

Coherent description of 48 metrics to compare, validate and assess accuracy of building energy models and indoor environment simulations

Johra, Hicham; Schaffer, Markus; Chaudhary, Gaurav; Syed Kazmi, Hussain; Le Dréau, Jérôme; Petersen, Steffen

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.54337/aau533917780](https://doi.org/10.54337/aau533917780)

Creative Commons License
CC BY 4.0

Publication date:
2023

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Johra, H., Schaffer, M., Chaudhary, G., Syed Kazmi, H., Le Dréau, J., & Petersen, S. (2023). *Coherent description of 48 metrics to compare, validate and assess accuracy of building energy models and indoor environment simulations*. Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University. DCE Technical Reports No. 314 <https://doi.org/10.54337/aau533917780>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



DEPARTMENT OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT
AALBORG UNIVERSITY

Coherent description of 48 metrics to compare, validate and assess accuracy of building energy models and indoor environment simulations

**Hicham Johra
Markus Schaffer
Gaurav Chaudhary
Hussain Syed Kazmi
Jérôme Le Dréau
Steffen Petersen**

Aalborg University
Department of the Built Environment
Division of Sustainability, Energy & Indoor Environment

Technical Report No. 314

**Coherent description of 48 metrics to
compare, validate and assess accuracy of
building energy models and indoor environment
simulations**

by

Hicham Johra
Markus Schaffer
Gaurav Chaudhary
Hussain Syed Kazmi
Jérôme Le Dréau
Steffen Petersen

June 2023

© Aalborg University

Scientific Publications at the Department of the Built Environment

Technical Reports are published for timely dissemination of research results and scientific work carried out at the Department of the Built Environment at Aalborg University. This medium allows publication of more detailed explanations and results than typically allowed in scientific journals.

Technical Memoranda are produced to enable the preliminary dissemination of scientific work by the personnel of the Department of the Built Environment where such release is deemed to be appropriate. Documents of this kind may be incomplete or temporary versions of papers—or part of continuing work. This should be kept in mind when references are given to publications of this kind.

Contract Reports are produced to report scientific work carried out under contract. Publications of this kind contain confidential matter and are reserved for the sponsors and the Department of the Built Environment. Therefore, Contract Reports are generally not available for public circulation.

Lecture Notes contain material produced by the lecturers at the Department of the Built Environment for educational purposes. This may be scientific notes, lecture books, example problems or manuals for laboratory work, or computer programs developed at the Department of the Built Environment.

Theses are monographs or collections of papers published to report the scientific work carried out at the Department of the Built Environment to obtain a degree as either PhD or Doctor of Technology. The thesis is publicly available after the defence of the degree.

Latest News is published to enable rapid communication of information about scientific work carried out at the Department of the Built Environment. This includes the status of research projects, developments in the laboratories, information about collaborative work and recent research results.

Published 2023 by
Aalborg University
Department of the Built Environment
Thomas Manns Vej 23
DK-9220 Aalborg Ø, Denmark

Printed in Aalborg at Aalborg University

ISSN 1901-726X
Technical Report No. 314

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	6
2	Metrics overview	7
3	Preliminary discussion on metrics limitations and pitfalls	17
4	References	19

1. Introduction

The correct evaluation of the performance of models used in the field of energy, building and indoor environment modelling is crucial to correctly assess the reliability of the results and the suitability of the model for the purpose.

This technical report is supplementary material to the work of Johra et al., 2023 [1], who conducted an extensive literature review of 259 papers to provide an overview of the evaluation metrics used by the energy, building and indoor environment research community.

The information gathered from the 259 reviewed papers is compiled in the spreadsheet attached to that technical report.

This technical report provides an overview of all the time series comparison metrics found for building energy and indoor environment modelling validation, using a consistent notation and naming convention and any alternative names for the respective metric (Table 1).

Such an overview should provide valuable guidance to both practitioners and researchers within the energy, buildings and indoor environment community.

Furthermore, the use of a consistent naming and equation notation should reduce the possibility of misunderstanding, which has been highlighted in Johra et al., 2023 [1].

In addition to this overview, Section 3 discusses possible limitations and pitfalls when evaluating models within the field of energy, building and indoor environment modelling, which provide additional support.

2 Metrics overview

Table 1 Overview of all metrics found, based on the work of Johra et al., 2023 [1]; the reference column lists all reviewed works that use the respective metric. It should be noted that the notation has been standardised and possibly simplified for the sake of simplicity and clarity and may therefore differ slightly from that given in the references. x = true/reference value; y = predicted/simulated value; n = number of data points; \bar{x}, \bar{y} = mean values; σ_x, σ_y = standard deviations

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Graphical	Qualitative graphical assessment	-	Qualitative graphical assessment					[2–219]
Absolute error based	MaxAE	-	Maximum Absolute Error	$MaxAE = \max\{ y_i - x_i \}$	X	$[0, +\infty]$	0	[36,72,111,121,176,220,221]
	0.5°C Percentage Error	-	0.5°C Percentage Error (or 1°C depending on the threshold)	$0.5^\circ C \text{ Percentage Error} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - x_i > 0.5^\circ C}{n}$		$[0, 1]$	0	[176]
	MAE	-	Mean Absolute Error	$MAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - x_i }{n}$	X	$[0, +\infty]$	0	[47,70,72,76,87,92,110,112,125,146,148,159,177,182,186,187,192,198,199,202,205,211,216,217,220–230]
	NMAE	MAE%	Normalised Mean Absolute Error	$NMAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - x_i }{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \times 100(\%) = \frac{MAE}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \times 100(\%)$	%	$[0, +\infty]$	0	[99,102,148,192]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Absolute error based	MANMAE	-	Mean Absolute Normalized MAE	$\text{MANMAE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - x_i }{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i } \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{\text{MAE}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i } \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[148]
	RNMAE	NMAE	Range Normalized Mean Absolute Error	$\text{RNMAE} = \frac{1}{\max\{x\} - \min\{x\}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - x_i }{n} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{1}{\max\{x\} - \min\{x\}} \cdot \text{MAE} \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[87]
	ZMAE	-	ZMAE	$\text{ZMAE} = \frac{1}{\sigma_x} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - x_i }{n} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{1}{\sigma_x} \cdot \text{MAE} \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[162]
	rMAE	relative MAE	Relative mean absolute error	rMAE = MAE(forecast) / MAE(baseline)	-	[0,+∞]	0	[228,230]
Bias based	MBE	-	Mean Bias Error	$\text{MBE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)}{n}$	X	[-∞,+∞]	0	[12,17,33,63,73,74,77,79,87,105,109,116,117,122,132,136,160,170,174,190,192,218,226,230–237]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Bias based	NMBE	RPE, RAE	Normalised Mean Bias Error	$NMBE = \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)}{n} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{1}{\bar{x}} \cdot MBE \times 100(\%)$	%	$[-\infty, +\infty]$	0	[78,83,88,89, 95,97,98,103, 108,114,119, 122,143,145, 147–149,162,167, 176,181,188 –190,192–195,197,212, 213,233,238 –246]
	RNMBE	-	Range Normalized Mean Bias Error	$RNMBE = \frac{1}{\max\{x\} - \min\{x\}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)}{n} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{1}{\max\{x\} - \min\{x\}} \cdot MBE \times 100(\%)$	%	$[-\infty, +\infty]$	0	[142]
Absolute Percentage Error	MaxAPE	MaxAPE	Maximum Absolute Percentage Error	$MaxAPE = \max \left\{ \left \frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i} \right \right\}$	X	$[0, +\infty]$	0	[23,36,72,111,220]
	MedianAPE	med(absRTE), MdAPE	Median of the absolute relative total error	$MedianAPE = median \left\{ \left \frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i} \right \right\}$	X	$[-\infty, +\infty]$	0	[154,238]
	MAPE	MAPD	Mean Absolute Percentage Error	$MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left \frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i} \right \times 100(\%)$	%	$[0, +\infty]$	0	[36,51,59,70, 72,92,97,98, 101,106,107, 113,118,122, 125,129,143, 154,159,164, 166,179,183, 186,198,202, 205,208,210, 211,216,220, 222,223,230, 233,237,247]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Square error based	MSE	-	Mean Square Error	$MSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{n}$	X ²	[0,+∞]	0	[70,125,132,166,184,186]
	NMSE	-	Normalised Mean Square Error	$NMSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \times 100(\%) = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \cdot MSE \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[160]
	IA	-	Index of Agreement	$IA = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{x} + x_i - \bar{x})^2} \times 100(\%) = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{x} + x_i - \bar{x})^2} \cdot MSE \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[70,221]
	EF	-	Modelling efficiency	$EF = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \times 100(\%) = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n} - MSE \right) \times 100(\%)$	%	[-∞,+∞]	100% (1)	[221]
	Theil's U	-	Theil's coefficients of inequality	$U_m = \frac{(\bar{y} - \bar{x})^2}{MSE}; U_v = \frac{(\sigma_y - \sigma_x)^2}{MSE}$ $U_c = \frac{2(1 - r_{xy}) \cdot \sigma_y \sigma_x}{MSE}; U_m + U_v + U_c = 1$	-	$U_m = [0,1]$ $U_v = [0,1]$ $U_c = [0,1]$	$U_m = 0$ $U_m = 0$ $U_c = 0$ A perfect match leads to non-definition	[85]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Square error based	RMSE	RMSD, DRMS	Root Mean Square Error	$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{n}} = \sqrt{MSE}$	X	[0,+∞]	0	[9,24,28,33,40,42,68,70,75,78,80–82,92,94,100,109,117,119,122,125,127,128,132,138,140,141,145,151,152,156–159,161,164,169,173,176,177,180,183,186,187,189,190,192,196–198,200,203,207,208,210,211,216–218,221,223,228,231–233,235,241,246,248–253]
	RMSEP	-	Root Mean Square Error Percentage	$RMSEP = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i}\right)^2}{n}} \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[51,91,94,110,200]
	RNRMSE	-	Range Normalized Root Mean Square Error	$RMSEP = \frac{1}{\max\{x\} - \min\{x\}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{n}} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{1}{\max\{x\} - \min\{x\}} \cdot RMSE \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[78,87,109,116,132,142,186]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Square error based	CVRMSE	(CV)RMSE, NRMSE, RMSE%, RRMSE	Coefficient of Variation of Root Mean Square Error	$CVRMSE = \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{n}} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{1}{\bar{x}} \cdot RMSE \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[12,17,28,33,42,48,60,63,73–75,78,79,83,85,88–90,95,97–99,102,103,105,108–110,114,116,132,143,145,147–149,160,162,167,170,171,174,175,181,186–190,192–196,203,212,213,232–234,236–238,240,242–245,254–256]
	RMSEIQR	-	Root Mean Square Error normalised by the interquartile range (IQR)	$RMSEIQR = \frac{1}{IQR\{x\}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{n}} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{1}{IQR\{x\}} \cdot RMSE \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[186]
	RMSLE	-	Root Mean Square Logarithmic Error	$RMSLE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\log(y_i + 1) - \log(x_i + 1))^2}{n}}$	X	[0,+∞]	0	[186,257,258]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Square error based	ZRMSE	-	ZRMSE	$ZRMSE = \frac{1}{\sigma_x} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{n}} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{1}{\sigma_x} \cdot RMSE \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[162]
	NRMSE	-	Normalized root mean squared error	$NRMSE = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} \times 100(\%) =$ $\frac{n}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} \cdot RMSE \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[259]
Based on statistical dispersion	Var	-	variance	$Var(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}$ $Var(y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n - 1}$	X ²	[0,+∞]	-	[85]
	sd_ratio	-	standard deviation ratio	$sd_{ratio} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y - \bar{y})^2}{n - 1}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}}} = \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_x}$	X]0,+∞]	1	[85]
	sd_error	-	standard deviation of any error metric	$sd_{error} = sd(\text{any error metric})$	X	[0, +∞]	0 A perfect match leads to non-definition	[47,48]
	Cov	-	covariance	$cov(x,y) = \left(\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{n - 1} \right)$	X ²	[-∞,+∞]	1	[85]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Correlation based	r	-	Pearson correlation coefficient	$r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$	-	[-1,+1]	1	[48,85,125,203,208,236]
	r _s	ρ	Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (Pearson correlation on ranks of x and y)	$r_s = r_{R(x)R(y)}$	-	[-1,+1]	1	[76,167,208]
	T	τ	Kendall rank correlation coefficient	$\tau = 1 - \frac{2(\text{number of disconcordant pairs})}{\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)}$	-	[-1,+1]	1	[208]
Relative difference based	Calibration signature	-	Calibration signature	$\text{Calibration signature}_i = \frac{-(y_i - x_i)}{\max\{y\}} \times 100(\%)$	X	[-∞,+∞]	0	[178]
	CRM	-	Coefficient of residual mass	$CRM = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$	X	[-∞,+∞]	0	[221]
Miscellaneous	SSE	SSR	Sum of Squared Errors	$RSS = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2$	X ²	[0,+∞]	0	[25,28,82,211]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Miscellaneous	R ²	-	Coefficient of determination (R squared)	$R^2 = \frac{ESS}{TSS} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} =$ $1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$	-	[0,1]	1	[22,23,28,34,60,69–71,74,78,85,88,97–99,103,108,109,116,123,125,127,130,133,136,141,145,146,149,151,154,157,159,162,167,169,171,175,186,189,192,194,196,198,207,208,210–212,216,217,219,222,230,236,237,241,243,244,252,254,255]
	GOF	-	Goodness of Fit	$GOF = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{CVRMSE}^2 \cdot CVRMSE^2 + \omega_{NMBE}^2 \cdot NMBE^2}{\omega_{CVRMSE}^2 + \omega_{NMBE}^2}} \times 100(\%) \text{ OR }$ $\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{CVRMSE}^2 \cdot CVRMSE^2 + \omega_{MBE}^2 \cdot MBE^2}{\omega_{CVRMSE}^2 + \omega_{MBE}^2}} \times 100(\%)$	%	[0,+∞]	0	[88,103,160,178]
	nCPBES	-	normalised Cumulated Periodogram Boundary Excess Sum					[260]
	DTW	-	Dynamic Time Warping					[132,186]

