkers were  
8 collected at hospital admission. Participants were scheduled for an individual telephone  
9 interview after hospital discharge for collecting data about post-COVID pain symptoms.  
10 A total of 412 (mean age: 62, SD: 15 years; 46.1% women) were assessed twice, a mean  
11 of 6.8 and 13.2 months after discharge. The prevalence of post-COVID pain symptoms  
12 was 42.7% (n=176) and 36.2% (n=149) at 6.8 and 13.2 months after hospital discharge.  
13 Patients reporting post-COVID pain exhibited a greater number of COVID-19 associated  
14 symptoms at hospital admission, more medical comorbidities, higher lymphocyte count,  
15 and lower glucose and creatine kinase (CK) levels (all,  $P<0.01$ ) than those not reporting  
16 post-COVID pain. The multivariate analysis revealed that lower CK and glucose levels  
17 were significantly associated, but just explaining 6.9% of the variance of suffering post-  
18 COVID pain. In conclusion, the association between serological biomarkers associated  
19 with COVID-19 severity at hospital admission and the development of post-COVID pain  
20 is small. Other factors, e.g., higher number of COVID-19 onset symptoms (higher  
21 symptom load) could be more relevant for the development of post-COVID pain. As  
22 inflammatory biomarkers were not directly analyzed, they may have stronger predictive  
23 strengths for the development of post-COVID pain symptoms.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 **Key words:** COVID-19, pain, post-COVID, biomarkers.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## **Serological biomarkers of COVID-19 severity at hospital admission are not related to long-term post-COVID pain symptoms in hospitalized COVID-19 survivors**

César Fernández-de-las-Peñas<sup>1,2</sup> Dr. Med, PhD; Pablo Ryan-Murua<sup>3</sup> MD; Ana I de-la-Llave-Rincón<sup>1</sup> PT, PhD; Víctor Gómez-Mayordomo<sup>4</sup> MD; Lars Arendt-Nielsen<sup>2,5</sup> Dr. Med, PhD; Juan Torres-Macho<sup>3,6</sup> MD

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos (URJC), Madrid. Spain.

<sup>2</sup> Center for Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP), SMI, Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

<sup>3</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor-Virgen de la Torre, Madrid Spain

<sup>4</sup> Department of Neurology, Hospital Clínico San Carlos. Madrid, Spain

<sup>5</sup> Department of Medical Gastroenterology, Mech-Sense, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

<sup>6</sup> Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

### **Corresponding / reprint requests author:**

César Fernández-de-las-Peñas Telephone number: + 34 91 488 88 84

Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud

Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

Fax number: +34 91 488 89 57

Avenida de Atenas s/n

28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, SPAIN

Email: cesar.fernandez@urjc.es

## Introduction

1  
2  
3 Symptoms associated with the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2  
4 (SARS-CoV-2) affect different systems [25]. Different biomarkers had been investigated  
5 at the acute phase to identify individuals at a risk for developing a worse hospital course  
6 during the infection. Hematological (lymphocyte count, neutrophil count), inflammatory  
7 (C-reactive protein [CRP], erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR], procalcitonin [PCT]),  
8 immunological (interleukin IL-6) and biochemical (D-dimer, troponin, creatine kinase  
9 [CK]) markers have been investigated. A meta-analysis (56 studies, n=8,719 patients)  
10 found that patients with severe COVID-19 exhibited higher levels of some inflammatory  
11 biomarkers such as white blood cell count, CRP, ESR, PCT, or IL-6 than those with mild  
12 COVID-19 [13].  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 Most studies have investigated biomarker relevance during the acute phase of the  
28 infection; however, whether biomarkers of a worse infection prognosis were correlated  
29 with development of post-COVID symptoms is less known. Different meta-analyses  
30 reported that 60% of COVID-19 survivors develop post-COVID symptoms six months  
31 following the infection [4,15]. The association between post-COVID symptoms and  
32 serological biomarkers associated with COVID-19 severity is controversial. Mandal et al.  
33 reported that 30.1% and 9.5% of COVID-19 survivors showed elevated D-dimer and CRP  
34 two months after hospital discharge but no association with any post-COVID symptom  
35 was found [16]. Townsend et al. did not find an association between post-COVID fatigue  
36 and laboratory biomarkers of inflammation and cell turnover at hospital admission [23].  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 One relevant post-COVID symptom, which is not specifically reported in previous  
52 COVID-19 literature is chronic pain [4,15]. Just one published meta-analysis has focused  
53 on post-COVID pain symptoms and reported a prevalence of 10.9% and 7.7% for myalgia  
54 and arthralgia as post-COVID pain symptoms the first six months after the infection [10].  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Interestingly, studies specifically focusing on post-COVID pain symptoms have reported  
2 prevalence rates of 60% the first three months after infection [2,14,22]. It seems that post-  
3 COVID pain maybe underreported in general cohort post-COVID studies [10].  
4  
5

