



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG
UNIVERSITY

Beliefs and the Politics of Modern Military Interventions

An analysis of how pre-conceptions about the nature of war and armed conflict shape the democracies' generation, use and direction of military force

Trautner, Jeppe Plenge

Publication date:
2009

Document Version
Også kaldet Forlagets PDF

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Trautner, J. P. (2009). *Beliefs and the Politics of Modern Military Interventions: An analysis of how pre-conceptions about the nature of war and armed conflict shape the democracies' generation, use and direction of military force.*

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



SPIRIT

SPIRIT

Doctoral Programme

Aalborg University
Kroghstraede 3
DK-9220 Aalborg East

Phone: +45 9940 9810

Mail: spirit@ihs.aau.dk

**Beliefs and the Politics
of Modern Military
Interventions**

Jeppe Plenge Trautner

SPIRIT PhD Series

Thesis no. 24

© 2009 Jeppe Plenge Trautner
Beliefs and the Politics of Modern Military Interventions
SPIRIT – Doctoral Programme
Aalborg University
Denmark
SPIRIT PhD Series
Thesis no. 24

ISSN 1903-7783

Published by
SPIRIT & Department Culture and Global Studies
Aalborg University

Distribution
Download as PDF on
<http://spirit.ihis.aau.dk/>

Front page lay-out
Cirkeline Kappel

The Secretariat
SPIRIT
Kroghstraede , room 3.237
Aalborg University
DK-9220 Aalborg East
Denmark
Tel. + 45 9940 9810

E-mail: spirit@ihis.aau.dk
Homepage: <http://spirit.ihis.aau.dk/>

Beliefs and the Politics of Modern Military Interventions

An analysis of how pre-conceptions about the nature
of war and armed conflict shape the democracies'
generation, use and direction of military force

a Ph.D Thesis by
Jeppe Plenge Trautner



European Studies & International Affairs
Aalborg University, Denmark
September 8, 2009

This thesis was submitted to the Department of History, International and Social Studies, Aalborg University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

© 2003–2009 by Jeppe Plenge Trautner.

The manuscript was typeset with the MIK_{TEX} distribution of L_AT_EX using the TEXNICCENTER editor. Bibliographical references were done by BIB_{TEX} and handled with JABREF, and graphics included with the aid of HOSTSCRIPT and its HOSTGUM viewer. My warmest thanks to the Open Source community for these excellent tools, and to Associate Professor Lars ‘daleif’ Madsen of Aarhus University who worked out the code for the author index, displays and other complex L_AT_EX customisations. My warmest thanks also to Annette Nielsen of Aalborg University for sanitising my ‘Denglish’. Remaining layout and language flaws are due to my limitations, not theirs.

Dansk Resumé

Den politiske, militære, akademiske og offentlige debat vedrørende militære og sikkerhedspolitiske problemstillinger er præget af dybtgående uenigheder i de veletablerede demokratier, og inden for den udenrigs- og sikkerhedspolitiske forskning er det bredt erkendt, at 'det sete afhænger af øjnene der ser'. Men hvorfor det forholder sig sådan, og i hvor høj grad der er et system i uenighederne, er omdiskuteret og kun i ringe grad udforsket på det sikkerhedspolitiske og militære område, der udgør afhandlingens genstandsfelt. I afhandlingen udvikles og afprøves en model, der sætter de forskellige syn på krig og væbnet konflikt i system.

Det er ikke ualmindeligt, at forskere forklarer forskelle i opfattelserne af krig og væbnet konflikt med nationale historiske oplevelser, traditioner og kultur, politiske ideologier og internationale strukturelle forhold. Sådanne forklaringer er plausible, men har vanskeligt ved at håndtere den uenighed, der splitter regeringer, partier og militære og civile ledere samt de ikke sjældne skift i de nationale tilgange til brugen af militær magt. (Danskere vil kende den dybtgående uenighed om rationalet bag og udførelsen af den militære side af dansk udenrigspolitik i de seneste to årtier, en uenighed ikke i så høj grad om målsætninger som om hvordan militære styrker kan bidrage til disses opnåelse).

Afhandlingens tilgang til undersøgelsen af disse forskelle er socialkonstruktivistisk og institutionalistisk, idet det antages, at hver enkelt af os fortolker eller 'konstruerer' de sociale omgivelser og vores egne roller ud fra givne forståelsesrammer (kaldet institutioner). Forståelsesrammerne har den fordel for den enkelte, at de tillader os hurtigt at danne os en brugbar mening om situationer og problemer på grundlag af en ufuldstændig erfaring og viden. Vellykkede institutioner tilbyder klare og sammenhængende svar på deres genstandsfelts udfordringer, hvilket medvirker til, at deres tilhængeres praktiske handlinger oftere opnår de ønskede mål. Mindre heldige institutioner fører til, at deres tilhængeres handlinger og politikker fejler. Man kan sige, at institutioner konkurrerer, men der er ikke tale om fri konkurrence. Tværtimod fungerer disse institutioner, politiske ideologier kunne være et eksempel, netop ved at lukke vores opmærksomhed af for indtryk, viden og argumenter, der ikke passer ind i institutionernes oftest uformulerede grundforståelser. Den enkeltes loyalitet er blandt andet derfor ganske stor, og man udskifter ikke sine institutioner, med mindre de gentagne gange har fejlet særdeles klart. Gruppen af personer, der deler en institution, forsøger hellere at redde institutionen ved at udvikle hjælpeforklaringer som reaktion på uforudsete begivenheder og negative konsekvenser af de førte politikker end at forkaste den og foretrækker at implementere nye politikker inden for den etablerede forståelsesramme.

I afhandlingen identificeres et antal delvis uforenelige forståelsesrammer, der angår krig og væbnet konflikt. Disse 'krigsopfattelser' (eng. war concepts) fastslår, i hvor høj grad krigsførelse er 'rationel', og af hvem og i hvilken grad krigs bør styres. Tre af krigsopfattelserne er udbredte i nutidens modne demokratier: Den Liberale opfattelses grundlæggende ide er, at krig i hovedsagen er en destruktiv, irrationel fejtagelse. Krigsførelsen ses som drevet af had og frygt og krig kun som sidste udvej. Først når alle fredelige tiltag har fejlet, kan krigsførelse retfærdiggøres. Krigen skal da i det omfang, det er muligt, opfylde de politisk fastsatte mål med krigen og skal underlægges den civile, politiske ledelses tilsyn for at sikre, at militæret ikke brutaliseres og forværre og udvider konflikten snarere end at skabe en situation, hvor normal politik kan vende tilbage. Ifølge den Militær-Teknologiske krigsopfattelse, der lige som den Liberale opfattelse er ganske udbredt blandt de modne demokratiers befolkninger, er krig ikke nødvendigvis en irrationel fejtagelse, men af og til et nødvendigt instrument. Da der ses at være en betydelig grad af rationalitet i værk under krigsførelsen, er dybdeplanlægning af og solid politisk opbakning til militære aktioner ønskelige for succes. Det politiske niveau forventes at tilskre, at soldaterne ikke hæmmes i krigsførelsen af civile uden den nødvendige ekspertise, og at medvirke til, at militæret har adgang til de nødvendige midler, herunder den nyeste teknologi, der er essentiel for militære forehavenders hurtige og gunstige afslutning. Den Clausewitzianske krigsopfattelses særkende er, at krig og væbnede konflikter i hovedsagen ses som udtryk for den samme rationelle logik, der i øvrigt præger politikken, hvorfor væbnede konflikter skal fortolkes som et udtryk for parternes politiske interesser og interne politiske og sociale dynamik. Af denne grund ser clausewitzianerne krigsførelsen som udtryk for et utal af politiske afvejninger, f.eks. af i hvor høj grad konventioner skal følges, civile og fjender skånes på bekostning af egne tab, værdier og liv forbruges og allierede tilgodeses. Clausewitzianere, der er et mindretal i forhold til de to førstnævnte krigsopfattelsers tilhængere, mener, at når de væbnede konflikter i høj grad er politiske, så bør politikerne med bistand af militær ekspertise aktivt og i dybden lede krigsførelsen for at sikre, at denne tilgodeser krigens politiske mål.

Det vises i afhandlingen, hvordan de tre krigsopfattelser leder til forskellige definitioner af krig og væbnet konflikt, til uforenelige ønsker til det politiske niveaus styring af militære indsatser og til delvis modstridende politiske strategier og militære doktriner. Dertil også til vidt forskellige tilgange til udviklingen af militære kapaciteter og til ledelsen af militære styrker, herunder til nutidens hastige udvikling af nationale og internationale juridiske kontrolredskaber relateret til militærvæsen og krigsførelse.

I afhandlingen inddrages skriftlige kilder fra politikere, forskere, soldater og civile, blandt sidstnævnte i særlig grad fra interesseorganisationer, der er involveret i den humanitære indsats i krigsområder. Desuden analyseres de mekanismer, som bl.a. Danmark, Storbritannien og den Europæiske Union har udviklet for at styre udsendte soldaters indsats, en serie af britiske parlamentsdebatter samt EUs reaktion på Darfur for at belyse krigsopfattelsernes indflydelse. Det konkluderes, at krigsopfattelser som teoretisk model leverer en brugbar synliggørelse af de sædvanligvis ganske skjulte og implicitte forståelsesrammer, der former de modne demokratiers syn på og handlinger i forhold til krig og væbnet konflikt.

English Abstract

The thesis brings forward broadly held and often conflicting sets of beliefs and preconceptions about the nature of war and armed conflict, and analyses how and to what extent these shape the democracies' generation, use and direction of military forces. The focus is on the period after 1989 and on well-established democracies, and thus on how war-related beliefs influence the recent multi-national military interventions. Based on an analysis of political debates, military doctrine and scholarly literature, it is shown how adherents of conflicting war-related beliefs disagree over interpretations, policy and strategies. As the preconception about war and armed conflicts form recurrent patterns stable over the decades, they form distinct 'schools of thought' which may be modelled as 'war concepts'. The aims of the thesis are, firstly, to arrive at a robust model allowing war concepts to be identified; secondly, to analyse how war concepts influence the interpretation of today's military challenges and interventions; and thirdly, to assess the extent to which war concepts determine how democracies choose to lead their armed force elements deployed abroad.