	Main acronym	Alternative acronyms	Full name	Equation	Unit	Range	Optimum value (perfect model fit)	Reference
Miscellaneous	COR	-	Dissimilarities based on Pearson's correlation					[186]
	CORT	-	Dissimilarities based on temporal correlation and raw value behaviours					[186]
	Frechet distance	-	Frechet distance					[186]
	Auto-correlation	-	Auto-correlation		-	[0,1]	ACF at non-zero lags should be (close to) 0	[94]

3 Preliminary discussion on metrics limitations and pitfalls

Common issues that may arise when evaluating a model in the energy, buildings and indoor environment sectors are outlined below.

The first issue discussed arises when true values are close to or equal to zero. Such situations arise, for example, when dealing with energy used for heating in temperate climates, where heating may not be used during (some periods of) summer. Another possibility is large amplitude changes over the evaluated time series, requiring units that result in values close to zero for part of the data. In such a case, a "value by value" normalisation, such as is done for MAPE, can lead to instability or undefined values. One way to avoid such problems is to normalise the results on a higher temporal granularity, for example, daily.

Such normalisation is commonly done using the mean of the reference data over the whole time series, for example, for CVRMSE or NMBE. However, while such an approach avoids the above problem and can therefore handle data with values close to or equal to zero, a problem arises for data with varying magnitude. Such (seasonally) varying magnitude is common when evaluating energy use data and can lead to biased results, as periods with high magnitude are likely to have a higher weight. For instance, when evaluating the accuracy of a building space heating demand model with CVRMSE, the results will vary greatly (it can be several orders of magnitude) if computing the metric on a monthly basis (normalization by the monthly average), a yearly basis (normalization by the yearly average), or computing the CVRMSE for each month with normalization by the yearly average. For a constant model bias, the CVRMSE is much higher in the periods when the average is low (i.e., during the summer periods for the considered example case) and much lower in the periods when the average is high (i.e., during the winter periods). Similarly, shifting the average over the entire analysis period will significantly change the CVRMSE results. For example, for the same model, increasing the overall/average heating demand (introducing a constant bias for both the reference and the tested model) will improve (decrease) the CVRMSE while the Coefficient of Determination (R^2) will not change. This issue was also discussed in Johra et al., 2021 [186] and can be mitigated if data are normalised on an appropriate temporal granularity, for example, daily, before aggregation. This issue also highlights the potential disadvantage of using metrics such as RMSE that are based on a certain distance (in the unit of the data or some modified unit of data) without considering the possible varying size of the data.

A similar issue arises when evaluating the performance of a model over different time series, for example, different buildings or different types of quantity (energy or temperature). In such a case, metrics in the unit of the quantity (or squared unit, etc.) are not appropriate, as possible differences in magnitude would distort any aggregation or make manual comparison difficult. Unitless and normalised metrics are more appropriate in such cases, as they allow aggregated results across different time series and quantities. However, the abovementioned problems can arise from normalisation and must be considered.

The next issue can arise when the reference data has outliers or possibly erroneous values. In such cases, metrics based on the squared error (such as MSE or RMSE), which penalise larger deviations more severely, can lead to biased results as a few data points can determine the metric result. Such a problem can be avoided by using metrics based on a distance other than the squared error and/or by normalising the results at some appropriate temporal level before aggregation, thus reducing the effect of a few extreme values.

From these few highlighted situations, which are not exhaustive, it can already be seen that care must be taken when selecting a metric for evaluation in order to avoid biased results. The main issue seems to be the problem of appropriate normalisation of metric results to take into account a possible variation in the magnitude of the quantity across the data and to be able to deal with (near) zero values.

4 References

- [1] H. Johra, M. Schaffer, G. Chaudhary, H.S. Kazmi, J. Le Dréau, S. Petersen, What metrics does the building energy performance community use to compare dynamic models?, in: Building Simulation 2023, 2023.
- [2] R.D. Judkoff, Validation of building energy analysis simulation programs at the solar energy research institute, Energy Build. 10 (1988) 221–239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(88\)90008-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(88)90008-4).
- [3] A.K. Meier, J. Busch, C.C. Conner, Testing the accuracy of a measurement-based building energy model with synthetic data, Energy Build. 12 (1988) 77–82. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(88\)90057-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(88)90057-6).
- [4] M. Zaheer-uddin, D. Seth, P. Fazio, Inter-model comparisons between three PC programs and blast, Energy Build. 13 (1989) 201–216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(89\)90033-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(89)90033-9).
- [5] S. Hokoi, M. Matsumoto, T. Ihara, Statistical time series models of solar radiation and outdoor temperature — Identification of seasonal models by Kalman filter, Energy Build. 15 (1990) 373–383. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(90\)90011-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(90)90011-7).
- [6] H. Yoshida, T. Terai, An ARMA type weather model for air-conditioning, heating and cooling load calculation, Energy Build. 16 (1991) 625–634. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(91\)90031-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(91)90031-W).
- [7] R. El Diasty, P. Fazio, I. Budaiwi, Modelling of indoor air humidity: the dynamic behaviour within an enclosure, Energy Build. 19 (1992) 61–73. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(92\)90036-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(92)90036-G).
- [8] G. Mihalakakou, M. Santamouris, D. Asimakopoulos, Modelling the earth temperature using multiyear measurements, Energy Build. 19 (1992) 1–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(92\)90031-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(92)90031-B).
- [9] A. Tzaferis, D. Liparakis, M. Santamouris, A. Argiriou, Analysis of the accuracy and sensitivity of eight models to predict the performance of earth-to-air heat exchangers, Energy Build. 18 (1992) 35–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(92\)90049-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(92)90049-M).
- [10] Y. Li, L. Fuchs, M. Sandberg, Numerical prediction of airflow and heat-radiation interaction in a room with displacement ventilation, Energy Build. 20 (1993) 27–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(93\)90036-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(93)90036-T).
- [11] D. Parker, P. Faurey, L. Gu, Simulation of the effects of duct leakage and heat transfer on residential space-cooling energy use, Energy Build. 20 (1993) 97–113. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(93\)90001-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(93)90001-B).
- [12] D.J.C. MacKay, others, Bayesian nonlinear modeling for the prediction competition, ASHRAE Trans. 100 (1994) 1053–1062.
- [13] P. Sobotka, H. Yoshino, S. Matsumoto, Thermal performance of three deep basements: a comparison of measurements with ASHRAE fundamentals and the Mitalas method, the European standard and the two-dimensional FEM program, Energy Build. 21 (1994) 23–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(94\)90013-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(94)90013-2).
- [14] S.Ø. Jensen, Validation of building energy simulation programs: a methodology, Energy Build. 22 (1995) 133–144. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(94\)00910-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(94)00910-C).
- [15] S. Lorente, M. Petit, R. Javelas, Simplified analytical model for thermal transfer in vertical hollow brick, Energy Build. 24 (1996) 95–103. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788\(95\)00965-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7788(95)00965-5).