6  
7 Several of the proinflammatory signaling molecules elevated in COVID-19 patients  
8 due to the cytokine storm could impact skeletal muscle. Preliminary evidence suggests an  
9 association between laboratory biomarkers and the presence of pain symptoms at hospital  
10 admission and at the post-COVID phase. Batur et al. found an increase in CK levels and  
11 lymphocyte count in patients presenting myalgia as a symptom at hospital admission [3].  
12 Bakılan et al. showed lower lymphocyte count and higher D-dimer levels in individuals  
13 developing post-COVID pain symptom [2]. However, both studies included small sample  
14 sizes with short-term follow-ups [2,3]. Monitoring serological biomarkers of COVID-19  
15 severity at the acute phase could help for identifying patients at a higher risk of developing  
16 post-COVID pain, and, hence, indicate the need for timely interventions. We present a  
17 cohort study of hospitalized COVID-19 survivors assessed at 6- and 12-months after  
18 discharge for the presence of post-COVID pain. Our aim was to investigate the  
19 association between serological biomarkers of COVID-19 severity at hospital admission  
20 with post-COVID pain symptoms in previously hospitalized COVID-19 survivors. We  
21 hypothesized that biomarkers related to COVID-19 severity could serve as antecedent  
22 biomarkers (risk of developing a condition) for post-COVID pain symptoms.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

## 56 **Methods**

### 57 **Participants**

1 This cohort study included patients hospitalized because of SARS-CoV-2 infection  
2 during the first wave of the pandemic (from March 20 to June 30, 2020) from an urban  
3 hospital in Madrid (Spain). All participants have been diagnosed with real-time reverse  
4 transcription-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay of nasopharyngeal/oral swab sample  
5 and the presence of clinical and radiological findings at hospital admission. The study  
6 was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor  
7 (HUIL/092-20). Participants were informed of the study and all provided their respective  
8 informed consent.  
9

### 10 **Hospitalization Data**

11 Clinical and hospitalization data including age, gender, height, weight, COVID-  
12 19 associated onset symptoms at hospital admission, pre-existing comorbidities, intensive  
13 care unit [ICU] admission were systematically collected at hospital admission. Further,  
14 serological values of hemoglobin, lymphocyte count, neutrophil count, platelet count,  
15 glucose, CRP, CK, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), D-dimer, alanine transaminase (ALT)  
16 and aspartate transaminase (AST) were also systematically collected. The number of days  
17 in hospital was collected from medical records.  
18

### 19 **Post-COVID Pain Symptoms Assessment**

20 Participants who agreed to participate in the study were scheduled for a telephone semi-  
21 structured interview by trained healthcare researchers. Patients were asked to report the  
22 three most bothersome post-COVID symptoms. A specific questionnaire focusing on pain  
23 symptoms was developed. Participants were asked for the presence of pain symptoms  
24 appearing after hospital discharge and whether the reported pain symptom persisted at the  
25 time of the study. We focused on the presence of post-COVID pain symptoms, e.g., neck  
26 pain, shoulder pain, widespread pain, differentiating from headache (i.e., migraine-like  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 pain). We did not include headache due to the need for a proper diagnosis according to  
2 agreed classifications.  
3

4 We defined post-COVID pain as: 1) pain symptoms compatible with a diagnosis  
5 of chronic primary musculoskeletal pain, as defined by the International Association for  
6 the Study of Pain (IASP) [19]; 2) symptoms experienced for at least three consecutive  
7 months after hospital discharge, and 3) absence of any underlying medical condition  
8 which could best explain pain, e.g., arthritis. Participants were asked to differentiate the  
9 symptoms beginning after SARS-CoV-2 infection from their previous pain condition.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

### 19 **Statistical Analysis**

20 The STATA 16.1 program (StataCorp. 2019. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16.  
21 College Station, TX: StataCorp LP. USA) was used for the analysis. Data are presented  
22 as means (standard deviation, SD) or percentages as appropriate. McNemar's chi-squared  
23 test and paired Student t-tests were conducted to compare proportions and means between  
24 patients with and without post-COVID pain symptoms at 6- and 12-months follow-ups.  
25 Missing values were imputed by using median imputation. A multiple lineal hierarchical  
26 regression analysis including all variables (age, gender, height, weight, COVID-19 onset  
27 symptoms at hospital admission, pre-existing comorbidities, ICU admission, serological  
28 biomarkers, and days in hospital) was conducted to determine which of these variables  
29 contributed significantly to the presence of post-COVID pain symptoms. The significance  
30 criterion of the critical F value for entry into the regression equation was set at  $P < 0.05$ .  
31 Changes in adjusted  $R^2$  were reported after each step of the regression model to determine  
32 the association of the additional variables.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