Six war concepts are defined, and drawing on earlier institutionalist contributions the discursive characteristics of the war concepts are identified. The recent debates of the well-established, mature democracies reflect that three of the war concepts, the Liberal, the Military-Technological and the Clausewitzian, dominate the scholarly, military, political and public beliefs about how military force is to be developed, employed and led. In its core the Liberal war concept holds that war is an aberration, an irrational and emotion-driven slide away from politics, which can only be justified and joined where all other means have failed, and then only with a determined effort to restrain violence to allow for politics to re-enter and the situation to calm. Adherents of the Mil-Tech war concept see war as potentially a pragmatic and rational response in cases where politics fail to bring about a sensible solution, and given that the armed conflict is joined with clear aims and full political support, the latter including the political level's full acceptance that soldiers, not civilians, should lead military operations. Clausewitzians, a minority, view war and armed conflict as merely a continuation of the peacetime rationality, and neither emotion-driven nor apolitical, and insist on politicians leading the military effort beyond merely formulating the strategic aims. These fundamentally incompatible and most often unstated sets of fundamentally different views often prevent meaningful dialogue between their adherents, and lead to political disagreement over the use and direction of the military instrument, including intra-military disunity over doctrines and structures.

Based on the analysis of scholarly works, military doctrines, and political

discussions and instructions it is shown that war concepts in part underlie disagreements in the interpretation, doctrines and execution of current military ventures such as peacekeeping, peace enforcement, humanitarian interventions and counter-insurgencies. The intervention-related structures within UN, NATO and EU are investigated to assess how differing perceptions of how military force works and should be directed. The status and giving of humanitarian aid in conflict zones is a related issue, deeply entwined with the current use of military force, and the conceptions of impartiality, neutrality and inviolability of the civilian aiders and peacekeeping forces are shown to a large degree to reflect beliefs inherent in war concepts. Similarly, the current trend towards the deep implementation of national and international judicial oversight with armed forces abroad is shown to derive from war concept-related beliefs.

The issue of oversight with armed forces elements abroad is dealt with extensively, and it is shown how the conceptions inherent in war concepts lead scholars to reach incompatible conclusions as regards the political level's role in the oversight. Also, the subject of military and strategic cultures is touched upon, and it is proposed that these to a significant extent are expressions of underlying war concepts; yet, as war concepts are promoted by rival strategic elite groups, a change in influence between these groups may lead to significant although partial reorientations of the military forces and the political level's oversight systems within a short span of time. The British and Danish oversight systems for forces abroad are examined, and their development over the past two decades assessed to shed further light on the influence of war concepts, as is Danish and British parliamentary debates.

Overall, it is found that war concepts usefully contribute to make visible and explain largely neglected or unexplained scholarly, political and military trends related to modern military interventions.

Contents

Danish Abstract	iii
English Abstract	v
Contents	vii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xiv
List of Displays	xvii
Preface	xix
I Intent and Framework	1
1 Introduction	3
1.1 Intent	3
1.2 Ideas and Actions	4
1.3 Thesis Aim	5
1.4 Methodology	6
1.5 Structure of the Thesis	8
2 Theoretical Framework	11
2.1 Perspectives, Theories, and Fields	11
2.2 Michael Mann’s Sociological Framework	12
2.3 Institutional Theory	13
2.3.1 Bounded Rationality Institutionalism	14
2.3.2 Institutionalised Identities and Organisational Change	14
2.3.3 Discourse Theory	15
2.3.4 War Concepts as Institutions	16
2.3.5 War Concepts as ‘Research Programmes’	16
2.4 Related Research	17
3 War Concepts	21
3.1 Ideals, Institutions and Opinions	21
3.1.1 The Formation of Opinions About Wars	22
3.1.2 War Concepts as War-related Ideologies	23
3.2 War Concept Dimensions	23

3.2.1	The Political Leadership Dimension	24
3.2.2	The Rationality Dimension	25
3.3	Six War Concepts Outlined	27
3.3.1	The Liberal War Concept	28
3.3.2	The Military–Technological War Concept	29
3.3.3	The Clausewitzian War Concept	30
3.3.4	Strategic Nihilism	31
3.3.5	The Militarist War Concept	31
3.3.6	The Fascist War Concept	32
3.4	Identifying War Concepts	32
3.4.1	Logical Barriers and Intermediate Positions	33
3.4.2	Methodological Considerations	35
II	War Concepts and the Uses of Military Force	37
4	Analysing Wars	39
4.1	Defining War and Armed Conflict	39
4.1.1	Liberal and Mil-Tech Definitions of War	40
4.1.2	Clausewitzians Defining War	41
4.2	The Warring Parties	42
4.2.1	Liberals and Mil-Techs on Warring Parties	43
4.2.2	Clausewitzians and ‘Trinitarian’ Analysis	43
4.2.3	War Concepts and the Analysis of Warring Parties	44
4.3	Explaining Change	44
4.3.1	“New Wars” – a Liberal Interpretation	46
4.3.2	“War Amongst People” – a Mil-Tech Interpretation	48
4.3.3	Clausewitzian ‘Generations of Warfare’	49
4.3.4	A Lakatosian View on War Concepts	52
4.4	Chapter Summary	53
5	Peacekeeping and Peacemaking	55
5.1	Interventions – a Typology	55
5.2	Neutrality and Impartiality	57
5.2.1	Humanitarian Inviolability	58
5.3	Peacekeeping	60
5.3.1	Liberals on Peacekeeping	60
5.3.2	Mil-Techs on Peacekeeping	61
5.3.3	Clausewitzians on Peacekeeping	62
5.3.4	Three Conflicting Views of Peacekeeping	62
5.4	Peacemaking	64
5.4.1	Liberals on Peacemaking	64
5.4.2	A Mil-Tech Understanding of ‘Spoilers’	65
5.4.3	Clausewitzians on Peacemaking	66
5.5	Chapter Summary	66
6	Peace Enforcement and Humanitarian Interventions	69
6.1	Peace Enforcement	69
6.1.1	Liberals on Peace Enforcement	71

6.1.2	Mil-Techs on Peace Enforcement	74
6.1.3	Clausewitzians on Peace Enforcement	76
6.1.4	War Concepts and Peace Enforcement Doctrine	77
6.1.5	Deterrence, Escalation and De-escalation	77
6.2	Humanitarian Intervention	80
6.2.1	A Liberal View on Humanitarian Intervention	80
6.2.2	A Mil-Tech View on Humanitarian Intervention	81
6.2.3	A Clausewitzian View on Humanitarian Intervention . .	82
6.3	Chapter Summary	82
7	Expeditionary Wars	85
7.1	Expeditionary War — Interventions for Regime Change	85
7.1.1	Liberals on Expeditionary War	87
7.1.2	Mil-Techs on Expeditionary War	88
7.1.3	Clausewitzians on Expeditionary War	89
7.2	The Effort Devoted to Expeditionary Wars	90
7.2.1	A Liberal View: Expeditionary Wars as ‘Unsecuritised’	91
7.2.2	A Mil-Tech View: Expeditionary Wars as ‘Wars of Choice’	92
7.2.3	A Clausewitzian View: Expeditionary Wars as Politics .	94
7.3	The Legal Framework Around Expeditionary Wars	94
7.3.1	War Concepts and the Permissibility of Offensive Military Action	95
7.3.2	War Concepts and Legal Restraints on Targeting	97
7.3.3	War Concepts and Legal Restrictions on Certain Weapons	98
7.4	Chapter Summary	99
8	Insurgencies	101
8.1	Insurgencies – a Liberal Interpretation	101
8.1.1	Counter-insurgency – Liberal Prescriptions	103
8.1.2	Liberal Views on Aid and Reconstruction	103
8.2	Insurgency – Mil-Tech Interpretations	104
8.2.1	Counter-insurgency – Mil-Tech Prescriptions	105
8.2.2	Mil-Tech Views on Aid and Reconstruction	105
8.3	Insurgency – Clausewitzian Interpretations	107
8.3.1	Counter-insurgency – Clausewitzian Prescriptions	108
8.3.2	Clausewitzian Views on Aid and Reconstruction	110
8.4	Interpreting the Darfur Insurgency – a Case Study	110
8.4.1	The EU’s Liberal Interpretations of Sudan and Darfur .	110
8.4.2	Mil-Tech and Clausewitzian Interpretations of Darfur .	112
8.4.3	The Political Economy of Warfare States	113
8.4.4	Darfur Conflict Themes	115
8.4.5	International Responses to Darfur	117
8.4.6	The European Union’s Stance on Darfur	118
8.5	Chapter Conclusion	120
8.5.1	Insurgencies – A Comparison of Views, Doctrines, and Practises	120
8.5.2	Interpretations of Darfur	120

III War Concepts and Oversight with Forces Abroad	123
9 Generating Military Capabilities	125
9.1 Approaches to the Study of Military Systems	125
9.2 National Military Styles	127
9.3 Military Doctrines	130
9.4 Armed Forces' Steering Ideology	132
9.5 Military Professionalism	134
9.5.1 Professionalism and the Institution–Occupation Model .	136
9.5.2 Professionalism and Soldiers in Civilian Functions . .	137
9.6 Military Structures	138
9.6.1 War Concepts and Conscription	139
9.6.2 Expeditionary Capability	140
9.6.3 Technological Level	141
9.7 Chapter Summary	141
10 Democratic Control Theories	145
10.1 The Aims of Democratic Control	145
10.1.1 Democratic Control Terminology and Research	146
10.1.2 Democratic Politics in Mature Democracies	147
10.1.3 War Concepts and Democratic Control in Crisis and War	148
10.2 Mil-Tech Democratic Control Theories	150
10.2.1 Huntington's Objective Control Theory	150
10.2.2 Huntington on Democratic Control in War-time	151
10.2.3 Desch's Post-Cold War Insight	152
10.3 Liberal Democratic Control Theories	153
10.3.1 Janowitz and the 'Fusionist' School of Civil–Military Relations	153
10.3.2 Fusionism	153
10.3.3 Bland's Regime Theory of Civil–Military Relations . .	154
10.3.3 Foster's Challenges to Civil–Military Relations . . .	155
10.4 Clausewitzian Democratic Control Theories	156
10.4.1 Finer on Civilian Oversight	156
10.4.2 Feaver's Agency Framework	158
10.5 Chapter Summary	159
11 Democratic Control with Forces Abroad	163
11.1 The Democratic Control Framework for Forces Abroad	163
11.1.1 Democratic Control: Authority, Ability and Attitude .	164
11.2 Intervention Organisers and the Exercise of Democratic Control	166
11.2.1 The UN's Exercise of Democratic Control	166
11.2.2 NATO's Exercise of Democratic Control	167
11.2.3 The European Union's Exercise of Democratic Control .	169
EU as Intervention Organiser	169
11.2.4 The Choice of Intervention Organisers	171
11.3 Democratic Operational Control – Models and Mechanisms .	171
11.3.1 Three Operational Control Mechanisms	173
Subordination	173
Caveats	174