- [16] K.J. Lomas, H. Eppel, C.J. Martin, D.P. Bloomfield, Empirical validation of building energy simulation programs, *Energy Build.* 26 (1997) 253–275. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(97\)00007-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(97)00007-8).
- [17] J.S. Haberl, S. Thamilseran, The great energy predictor shootout II, *ASHRAE J.* 40 (1998) 49.
- [18] R. Meldem, F. Winkelmann, Comparison of DOE-2 with temperature measurements in the Pala test houses, *Energy Build.* 27 (1998) 69–81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(97\)00027-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(97)00027-3).
- [19] A. Bossaer, D. Ducarme, P. Wouters, L. Vandaele, An example of model evaluation by experimental comparison: pollutant spread in an apartment, *Energy Build.* 30 (1999) 53–59. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(98\)00066-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(98)00066-8).
- [20] E. Nannei, C. Schenone, Thermal transients in buildings: development and validation of a numerical model, *Energy Build.* 29 (1999) 209–215. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(98\)00060-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(98)00060-7).
- [21] P. Aude, L. Tabary, P. Depecker, Sensitivity analysis and validation of buildings' thermal models using adjoint-code method, *Energy Build.* 31 (2000) 267–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(99\)00033-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(99)00033-X).
- [22] S. Kalogirou, Artificial neural networks for the prediction of the energy consumption of a passive solar building, *Energy.* 25 (2000) 479–491. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-5442\(99\)00086-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-5442(99)00086-9).
- [23] S.A. Kalogirou, Long-term performance prediction of forced circulation solar domestic water heating systems using artificial neural networks, *Appl Energy.* 66 (2000) 63–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619\(99\)00042-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619(99)00042-2).
- [24] M. Davies, S. Zoras, M.H. Adjali, Improving the efficiency of the numerical modelling of built environment earth-contact heat transfers, *Appl Energy.* 68 (2001) 31–42. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619\(00\)00040-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619(00)00040-4).
- [25] T.A. Mara, F. Garde, H. Boyer, M. Mamode, Empirical validation of the thermal model of a passive solar cell test, *Energy Build.* 33 (2001) 589–599. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(00\)00127-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(00)00127-4).
- [26] A. Ozaki, T. Watanabe, T. Hayashi, Y. Ryu, Systematic analysis on combined heat and water transfer through porous materials based on thermodynamic energy, *Energy Build.* 33 (2001) 341–350. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(00\)00116-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(00)00116-X).
- [27] F.W.H. Yik, J. Burnett, I. Prescott, Predicting air-conditioning energy consumption of a group of buildings using different heat rejection methods, *Energy Build.* 33 (2001) 151–166. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(00\)00094-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(00)00094-3).
- [28] M. Aydinalp, V. Ismet Ugursal, A.S. Fung, Modeling of the appliance, lighting, and space-cooling energy consumptions in the residential sector using neural networks, *Appl Energy.* 71 (2002) 87–110. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619\(01\)00049-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619(01)00049-6).
- [29] J. Kośny, E. Kossecka, Multi-dimensional heat transfer through complex building envelope assemblies in hourly energy simulation programs, *Energy Build.* 34 (2002) 445–454. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(01\)00122-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(01)00122-0).
- [30] M. Hernandez, M.A. Medina, D.L. Schruben, Verification of an energy balance approach to estimate indoor wall heat fluxes using transfer functions and simplified solar heat gain calculations, *Math Comput Model.* 37 (2003) 235–243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7177\(03\)00002-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7177(03)00002-5).

- [31] E. Palomo del Barrio, G. Guyon, Application of parameters space analysis tools for empirical model validation, *Energy Build.* 36 (2004) 23–33. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(03\)00039-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(03)00039-2).
- [32] A. Laouadi, Development of a radiant heating and cooling model for building energy simulation software, *Build Environ.* 39 (2004) 421–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2003.09.016>.
- [33] J. Yoon, E.J. Lee, D.E. Claridge, Calibration Procedure for Energy Performance Simulation of a Commercial Building, *J Sol Energy Eng.* 125 (2003) 251–257. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1564076>.
- [34] A.E. Ben-Nakhi, M.A. Mahmoud, Cooling load prediction for buildings using general regression neural networks, *Energy Convers Manag.* 45 (2004) 2127–2141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2003.10.009>.
- [35] J.J. Roux, C. Teodosiu, D. Covalet, R. Chareille, Validation of a glazed space simulation model using full-scale experimental data, *Energy Build.* 36 (2004) 557–565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2004.01.030>.
- [36] T. Weber, G. Jóhannesson, M. Koschenz, B. Lehmann, T. Baumgartner, Validation of a FEM-program (frequency-domain) and a simplified RC-model (time-domain) for thermally activated building component systems (TABS) using measurement data, *Energy Build.* 37 (2005) 707–724. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2004.10.005>.
- [37] Z.J. Zhai, Q.Y. Chen, Performance of coupled building energy and CFD simulations, *Energy Build.* 37 (2005) 333–344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2004.07.001>.
- [38] G.H. dos Santos, N. Mendes, Simultaneous heat and moisture transfer in soils combined with building simulation, *Energy Build.* 38 (2006) 303–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2005.06.011>.
- [39] G. Gan, Simulation of buoyancy-induced flow in open cavities for natural ventilation, *Energy Build.* 38 (2006) 410–420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2005.08.002>.
- [40] H. Manz, P. Loutzenhiser, T. Frank, P.A. Strachan, R. Bundi, G. Maxwell, Series of experiments for empirical validation of solar gain modeling in building energy simulation codes—Experimental setup, test cell characterization, specifications and uncertainty analysis, *Build Environ.* 41 (2006) 1784–1797. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.07.020>.
- [41] N. Areemit, Y. Sakamoto, Numerical and experimental analysis of a passive room-dehumidifying system using the sorption property of a wooden attic space, *Energy Build.* 39 (2007) 317–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.07.007>.
- [42] Y. Pan, Z. Huang, G. Wu, Calibrated building energy simulation and its application in a high-rise commercial building in Shanghai, *Energy Build.* 39 (2007) 651–657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.09.013>.
- [43] A. Teasdale-St-Hilaire, D. Derome, Comparison of experimental and numerical results of wood-frame wall assemblies wetted by simulated wind-driven rain infiltration, *Energy Build.* 39 (2007) 1131–1139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.12.004>.
- [44] A. Mahdavi, J. Lechleitner, J. Pak, Measurements and predictions of room acoustics in atria, *J Build Perform Simul.* 1 (2008) 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401490801945310>.
- [45] A.L.S. Chan, T.T. Chow, K.F. Fong, Z. Lin, Investigation on energy performance of double skin façade in Hong Kong, *Energy Build.* 41 (2009) 1135–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2009.05.012>.

- [46] A. Chel, G.N. Tiwari, A. Chandra, A model for estimation of daylight factor for skylight: An experimental validation using pyramid shape skylight over vault roof mud-house in New Delhi (India), *Appl Energy*. 86 (2009) 2507–2519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.03.004>.
- [47] A. Kusiak, M. Li, H. Zheng, Virtual models of indoor-air-quality sensors, *Appl Energy*. 87 (2010) 2087–2094. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.12.008>.
- [48] Y.M. Li, J.Y. Wu, S. Shiochi, Experimental validation of the simulation module of the water-cooled variable refrigerant flow system under cooling operation, *Appl Energy*. 87 (2010) 1513–1521. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.09.018>.
- [49] A.I. Palmero-Marrero, A.C. Oliveira, Effect of louver shading devices on building energy requirements, *Appl Energy*. 87 (2010) 2040–2049. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.11.020>.
- [50] F. Tariku, K. Kumaran, P. Fazio, Transient model for coupled heat, air and moisture transfer through multilayered porous media, *Int J Heat Mass Transf*. 53 (2010) 3035–3044. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2010.03.024>.
- [51] A. Mahdavi, S. Dervishi, A comparison of luminous efficacy models based on data from Vienna, Austria, *Build Simul*. 4 (2011) 183–188. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-011-0021-z>.
- [52] Y. Man, H. Yang, J.D. Spitler, Z. Fang, Feasibility study on novel hybrid ground coupled heat pump system with nocturnal cooling radiator for cooling load dominated buildings, *Appl Energy*. 88 (2011) 4160–4171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.04.035>.
- [53] F. Tariku, K. Kumaran, P. Fazio, Determination of indoor humidity profile using a whole-building hygrothermal model, *Build Simul*. 4 (2011) 61–78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-011-0031-x>.
- [54] R. Zhang, K.P. Lam, Comparison of building load performance between first principle based and implementable shading control algorithms, *Build Simul*. 4 (2011) 135–148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-011-0039-2>.
- [55] J.N.W. Chiu, V. Martin, Submerged finned heat exchanger latent heat storage design and its experimental verification, *Appl Energy*. 93 (2012) 507–516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.12.019>.
- [56] G. Fontanella, D. Basciotti, F. Dubisch, F. Judex, A. Preisler, C. Hettfleisch, V. Vukovic, T. Selke, Calibration and validation of a solar thermal system model in Modelica, *Build Simul*. 5 (2012) 293–300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-012-0070-y>.
- [57] C.-S. Kim, K.-W. Seo, Integrated daylighting simulation into the architectural design process for museums, *Build Simul*. 5 (2012) 325–336. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-012-0084-5>.
- [58] W. Liang, P. Gao, J. Guan, X. Yang, Modeling volatile organic compound (VOC) concentrations due to material emissions in a real residential unit. Part I: Methodology and a preliminary case study, *Build Simul*. 5 (2012) 351–357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-012-0083-6>.
- [59] T. Reeves, S. Olbina, R. Issa, Validation of building energy modeling tools: Ecotect, Green Building Studio and IESVE, in: *Proceedings Title: Proceedings of the 2012 Winter Simulation Conference (WSC)*, IEEE, 2012: pp. 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WSC.2012.6465223>.
- [60] F. Tahmasebi, A. Mahdavi, Monitoring-based optimization-assisted calibration of the thermal performance model of an office building, *1st International Conference on Architecture & Urban Design Proceedings*. (2012) 1111–1116.