### 52 **Results**

1 From a total of 450 hospitalized patients invited to participate, ten refused to  
2 participate, eight could not be contacted after three attempts, and twenty had deceased  
3 after hospital discharge. Finally, 412 patients (mean age: 62, SD: 15 years; 46.1% women)  
4 were included in the study.  
5  
6  
7  
8

9 Participants were assessed at a mean of 6.8 (range 6 to 8) and 13.2 (range 12 to  
10 14) months after hospital discharge. At the time of the evaluation, 176 (42.7%) patients  
11 reported post-COVID pain symptoms six months after hospital discharge, whereas 149  
12 (36.2%) reported post-COVID pain symptoms twelve months after.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 **Table 1** compares clinical and hospitalization data between individuals developing  
20 and not developing post-COVID pain at 6-months. A similar distribution at 12-months  
21 was observed (data not shown). Patients developing post-COVID pain 6-months after  
22 hospital discharge exhibited a greater number of symptoms at hospital admission,  
23 particularly a higher prevalence of myalgia and headache ( $P<0.01$ ) and a greater number  
24 of comorbidities than those not exhibiting post-COVID pain at 6-months (**Table 1**).  
25 Additionally, a greater proportion ( $P=0.005$ ) of patients developing post-COVID pain  
26 symptoms ( $n=91$ , 51.7%) reported previous pain symptoms. From these patients suffering  
27 from previous pain symptoms, 62 (35.2%) reported that post-COVID pain was different  
28 from previous symptomatology (new-onset post-COVID pain), whereas the remaining 29  
29 (16.4%) experienced an increase of their previous symptoms (exacerbated post-COVID  
30 related-pain). The remaining 84 patients (48.3%) reported new-onset post-COVID  
31 related-pain, since they did not suffer from previous symptoms before the infection.  
32 Accordingly, the prevalence of new-onset post-COVID pain symptoms was up to 83.6%.  
33 Further, no significant differences in the prevalence of the most bothersome post-COVID  
34 symptoms, being these fatigue, dyspnea and brain fog, were seen between those  
35 experiencing or not experiencing post-COVID pain (**Table 2**).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Patients with post-COVID pain exhibited higher lymphocyte count and lower glucose  
2 and CK levels on hospital admission (all,  $P < 0.01$ ) than those not developing post-COVID  
3 pain symptoms at both 6- and 12-months (**Table 3**). The stepwise regression analysis  
4 revealed that lower levels of CK (step 1:  $r^2$  adj: 0.05; B: -0.337; 95%CI -0.566, -0.109;  
5  $P = 0.004$ ) and glucose (step 2:  $r^2$  adj: 0.069; B: -0.003; 95%CI -0.005, -0.001;  $P = 0.047$ )  
6 were significantly associated, but just explained 6.9% of the variance, of suffering from  
7 long-term post-COVID pain.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

## 19 **Discussion**

20 This study found that post-COVID pain symptoms were present in almost 40% of  
21 COVID-19 survivors the first year after hospital discharge. In addition, patients reporting  
22 post-COVID pain exhibited higher lymphocyte count, and lower levels of glucose and  
23 CK at hospital admission than those not reporting post-COVID pain symptoms, although  
24 this association was small.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Our prevalence data are slightly lower than those previously reported by small cohort  
35 studies providing prevalence rates of post-COVID pain up to 60% at one [2,14] and three  
36 [22] months after the infection, but much higher than the prevalence rates (10% to 15%)  
37 reported in a recent meta-analysis including general cohort studies [10]. Data may vary  
38 significantly depending on how focused the study is on specifically pain or general post-  
39 COVID symptoms.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 Potential pathophysiologic mechanisms proposed for explaining post-COVID pain  
50 symptomatology include a systemic immune response with prolonged inflammation, viral  
51 toxicity, hypercoagulability, and microvascular injury [1]. Supporting some of these  
52 hypotheses, lower lymphocyte count (lower immune response) and higher D-dimer levels  
53 (coagulopathy) have been found in individuals reporting post-COVID pain three months  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 after SARS-CoV-2 infection [2]. Our results are contrary to the data reported by Bakılan  
2 et al [2] since higher lymphocyte count (lymphocytosis) suggesting an “exaggerated”  
3 immune response, was observed in individuals developing long-term post-COVID pain.  
4  
5