Rules of Engagement	175
11.4 Judicial Operational Control	176
11.4.1 The Merge of Human Rights and the Laws of Armed Conflict	177
11.4.2 War Concepts and the Legality of Orders	180
11.4.3 War Concepts and the 1977 Extension of Combatant Status	181
11.4.4 War Concepts and the Handling of Detainees	183
11.5 Chapter Conclusions	184
12 British and Danish Oversight with Forces Abroad	187
12.1 The British Direction of Forces Abroad	187
12.1.1 The British Democratic Control Setting	188
The Academic Debate on Military Affairs	188
The Armed Forces' Debate	189
12.1.2 The Politico-military Co-ordination System	189
12.1.3 War Concepts and the British Democratic Control System	190
12.2 The Danish Direction of Forces Abroad	192
12.2.1 The Danish Democratic Control Setting	193
The Academic Debate of Military Affairs	193
The Armed Forces' Debate	194
12.2.2 The Politico-military Co-ordination System	195
The Danish Political Debate on Forces Abroad	196
Explaining the Change of Political Focus	198
12.3 Chapter Conclusions	199
13 British Politicians and Parliamentary Control	201
13.1 Methodological Considerations	201
13.1.1 The Choice of Empirical Material	202
13.1.2 Purposes of the Analysis	202
13.2 Parliamentary Control	203
13.3 The British Mid-1995 Debates on Bosnia	204
13.3.1 Assessing the Debates	205
13.3.2 'Notions' as an Expression of War Concepts	206
13.4 Analysis of the Debates Data	207
13.4.1 Results	208
13.4.2 Types 1-3 and the Use of Knowledge	210
13.4.3 Pro-Bosnian Bias, Types, and Knowledge	211
13.4.4 Party Affiliation	212
13.5 Chapter Conclusions	213
IV Conclusion	217
14 Conclusion	219
14.1 War Concepts	219
14.2 The Uses of Military Force	222
14.3 Oversight with Forces Abroad	223
14.4 Explaining Change	225

14.5 The Uses and Strengths of War Concepts	226
Appendices	229
A The 1995 British Bosnia Debate – Methodology	231
A.1 Table: Notions and Values	231
A.2 The Assignment of Notions	232
A.3 Detailed Analysis	233
A.4 Hard Contradictions	234
A.5 Spreadsheet – Data Page	235
Bibliography	237
Index	265
Cited authors	269

List of Figures

2.1	Mann's Sources of Social Power	12
2.2	Åkerstrøm Andersens's Institutional Theory	15
2.3	Lakatos' Research Programme	17
2.4	Strategic Studies and Related Fields	18
3.1	Forming an Opinion on Wars	22
3.2	Two Dimensions of War Concepts	24
3.3	The Political Leadership Dimension	24
3.4	Three Levels of War	25
3.5	The Rationality Dimension	26
3.6	Six War Concepts	27
3.7	Six War Concepts and the Levels of War	30
4.1	Clausewitz' Trinity	44
5.1	Expeditionary Operations Typology	56
5.2	Humanitarian and Peacekeeping Inviability	58
5.3	Peacekeeping through Separation	60
5.4	Peacekeepers Suppressing 'Spoilers'	65
6.1	Peace enforcement with Partial Consent	69
6.2	Humanitarian Intervention	80
7.1	Expeditionary Wars	85
7.2	The 'Just War' Tradition	86
7.3	Democratic Restraints on Total War	91
8.1	Two-threshold Armed Conflict Model	102
8.2	Reconstruction Aid Delivery Flows	104
8.3	Interventions Transitioning from Military to Civilian Effort	107
8.4	Economic Flows in Warfare States	115
8.5	Oil Concessions in Sudan, 2002	116
8.6	Excess Mortality in Darfur 2003–07	117
9.1	National Military Styles	128
9.2	Three Dimensions of Military Doctrine	131
9.3	Balancing Steering Logics	133
9.4	Soldiers Used in Non-military Functions	137
9.5	Three Military Structure Parameters	139

10.1	Democratic Control Terminology	146
10.2	Rationality and Politics in Crisis and War	149
10.3	Desch' Civil Control and Threats	152
10.4	Civilian and Military Realms in Defence Management	154
10.5	Finer on Military Interference	157
10.6	Feaver's Principal-Agent Theory	158
10.7	War Concepts and Responsibilities in Defence Management	160
11.1	Democratic Control with Forces Abroad	165
11.2	A Mil-Tech View on Democratic Operational Control	172
11.3	A Liberal View on Democratic Operational Control	172
11.4	A Clausewitzian View of Democratic Operation Control	173
11.5	Humanitarian and Utilitarian Ethics	178
11.6	Osiel on the Culpability of Obedience to Illegal Orders	180
12.1	Danish Officers' Journal Contributions	195
13.1	British Politicians and the Logics of War	209
14.1	Six War Concepts	221
A.1	Spreadsheet – 33 British Politicians	236

List of Tables

3.1	Discursive Orders of Four War Concepts	34
4.1	Lind's Four Generations of Warfare	51
4.2	Defining War and Actorness	53
5.1	Chapter Summary: Peacekeeping and Peacemaking	67
6.1	War Concepts and Peace Support Operations' Doctrine	73
6.2	Chapter Summary: Peace Enforcement	83
8.1	War Concepts and Counter-Insurgency Doctrine	121
9.1	War Concepts and Steering Ideology	134
9.2	War Concepts and Professionalism	136
11.1	War Concepts and Operational Control Mechanisms	176
11.2	War Concepts and the Laws of Armed Conflict	185
13.1	Ten Notions of Rationality and Political Options	206
13.2	Types and the Use of Knowledge	210

13.3 Types and Bias towards Bosnia	211
13.4 Pro-Bosnian Bias and Knowledge	212
13.5 Party Affiliation and Various Measures	212
13.6 Party Affiliation and 'RatPol-scale' Position	213
14.1 Ideals of Six War Concepts	220
A.1 Notions and Rat-Pol Values	231
A.2 The Use of Knowledge in Debates	233
A.3 The Influence of Politicians' Gender	233

List of Displays

4.1	Definitions of War	41
4.2	Conventional Forces Losing to Insurgents	45
4.3	Fourth Generation of Warfare	49
5.1	Non-neutrality of Humanitarian Aid	59
5.2	Three UN Peacekeeping Operations	63
5.3	Clausewitz on Politics and the Tactical Level	64
5.4	Peacemaking Doctrines	65
6.1	UN's Mission in Rwanda 1994	70
6.2	UN's Peace Enforcement in Bosnia 1992–95	71
6.3	Balkan Mediators	72
6.4	British Balkan Intervention Force Estimates	75
6.5	The 'Lift and Strike' Dispute	75
6.6	'Burden Sharing' in Afghanistan	77
6.7	Exemplary Force	79
6.8	NATO's 1999 Kosovo Air War	81
7.1	Democracies' Expeditionary Wars	86
7.2	A Liberal View on the Balkan Wars	87
7.3	A Mil-Tech View on the Balkan Wars	88
7.4	A Clausewitzian View on the Balkan Wars	90
7.5	Mil-Tech Restraints on Expeditionary Wars	93
7.6	Assassinations or Acts of War?	96
7.7	Targeting Civilians for Political Effect	97
8.1	Counter-insurgency Approaches in Iraq 2005	106
8.2	Provincial Reconstruction Teams in Afghanistan	107
8.3	Iraq: Political Violence or Insanity?	109
8.4	A German Position on Darfur	112
8.5	Interpretations of Darfur in 2007–08	112
8.6	Western Military Options in Darfur	120
9.1	'The Surge' as a Strategic Elite Displacement	129
9.2	Defence Review Processes in the U.K. and Denmark	130
9.3	A Critique of U.S. Army Doctrine	132
9.4	Changing Jurisdiction of Military Professionals	134
9.5	Danish Armed Forces' Management System	136
9.6	Armed Forces' Employment and Capabilities	138
9.7	Conscription as War-avoidance	140

9.8 Frontline or Proven Technology?	142
10.1 Huntington on the U.S. in World War II	151
10.2 Clausewitz on Political Direction of War	159
11.1 The UN as Intervention Organiser	167
11.2 NATO – Political and Military Effectiveness	168
11.3 The Leadership of EU's Military ESDP Missions	171
11.4 Subordination of Troops	174
11.5 NATO's Kosovo War, 'Lawyers in Command'?	177
11.6 ROE 429a Permissions to Kill	179
11.7 Reservations to the 1977 Additional Protocol	182
11.8 U.S. Internment Procedures	184
12.1 British Defence Crisis Management, Iraq 2005	191
12.2 Danish-British Co-operation in Iraq, 2005	196
13.1 German Parliamentary Control	203

Preface

The work at hand was born a particularly beautiful morning in 1994 up high in the Himalayas, where as a UN Military Observer in Kashmir I was tracking a group of foreign militants. It was a time of strategic drift where in Bosnia capable soldiers stood by and political and military leaders entertained unworkable ideas about peacekeeping while civilians suffered. And in my tiny UN mission much came to naught because of Kiplingesque “sloth and folly”, although by no means “heathen” such as the local players mostly did well in contrast to the UN and our sending states. Having tea served in front of my tent I contemplated the apparent politico-military analytical incomprehension, and it dawned on me that I ought to write a concise introduction to how matters military were to be understood, its working title *Military Power — a Phrasebook*.