- [61] L. Xing, J.R. Cullin, J.D. Spitler, Modeling of foundation heat exchangers—Comparison of numerical and analytical approaches, *Build Simul.* 5 (2012) 267–279. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-012-0088-1>.
- [62] S. Bacha, L. Belhadji, R. Missaoui, S. Ploix, Validation of building energy management strategy: Application to home thermal zone, in: 4th International Conference on Power Engineering, Energy and Electrical Drives, IEEE, 2013: pp. 921–926. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PowerEng.2013.6635734>.
- [63] G. Mustafaraj, D. Marini, A. Costa, M. Keane, Model calibration for building energy efficiency simulation, *Appl Energy*. 130 (2014) 72–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.05.019>.
- [64] M. De Rosa, V. Bianco, F. Scarpa, L.A. Tagliafico, Heating and cooling building energy demand evaluation; a simplified model and a modified degree days approach, *Appl Energy*. 128 (2014) 217–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.04.067>.
- [65] D. Sturzenegger, D. Gyalistras, V. Semeraro, M. Morari, R.S. Smith, BRCM Matlab Toolbox: Model generation for model predictive building control, in: 2014 American Control Conference, IEEE, 2014: pp. 1063–1069. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACC.2014.6858967>.
- [66] T. Blázquez, R. Suárez, J.J. Sendra, Towards a calibration of building energy models: A case study from the Spanish housing stock in the Mediterranean climate, *Informes de La Construcción*. 67 (2015) e128. <https://doi.org/10.3989/ic.15.081>.
- [67] L. Chuan, A. Ukil, Modeling and Validation of Electrical Load Profiling in Residential Buildings in Singapore, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 30 (2015) 2800–2809. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2014.2367509>.
- [68] T.T. Gorecki, F.A. Qureshi, C.N. Jones, OpenBuild : An integrated simulation environment for building control, in: 2015 IEEE Conference on Control Applications (CCA), IEEE, 2015: pp. 1522–1527. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CCA.2015.7320826>.
- [69] L. Karlsen, P. Heiselberg, I. Bryn, H. Johra, Verification of simple illuminance based measures for indication of discomfort glare from windows, *Build Environ*. 92 (2015) 615–626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2015.05.040>.
- [70] T. Lu, X. Lü, C. Kibert, A hybrid numerical-neural-network model for building simulation: A case study for the simulation of unheated and uncooled indoor temperature, *Energy Build.* 86 (2015) 723–734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.10.024>.
- [71] X. Lü, T. Lu, C.J. Kibert, M. Viljanen, Modeling and forecasting energy consumption for heterogeneous buildings using a physical–statistical approach, *Appl Energy*. 144 (2015) 261–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.12.019>.
- [72] A. Maccarini, G. Hultmark, A. Vorre, A. Afshari, N.C. Bergsøe, Modeling of active beam units with Modelica, *Build Simul.* 8 (2015) 543–550. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-015-0236-5>.
- [73] V. Monetti, E. Davin, E. Fabrizio, P. André, M. Filippi, Calibration of Building Energy Simulation Models Based on Optimization: A Case Study, *Energy Procedia*. 78 (2015) 2971–2976. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.693>.
- [74] E. Nolan, J. Allsopp, A. Galata, G. Pedone, B. Zivkovic, A. Sretenovic, Calibrating whole building energy model: a case study using BEMS data, in: *EWork and EBusiness in Architecture, Engineering and Construction*, CRC Press, 2014: pp. 487–494. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b17396-81>.

- [75] P. Paliouras, N. Matzaflaras, R.H. Peuhkuri, J. Kolarik, Using Measured Indoor Environment Parameters for Calibration of Building Simulation Model- A Passive House Case Study, *Energy Procedia*. 78 (2015) 1227–1232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.209>.
- [76] P. Strachan, K. Svehla, I. Heusler, M. Kersken, Whole model empirical validation on a full-scale building, *J Build Perform Simul.* 9 (2016) 331–350. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2015.1064480>.
- [77] M. Stavrakantonaki, A Framework for Input Data Processing During Building Energy Model Calibration. A Case Study, in: 2015: pp. 625–634. <https://doi.org/10.52842/conf.ecaade.2015.1.625>.
- [78] Y. Zhang, Z. O'Neill, B. Dong, G. Augenbroe, Comparisons of inverse modeling approaches for predicting building energy performance, *Build Environ.* 86 (2015) 177–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2014.12.023>.
- [79] G. Chaudhary, J. New, J. Sanyal, P. Im, Z. O'Neill, V. Garg, Evaluation of “Autotune” calibration against manual calibration of building energy models, *Appl Energy*. 182 (2016) 115–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.08.073>.
- [80] R. De Coninck, F. Magnusson, J. Åkesson, L. Helsen, Toolbox for development and validation of grey-box building models for forecasting and control, *J Build Perform Simul.* 9 (2016) 288–303. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2015.1046933>.
- [81] B.J. Futrell, E.C. Ozelkan, Calibration of building energy model to measured thermal response of a retail office bank, 2016 International Annual Conference of the American Society for Engineering Management, ASEM 2016. (2016). <https://www.proquest.com/conference-papers-proceedings/calibration-building-energy-model-measured/docview/2010276571/se-2>.
- [82] H. Harb, N. Boyanov, L. Hernandez, R. Streblow, D. Müller, Development and validation of grey-box models for forecasting the thermal response of occupied buildings, *Energy Build.* 117 (2016) 199–207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2016.02.021>.
- [83] J. Jazaeri, T. Alpcan, R. Gordon, M. Brandao, T. Hoban, C. Seeling, Baseline methodologies for small scale residential demand response, in: 2016 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies - Asia (ISGT-Asia), IEEE, 2016: pp. 747–752. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISGT-Asia.2016.7796478>.
- [84] K.J. Kircher, K.M. Zhang, Testing building controls with the BLDG toolbox, in: 2016 American Control Conference (ACC), IEEE, 2016: pp. 1472–1477. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACC.2016.7525124>.
- [85] E.A. Koch, Continuous Simulation for Urban Energy Planning Based on a Non-Linear Data-Driven Modelling Approach, *Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT)*, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.5445/IR/1000059639>.
- [86] A. Marszal-Pomianowska, P. Heiselberg, O. Kalyanova Larsen, Household electricity demand profiles – A high-resolution load model to facilitate modelling of energy flexible buildings, *Energy*. 103 (2016) 487–501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.02.159>.
- [87] M.J.N. Oliveira Panão, C.A.P. Santos, N.M. Mateus, G. Carrilho da Graça, Validation of a lumped RC model for thermal simulation of a double skin natural and mechanical ventilated test cell, *Energy Build.* 121 (2016) 92–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2016.03.054>.
- [88] G. Ramos Ruiz, C. Fernández Bandera, T. Gómez-Acebo Temes, A. Sánchez-Ostiz Gutierrez, Genetic algorithm for building envelope calibration, *Appl Energy*. 168 (2016) 691–705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.01.075>.

- [89] K. Sun, T. Hong, S.C. Taylor-Lange, M.A. Piette, A pattern-based automated approach to building energy model calibration, *Appl Energy*. 165 (2016) 214–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.12.026>.
- [90] Wandi Liu, Hai Wang, Hengyang Zhao, Shujuan Wang, Haibao Chen, Yuzhuo Fu, Jian Ma, Xin Li, S.X.D. Tan, Thermal modeling for energy-efficient smart building with advanced overfitting mitigation technique, in: 2016 21st Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference (ASP-DAC), IEEE, 2016: pp. 417–422. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ASPDAC.2016.7428047>.
- [91] J. Cai, J. Ji, Y. Wang, W. Huang, Operation characteristics of a novel dual source multi-functional heat pump system under various working modes, *Appl Energy*. 194 (2017) 236–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.10.075>.
- [92] Y. Chen, H. Tan, Short-term prediction of electric demand in building sector via hybrid support vector regression, *Appl Energy*. 204 (2017) 1363–1374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.03.070>.
- [93] A. Dama, D. Angeli, O.K. Larsen, Naturally ventilated double-skin façade in modeling and experiments, *Energy Build.* 144 (2017) 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.03.038>.
- [94] F. Ferracuti, A. Fonti, L. Ciabattoni, S. Pizzuti, A. Arteconi, L. Helsen, G. Comodi, Data-driven models for short-term thermal behaviour prediction in real buildings, *Appl Energy*. 204 (2017) 1375–1387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.05.015>.
- [95] T. Hong, J. Kim, J. Jeong, M. Lee, C. Ji, Automatic calibration model of a building energy simulation using optimization algorithm, *Energy Procedia*. 105 (2017) 3698–3704. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.855>.
- [96] L.A. Hurtado, J.D. Rhodes, P.H. Nguyen, I.G. Kamphuis, M.E. Webber, Quantifying demand flexibility based on structural thermal storage and comfort management of non-residential buildings: A comparison between hot and cold climate zones, *Appl Energy*. 195 (2017) 1047–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.03.004>.
- [97] M.H. Kristensen, R. Choudhary, R. Høst Pedersen, S. Petersen, Bayesian Calibration of Residential Building Clusters using a Single Geometric Building Representation, in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings*, IBPSA, 2017: pp. 2251–2260. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2017.330>.
- [98] M.H. Kristensen, R. Choudhary, S. Petersen, Bayesian calibration of building energy models: Comparison of predictive accuracy using metered utility data of different temporal resolution, *Energy Procedia*. 122 (2017) 277–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.07.322>.
- [99] N. Luo, T. Hong, H. Li, R. Jia, W. Weng, Data analytics and optimization of an ice-based energy storage system for commercial buildings, *Appl Energy*. 204 (2017) 459–475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.07.048>.
- [100] Y. Luo, L. Zhang, J. Wu, Z. Liu, Z. Wu, X. He, Dynamical simulation of building integrated photovoltaic thermoelectric wall system: Balancing calculation speed and accuracy, *Appl Energy*. 204 (2017) 887–897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.03.024>.
- [101] A. Moronis, C. Koulamas, A. Kalogeras, Validation of a monthly quasi-steady-state simulation model for the energy use in buildings, in: *2017 22nd IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*, IEEE, 2017: pp. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ETFA.2017.8247665>.