6  
7 Additionally, since the presence of angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) and  
8 transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) receptors is higher in the muscle tissue than  
9 in other tissues [8], another mechanism for developing post-COVID pain could be the  
10 presence of skeletal muscle injury. Skeletal muscle injury is associated with elevated CK  
11 levels (i.e., hyperckemia). In fact, hyperckemia has been associated with respiratory  
12 failure and fatal outcomes in COVID-19 patients [7]. The current study found lower CK  
13 levels at hospital admission in patients developing long-term post-COVID pain,  
14 suggesting that skeletal muscle injury seems to be not associated with post-COVID pain  
15 symptoms. In fact, it should be recognized that differences in CK values between pain  
16 developing and no-pain developing individuals were extremely low (i.e., a few tenths of  
17 mg/mL).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 Similarly, patients reporting post-COVID pain also showed lower glucose levels.  
35 Since increased blood glucose is associated with severe COVID-19 [6], our results would  
36 suggest that individuals with less severe COVID-19 would develop post-COVID pain.  
37  
38 Again, between-group differences in glucose levels were also low, hence, their clinical  
39 impact on the development of post-COVID pain symptoms seems to be small.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Other biomarkers included in our study were not associated with the presence of  
47 post-COVID pain. For instance, higher levels of CRP [17], higher D-dimer concentration  
48 [9], and lower platelet count [18], have been associated with more severe COVID-19. No  
49 differences in these biomarkers were seen depending on the development or not of long-  
50 term post-COVID pain symptoms.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

The biomarker levels observed in our study suggest a greater immune response (higher lymphocyte count) against the SARS-CoV-2 infection and a lower COVID-19 severity (lower glucose and CK levels) in individuals developing long-term post-COVID pain symptoms [20]; however, associations were small, after adjusting for all the variables during the multivariate regression analyses. It is possible that the fact that our sample was relatively young (<65 years old), with a low number of medical comorbidities and low death rate explain the lack of association between serological biomarkers and long-term post-COVID pain symptoms.

Other potential risk factors associated with post-COVID symptoms in general such as female gender, higher number of onset symptoms at hospital admission (higher symptom load) or longer hospital stay [12] could also influence the development of post-COVID pain. In fact, a greater number of acute onset symptoms at hospital admission, i.e., higher symptom load, was seen in patients developing post-COVID pain 6- and 12-months after hospital discharge. Interestingly, myalgia and headache were the symptoms at hospital admission with a greater prevalence in patients with post-COVID pain. In line with our results, previous studies reported that the presence of pain symptoms at the acute phase is a marker associated with good prognosis for hospitalization [21], but also is associated with post-COVID pain symptoms [11]. Based on current evidence, post-COVID pain has a multifactorial genesis where factors related to the pathogen (SARS-CoV-2 associated-factors) intersect with the host response (immune and biological responses), as well as with hospitalization (treatment-associated factors) and emotional (COVID-19 outbreak surrounding elements) factors [5].

The current study did not include headache due to its specific diagnostic criteria, which could limit the generality of the results. In fact, Trigo et al observed that patients experiencing headache as an onset symptom at hospital admission exhibited higher levels

1 of IL-10, but not other pro-inflammatory biomarkers, suggesting a more intense immune  
2 response in these patients [24]. We do not currently know the biomarker profile of those  
3  
4 individuals developing post-COVID headache.  
5  
6

7         Current data should be considered according to limitations of the study design.  
8  
9 First, data can be only applicable to previously hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Further,  
10 the number of individuals requiring ICU admission was small. Similarly, hospitalization  
11 treatments, e.g., amount of sedation, medication intake received for the acute infection,  
12 or presence of neuromuscular symptoms associated with ICU admission) were not  
13 collected. Second, post-COVID symptoms were collected by telephone, a procedure with  
14 a potential bias in population-based survey studies. Nevertheless, telephone interview is  
15 a common method used in cohort studies investigating post-COVID pain [10]. Third,  
16 although we collected data on post-COVID pain symptoms at two different follow-up  
17 periods, it would be difficult exclusively to attribute to SARS-CoV-2 infection to the  
18 development of post-COVID pain symptoms. Fourth, we focused on pain symptoms  
19 potentially considered of musculoskeletal origin; however, due to the use of telephone  
20 interviews, characterization of the pain symptoms is not available and we are not able to  
21 properly classify the observed post-COVID pain as musculoskeletal or neuropathic in  
22 origin. In fact, factors that could potentially influence the development of post-COVID  
23 pain, such as depression or anxiety, were not evaluated in this study. Studies  
24 characterizing and classifying the nature of post-COVID pain symptoms are clearly  
25 needed. Finally, as specific inflammatory biomarkers, e.g., cytokines, were not assessed  
26 in the current study, they may exhibit strong predictive strengths for the development of  
27 post-COVID pain. Similarly, we did not collect data about the intensity or severity of  
28 post-COVID pain symptoms; therefore, we were not able to determine the proportion of  
29 patients showing disabling symptomatology.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Conclusions

This study found a weak association between serological biomarkers associated with COVID-19 severity at hospital admission and the development of long-term post-COVID pain symptoms in previously hospitalized patients. Other factors such as higher number of acute onset symptoms at hospital admission (higher symptom load) could be more relevant for the development of post-COVID pain symptoms.