The combination of war, civil war, insurgency, great power rivalry, and Islamist intrusion in Kashmir over which my 36 colleagues and I watched on behalf of the Security Council did not allow for the phrasebook to be written, and instead I saw and learnt, in particularly because of the tasks and trust given to me by my chief General Ricardo Galarza and by Lt.Cols Bror Sundstrøm and Lars Kelstrup, who shared with me their ideas and experience in and on military affairs, politics and diplomacy, and the murky areas in between. Safely back at Aarhus University, professors Mehdi Mozaffari, Bjørn Lomborg, and Nikolaj Petersen prompted me to structure my observations and clarify my ideas, no small task for them or for me, and I am deeply grateful for their advice. My acquaintance with the writings of General Clausewitz led me to one of his Danish translators, Brigadier General Michael H. Clemmesen, and with the latter onwards to the Baltic states. My years at the Baltic Defence College brought me into daily contact with often brilliant fellow staff and students too numerous to be mentioned here, and with politicians, scholars, civil servants, and military leaders engaged in the political and military securing of the three Baltic states.

European Studies at Aalborg University offered me a Ph.D. scholarship, and my new colleagues, in particular Jean Monnet Professors Søren von Dosenrode and Henrik Plaschke, associate professor Poul Thøis Madsen, and Development Studies professor Mammo Muchie, and not the least Dr Jens Eistrup gave of their time and insights liberally, challenging me to refine my arguments, and always with good humour and civility.

The College of Europe professors Sieglinde Gstöhl and Dieter Mahncke allowed me to come aboard their newly created International Relations and Diplomacy department, where I taught intense educational role-playing Simulation Games on the politico-military intricacies of and diplomatic obstacles to

the European Defence and Security Policy. These simulations were developed and taught both in Aalborg and in Bruges together with my fine colleague associate professor Wolfgang Zank, with whom I thoroughly and most fruitfully disagree on a wide range of security policy issues. I owe to the Bruges IRD students of 2006–7 and 2007–8 my particular gratitude as they energetically acted as both fact-finders for and test drivers of many of the ideas and results found in this thesis.

During the writing a number of British, Danish, and EU-employed military and civilian practitioners patiently shared their experience with me. A few of them are thanked in the text, but many more are to remain unthanked in writing but not forgotten. What may be found of errors and incomplete interpretations cannot be blamed on you!

Above all, the single person who has given the strongest impetus to my academic development is Jean Monnet Professor, now *emeritus*, Staffan Zetterholm of Aalborg University. In what at times became marathon-sessions with twenty points of substantial advise on my todo-list he nudged me towards acceptance of the academic tradecraft, and tirelessly lifted me higher than anyone else could have done. As a practitioner turned academic and from a background where simplicity is highly rated I may have not been particularly receptive. Be there no doubt, the scholarly flaws and oddities in this thesis are mine, not his!

While I thoroughly enjoyed the writing process, my most intense pride and satisfaction does not, of course, come from this thesis. Such is on a daily base given to me by my wife Solvej and from our three children and their endless capacity for love and creativity.

JPT

Part I

Intent and Framework

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1 Intent

We tend to disagree over armed conflict, and politicians, military officers, scholars and commentators often find themselves numbed by the incomprehension of their, in other matters, competent and respectable peers. On issues related to the interpretation of the use and direction of military force and the justifications for war and armed conflicts, substantially different preconceptions seem to be clashing.

In the mature democracies,¹ which through their wealth and military power dominate the global economy and security agenda, the disagreements over the use of military force are particularly interesting, and eased by their high degree of openness and debate. With the mellowing of Soviet power in Russia, the strategic situation of most of the mature democracies, a majority of which are members of NATO and the European Union, changed significantly to the better, and as the threat of major war receded defence budgets shrunk and the political attention to military affairs waned. The new strategic situation is, however, marked by increased complexity as a succession of relatively limited armed conflicts at or near the periphery of Europe and in the Greater Middle East and Africa have given rise to ‘out of area’ interventions involving the mature democracies. The UN, NATO and the European Union have acted as intervention organisers, and couple together military, diplomatic and civilian actions to these localised, yet long-drawn conflicts in which the intervenors seek to protect weak governments while local civilians often are the primary target of the local combatants.

The multinational military interventions in which the mature democracies participate are expected to remain the politico-military focus in the predictable future. Yet, while there is often a compulsion to intervene, the use of military means is fraught with disagreement over where, when and how military force is to be used. European and Transatlantic cohesion about matters related to military security has suffered, and success in the ventures have been either partial as in the Balkans and Iraq or absent as in Africa and Afghanistan,

¹ For Finer (1962, pp. 87–88) marked by “wide public approval of the procedures for transferring power”, “wide public recognition as to who and what constitutes the sovereign authority”, and a public (in the sense of ‘civil society’) which is “proportionately large and well mobilised”.

and a profusion of interpretations, approaches, doctrines and strategies have appeared. Is there a system to be found in the discord? A deeper understanding of these differences would be beneficial for the study of the external and defence policies of the mature democracies and their interventions-enabling organisations, in particular NATO and the European Union. This thesis explores the thinking behind the assessment of various aspects of armed conflict and the uses of armed force, and argues that a theoretical model, *war concepts*, brings a degree of orderliness and thus of understanding into the mass of differing perceptions.

1.2 Ideas and Actions

The thesis is built around two notions. The first is that while all people strive to act rationally in the sense that we seek to make our words and actions serve the purposes we intend, our rationality is bounded by perceptions. We act within the limits imposed by preconceived beliefs. The second notion is that our choices and actions related to military affairs (in the widest sense, including the organisation of the armed forces, its modes of operation, leadership and culture, and the efforts of the civil servants and of the politicians who oversee and direct the military) are strongly influenced by distinct sets of ideas. These partially incompatible sets of ideas, in the words of Lider (1977, p. 353) our “concepts of the nature of war”, hereafter ‘war concepts’, bound our perception of what armed conflict is and how it is played out.

Most social scientists will agree that perceptions and ideas influence human interaction and actions, and disagree over how and to what extent. While I am travelling with the social constructivist caravan and thus see much human thinking and endeavour as being influenced by perceptions rather than by an objective reality alone, I rest at rationalist or objectivist oases: Not everything is experienced through beliefs and done within social constructs. Across cultures and perceptions individuals share a capacity for perceiving a ‘baseline reality’ when challenged to do so by adversity, and thus possess a latent capability for independent and ‘reasoned’ thinking and action. In particular where the cost of failure is immediate, visible, and high as is often the case in war, individuals may readily adjust their thinking and actions.

War concepts, of which I define six major types, are based on fundamental ideas about the overall logic of *warfare* and on the interconnection between warfare and politics. While a host of academic studies and theories of wars and armed conflict revolve around their causes and effects, the study at hand is only marginally concerned with how or why such conflicts are initiated and what effects they have. What is of interest here is a person’s or a group of persons’ understanding of how warfare ‘works’ as this influences his, her or their prescription of what military means can achieve, of how force is to be wielded, and of how states should shape, direct and control their armed forces.

Quite some academic effort has been devoted to the investigation of the foundational beliefs underlying politico-military action, often labelling such beliefs ‘strategic cultures’. Few claims resulting from these efforts have made a lasting impact, perhaps because strategic cultures are often perceived as being monolithic within nations or states, built on stable geographical, cul-

tural or historical foundations and thus too rigid to explain national variance or national policy shifts well.² The most well-known recent ‘strategic cultures’ claim by Robert Kagan (2002) had that Americans are ‘from Mars’, power-conscious and responsible, and Europeans ‘from Venus’, post-modern and legalist appeasers. Kagan sees the international distribution of military might, history, and thus (continental) nationality as the key variables determining a strategic cultural dichotomy. The view is provocatively simplistic, and probably served a political purpose in addition to its academic. Yet Kagan’s claim resounded widely because it struck at observable and intriguing differences, which plausibly have significant political effects. In contrast to Kagan’s duality the six war concepts presented here are not tightly bound to geography, political ideologies or national historical experience but to the belief systems of individuals. I also assume that war concepts are carried by and fairly constant with individuals whose differing views co-exist and in many respects well. Yet, when confronted with questions related to armed conflict they group with those sharing their own war concept to promote policies and military structures compatible with their shared perceptions. Changes of and in governments and among the senior defence civil servants and military officers may tilt the balance in favour of one war concept or the other, and thus effect fairly sudden changes in the national use of military power not well accounted for by ‘strategic culture’ theories.

1.3 Thesis Aim

The thesis sets out, firstly, to systematise the often conflicting beliefs about the nature of war and armed conflict found among the public in mature democracies, and in particular among politicians, practitioners and scholars engaged in such issues into the least useful number of war concepts understood as distinct families of internally coherent knowledge structures. Secondly, the thesis investigates how adherents of the three predominant war concepts differ in their interpretation of today’s armed conflicts and of the multinational military interventions in which most mature democracies are currently engaged, and thus disagree over when military force should be used and how it should be applied. Thirdly, paying particular attention to how war concepts provide for different understandings of the military instrument and its use, the thesis charts how mature democracies are directing and controlling their armed forces abroad, hereunder by generating political advice and applying judicial restraints. Parts I, II and III of the thesis each address one of these aims.

Academic research generally investigates the questions of *why*, *when* and *where* armed conflicts and military interventions occur.³ This research has shed much light on the international legal and political framework within which interventions are launched, on the decisions leading to national participation, and on the military co-ordination with non-military entities, but only to a lesser degree on the internal ‘workings’ of the interventions. The *how*-question of multinational military interventions has been left relatively

² As argued by Johnston (1995).

³ As discussed in Chapter 6.

unattended: How are interventions actually led, how does the use of force impact on the original parties to the conflict, and how do the intervenors share risks, costs and gains? It will be shown that the pre-assumptions inherent in war concepts may well shape the prioritisation of scholars, and thus relegate the *how* of military interventions to a less than prominent place.

The approach of the thesis raises two major queries. The first concerns the degree of certainty with which war concepts are identified from the words of individuals and groups and from e.g. the organisational structures and routines built to direct multinational military interventions. The second is the extent to which war concepts, as a theoretical construct, in general contribute to an improved understanding of political and military choices and of clashes of interpretations and opinion. The thesis reaches positive answers to both queries; war concepts are found to be both identifiable and useful. Still, although of significant importance, war concepts are but one element in the formation of opinions and sympathies, and ultimately of policies and actions related to armed conflicts. A strong claim that individuals, armed forces or states will act in a certain way once their war concept (for national governments and militaries their dominant war concept) is identified cannot be made. Yet, as will be seen, war concepts provide for useful insights into how military force is generated, applied, led and affects the original parties to a conflict.