- [102] M.Q. Raza, M. Nadarajah, C. Ekanayake, Demand forecast of PV integrated bioclimatic buildings using ensemble framework, *Appl Energy*. 208 (2017) 1626–1638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.08.192>.
- [103] G. Ramos Ruiz, C. Fernández Bandera, Analysis of uncertainty indices used for building envelope calibration, *Appl Energy*. 185 (2017) 82–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.10.054>.
- [104] R. Subbiah, A. Pal, E.K. Nordberg, A. Marathe, M. V. Marathe, Energy Demand Model for Residential Sector: A First Principles Approach, *IEEE Trans Sustain Energy*. 8 (2017) 1215–1224. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSTE.2017.2669990>.
- [105] M. Wang, J. Peng, N. Li, H. Yang, C. Wang, X. Li, T. Lu, Comparison of energy performance between PV double skin facades and PV insulating glass units, *Appl Energy*. 194 (2017) 148–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.03.019>.
- [106] B. Yuce, Y. Rezgui, An ANN-GA Semantic Rule-Based System to Reduce the Gap Between Predicted and Actual Energy Consumption in Buildings, *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*. 14 (2017) 1351–1363. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TASE.2015.2490141>.
- [107] A. Bagnasco, S. Massucco, M. Saviozzi, F. Silvestro, A. Vinci, Design and Validation of a Detailed Building Thermal Model Considering Occupancy and Temperature Sensors, in: 2018 IEEE 4th International Forum on Research and Technology for Society and Industry (RTSI), IEEE, 2018: pp. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RTSI.2018.8548424>.
- [108] J. Carpenter, K.A. Woodbury, Z. O'Neill, Using change-point and Gaussian process models to create baseline energy models in industrial facilities: A comparison, *Appl Energy*. 213 (2018) 415–425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.01.043>.
- [109] D. Chakraborty, H. Elzarka, Performance testing of energy models: are we using the right statistical metrics?, *J Build Perform Simul*. 11 (2018) 433–448. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2017.1387607>.
- [110] F. Goia, G. Chaudhary, S. Fantucci, Modelling and experimental validation of an algorithm for simulation of hysteresis effects in phase change materials for building components, *Energy Build*. 174 (2018) 54–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.06.001>.
- [111] J. Huang, J. Yu, H. Yang, Effects of key factors on the heat insulation performance of a hollow block ventilated wall, *Appl Energy*. 232 (2018) 409–423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.09.215>.
- [112] H. Johra, P.K. Heiselberg, Description and Validation of a MATLAB - Simulink Single Family House Energy Model with Furniture and Phase Change Materials (Update), Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, Denmark, 2018.
- [113] G. Kalogeras, C. Koulamas, A. Kalogeras, A. Moronis, Verification and validation of a simulation model for energy use in buildings, in: 2018 14th IEEE International Workshop on Factory Communication Systems (WFCS), IEEE, 2018: pp. 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WFCS.2018.8402385>.
- [114] M.H. Kristensen, R.E. Hedegaard, S. Petersen, Hierarchical calibration of archetypes for urban building energy modeling, *Energy Build*. 175 (2018) 219–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.07.030>.
- [115] S. Ledesma, J.M. Belman-Flores, E. Cabal-Yepez, A. Morales-Fuentes, J.A. Alfaro-Ayala, M.-A. Ibarra-Manzano, Mathematical Models to Predict and Analyze the Energy Consumption of

a Domestic Refrigerator for Different Position of the Shelves, IEEE Access. 6 (2018) 68882–68891. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2880653>.

- [116] P. Nageler, A. Koch, F. Mauthner, I. Leusbrock, T. Mach, C. Hochenauer, R. Heimrath, Comparison of dynamic urban building energy models (UBEM): Sigmoid energy signature and physical modelling approach, Energy Build. 179 (2018) 333–343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.09.034>.
- [117] P. Nageler, G. Schweiger, M. Pichler, D. Brandl, T. Mach, R. Heimrath, H. Schranzhofer, C. Hochenauer, Validation of dynamic building energy simulation tools based on a real test-box with thermally activated building systems (TABS), Energy Build. 168 (2018) 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.03.025>.
- [118] Z. Petojević, R. Gospavić, G. Todorović, Estimation of thermal impulse response of a multi-layer building wall through in-situ experimental measurements in a dynamic regime with applications, Appl Energy. 228 (2018) 468–486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.06.083>.
- [119] O.G. Pop, L. Fechete Tutunaru, F. Bode, A.C. Abrudan, M.C. Balan, Energy efficiency of PCM integrated in fresh air cooling systems in different climatic conditions, Appl Energy. 212 (2018) 976–996. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.12.122>.
- [120] L. Romero Rodríguez, J. Sánchez Ramos, S. Álvarez Domínguez, U. Eicker, Contributions of heat pumps to demand response: A case study of a plus-energy dwelling, Appl Energy. 214 (2018) 191–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.01.086>.
- [121] G. Schweiger, R. Heimrath, B. Falay, K. O'Donovan, P. Nageler, R. Pertschy, G. Engel, W. Streicher, I. Leusbrock, District energy systems: Modelling paradigms and general-purpose tools, Energy. 164 (2018) 1326–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.08.193>.
- [122] Z. Tian, B. Perers, S. Furbo, J. Fan, J. Deng, J. Dragsted, A Comprehensive Approach for Modelling Horizontal Diffuse Radiation, Direct Normal Irradiance and Total Tilted Solar Radiation Based on Global Radiation under Danish Climate Conditions, Energies (Basel). 11 (2018) 1315. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11051315>.
- [123] L. Wang, E.W.M. Lee, R.K.K. Yuen, Novel dynamic forecasting model for building cooling loads combining an artificial neural network and an ensemble approach, Appl Energy. 228 (2018) 1740–1753. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.07.085>.
- [124] L. Xia, Z. Ma, G. Kokogiannakis, S. Wang, X. Gong, A model-based optimal control strategy for ground source heat pump systems with integrated solar photovoltaic thermal collectors, Appl Energy. 228 (2018) 1399–1412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.07.026>.
- [125] P. Abrahams, M. Lang, C. Falzone, P. André, Method for Building Model Calibration to Assess Overheating Risk in a Passive House in Summer, in: Proceedings of Building Simulation 2019: 16th Conference of IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4602–4608. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210768>.
- [126] A. Alongi, A. Angelotti, L. Mazzarella, Experimental validation of a finite difference algorithm to simulate breathing wall components, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 314–321. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210413>.
- [127] A. Angelotti, M. Ballabio, L. Mazzarella, C. Cornaro, G. Parente, F. Frasca, A. Prada, P. Baggio, I. Ballarini, G. De Luca, V. Corrado, Dynamic Simulation of existing buildings: considerations on the Model Calibration., in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4165–4172. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210439>.

- [128] A.P. Bana, L. Jankovic, Reducing Simulation Performance Gap From Hempcrete Buildings, Using Multi Objective Optimization, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 425–432. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210914>.
- [129] J.A. Bello Acosta, H. Franco, J. Fonseca, Hybrid Model for Energy Consumption Forecasting in Buildings Stocks at Tropical Regions, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 3578–3585. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210811>.
- [130] M.H. Benzaama, L. Rajaoarisoa, S. Lecoeuche, B. Ajib, Data-driven Approach for Modeling the Thermal Dynamics of Residential Buildings Using a PieceWise ARX Model, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4126–4133. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210327>.
- [131] J.-B. Bouvenot, V. Jimenez, L. Desport, M. Siroux, Numerical And Experimental Thermal Inertia Characterization Of An Integrated Insulation Clay Hollow Block For Buildings Thermal Comfort Applications, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, 2019: pp. 222–229. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210125>.
- [132] A. Bres, F. Amblard, J. Page, S. Hauer, A. Shadrina, Now It Looks More Real – A Study of Metrics and Resolution for the Calibration of Building and HVAC Simulation, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4609–4616. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210803>.
- [133] F. Bünning, A. Bollinger, P. Heer, R. Smith, J. Lygeros, Empirical Validation Of A Data-Driven Heating Demand Simulation With Error Correction Methods, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 1428–1435. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210673>.
- [134] Y. Choi, Simulation Examination about Heat Balance of Detached House with the Air-based Solar Heating System, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4377–4384. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210154>.
- [135] G. Costantine, C. Maalouf, T. Moussa, G. Polidori, E. Kinab, Impact Of Including Hemp Concrete Hysteresis On The Modelling Of Its Hygrothermal Behavior At Wall And Room Scales, in: Proceedings of Building Simulation 2019: 16th Conference of IBPSA, IBPSA, 2020: pp. 433–439. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210920>.
- [136] J. Cousin, J. Leo, V. Gavan, C. Duchayne, Development And Validation Of A Low-Order Thermal Model For Building Behavior, in: Proceedings of Building Simulation 2019: 16th Conference of IBPSA, IBPSA, 2020: pp. 1436–1443. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210752>.
- [137] C. Felsmann, A. Perschk, R. Franke, Test Of ISO 52016-1 Energy Performance Of Buildings Calculation Procedure, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 3910–3916. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210720>.
- [138] A. Gelesz, E. Catto Lucchino, F. Goia, A. Reith, V. Serra, Reliability And Sensitivity Of Building Performance Simulation Tools In Simulating Mechanically Ventilated Double Skin Facades, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, 2019: pp. 4490–4497. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210176>.
- [139] E. Guelpa, A. Sciacovelli, V. Verda, Thermo-fluid dynamic model of large district heating networks for the analysis of primary energy savings, *Energy*. 184 (2019) 34–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.07.177>.