## Role of the Funding Source

The project was supported by a grant from the Novo Nordisk Foundation 0067235 (Denmark) and by a grant associated with the Fondo Europeo De Desarrollo Regional - Recursos REACT-UE del Programa Operativo de Madrid 2014-2020, en la línea de actuación de proyectos de I+D+i en materia de respuesta a COVID 19 (LONG-COVID-EXP-CM). Both sponsors had no role in the design, collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data, draft, review, or approval of the manuscript or its content. The authors were responsible for the decision to submit the manuscript for publication, and the sponsor did not participate in this decision.

## Acknowledgements

The Center for Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP) is supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF121) and Norvo Nordic Foundation (NNF21OC0067235).

The LONG-COVID-EXP-CM is supported by Fondo Europeo De Desarrollo Regional - Recursos REACT-UE del Programa Operativo de Madrid 2014-2020.

## Declaration of interests

No conflict of interest is declared by any of the authors

## References

1. Afrin LB, Weinstock LB, Molderings GJ. COVID-19 hyperinflammation and post-Covid-19 illness may be rooted in mast cell activation syndrome. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020; 100: 327-332.
2. Bakılan F, Gökmen İG, Ortanca B, Uçan A, Eker Güvenç Ş, Şahin Mutlu F, Gökmen HM, Ekim A. Musculoskeletal symptoms and related factors in postacute COVID-19 patients. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2021: e14734.
3. Batur EB, Korez MK, Gezer IA, Levendoglu F, Ural O. Musculoskeletal symptoms and relationship with laboratory findings in patients with COVID-19. *Int J Clin Pract* 2021; 75: e14135.
4. Cares-Marambio K, Montenegro-Jiménez Y, Torres-Castro R, Vera-Uribe R, Torralba Y, Alsina-Restoy X, et al. Prevalence of potential respiratory symptoms in survivors of hospital admission after coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chron Respir Dis*. 2021; 18: 14799731211002240.
5. Cascella M, Del Gaudio A, Vittori A, Bimonte S, Del Prete P, Forte CA, Cuomo A, De Blasio E. COVID-Pain: Acute and late-onset painful clinical manifestations in COVID-19: Molecular mechanisms and research perspectives. *J Pain Res* 2021; 14: 2403-2412.
6. Chen J, Wu C, Wang X, Yu J, Sun Z. The impact of COVID-19 on blood glucose: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol* 2020; 11: 574541.
7. De Rosa A, Verrengia EP, Merlo I, Rea F, Siciliano G, Corrao G, Prella A. Muscle manifestations and CK levels in COVID infection: results of a large cohort of patients inside a Pandemic COVID-19 Area *Acta Myol*. 2021; 40: 1-7.



- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
8. Disser NP, De Micheli AJ, Schonk MM, Konnaris MA, Piacentini AN, Edon DL, Toresdahl BG, Rodeo SA, Casey EK, Mendias CL. Musculoskeletal consequences of COVID-19. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2020; 102: 1197-1204.
9. Du WN, Zhang Y, Yu Y, Zhang RM. D-dimer levels is associated with severe COVID-19 infections: A meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pract* 2021; 75: e14031
10. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Navarro-Santana M, Plaza-Manzano G, Palacios-Ceña, Arendt-Nielsen L. Time course prevalence of post-COVID pain symptoms of musculoskeletal origin in patients who had survived to SARS-CoV-2 infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 2021 doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002496
11. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Rodríguez-Jiménez J, Fuensalida-Novo S, Palacios-Ceña M, Gómez-Mayordomo V, Florencio LL, Hernández-Barrera V, Arendt-Nielsen L. Myalgia as a symptom at hospital admission by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection is associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain as long-term post-COVID sequelae: a case-control study. *Pain*. 2021 doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002306
12. Iqbal FM, Lam K, Sounderajah V, Clarke JM, Ashrafian H, Darzi A. Characteristics and predictors of acute and chronic post-COVID syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine* 2021; 36: 100899.
13. Ji P, Zhu J, Zhong Z, Li H, Pang J, Li B, Zhang J. Association of elevated inflammatory markers and severe COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *Medicine* 2020; 99: e23315
14. Karaarslan F, Demircioğlu GF, Kardeş S. Postdischarge rheumatic and musculoskeletal symptoms following hospitalization for COVID-19: prospective follow-up by phone interviews. *Rheumatol Int* 2021; 41: 1263-1271