1.4 Methodology

The public, political, scholarly and military professional debates of wars and military affairs revolve around recurring themes or notions, which appear to present themselves in regular patterns stable over at least decades. In the social constructivist perspective (developed in Chapter 2) such patterns are taken to be an expression of ‘institutions’, which are broadly shared sets of beliefs which give meaning to and structure human interaction. Based on the assumption that the observed patterns of argumentation about war and armed conflict are expressions of underlying institutions, in the thesis these patterns are ‘reverse engineered’ in order to, in the words of Chilofsky and Cross II (1990, p. 15), “identify the system’s components and their interrelationship and create representations of the system in another form or at a higher level of abstraction.” War concepts are simply a model of the sets of underlying ideas, the institutions, which currently shape the war-related argumentation in mature democracies. The model has evolved from a two-concept dichotomy primarily based on my previous experience and a review of Danish politico-military debates (Trautner 1998), and which has been made more complex and robust through its confrontation with still more empirical material. Such piecemeal development of theory based on testing and experience rather than on clearly formulated hypotheses may be seen as methodologically problematic by the adherents of some research traditions, while others will recognise this ‘by inferences’ method as Peircean scientific pragmatism.⁴ The standard to be upheld for abduction to be a valid method is, as Yu (1994) sums up Peirce’s

⁴ On Peircean scientific thought, see e.g. Yu (1994) and Bertilsson (2009, Chp. 3); for a consonant non-Peircean view, Rothchild (2006).

views, that previous research and alternative explanations are considered, and that clarity is striven for to facilitate criticism.

It is inherent in institutional theorising in general and in the model in particular that institutions such as war concepts must have a fair degree of consistency to serve their adherents well. Glaring omissions and self-contradictions would leave them impractical in relation to many questions and highly vulnerable to criticism, and they would soon be abandoned in favour of seemingly stronger institutions by most of their adherents. The assumed high degree of internal consistency implies that it is possible to hypothesise about how a given war concept's adherents probably would respond to new phenomena even where substantial empirical evidence cannot be presented. The aim of expanding on the understandings following from the war concepts model is to make it richer and more differentiated, and, not least, to make the internal structures and perceptions of the individual war concepts more transparent.

Armed conflict is a mainstay of our culture, perhaps of all cultures, and martial references are found in ancient tales, in children's songs and in modern literature and films, so it is likely that all humans may possess at least a rudimentary war concept. Those personally faced with armed conflict or motivated by professional interests and responsibilities will develop more detailed, coherent and better articulated ideas on armed conflict within the framework of understanding provided by the war concept to which they adhere. For this reason this investigation focuses on scholars, politicians and practitioners (military officers as well as defence civil servants) who are concerned with today's armed conflicts, and in particular with the direction of multinational military interventions. The empirical material on which the thesis is built is thus primarily texts from the hands of scholars, practitioners and politicians or groups of such, in some cases representing official views and decisions, e.g. ministerial speeches, strategic and doctrinal documents, and international treaties. To address the problem that people and organisations do not always do what they say they do, a number of themes are approached through different sources and from different perspectives. In particular the British and Danish politico-military experiences during the last two decades are recurrent themes, the two countries being chosen primarily for the ease of access to written material as well as to interviews with officials with a key role in the direction of forces abroad.⁵ Also North American experiences appear recurrently in the thesis, primarily as a reflection of the primacy in military affairs of the U.S. as well as of the wealth of relevant scholarly works. A number of case studies are included to broaden the material to which war concepts are applied. In addition to the studies of the British and Danish systems for the exercise of control with forces abroad (in Chapter 12), the thesis includes a brief analysis of the interpretations underlying EU's policies on Sudan and Darfur in the period 2003–05 based on EU material and academic sources (in Chapter 8)⁶ and a

⁵ The British system for directing forces abroad is described in Section 12.1.2 (p. 189), the Danish in Section 12.2.2 (p. 195). Interviews were conducted with officials at the British MoD and the Danish Defence Command to allow me to complement the publicly accessible written material, and thus to achieve an overview of the formal direction and co-ordination systems and their use (rather than of the personal views of those interviewed).

⁶ Drawing on the working paper "The Politics of Multinational Crisis Management: The European Union's Response to Darfur" (Trautner 2005b).

study analysing the views of 33 British politicians in a series of parliamentary debates on the war in Bosnia in 1995 based on debate transcripts (Chapter 13).⁷ The ambition is not to reach complete and firm conclusions about these cases as much as it is to test the utility and viability of war concepts as a theoretical device.

1.5 Structure of the Thesis

Following this introduction, Chapter 2 of PART I outlines the theoretical setting of this thesis and its view of the sociological framework in which political and military actors manoeuvre, and sets its social constructivist foundations. The chapter also proposes a view of how war concepts are applied and compete as Lakatosian ‘research programmes’, and influence a number of interrelated academic fields. Chapter 3 delves into the dimensions and aspects which make up the war concept theory. Six war concepts of which three are of current importance are outlined and explained, and their discursive characteristics identified. The chapter also expands on the methodological issues related to the application of war concepts as a theoretical device.

In PART II it is investigated how the adherents of the three most widely found war concepts differ in their interpretations of common manifestations of armed conflict in which the mature democracies have recently engaged. Chapter 4 shows that the adherents of the three war concepts disagree over what constitutes war, over how actorness is conferred to the warring parties, and over what the recent changes in the character of warfare are an expression of. After introducing a typology of military interventions Chapter 5 pays attention to *peacekeeping* and *peacemaking*, and investigates how adherents of different war concepts understand these ventures, and how they present incompatible views of the ‘neutrality’ and ‘impartiality’ of intervention forces and of humanitarian actors. In Chapter 6 the attention is turned towards the more demanding and violence-prone military ventures, namely *peace enforcement* and *humanitarian intervention*, with particular attention to how war concepts lead to differing prescriptions for how intervention forces should present themselves and use armed force towards the original parties to a conflict. Chapter 7 focuses on *expeditionary war*, and it is seen how the interpretation of the Just War tradition and the willingness to use force right up to the level of ‘Total War’ is related to war concepts, and it is shown that the expanding judicial framework being built to restrain military action is influenced by war concepts. The currently most difficult military and political challenge in which the mature democracies have engaged, *counter-insurgency* as in Iraq and Afghanistan, is dealt with in Chapter 8 where the variation in doctrines⁸ and in the integration of civilian and military efforts are explained as resulting from war concepts to a considerable degree. A case study

⁷ Drawing on the working paper “Perceptions of warfare: ‘Senseless Slaughter’ or ‘the Continuation of Politics’? British politicians and the case of Bosnia, May-July 1995” (Trautner 2003c).

⁸ Military doctrines are documents in which armed forces establishes their commonly agreed terminology, interpretations and overall procedures for the military to use as guidelines for a certain class of events.

of how different war concepts lead to starkly conflicting interpretations of the insurgency in Darfur ends the chapter.

PART III consists of a string of ‘under the bonnet’ explorations into how the armed forces of mature democracies are, in the widest sense, controlled. This entails the investigation of how war concepts influence the armed forces, the international and national organisations and arrangements, and the national political debates which set the frame for the exercise of democratic control and generates direction to the forces abroad. In Chapter 9 it is investigated how military systems in their structures, doctrines, professional attitudes and management reflect war concepts, and how the shifting influence of *strategic elite groups* leads to political and military reorientation. Chapter 10 is concerned with the scholarly contributions in the field of democratic control with the armed forces, and it is seen how war concepts influence research and prescriptions in this field. Chapter 11 focuses on the generation of political direction for and control with multinational military interventions organised through the UN, NATO, and EU, and in particular how war concepts shape the prescriptions for how actively the political level should direct forces abroad. Politico-military control instruments such as *Rules of Engagement* and *Caveats* are discussed, as are the judicial control instruments which increasingly supplement and supplant control by political and military leaders. Chapter 12 follows up on this discussion with a case study of the system which enables British and Danish control with forces deployed abroad, and of how war concepts and to a lesser degree changes in strategic elite group influence lead to reorientation of the control effort, and shape the political level’s direction and control. Chapter 13 presents a case study of a British parliamentary debate on the use of British forces in Bosnia in 1995 as this sheds light on how the war concepts of individual politicians and groups of politicians shaped the formulation of policies. In PART IV the findings are summarised, and a conclusion presented.

Bibliography

Abrahamsson, Bengt: 1972, *Military Professionalization and Political Power*, Sage, Beverly Hills, London.

Adler, Emanuel: 1997, Seizing the Middle Ground: Constructive in World Politics, *European Journal of International Relations* 3(3), 319–363.

Ahlström, Christer: 1992, *Guldkriget och den humanitära folkrätten*, Falkrättsdelegationen, Stockholm.

Alden, Chris and Daloz, Jean-Pascal (Eds.): 1996, *Paris, Pretoria, and the African Continent: The International Relations of States and Societies in Transition*, Palgrave Macmillan.

Allin, Dana H.: 2002, NATO's Balkan Interventions, *Adelphi Paper* 347.

Allison, Graham: 1968, Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis, *The American Political Science Review* LXIII(3 (Sep. 1969)), 689–718.

Amnesty International: 2004a, Arming the perpetrators of grave abuses in Darfur, *Library – Online Documentation Library (AI Index: AFR 54/139/2004)*, 16 November 2004.

Amnesty International: 2004b, *Serbia and Montenegro (Kosovo/Kosova). The March Violence . . . (AI Index: EUR 70/016/2004)*, Vol. (July 8), Amnesty International. (Internet ressource, www.amnesty.org).

Andersen, Heine: 1990, *Videnskabsteori og metodelære (3 udg.)*, Samfunds litteratur.

Andersen, Henrik Elsig: 1998, *Dansk FN-soldater 2 år efter*, Psykologisk Afdeling ved Forsvarets Center for Lederskab, København.

Andersen, Louise: 2007, *DIIS REPORT 2007:11 – UN Peace Operations in the 21st Century: State-building and Hybridity*, Danish Institute for International Studies.

Andersen, Niels Åkerstrøm: 1994, *Institutionel historie – en introduktion til diskurs- og institutionsanalyse (COS-rapport nr. 10)*, Center for Offentlige Studier.