- [140] M. Gutland, Calibration Of An Historic Masonry Building Using Measured Temperature And Heat Flux Data, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 351–358. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210576>.
- [141] A. Halimov, M. Lauster, D. Müller, Development and Validation of PCM Models Integrated Into the High Order Building Model of Modelica Library – Aixlib, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4698–4705. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.211148>.
- [142] P. Haves, B. Ravache, A. Fergadiotti, J.C. Kohler, Accuracy Of HVAC Load Predictions: Validation Of EnergyPlus And DOE-2 Using An Instrumented Test Facility, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4329–4336. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.211268>.
- [143] R.E. Hedegaard, M.H. Kristensen, T.H. Pedersen, A. Brun, S. Petersen, Bottom-up modelling methodology for urban-scale analysis of residential space heating demand response, Appl Energy. 242 (2019) 181–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.03.063>.
- [144] T. Hong, J. Xie, J. Black, Global energy forecasting competition 2017: Hierarchical probabilistic load forecasting, Int J Forecast. 35 (2019) 1389–1399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2019.02.006>.
- [145] P. Im, J.R. New, J. Joe, Empirical Validation of Building Energy Modeling using Flexible Research Platform, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, 2019: pp. 4515–4521. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210263>.
- [146] H. Kazmi, J. Suykens, A. Balint, J. Driesen, Multi-agent reinforcement learning for modeling and control of thermostatically controlled loads, Appl Energy. 238 (2019) 1022–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.01.140>.
- [147] L. Leroy, S. Letellier-Duchesne, M. Kummert, Using Model Calibration To Improve Urban Modeling, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 3531–3539. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210707>.
- [148] Q. Li, R. Muehleisen, B. Ravache, P. Haves, Empirical Validation of Single-Room Heat Transfer Models under Uncertainty, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4715–4722. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.211302>.
- [149] C. Lisciandrello, M. Ferrara, A. Messina, E. Fabrizio, Calibrated simulation of a NZEB: The Solar Decathlon China 2018 SCUTxPoliTo Prototype, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4594–4601. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210718>.
- [150] M. Magni, F. Ochs, P. Bonato, M. D’Antoni, D. Geisler-Moroder, S. de Vries, R. Loonen, A. Maccarini, A. Afshari, T. Calabrese, Comparison Of Simulation Results For A Reference Office Building – Analysis Of Deviations For Different BES Tools, in: Proceedings of Building Simulation 2019: 16th Conference of IBPSA, IBPSA, 2020: pp. 1475–1482. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210834>.
- [151] D. Mazzeo, P. Romagnoni, N. Matera, G. Oliveti, C. Cornaro, L. De Santoli, Accuracy Of The Most Popular Building Performance Simulation Tools: Experimental Comparison For A Conventional And A PCM-Based Test Box, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4530–4537. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210381>.
- [152] P. Mehrfeld, M. Steinbach, M. Nürenberg, M. Lauster, D. Müller, Calibration of a Hybrid Heat Pump System and Application of an Energy Manager in Building Performance Simulations, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4522–4529. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210350>.

- [153] J. Mun, J. Lee, B.B. Koh, Comparison of Energyplus Simulation Results of Double Skin Facade System with CFD and Experiment Data, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4110–4117. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210237>.
- [154] P. Remmen, J. Schäfer, D. Müller, Refinement of Dynamic Non-Residential Building Archetypes Using Measurement Data and Bayesian Calibration, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 4682–4689. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.211109>.
- [155] D. Saelens, I. De Jaeger, F. Büning, M. Mans, A. Maccarini, E. Garreau, Ø. Rønneseth, I. Sartori, A. Vandermeulen, B. van der Heijde, L. Helsen, Towards a DESTEST: a District Energy Simulation Test Developed in IBPSA Project 1, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, 2019: pp. 3569–3577. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210806>.
- [156] Z. Shi, G. Newsham, A. Pardasani, H.B. Gunay, On Formulation and Training of Grey-box Thermal Model for Low-rise Residential Buildings, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 838–844. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210251>.
- [157] T. Storek, A. Esmailzadeh, P. Mehrfeld, M. Schumacher, M. Baranski, D. Müller, Applying Machine Learning to Automate Calibration for Model Predictive Control of Building Energy Systems, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 900–907. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210992>.
- [158] H.T. Walnum, K.B. Lindberg, I. Sartori, Evaluating Input Influence in Grey-box models for Demand Response in Buildings, in: Proceedings of Building Simulation 2019: 16th Conference of IBPSA, IBPSA, 2020: pp. 4729–4736. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.211410>.
- [159] K. Weng, M. Mourshed, RNN-based Forecasting of Indoor Temperature in a Naturally Ventilated Residential Building, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 3103–3108. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.211294>.
- [160] V. Amato, M.D. Knudsen, S. Petersen, Data-based calibration of physics-based thermal models of single-family houses, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), BuildSim-Nordic 2020, 2020. <https://hdl.handle.net/11250/2684067>.
- [161] J. Arroyo, F. Spiessens, L. Helsen, Identification of multi-zone grey-box building models for use in model predictive control, J Build Perform Simul. 13 (2020) 472–486. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2020.1770861>.
- [162] M. Azar, P. Carling, Working With a Small and Predictable Performance Gap, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), BuildSIM-Nordic 2020, 2020. <https://hdl.handle.net/11250/2684076>.
- [163] M. Bagle, I. Walnum, Harald Taxt Sartori, Identifying grey-box models of Norwegian apartment block archetypes, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), BuildSIM-Nordic 2020, 2020.
- [164] A. Blázquez-García, A. Conde, A. Milo, R. Sánchez, I. Barrio, Short-term office building elevator energy consumption forecast using SARIMA, J Build Perform Simul. 13 (2020) 69–78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2019.1698657>.
- [165] P. Filipsson, A. Trüschel, J. Gräslund, J.-O. Dalenbäck, Chilled water temperature control of self-regulating active chilled beams, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), BuildSIM-Nordic 2020, 2020. <https://hdl.handle.net/11250/2684051>.

- [166] A. Ghofrani, S.D. Nazemi, M.A. Jafari, Prediction of building indoor temperature response in variable air volume systems, *J Build Perform Simul.* 13 (2020) 34–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2019.1688393>.
- [167] V. Gutiérrez González, G. Ramos Ruiz, C. Fernández Bandera, Empirical and Comparative Validation for a Building Energy Model Calibration Methodology, *Sensors.* 20 (2020) 5003. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20175003>.
- [168] Y. Hu, P.K. Heiselberg, H. Johra, R. Guo, Experimental and numerical study of a PCM solar air heat exchanger and its ventilation preheating effectiveness, *Renew Energy.* 145 (2020) 106–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.05.115>.
- [169] G. Kalogeras, S. Rastegarpour, C. Koulamas, A.P. Kalogeras, J. Casillas, L. Ferrarini, Predictive capability testing and sensitivity analysis of a model for building energy efficiency, *Build Simul.* 13 (2020) 33–50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-019-0559-8>.
- [170] M. Molinari, D. Rolando, Digital twin of the Live-In Lab Testbed KTH: development and calibration, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), *BuildSIM-Nordic 2020*, 2020.
- [171] M. Rabani, H.B. Madessa, J. Torgersen, N. Nord, Parametric analysis of ground source heat pump system for heating of office buildings in Nordic climate, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), *BuildSim-Nordic 2020*, 2020.
- [172] N. Sommerfeldt, F. Beltran, H. Madani, Solar PVT for heat pumps: Collector development, systems integration, and market potential, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), *BuildSim-Nordic 2020*, 2020.
- [173] O. Todorov, K. Alanne, M. Virtanen, R. Kosonen, A novel modelling approach of ground source heat pump application for district heating and cooling, developed for a case study of an urban district in Finland, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), *BuildSim-Nordic 2020*, 2020: pp. 39–47. <https://sintef.brage.unit.no/sintef-xmlui/handle/11250/2683219>.
- [174] F. Tüysüz, H. Sözer, Calibrating the building energy model with the short term monitored data, *Energy Build.* 224 (2020) 110207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110207>.
- [175] T.A. Vik, H.B. Madessa, A. Chaudhuri, A. Aamodt, C. Phengphan, E.T. Afriyie, Experimental and numerical studies on thermal performance of an office cubicle having gypsum boards coated with PCM-enhanced spackling, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), *BuildSim-Nordic 2020*, 2020.
- [176] F.M. Baba, H. Ge, R. Zmeureanu, L. (Leon) Wang, Calibration of building model based on indoor temperature for overheating assessment using genetic algorithm: Methodology, evaluation criteria, and case study, *Build Environ.* 207 (2022) 108518. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108518>.
- [177] H.G. Bergsteinsson, T.S. Nielsen, J.K. Møller, S. Ben Amer, D.F. Dominković, H. Madsen, Use of smart meters as feedback for district heating temperature control, *Energy Reports.* 7 (2021) 213–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2021.08.153>.
- [178] G. Chiesa, F. Fasano, P. Grasso, A New Tool for Building Energy Optimization: First Round of Successful Dynamic Model Simulations, *Energies (Basel).* 14 (2021) 6429. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14196429>.