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
15. Lopez-Leon S, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Perelman C, Sepulveda R, Rebolledo PA, Cuapio A, Villapol S. More than 50 Long-term effects of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11: 16144.
  16. Mandal S, Barnett J, Brill SE, Brown JS, Denny EK, Hare SS, Heightman M, Hillman TE, Jacob J, Jarvis HC, Lipman MCI, Naidu SB, Nair A, Porter JC, Tomlinson GS, Hurst JR; ARC Study Group. 'Long-COVID': a cross-sectional study of persisting symptoms, biomarker and imaging abnormalities following hospitalisation for COVID-19. *Thorax*. 2021; 76: 396-398.
  17. Melo AKG, Milby KM, Caparroz ALMA, Pinto ACPN, Santos RRP, Rocha AP, Ferreira GA, Souza VA, Valadares LDA, Vieira RMRA, Pileggi GS, Trevisani VFM. Biomarkers of cytokine storm as red flags for severe and fatal COVID-19 cases: A living systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2021; 16: e0253894.
  18. Mitra S, Ling RR, Yang IX, Poon WH, Tan CS, Monagle P, MacLaren G, Ramanathan K. Severe COVID-19 and coagulopathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Acad Med Singap* 2021; 50: 325-335.
  19. Perrot S, Cohen M, Barke A, Korwisi B, Rief W, Treede RD; IASP Taskforce for the Classification of Chronic Pain. The IASP classification of chronic pain for ICD-11: chronic secondary musculoskeletal pain. *Pain* 2019; 160: 77-82.
  20. Ponti G, Maccaferri M, Ruini C, Tomasi A, Ozben T. Biomarkers associated with COVID-19 disease progression. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 2020; 57: 389-399
  21. Rubio-Rivas M, Corbella X, Mora-Luján JM, Loureiro-Amigo J, López Sampalo A, Yera Bergua C et al. Predicting clinical outcome with phenotypic clusters in COVID-19 pneumonia: an analysis of 12,066 hospitalized patients from the spanish registry SEMI-COVID-19. *J Clin Med* 2020; 9: 3488.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
22. Soares FHC, Kubota GT, Fernandes AM, Hojo B, Couras C, Costa BV, Lapa JDDS, Braga LM, Almeida MM, Cunha PHMD, Pereira VHH, Morais ADS, Teixeira MJ, Ciampi de Andrade D; “Pain in the Pandemic Initiative Collaborators”. Prevalence and characteristics of new-onset pain in COVID-19 survivors, a controlled study. *Eur J Pain* 2021; 25: 1342-1354
23. Townsend L, Dyer AH, Jones K, Dunne J, Mooney A, Gaffney F, O'Connor L, Leavy D, O'Brien K, Dowds J, Sugrue JA, Hopkins D, Martin-Loeches I, Ni Cheallaigh C, Nadarajan P, McLaughlin AM, Bourke NM, Bergin C, O'Farrelly C, Bannan C, Conlon N. Persistent fatigue following SARS-CoV-2 infection is common and independent of severity of initial infection. *PLoS One* 2020; 15: e0240784.
24. Trigo J, García-Azorín D, Sierra-Mencía Á, Tamayo-Velasco Á, Martínez-Paz P, Tamayo E, Guerrero AL, Gonzalo-Benito H. Cytokine and interleukin profile in patients with headache and COVID-19: A pilot, CASE-control, study on 104 patients. *J Headache Pain*. 2021; 22: 51.
25. Zhu J, Ji P, Pang J, Zhong Z, Li H, He C, Zhang J, Zhao C. Clinical characteristics of 3062 COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis. *J Med Virol* 2020; 92: 1902-14

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## **Serological Biomarkers of COVID-19 Severity at Hospital Admission are not Related to Long-Term Post-COVID Pain Symptoms in Hospitalized COVID-19 Survivors**

### **Summary**

Long-term post-COVID pain seems to be not associated with serological biomarkers of COVID-19 severity at hospital admission in previously hospitalized COVID-19 survivors. Other factors such as higher symptom load at the acute phase of the infection could be more relevant for the development of post-COVID pain symptoms.