Andersen, Niels Åkerstrøm and Kjær, Peter: 1996, *Institutional Construction and Change: An Analytical Strategy of Institutional History*, Center for Offentlige Studier, Copenhagen Business School.

Anderson, Kenneth: 2004, Humanitarian Inviolability in Crisis: The Meaning of Impartiality and Neutrality for U.N. and NGO Agencies Following the 2003-2004 Afghanistan and Iraq Conflicts, *Harvard Human Rights Journal* 17, 41–74.

Andreas, Peter: 2004, The Clandestine Political Economy of War and Peace in Bosnia, *International Studies Quarterly* 48, 29–51.

Anonymous: 2004, *International Aid Co-ordinator Relating Case of Relief to African Capital*, Interview by Jeppe Plenge Trautner.

Arab League: 2004, Darfur, *League of Arab States Resolution* pp. cairo, Aug. 10.

Aylwin-Foster, Nigel: 2005, Changing the Army for Counterinsurgency Operations, *Military Review* pp. 2–14.

Azam, Jean-Paul: 2006, On thugs and heroes: Why warlords victimize their own civilians, *Economics of Governance* 7(1), 53–73.

Bailes, Alyson J. K.: 2008, The EU and a 'better world': what role for the European Security and Defence Policy?, *International Affairs* 84(1), 115–30.

Baker, Gerald, Dempsey, Judy, Graham, Robert, Peel, Quentin and Turner, Mark: 2003, The Divided West, *The Financial Times* pp. May 27, 28, 30 (Parts 1–3).

Barber, Ben: 1997, Feeding Refugees, or War? The Dilemma of Humanitarian Aid, *Foreign Affairs* 76(4), 8–14.

Barber, Noel: 1971, *The War of the Running Dogs. how Malaya Defeated the Communist Guerrillas, 1948-60*, 1972 repr. edn, Collins, London.

Barnes, Fred: 2008, How Bush Decided on the Surge, *The Weekly Standard* 13(20).

Barnett, Thomas P.M.: 2007, The Monks of War, *Esquire* (Feb 12).

Baylis, John, Wirth, James, Cohen, Eliot A. and Gray, Colin S.: 2002, *Strategy in the Contemporary World : An Introduction to Strategic Studies*, Oxford Univ. Press.

Beaumont, Roger: 1995, Small Wars, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 541, 20–35.

Bellamy, Alex J. and Williams, Paul: 2004, Introduction: Thinking Anew about Peace Operations, *International Peacekeeping* 11(1), 1–15.

Belloni, Roberto: 2005, *BCSIA Discussion Paper 2005-03 "Is Humanitarianism Part of the Problem? Nine Theses"*, Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University.

Berdahl, Mats: 2005, The United Nations, Peacebuilding, and the Genocide in Rwanda [Review essay], *Global Governance* 11(1), 115–30.

Bertilsson, Thora Margareta: 2009, *Peirce's Theory of Inquiry and Beyond*, Peter Lang.

Bethke Elshtain, J.: 1992, *Just War Theory*, Blackwell, Oxford.

Betts, Richard K.: 1991, *Soldiers, Statesmen, and Cold War Crises*, Columbia University Press.

Betts, Richard K.: 2000, Is Strategy an Illusion?, *International Security* 25, 5–50.

Betts, Richard K.: 2001, Compromised Command. Review of General Wesley K. Clark, *Waging Modern War: Bosnia, Kosovo, and the Future of Combat*, *Foreign Affairs* Jul/Aug.

Bhatia, Michael V.: 2003, *War and Intervention : A Global Survey of Peace Operations*, Kumarian Press.

Bjerre, Michael and Stougaard, Karl Erik: 2008, *Blindt ind i Basra*, Gyldendal.

Björkdahl, Annika and Strömvik, Maria: 2008, EU Crisis Management Operations, ESDP Bodies, and Decision-making Procedures, *DIIS Report 2008:8*, Danish Institute for International Studies.

Bland, Douglas L.: 1999a, A unified theory of civil-military relations, *Armed Forces and Society* **26**.

Bland, Douglas L.: 1999b, Managing the "Expert Problem" in Civil-Military Relations, *European Security* **8**(3.).

Bland, Douglas L.: 2001, Patterns in Liberal Democratic Civil-Military Relations, *Armed Forces and Society* **27**(4).

Blatchford, Christie: 2007, Canadian troops forced to start from scratch, *Globe and Mail* (Sep 1).

Bloom, Allan: 1968, *The Republic of Plato*, Basic Books.

Bolger, Daniel P.: 1995, *Savage Peace: Americans at War in the 1990s*, Presidio Press, 1995.

Bolia, Robert S.: 2004, Overreliance on Technology in Warfare: The Yom Kippur War as a Case Study, *Parameters* pp. 46–56.

Bonner, Raymond: 1998, Perpetuating an 'Emergency' in War-Torn Sudan, *The New York Times* pp. Oct. 11, s. 1, p. 20.

Boot, Max: 2002, *The Savage Wars of Peace: Small Wars and the Rise of American Power*, Basic Books.

Born, Hans: 2002, Democratic Accountability and Parliamentary Oversight of Multi-national Peace Support Operations: Powers and Practises of Parliaments in 17 Countries, *Conference Paper Presented at the 4th Workshop on "Strengthening Parliamentary Oversight of International Military Cooperation and Institutions", the Working Group on the Parliamentary Control of Armed Forces (PCAF) under DCAF, Geneva*, Vol. 102.

Born, Hans: 2004, *The 'Double Democratic Deficit'. Parliamentary Accountability and the Use of Force Under International Auspices*, Ashgate, chapter The Use of Force Under International Auspices: Parliamentary Accountability and 'Democratic Deficits', pp. 3–16.

Born, Hans, Anghel, Suzana, Dowling, Alex and Fuior, Teodora: 2008, Parliamentary Oversight of ESDP Missions, *Policy Paper No. 28 (2008)*, Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF).

Born, Hans, Caparini, Maria and Haltiner, Karl: 2002, Models of democratic control of the armed forces: A multi-country-study comparing 'good practises' of democratic control.

Born, Hans and Urscheler, Marlene: 2004, *The 'Double Democratic Deficit'. Parliamentary Accountability and the Use of Force Under International Auspices*, Ashgate, chapter Parliamentary Accountability of Multinational Peace Support Operations: A Comparative Perspective.

Boros, Louis L.: 2003, Civilian Control or Civilian Command?, *Working Paper No. 121 (August 2003)*, Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF).

Boulden, Jane: 2005, Mandates Matter: An Exploration of Impartiality in United Nations Operations, *Global Governance* 11(2), 147–60.

Boutros-Ghali, Boutros: 1992, An Agenda for Peace, *Technical report*, UN.

Boyd, James M.: 1971, *United Nations Peace-Keeping Operations: A Military and Political Appraisal*, Praeger.

Brahimi, Lakhdar: 2000, *Brahimi Report (Report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations)*, United Nations.

Breitenbauch, Henrik Ø.: 2005, *Transition til statsbygning efter intervention - en ny strategisk udfordring*, DIIS Report 13.

Breitenbauch, Henrik Ø.: 2008, *Kompas og kontrakt*, Dansk Institut for Militære Studier.

Bremmer, Ian: 2006, *The J Curve: A New Way to Understand Why Nations Rise and Fall*, Simon & Schuster Ltd.

Bristol, Nellie: 2006, Military incursions into aid work anger humanitarian groups, *The Lancet* 367(9598), 384.

Brooks, David: 2008, The Bush Paradox, *New York Times* (Jun 24).

Brylle, Torben: 2007, *Statement by the European Union Special Representative for Sudan, Ambassador Torben Brylle on the occasion of the opening of Darfur peace talks in Libya on 27 October 2007*, The Council of Europe (www.consilium.europa.eu/.../SYRTE_STATEMENTrev1.pdf).

Bundeswehr: 2008, *Innere Führung: Selbstverständnis und Führungskultur der Bundeswehr (ZDv 10/1)*, Der Bundesminister der Verteidigung.

Burg, Steven L.: 2004, *Military Intervention: Cases in Context for the Twenty-First Century*, Rowman & Littlefield, chapter Intervention in Internal Conflict: The Case of Bosnia, pp. 47–66.

Burg, Steven L. and Shoup, Paul S.: 2000, *Ethnic Conflict and International Intervention: Crisis in Bosnia-Herzegovina, 1990-93*, M.E. Sharpe.

Burk, James: 2000, What justifies peacekeeping?, *Peace Review* 12(3), 467–73.

Busch, Heinz: 2001, *Militärspionage der DDR [Manuscript]*, Parallel History Project, doc. no. 80. (Internet ressource, <http://php.isn.ethz.ch>).

Butler, Richard J.: 2002, Modern War, Modern Law, and Army Doctrine: Are We in Step for the 21st Century?, *Parameters* (Spring), 45–59.

Buur, Lars, Vienola, Hannu, Navjord, Bjørn, Ohlsson, Lars and Terp, Aage (eds): 2002, *NORDCAPS PSO: Tactical Manual (3rd Ed., rev.)*, Gummerus Kirjapaino Oy, Finland.

Call, Charles T. and Cook, Susan E.: 2003, On Democratization and Peacebuilding, *Global Governance* 9(2), 233.

Canadian Somalia Commission: 1997, *Dishonoured legacy: The lessons of the Somalia affair. Report of the commission of inquiry into the deployment of Canadian forces to Somalia*, Canadian Government Publishing.

Cassese, Antonio: 2005, *Report of the International Commission of Inquiry on Darfur to the United Nations Secretary-General Pursuant to Security Council Resolution 1564 of 18 September 2004*, Vol. (Geneva, 25 January 2005), United Nations.

Cassidy, Robert M.: 2003, Prophets or Pretorians? The Uptonian Paradox and the Powell Corollary, *Parameters* .

Cassidy, Robert M.: 2004, *Peacekeeping in the Abyss: British and American Peace-keeping Doctrine and Practice After the Cold War*, Praeger.

Chesterman, Simon: 2001, *Just War or Just Peace?: Humanitarian Intervention and International Law*, Oxford Monographs in International Law, Oxford.

Chicago Council on Foreign Relations (CCFR) and German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF): 2002, *Worldviews 2002 – American and European Public Opinion & Foreign Policy*, Chicago Council on Foreign Relations (www.worldviews.org).