- [179] A.B. Daemei, E. Shafiee, A.A. Chitgar, S. Asadi, Investigating the thermal performance of green wall: Experimental analysis, deep learning model, and simulation studies in a humid climate, *Build Environ.* 205 (2021) 108201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108201>.
- [180] T. Dogan, P. Kastner, R. Mermelstein, Surfer: A fast simulation algorithm to predict surface temperatures and mean radiant temperatures in large urban models, *Build Environ.* 196 (2021) 107762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.107762>.
- [181] A. Gabaldón, A. García-Garre, M.C. Ruiz-Abellón, A. Guillamón, C. Álvarez-Bel, L.A. Fernandez-Jimenez, Improvement of customer baselines for the evaluation of demand response through the use of physically-based load models, *Util Policy.* 70 (2021) 101213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2021.101213>.
- [182] R. Guo, Y. Hu, P. Heiselberg, H. Johra, C. Zhang, P. Peng, Simulation and optimization of night cooling with diffuse ceiling ventilation and mixing ventilation in a cold climate, *Renew Energy.* 179 (2021) 488–501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.07.077>.
- [183] R. Guo, P. Heiselberg, Y. Hu, H. Johra, C. Zhang, R.L. Jensen, K.T. Jønsson, P. Peng, Experimental investigation of convective heat transfer for night cooling with diffuse ceiling ventilation, *Build Environ.* 193 (2021) 107665. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.107665>.
- [184] J.M. Han, Y.Q. Ang, A. Malkawi, H.W. Samuelson, Using recurrent neural networks for localized weather prediction with combined use of public airport data and on-site measurements, *Build Environ.* 192 (2021) 107601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.107601>.
- [185] G. He, Q. Wu, Z. Li, W. Ge, D. Lv, L. Cong, Ventilation performance of solar chimney in a test house: Field measurement and validation of plume model, *Build Environ.* 193 (2021) 107648. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.107648>.
- [186] H. Johra, M. Mans, K. Filonenko, I. De Jaeger, D. Saelens, T.T. Torben Tvedebrink, Evaluating different metrics for inter-model comparison of urban-scale building energy simulation time series, in: *Proceedings of Building Simulation 2021: 17th Conference of IBPSA, {KU} Leuven, 2021*. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2021.30410>.
- [187] A. Li, F. Xiao, C. Zhang, C. Fan, Attention-based interpretable neural network for building cooling load prediction, *Appl Energy.* 299 (2021) 117238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117238>.
- [188] N. Long, F. Almajed, J. von Rhein, G. Henze, Development of a metamodeling framework for building energy models with application to fifth-generation district heating and cooling networks, *J Build Perform Simul.* 14 (2021) 203–225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2021.1884291>.
- [189] V.P. López-Cabeza, F.J. Carmona-Molero, S. Rubino, C. Rivera-Gómez, E.D. Fernández-Nieto, C. Galán-Marín, T. Chacón-Rebollo, Modelling of surface and inner wall temperatures in the analysis of courtyard thermal performances in Mediterranean climates, *J Build Perform Simul.* 14 (2021) 181–202. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2020.1870561>.
- [190] E. Catto Lucchino, A. Gelesz, K. Skeie, G. Gennaro, A. Reith, V. Serra, F. Goia, Modelling double skin façades (DSFs) in whole-building energy simulation tools: Validation and inter-software comparison of a mechanically ventilated single-story DSF, *Build Environ.* 199 (2021) 107906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.107906>.

- [191] A. Maccarini, E. Pratavia, A. Zarrella, A. Afshari, Development of a Modelica-based simplified building model for district energy simulations, *J Phys Conf Ser.* 2042 (2021) 012078. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2042/1/012078>.
- [192] M. Magni, F. Ochs, S. de Vries, A. Maccarini, F. Sigg, Detailed cross comparison of building energy simulation tools results using a reference office building as a case study, *Energy Build.* 250 (2021) 111260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2021.111260>.
- [193] Y. Shang, F. Tariku, Hempcrete building performance in mild and cold climates: Integrated analysis of carbon footprint, energy, and indoor thermal and moisture buffering, *Build Environ.* 206 (2021) 108377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108377>.
- [194] H.E. Silva, F.M.A. Henriques, The impact of tourism on the conservation and IAQ of cultural heritage: The case of the Monastery of Jerónimos (Portugal), *Build Environ.* 190 (2021) 107536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2020.107536>.
- [195] S. Touzani, J. Granderson, D. Jump, D. Rebello, Evaluation of methods to assess the uncertainty in estimated energy savings, *Energy Build.* 193 (2019) 216–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.03.041>.
- [196] T. Zakula, N. Badun, N. Ferdelj, I. Ugrina, Framework for the ISO 52016 standard accuracy prediction based on the in-depth sensitivity analysis, *Appl Energy.* 298 (2021) 117089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117089>.
- [197] S. Anbarasu, W. Zuo, Y. Fu, Y. Shukla, R. Rawal, Validated open-source Modelica model of direct evaporative cooler with minimal inputs, *J Build Perform Simul.* 15 (2022) 757–770. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2022.2092652>.
- [198] J. Baek, H. Park, S. Chang, Enhanced LSTM-based community energy consumption prediction model leveraging shared building cluster datasets, *J Build Perform Simul.* 15 (2022) 717–734. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2022.2075939>.
- [199] H.G. Bergsteinsson, P.B. Vetter, J.K. Møller, H. Madsen, Estimating temperatures in a district heating network using smart meter data, *Energy Convers Manag.* 269 (2022) 116113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2022.116113>.
- [200] R. Bruno, V. Ferraro, P. Bevilacqua, N. Arcuri, On the assessment of the heat transfer coefficients on building components: A comparison between modeled and experimental data, *Build Environ.* 216 (2022) 108995. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.108995>.
- [201] L.W. Chew, C. Chen, C. Górlé, Improving thermal model predictions for naturally ventilated buildings using large eddy simulations, *Build Environ.* 220 (2022) 109241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109241>.
- [202] F. Johari, J. Munkhammar, F. Shadram, J. Widén, Evaluation of simplified building energy models for urban-scale energy analysis of buildings, *Build Environ.* 211 (2022) 108684. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108684>.
- [203] T. Kristiansen, F. Jamil, I.A. Hameed, M. Hamdy, Predicting annual illuminance and operative temperature in residential buildings using artificial neural networks, *Build Environ.* 217 (2022) 109031. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109031>.
- [204] L. Lei, H. Zheng, Y. Xue, W. Liu, Inverse modeling of thermal boundary conditions in commercial aircrafts based on Green's function and regularization method, *Build Environ.* 217 (2022) 109062. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109062>.

- [205] R. Li, J. Zhang, Transfer function models for instantaneous internal cooling loads to describe time lag effect of conversion process, *Build Environ.* 217 (2022) 109054. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109054>.
- [206] Z. Li, X. Feng, Z. Fang, A modified method to measure outdoor mean radiant temperature: Comparison between two-hemisphere method and six-direction integral method, *Build Environ.* 221 (2022) 109292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109292>.
- [207] Z. Li, P. Wang, J. Zhang, S. Mu, A strategy of improving indoor air temperature prediction in HVAC system based on multivariate transfer entropy, *Build Environ.* 219 (2022) 109164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109164>.
- [208] Z. Li, P. Wang, J. Zhang, H. Guan, A model-free method for identifying time-delay characteristics of HVAC system based on multivariate transfer entropy, *Build Environ.* 217 (2022) 109072. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109072>.
- [209] J. Liang, M. Masche, K. Engelbrecht, C.R.H. Bahl, Harmonic analysis of temperature profiles of active caloric regenerators, *Int J Heat Mass Transf.* 190 (2022) 122694. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2022.122694>.
- [210] T. Lu, X. Lü, H. Salonen, Q. Zhang, Novel hybrid modeling approach for utilizing simple linear regression models to solve multi-input nonlinear problems of indoor humidity modeling, *Build Environ.* 213 (2022) 108856. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.108856>.
- [211] D.V. Pombo, P. Bacher, C. Ziras, H.W. Bindner, S. V. Spataru, P.E. Sørensen, Benchmarking physics-informed machine learning-based short term PV-power forecasting tools, *Energy Reports.* 8 (2022) 6512–6520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2022.05.006>.
- [212] B. Nastasi, M. Manfren, D. Groppi, M. Lamagna, F. Mancini, D. Astiaso Garcia, Data-driven load profile modelling for advanced measurement and verification (M&V) in a fully electrified building, *Build Environ.* 221 (2022) 109279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109279>.
- [213] J. Palmer Real, J.K. Møller, R. Li, H. Madsen, A data-driven framework for characterising building archetypes: A mixed effects modelling approach, *Energy.* 254 (2022) 124278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.124278>.
- [214] A. Saiyad, Y. Fulpagare, A. Bhargav, Comparison of detached eddy simulation and standard $k-\epsilon$ RANS model for rack-level airflow analysis inside a data center, *Build Simul.* 15 (2022) 1595–1610. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-021-0879-3>.
- [215] D. Stjelja, J. Jokisalo, R. Kosonen, Scalable Room Occupancy Prediction with Deep Transfer Learning Using Indoor Climate Sensor, *Energies (Basel).* 15 (2022) 2078. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15062078>.
- [216] X. Tian, Y. Zhang, Z. Lin, Predicting non-uniform indoor air quality distribution by using pulsating air supply and SVM model, *Build Environ.* 219 (2022) 109171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109171>.
- [217] S. Yao, Z. Yan, Q. Ma, B. Xu, Z. Zhang, W. Bi, J. Zhang, Analysis of the annual hygrothermal environment in the Maijishan Grottoes by field measurements and numerical simulations, *Build Environ.* 221 (2022) 109229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109229>.
- [218] X. Yu, K.S. Skeie, M.D. Knudsen, Z. Ren, L. Imsland, L. Georges, Influence of data pre-processing and sensor dynamics on grey-box models for space-heating: Analysis using field measurements, *Build Environ.* 212 (2022) 108832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.108832>.

- [219] Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Z. Li, A novel productive double skin façades for residential buildings: Concept, design and daylighting performance investigation, *Build Environ.* 212 (2022) 108817. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.108817>.
- [220] Z. Hou, Z. Lian, Y. Yao, X. Yuan, Cooling-load prediction by the combination of rough set theory and an artificial neural-network based on data-fusion technique, *Appl Energy.* 83 (2006) 1033–1046. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2005.08.006>.
- [221] C. Zhao, L. Zhang, Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, M. Liu, J. Yan, L. Zhao, Long-wave infrared radiation properties of vertical green façades in subtropical regions, *Build Environ.* 223 (2022) 109518. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109518>.
- [222] T. Hong, M. Gui, M.E. Baran, H.L. Willis, Modeling and forecasting hourly electric load by multiple linear regression with interactions, in: *IEEE PES General Meeting, PES 2010*, IEEE, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PES.2010.5589959>.
- [223] C. Fan, F. Xiao, S. Wang, Development of prediction models for next-day building energy consumption and peak power demand using data mining techniques, *Appl Energy.* 127 (2014) 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.04.016>.
- [224] G. Chardome, V. Feldheim, Thermal Modelling Of Earth Air Heat Exchanger (EAHE) And Analyse Of Health Risk, in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA*, 2019: pp. 1964–1970. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210851>.
- [225] H. Johra, P. Heiselberg, J. Le Dréau, Influence of envelope, structural thermal mass and indoor content on the building heating energy flexibility, *Energy Build.* 183 (2019) 325–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.11.012>.
- [226] N. Kruis, M. Larson, B. Wilcox, C.S. Barnaby, A Comparison of CSE and EnergyPlus for Residential Energy Calculations, in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA*, 2019: pp. 2667–2674. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210839>.
- [227] M. Taheri, P. Rastogi, C. Parry, A. Wegienka, Benchmarking Building Energy Consumption Using Efficiency Factors, in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA*, 2019: pp. 3863–3870. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210575>.
- [228] L. Dumitrascu, I. Beausoleil-Morrison, A model for predicting the solar reflectivity of the ground that considers the effects of accumulating and melting snow, *J Build Perform Simul.* 13 (2020) 334–346. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19401493.2020.1728383>.
- [229] J. Kallio, J. Tervonen, P. Räsänen, R. Mäkynen, J. Koivusaari, J. Peltola, Forecasting office indoor CO₂ concentration using machine learning with a one-year dataset, *Build Environ.* 187 (2021) 107409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2020.107409>.
- [230] H. Kazmi, Z. Tao, How good are TSO load and renewable generation forecasts: Learning curves, challenges, and the road ahead, *Appl Energy.* 323 (2022) 119565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2022.119565>.
- [231] M. Wrinch, G. Dennis, T.H.M. EL-Fouly, S. Wong, Demand response implementation for improved system efficiency in remote communities, in: *2012 IEEE Electrical Power and Energy Conference*, IEEE, 2012: pp. 105–110. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EPEC.2012.6474932>.
- [232] D. Coakley, P. Raftery, M. Keane, A review of methods to match building energy simulation models to measured data, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.* 37 (2014) 123–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.007>.
- [233] A. Garrett, J. New, Suitability of ASHRAE guideline 14 metrics for calibration, *ASHRAE Trans.* 122 (2016) 469–477.