**Table 1:** Demographic, clinical and hospitalisation data of COVID-19 patients according to the presence or absence of post-COVID pain at 6 months follow-up

	Post-COVID Pain (n=176)	No Post-COVID Pain (n=236)
<b>Age, mean (SD), years</b>	62.5 (14.0)	62.0 (16.5)
<b>Gender, male/female (%)</b>	78 (44.3%) / 98 (55.7%)	95 (40.2%) / 141 (59.8%)
<b>Weight, mean (SD), kg.</b>	75.1 (18.4)	75.6 (15.9)
<b>Height, mean (SD), cm.</b>	164.0 (12.0)	165 (10.0)
<b>Number of medical comorbidities*</b>	1.0 (0.85)	0.7 (0.80)
<b>Medical co-morbidities</b>		
Hypertension	52 (29.5%)	61 (25.8%)
Cardiovascular Diseases	26 (14.8%)	30 (12.7%)
Diabetes	18 (10.3%)	22 (9.3%)
Asthma	15 (8.5%)	23 (9.7%)
Obesity	10 (5.7%)	14 (5.9%)
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	10 (5.7%)	15 (6.4%)
Migraine	5 (2.9%)	7 (2.9%)
Other (Cancer, Kidney Disease)	30 (17.0%)	37 (15.7%)
<b>Previous Pain Symptomatology, n (%)*</b>	91 (51.7%)	86 (33.4%)
<b>Number of COVID-19 symptoms at hospital admission, mean (SD)*</b>	2.3 (0.8)	2.0 (0.7)
<b>Symptoms at hospital admission, n (%)</b>		
Fever	132 (75.0%)	186 (78.8%)
Dyspnoea	65 (36.9%)	89 (37.7%)
Myalgias*	59 (33.5%)	54 (23.3%)
Cough	36 (20.4%)	55 (23.3%)
Headache*	46 (26.1%)	38 (16.1%)
Diarrhoea	22 (12.5%)	31 (13.1%)
Anosmia	15 (8.5%)	20 (8.4%)
Ageusia	11 (6.3%)	15 (6.3%)
Throat Pain	5 (2.8%)	8 (3.4%)
Vomiting	5 (2.8%)	7 (3.0%)
Dizziness	8 (4.5%)	11 (4.7%)
<b>Stay at the hospital, mean (SD), days</b>	7.5 (4.5)	7.0 (4.5)
<b>Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission</b>		
Yes/No, n (%)	10 (5.7%) / 166 (94.3%)	9 (4.0%) / 227 (96.0%)
Stay at ICU, mean (SD), days	4.3 (2.7)	4.5 (4.4)

n: number; SD: Standard Deviation; \* Statistically significant differences between groups (P<0.01)

**Table 2:** Location of post-COVID Pain Symptoms and other post-COVID symptoms according to the presence or absence of post-COVID pain at 6 months follow-up

	<b>Post-COVID Pain (n=176)</b>	<b>No Post-COVID Pain (n=236)</b>
<b>Location of post-COVID Pain</b>		
Cervical Spine	15/176 (8.5%)	
Thorax-Chest	35/176 (19.9%)	
Lumbar Spine	14/176 (7.9%)	
Widespread Pain	40/176 (22.7%)	
Upper Extremity	12/176 (6.8%)	
Shoulder Area	15/176 (8.5%)	
Wrist-Elbow	10/176 (5.7%)	
Lower Extremity	20/176 (11.5%)	
Hip Region	5/176 (2.8%)	
Knee	10/176 (5.7%)	
<b>Other post-COVID Symptoms</b>		
Fatigue	125 (71.0%)	163 (69.1%)
Dyspnea	29 (16.5%)	42 (17.8%)
Brain Fog	26 (14.8%)	33 (14%)

**Table 3:** Laboratory biomarkers of COVID-19 patients according to the presence or absence of post-COVID pain at 6- and 12-months follow-up