Chickering, Roger and Förster, Stig: 2005, *A World at Total War : Global Conflict and the Politics of Destruction, 1937–1945*, Cambridge, chapter Are We There yet? World War II and the Theory of Total War, pp. 1–16.

Chickering, Roger, Förster, Stig and Greiner, Bernd (eds): 2005, *A World at Total War : Global Conflict and the Politics of Destruction, 1937–1945*, Cambridge.

Chilofsky, Elliot J. and Cross II, James H.: 1990, Reverse Engineering and Design Recovery: A Taxonomy, *IEEE Software* 7(1), 13–17.

Clark, Wesley K.: 2001, *Waging Modern War: Bosnia, Kosovo and the Future of Modern Combat*, Public Affairs, New York.

Clarke, Michael: 2001, Review article: War in the new international order, *International Affairs* 77(3).

Clausewitz, Carl von: 1832, *On War*, Princeton University Press 1976/84.

Clemens, Elisabeth S. and Cook, James M.: 1999, Politics and Institutionalism: Explaining Durability and Change, *Annual Review of Sociology* 25, 441–66.

Clemmesen, Michael H.: 2006, *Current and Foreseeable Problems of Military and general Security (PowerPoint slides, Air Base Seminar, Sept. 2006)*, European Studies / Development Studies, Aalborg University.

Clemmesen, Michael H.: 2007, *Festskrift – Forsvarsakademiets 175. årsdag*, Forsvarsakademiet, Copenhagen, chapter Fremtidens Officerer - Uddannelse og Udvikling.

Clemmesen, Michael H.: 2008, *Tiltrædelsesforedrag ved Kungliga Krigsvetenskapsakademien: Det modne demokrati og krigen. Fra 1. Verdenskrig til Afghanistan.* [Draft paper dated March 19, 2008], Internet ressource www.clemmesen.org/articles/Det_modne_demokrati_og_krigen.pdf.

Clutterbuck, Richard L.: 1966, *The Long Long War*, Praeger.

Coates, John: 1992, *Suppressing insurgency : an analysis of the Malayan emergency, 1948-1954*, Westview Studies in Regional Security.

Cohen, Eliot A.: 2002a, Military, Scientific, and Technological, *Book Review of Gordon, D. S. and Toase, F. H. (2001) Aspects of Peacekeeping, Portland: Frank Cass*,

.

Cohen, Eliot A.: 2002b, *Supreme Command - Soldiers, Statesmen and Leadership in Wartime*, Simon & Schuster, London.

Coleman, J.S.: 1990, *Foundations of Social Theory*, Belknap Press.

Collins, Robert O.: 2004, Disaster in Darfur, (*Unpublished manuscript*) p. 29.

Connelly, Marc and Welch, David (eds): 2005, *War and the Media: Reportage and Propaganda, 1900–2003*, I. B. Tauris.

Connaughton, Richard M.: 1993, *Military Implications of United Nations Peacekeeping Operations*, University Press of the Pacific [2005 reprint], chapter Command, Control and Coalition Operations, pp. 9–23.

Cook, Fred J.: 1962, *The Warfare State*, third printing 1962 edn, Macmillan, New York.

Cooper, Tom: 2003, Sudan – Civil War since 1955, *Air Combat Information Group – Central, Eastern, & Southern Africa Database* (www.acig.org/artman/publish/article_180.shtml).

Coram, Robert: 2003, The Hog That Saves the Grunts, *New York Times* (May 27).

Cordesman, Anthony H.: 2003, *The Iraq War: Strategy, Tactics, and Military Lessons* (CSIS Significant Issues Series), Significant Issues Series.

Cordesman, Anthony H.: 2006, *Winning in Afghanistan: How to Face the Rising Threat* [Briefing Slides], The Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS), December 12.

Cordesman, Anthony H., Baetjer, Patrick and Moller, Sara Bjerg: 2006, *Iraq's Evolving Insurgency*, Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS).

Corum, James: 2005, *Counterinsurgency Doctrine- What's New and What's Old* [PowerPoint Presentation], 'Changing Character of War' (CCW) Programme, Oxford University (<http://ccw.politics.ox.ac.uk>).

COSIMO: 2003, *Conflict Simulation Model Project: Methodological Approach since 2003*, Heidelberg Institute for International Conflict Research (HIIK), accessible at www.hiik.de/methodik/methodik_ab_2003.html.en.

Coughlin, Con: 2008, Posturing over Afghanistan puts Nato at risk, *Telegraph.co.uk* (Internet resource: www.telegraph.co.uk) (Apr 4).

Cox, Michael: 2003, Commentary: Martians and Venutians in a new world order, *International Affairs* **79**(3), 523–32.

Crane, Conrad C. and Terrill, W. Andrew: 2003, *Reconstructing Iraq: Insights, Challenges, and Missions for Military Forces in a Post-Conflict Scenario* (Feb. 2003), Strategic Studies Institute, U.S. Army War College.

Creveld, Martin van: 1991, *The Transformation of War*, The Free Press.

Crider, James R.: 2009, A View from Inside the Surge, *Military Review* **89**(2), 81–88.

Croft, Stuart: 1997, International relations and Africa, *African Affairs* **96**(385), 607.

Dahl, Robert A.: 1971, *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition*, Yale University Press.

Dalgaard-Nielsen, Anja and Larsen, David Adgill: 2007, *DIIS Brief: Soldater og statsbygning – Det danske forsvars tilgang til genopbygning i konfliktområder*, Danish Institute for International Studies.

Dallaire, Romeo: 2003, *Shake Hands with the Devil : The Failure of Humanity in Rwanda*, Random House Canada.

Dandeker, Christopher: 2001, On the need to be different: Military uniqueness and civil-military relations in modern society, *RUSI Journal* **146**, 146.

Dandeker, Christopher and Gow, James: 1997, The Future of Peace Support Operations: Strategic Peacekeeping and Success, *Armed Forces and Society* **23**(3), 327–47.

Dandeker, Christopher and Mason, David: 2003, Diversifying the uniform? The participation of minority ethnic personnel in the British armed services, *Armed Forces and Society* **29**(4), 481.

Daniel, Donald C., Hayes, Bradd C. and de Jonge Oudraat, Chantal: 1999, *Coercive Inducement and the Containment of International Crises*, United States Institute of Peace.

Davidson, Lisa Witzig, Hayes, Margaret Daly and Landon, James J.: 1996, *Humanitarian and Peace Operations: NGOs and the Military in the Interagency Process*, NDU Press Book (Workshop Report on Humanitarian and Peace Operations: The NGO/Interagency Interface, held at the National Defense University on 18-19 April 1996).

Dawson, Pauline: 1994, *The Peacekeepers of Kashmir*, Hurst & Co.

De Atkine, Norvell B.: 1999, Why Arabs Lose Wars, *Middle East Quarterly* (www.meforum.org/article/441) (Dec.).

de Waal, Alex: 2004, Counter-Insurgency on the Cheap, *London Review of Books* **26**(15).

Deery, Phillip: 2002, *Malaya, 1948: Britain's 'Asian Cold War'?*, Working Paper #3, 'The Cold War as Global Conflict', International Center for Advanced Studies, New York University (www.nyu.edu/gsas/dept/icas/PhillipDeery.pdf).

Desch, Michael C.: 1998, Culture Clash: Assessing the Importance of Ideas in Security Studies, *International Security* **23**(1), 141–70.

Desch, Michael C.: 1999a, *Civilian Control of the Military: The Changing Security Environment*, John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore MD.

Desch, Michael C.: 1999b, Isms and Schisms: Culturalism versus Realism in Security Studies (A reply), *International Security* **24**(1), 156–180.

Desch, Michael C.: 2007, Bush and the Generals, *Foreign Affairs* .

Diehl, Paul F., Druckman, Daniel and Wall, James: 1998, International peacekeeping and conflict resolution: A taxonomic analysis with implications, *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* **42**(1).

Dieterich, Sandra, Hummel, Hartwig and Marschall, Stefan: 2008, Strengthening Parliamentary "War Powers" in Europe: Lessons from 25 National Parliaments, *Policy Paper No. 27 (2008)*, Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF).

Dinmore, Guy: 2005, Zoellick signals US policy shift on Darfur, *Financial Times* (Apr. 15).

Dixon, Norman: 1991, *On the Psychology of Military Incompetence*, Pimlico.

Donald, Dominick: 2002, Neutrality, Impartiality and UN Peacekeeping at the Beginning of the 21st Century, *International Peacekeeping* **9**(4), 21–38.

Dorman, Andrew: 2007, The British Experience of Low-Intensity Conflict in Sierra Leone, *Defense & Security Analysis* **23**(2), 185–200.

Duffield, John S., Farrell, Theo, Price, Richard and Desch, Michael C.: 1999, Isms and Schisms: Culturalism versus Realism in Security Studies, *International Security* **24**(1), 156–80.

Dunlap Jr., Charles J.: 1992, The Origins of the American Military Coup of 2012, *Parameters* **Winter 1992–93**, 2–20.

Dunlap Jr., Charles J.: 1999, Organized violence and the future of international law: A practitioner's view of the emerging issues, *American Society of International Law. Proceedings of the Annual Meeting* .

Dunlap Jr., Charles J.: 2001, *Law and Military Interventions: Preserving Humanitarian Values in 21st Century Conflicts* [working paper], Carr Center for Human Rights Policy, Harvard University [www.ksg.harvard.edu/cchrp/Web%20Working%20Papers/Use%20of%20Force/Dunlap2001.pdf].

DUPI: 1999, *Humanitarian Intervention. Legal and political aspects*, Danish Institute of International Affairs (DUPI).

Dworkin, Anthony: 2004, The Killing of Sheikh Yassin: Murder or Lawful Act of War?, *Crimes of War Project* [Internet resource, www.crimesofwar.org] (Mar 30).

Dworkin, Anthony: 2006, Israel's High Court on Targeted Killing: A Model for the War on Terror?, *Crimes of War Project* [Internet resource, www.crimesofwar.org] (Dec 15).

Easton, David: 1953, *The Political System. An Enquiry into the State of Political Science*, Alfred A. Knopf.

Echevarria II, A[ntulio]. J.: 1996, Moltke and the German Military Tradition: His Theories and Legacy, *Parameters* **26**, 91–99.