- [234] T.E. McGrath, N. Campbell, S. V Nanukuttan, D. Soban, P.A.M. Basheer, Building performance evaluation of domestic energy efficient retrofits in current and future climates, *Civil Engineering Research in Ireland* 2016. (2016).
- [235] E.E. Aydin, J.A. Jakubiec, S.K. Jusuf, A Comparison Study Of Simulation-Based Prediction Tools For Air Temperature And Outdoor Thermal Comfort In A Tropical Climate, in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA*, 2019: pp. 4118–4125. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210296>.
- [236] K. Fabbri, M. Pretelli, A. Bonora, Building Simulation to Measure Indoor Microclimate in Heritage Buildings, in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA*, 2019: pp. 4086–4093. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210181>.
- [237] T. Szul, K. Nęcka, T.G. Mathia, Neural Methods Comparison for Prediction of Heating Energy Based on Few Hundreds Enhanced Buildings in Four Season's Climate, *Energies (Basel)*. 13 (2020) 5453. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13205453>.
- [238] J. Granderson, P.N. Price, Development and application of a statistical methodology to evaluate the predictive accuracy of building energy baseline models, *Energy*. 66 (2014) 981–990. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.01.074>.
- [239] Q. Li, L. Gu, G. Augenbroe, C.F.J. Wu, J. Brown, Calibration of Dynamic Building Energy Models with Multiple Responses Using Bayesian Inference and Linear Regression Models, *Energy Procedia*. 78 (2015) 979–984. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.037>.
- [240] L.G.R. Santos, A. Afshari, L.K. Norford, J. Mao, Evaluating approaches for district-wide energy model calibration considering the Urban Heat Island effect, *Appl Energy*. 215 (2018) 31–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.01.089>.
- [241] M. García, S. Vera, F. Roualt, W. Bustamante, Modelling and Validation of two Heat and Mass Transfer Model of Living Walls and Evaluation of Their Impact on the Energy Performance of a Supermarket in a Semiarid Climate, in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA*, 2019: pp. 488–493. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.211051>.
- [242] N. Jain, E. Burman, D. Mumovic, M. Davies, Calibrating Energy Performance Model of a Hospital Building: Dealing with Practical Issues of Data Availability and Granularity in a Case Study Building in the UK., in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA*, 2019: pp. 4625–4633. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210852>.
- [243] A. Piccinini, L. D'Angelo, F. Seri, C. Deane, R. Sterling, A. Costa, A. Giretti, M.M. Keane, Development Of A Reduced Order Model For Standard-Based Measurement And Verification To Support ECM, in: *Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA*, 2019: pp. 4180–4187. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210482>.
- [244] H. Johra, E.A. Petrova, L. Rohde, M.Z. Pomianowski, Digital Twins of Building Physics Experimental Laboratory Setups for Effective E-learning, *J Phys Conf Ser*. 2069 (2021) 012190. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2069/1/012190>.
- [245] D. Wang, X. Pang, W. Wang, C. Wan, G. Wang, Evaluation of the relative differences in building energy simulation results, *Build Simul*. 15 (2022) 1977–1987. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-022-0903-2>.
- [246] W. Yoo, M.J. Clayton, W. Yan, ESMUST: EnergyPlus-driven surrogate model for urban surface temperature prediction, *Build Environ*. 229 (2023) 109935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109935>.

- [247] S. Leal, S. Hauer, F. Judex, S. Gahr, Implementation of an automated building model generation tool, in: 2014 12th IEEE International Conference on Industrial Informatics (INDIN), IEEE, 2014: pp. 457–462. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INDIN.2014.6945556>.
- [248] L. Jankovic, A Simulation Method for Measuring Building Physics Properties, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 249–256. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210213>.
- [249] I. Vrachimi, D. Costola, Predicting Wind-Driven Rain Catch Ratios In Building Simulation Using Machine Learning Techniques, in: Building Simulation Conference Proceedings, IBPSA, 2019: pp. 359–365. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210584>.
- [250] T. Hauge Broholt, L. Rævdal Lund Christensen, M. Dahl Knudsen, R. Elbæk Hedegaard, S. Petersen, The effect of seasonal weather changes on the performance of databased models of the thermodynamic behaviour of buildings, E3S Web of Conferences. 172 (2020) 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202017202005>.
- [251] K. Patel, R. Rawal, Impact of AC Outdoor Unit Placement on Energy Efficiency, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), BuildSIM-Nordic 2020, 2020.
- [252] S. Assaf, I. Srour, Using a data driven neural network approach to forecast building occupant complaints, Build Environ. 200 (2021) 107972. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.107972>.
- [253] S. Li, J. Peng, B. Zou, B. Li, C. Lu, J. Cao, Y. Luo, T. Ma, Zero energy potential of photovoltaic direct-driven air conditioners with considering the load flexibility of air conditioners, Appl Energy. 304 (2021) 117821. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117821>.
- [254] A. Dhar, T.A. Reddy, D.E. Claridge, A Fourier Series Model to Predict Hourly Heating and Cooling Energy Use in Commercial Buildings With Outdoor Temperature as the Only Weather Variable, J Sol Energy Eng. 121 (1999) 47–53. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2888142>.
- [255] M. Aydinalp-Koksal, V.I. Ugursal, Comparison of neural network, conditional demand analysis, and engineering approaches for modeling end-use energy consumption in the residential sector, Appl Energy. 85 (2008) 271–296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2006.09.012>.
- [256] A. Chong, S. Chao, A Framework For The Continuous Calibration Of Building Energy Models With Uncertainty, in: Proceedings of Building Simulation 2019: 16th Conference of IBPSA, IBPSA, 2020: pp. 4562–4569. <https://doi.org/10.26868/25222708.2019.210577>.
- [257] M. Quintana, T. Stoeckmann, J.Y. Park, M. Turowski, V. Hagenmeyer, C. Miller, ALDI++: Automatic and parameter-less discord and outlier detection for building energy load profiles, Energy Build. 265 (2022) 112096. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2022.112096>.
- [258] C. Miller, B. Picchetti, C. Fu, J. Pantelic, Limitations of machine learning for building energy prediction: ASHRAE Great Energy Predictor III Kaggle competition error analysis, (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23744731.2022.2067466>.
- [259] X. Yu, L. Georges, Influence of data pre-processing techniques and data quality for low-order stochastic grey-box models of residential buildings, in: G. Laurent, H. Matthias, N. Vojislav, S. Peter G. (Eds.), BuildSIM-Nordic 2020, 2020.
- [260] J. Leprince, H. Madsen, C. Miller, J.P. Real, R. van der Vlist, K. Basu, W. Zeiler, Fifty shades of grey: Automated stochastic model identification of building heat dynamics, Energy Build. 266 (2022) 112095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2022.112095>.

Recent publications in the Technical Report Series

Hicham Johra. Thermal properties of common building materials. DCE Technical Reports No. 216. Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, 2019.

Hicham Johra. Project CleanTechBlock 2: Thermal conductivity measurement of cellular glass samples. DCE Technical Reports No. 263. Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, 2019.

Hicham Johra. Cleaning Procedure for the Guarded Hot Plate Apparatus EP500. DCE Technical Reports No. 265. Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, 2019.

Hicham Johra. Long-Term Stability and Calibration of the Reference Thermometer ASL F200. DCE Technical Reports No. 266. Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, 2019.

Hicham Johra, Olena K. Larsen, Chen Zhang, Ivan T. Nikolaisson, Simon P. Melgaard. Description of the Double Skin Façade Full-Scale Test Facilities of Aalborg University. DCE Technical Reports No. 287. Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, 2019.

Hicham Johra. Overview of the Typical Domestic Hot Water Production Systems and Energy Sources in the Different Countries of the World. DCE Technical Report No. 288. Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, 2019.

Hicham Johra. Thermal Properties of Building Materials - Review and Database. DCE Technical Report No. 289. Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University, 2021.

Hicham Johra. Performance overview of caloric heat pumps: magnetocaloric, elastocaloric, electrocaloric and barocaloric systems. Technical Report No. 301. Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University, 2022.

Martin Veit, Hicham Johra. Experimental Investigations of a Full-Scale Wall Element in a Large Guarded Hot Box Setup: Methodology Description. Technical Report No. 304. Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University, 2022.

Hicham Johra. Datasets on the work habits of international building researchers. Technical Report No. 305. Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University, 2022.

Hicham Johra. General study case description of TMV 23: A multi-storey office building and Living Lab in Denmark. Technical Report No. 306. Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University, 2023.

Martin Veit, Hicham Johra. A comparative study of BSim and COMSOL Multiphysics for steady-state and dynamic simulation of transmission loss. Technical Reports No. 309. Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University, 2023.

Hicham Johra, Mathilde Lenoël. Experimental setup description and raw data from the micro-climate measurement campaign of the outdoor air temperature around an office building in Denmark during summer. Technical Reports No. 313. Department of the Built Environment, Aalborg University, 2023.