<b>6 months follow-up period</b>		
	<b>Post-COVID Pain (n=176)</b>	<b>No Post-COVID Pain (n=236)</b>
<b>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</b>	13.9 (1.5)	14.0 (1.6)
<b>Lymphocyte (x10<sup>9</sup>/L)*</b>	1.15 (0.5)	1.05 (0.4)
<b>Neutrophils (x10<sup>9</sup>/L)</b>	5.15 (2.6)	5.25 (2.8)
<b>Platelets (x10<sup>9</sup>/L)</b>	281.7 (80.9)	290 (83.8)
<b>Glucose (mg/mL)*</b>	112.0 (31.0)	124.0 (37.5)
<b>Creatine (mg/L)*</b>	97.5 (36.4)	108.0 (44.5)
<b>Alanine transaminase (ALT, U/L)</b>	49.0 (39.4)	48.5 (37.6)
<b>Aspartate transaminase (AST, U/L)</b>	47.0 (34.1)	48.6 (30.6)
<b>Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH, U/L)</b>	271.8 (97.7)	286.7 (91.6)
<b>C-reactive protein (mg/L)</b>	78.9 (80.7)	84.7 (88.3)
<b>L-dimer (ng/mL)</b>	935.2 (848.9)	992.1 (993)
<b>12 months follow-up period</b>		
	<b>Post-COVID Pain (n=149)</b>	<b>No Post-COVID Pain (n=263)</b>
<b>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</b>	13.9 (1.6)	14.0 (1.5)
<b>Lymphocyte (x10<sup>9</sup>/L)*</b>	1.2 (0.45)	1.02 (0.4)
<b>Neutrophils (x10<sup>9</sup>/L)</b>	4.95 (2.5)	5.35 (2.8)
<b>Platelets (x10<sup>9</sup>/L)</b>	327.0 (95.0)	265.0 (74.5)
<b>Glucose (mg/mL)*</b>	114.0 (26.0)	122.0 (40.0)
<b>Creatine (mg/L)*</b>	92.1 (24.4)	110.0 (47.5)
<b>Alanine transaminase (ALT, U/L)</b>	51.0 (49.5)	47.5 (30.0)
<b>Aspartate transaminase (AST, U/L)</b>	50.2 (41.3)	46.6 (25.5)
<b>Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH, U/L)</b>	272.4 (85.8)	285.0 (98.5)
<b>C-reactive protein (mg/L)</b>	75.0 (79.5)	86.2 (87.8)
<b>L-dimer (ng/mL)</b>	818.5 (737.7)	1056.0 (1020.1)

n: number; SD: Standard Deviation; \* Statistically significant differences between groups (P<0.01)

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies  
**YOU MUST NOTE THE PAGE NUMBER WHERE EACH ITEM IS REPORTED INSIDE BRACKETS [ ] FOR EACH ITEM #. IF NOT APPLICABLE WRITE N/A**

	Item #	Recommendation
<b>Title and abstract</b>	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract [Title Page, page 1] (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found [Abstract]
<b>Introduction</b>		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported [1,2]
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses [2]
<b>Methods</b>		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper [3]
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection [3]
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up [3] <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed [3] <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable [3-4]
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group [3-4]
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias [N/A]
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at [N/A]
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why [3-4]
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding [4] (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions [4] (c) Explain how missing data were addressed [N/A] (d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed [4] <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses [4]



<b>Results</b>		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed [5] (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage [5] (c) Consider use of a flow diagram [N/A]
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders [] (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest [5] (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) [5]
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time [5] <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included [5] (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized [5] (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period [N/A]
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses [5]
<b>Discussion</b>		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives [5-7]
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias [8]
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence [5-7]
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results [5-7]
<b>Other information</b>		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based [9]

\*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at [www.strobe-statement.org](http://www.strobe-statement.org).

Click here to access/download

**Copyright Transfer Agreement--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**

PAIN\_eCopyright\_Transfer\_Form C Fernandez-de-las-  
Penas.pdf



Click here to access/download

**Copyright Transfer Agreement--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**  
PAIN\_eCopyright\_Transfer\_Form P Ryan-Murua.pdf

[Click here to access/download](#)

**Copyright Transfer Agreement--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**

**PAIN\_eCopyright\_Transfer\_Form Al De-la-Llave-  
Rincon.pdf**

[Click here to access/download](#)

**Copyright Transfer Agreement--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**  
PAIN\_eCopyright\_Transfer\_Form V Gomez-  
Mayordomo.pdf



Click here to access/download

**Copyright Transfer Agreement--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**  
PAIN\_eCopyright\_Transfer\_Form L Arendt-Nielsen.pdf



Click here to access/download

**Copyright Transfer Agreement--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**  
PAIN\_eCopyright\_Transfer\_Form J Torres-Macho.pdf



Click here to access/download

**ICMJE Conflict of Interest Form--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**

C Fernandez-de-las-Penas.pdf





Click here to access/download


**ICMJE Conflict of Interest Form--REQUIRED from ALL authors of submission at revision stage**

P Ryan-Murua.pdf




Click here to access/download

**ICMJE Conflict of Interest Form--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**  
AI De-la-Llave-Rincon.pdf



Click here to access/download

**ICMJE Conflict of Interest Form--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage  
V Gomez-Mayordomo.pdf**



Click here to access/download

**ICMJE Conflict of Interest Form--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage  
L Arendt-Nielsen.pdf**



Click here to access/download

**ICMJE Conflict of Interest Form--REQUIRED from ALL  
authors of submission at revision stage**

J Torres-Macho.pdf