Echevarria II, A[ntulio]. J.: 2003, *Globalization and the Nature of War*, Vol. 31, Strategic Studies Institute, Carlisle (PA).

Ehrhart, Hans-Georg: 2007, *Civil–Military Co-operation and Co-ordination in the EU and in Selected Member States*, Security and Defence Committee of the European Parliament.

Eide, Espen Barth, Kaspersen, Anja Therese, Kent, Randolph and von Hippel, Karin: 2005, Independent Study for the Expanded UN ECHA Core Group : Report on Integrated Missions: Practical Perspectives and Recommendations, *ESS Reports*, United Nations, OCHA.

Elworthy, Scilla and Rifkind, Gabrielle: 2005, *Hearts and Minds: Human security approaches to political violence*, Demos, London (www.demos.co.uk).

English, John A.: 1998, *Lament for an Army: The Decline of Canadian Military Professionalism (Contemporary Affairs Series)*, Irwin.

Espersen, Ole: 1965, *Studier fra det Retsvidenskabelige Institut: FN styrker i retlig belysning*, Juristforbundets Forlag, Kbh.

Eurobarometer: 2003, *Flash Eurobarometer 151: Iraq and Peace in the World*, The European Commission.

Feaver, Peter D.: 1999, *Civil-Military Relations*, Annual Review of Political Science.

Feaver, Peter D.: 2003, *Armed Servants: Agency, Oversight, and Civil-Military Relations*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.

Finer, Samuel: 1962, *The Man on Horseback: The Role of the Military in Politics*, Pall Mall Press, London.

Fivecoat, David G. and Schwengler, Aaron T.: 2008, Revisiting Modern Warfare: Counterinsurgency in the MadaŠin Qada, *Military Review* **88**(6), 77–87.

Fluehr-Lobban, Carolyn: 1988, Book review of Mechthild Runger: *Land Law and Land Use Control in Western Sudan: The Case of Southern Darfur*. London. Ithaca Press, 1987., *The Middle East Journal* **42**(3) (Summer 1988)), 516–17.

Forster, Anthony: 2006, *Armed Forces and Society in Europe*, Palgrave.

Fortna, Virginia Page: 2004, Interstate Peacekeeping: Causal Mechanisms and Empirical Effects, *World Politics* **56**(4), 481–519.

Foster, Gregory D.: 2001, Transforming the U.S. Military: From rhetoric to strategic effectiveness, *The World & I* **16**.

Foster, Gregory D.: 2005, Civil-Military Relations: The Postmodern Democratic Challenge, *World Affairs* **167**(3), 91–100.

Foxley, Tim: 2007, The TalibanŠs propaganda activities: how well is the Afghan insurgency communicating and what is it saying?, *SIPRI Project Papers* (www.sipri.org) .

Franke, Volker and Heinecken, Lindy: 2001, Adjusting to peace: Military values in a cross-national comparison, *Armed Forces and Society. New Brunswick: Summer 2001. Vol. 27, Iss. 4; p. 567* **27**(4), 567–595.

Frantzen, Henning-A.: 2005, *NATO and OPeace Support Operations 1991–1999*, Frank Cass.

Freedman, Lawrence: 1998, International Security: Changing Targets, *Foreign Policy* **110**(Special Ed.), 48–63.

Freedom House: 2007, *Freedom in the World 2007: Freedom Stagnation Amid Push-back Against Democracy [Explanatory essay by Arch Puddington]*, Freedom House [www.freedomhouse.org].

Friis, Finn: 1963, *De Forenede Nationer—Mål, Midler, Virke*, Gyldendal, Kbh. 1963.

Friis, Finn: 1970, *FN – Status efter 25 år*, Fremads Fokusbøger, Odense.

Förster, Stig and Gessler, Myriam: 2005, *A World at Total War : Global Conflict and the Politics of Destruction, 1937–1945*, Cambridge, chapter 3 The Ultimate Horror: Reflections of Total War and Genocide, pp. 53–68.

Fuller, J. F. C.: 1948, *The Second World War, 1939-45*, Eyre and Spottiswoode.

Gaigals, Cynthia: 2007, *Engaging Fragile Situations: Sudan*, ISIS Europe, chapter Political democracy and peace in Sudan.

Gall, Carlotta: 2007, NATO's Afghan Struggle: Build, and Fight Taliban, *New York Times* (Jan. 13).

Gat, Azar: 2001, *A History of Military Thought: From the Enlightenment to the Cold War*, OUP, Oxford.

Ghébali, Victor-Yves: 2005, The OSCE Between Crisis and Reform: Towards a New Lease on Life, *Policy Paper* Ÿ No. 10 (November 2005), Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF).

GHDI: 2003, *The Principles and Good Practise of Humanitarian Donorship*, Good Humanitarian Donorship Initiative. Internet resource: www.goodhumanitariandonorship.org, Stockholm.

Gibson, Stephen and Abell, Jackie: 2004, For Queen and Country? National frames of reference in the talk of soldiers in England, *Human Relations* 57(7), 871.

Gleditsch, Nils Petter, Hegre, Håvard and Wilhelmsen, Lars: 2007, *UCDP/PRI0 Armed Conflict Dataset Codebook 1 (Version 4-2007)*, Uppsala Conflict Data Program (UCDP) www.ucdp.uu.se and International Peace Research Institute, Oslo (PRI0) www.prio.no.

Gleichmann, Colin, Odenwald, Michael, Steenken, Kees and Wilkinson, Adrian: 2004, *Disarmament, Demobilisation, and Reintegration. A Practical Field and Classroom Guide*, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH.

Glennon, Michael J.: 2003, Why the Security Council Failed, *Foreign Affairs May/June*.

Goldschmidt, Verner: 1976, *Danmark i FNs fredsstyrke, bd. I-II*, Edvard Henriksens Forlag, Kbh., chapter Analyse af DANCONs fredsbevarende aktivitet på Cypern, pp. 153–63.

Goldstein, Ritt: 2004, Africa: Oil, al-Qaeda and the US military, *Asia Times* (Mar 30), Mar 30.

Goldwyn, David L. and Morrison, J. Stephen: 2004, *Promoting Transparency in the African Oil: A Report of the CSIS Task Force on Rising U.S. Energy Stakes in Africa*, Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS), Washington DC.

Gordon, Michael R.: 2008, Troop 'Surge' Took Place Amid Doubt and Debate, *The New York Times* (Aug 31).

Goulding Jr., Vincent J.: 2000, From Chancellorsville to Kosovo, Forgetting the Art of War, *Parameters* Summer, 4–18.

Goulding, Marrack: 1993, Humanitarian War, the New United Nations and Peace-keeping. The Evolution of United Nations Peacekeeping, *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs)* 69(3), 451–64.

Gourlay, Catriona: 2004, *The 'Double Democratic Deficit'. Palriamentary Accountability and the Use of Force Under International Auspices*, Ashgate, chapter Parliamentary Accountability and ESDP: The national and European Level, pp. 183–200.

Gray, Colin S.: 1999, *Modern Strategy*, OUP.

Gray, Colin S.: 2003, Clausewitz, History, and the Future Strategic World, *Strategic and Combat Studies Institute Occasional Paper* (47), 45–55.

Gray, Colin S.: 2006, *Recognizing and Understanding Revolutionary Change in Warfare: The Sovereignty of Context*, Th U.S. Army Strategic Studies Institute.

Gregory, Shaun: 2000, The French military in Africa: Past and present, *African Affairs* **99**(396), 435. TY - JOUR.

Grimmett, Richard F.: 2007, *Instances of Use of United States Armed Forces Abroad, 1798-2007 (Updated September 12, 2007)*, Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division of the [US] Congressional Research Service.

Grono, Nick: 2007, *Engaging Fragile Situations: Sudan*, ISIS Europe, chapter Crisis in Darfur.

Gross, Eva: 2007, Germany and European Security and Defence Cooperation: The Europeanization of National Crisis Management Policies?, *Security Dialogue* **38**(4), 501–20.

Grosse-Kettler, Sabrina: 2004, *External actors in stateless Somalia: A war economy and its promoters* (BICC Paper 39), Bonn International Center for Conversion.

Haagerup, Niels Jørgen: 1956, *De Forenede Nationer og Danmarks sikkerhed*, Institut for Presseforskning og Samtidsforskning / Universitetsforlaget i Aarhus.

Haass, Richard N.: 1994, *Intervention*.

Haidt, Jonathan: 2007, Moral Psychology and the Misunderstanding of Religion, *Edge* (www.edge.org/3rd_culture/haidt07/haidt07_index.html).

Haine, Jean-Yves: 2004, *ESDP: an overview*, Institute for Security Studies / Institut d'Études de Sécurité, Paris.

Haine, Jean-Yves: 2005, ESDP transformed, in Christopher Bennett (ed.), *NATO Review. Examining NATO's Transformation (Special Issue, Spring 2005)*, NATO Headquarters (Electronic magazine).

Halkier, Henrik: 2003, Discourse, Institutionalism and Public Policy : theory, methods and a scottish case study., *SPIRIT Discussion Papers* (no. 23), Center for International Studies, Aalborg University.

Hamby, Alonzo: 1995, Truman and the bomb, *History Today* **45**(8), 18–25.

Hammes, Thomas X.: 2004, *The Sling and the Stone. On War in the 21st Century*, Zenith Press.

Hartley, Aidan: 2006, Congo's Election, the U.N.'s Massacre, *New York Times* **July 28**.

Hartmann, Florence: 1999, *Crimes of War: What the Public Should Know*, W. W. Norton & Company, chapter Bosnia, pp. 50–56.

Hazelzet, Hadewych: 2006, Human Rights Aspects of EU Crisis Management Operations: From Nuisance to Necessity, *International Peacekeeping* **13**(4), 564–81.

Hederstedt, Johan, Hee, Jørn, Ørum, Nils W., Saari, Simo and Viljaranta, Olli (eds): 1992, *Nordic UN Tactical Manual* (two vol.), Gummerus Kirjapaino Oy, Finland (NORDSAMFN).

Heisbourg, Francois: 2001, *The War Against Terrorism and the Transformation of the World Order*, CEPS-IISS European Security Forum Working Paper no. 5, December 2001, chapter A European View, pp. 1–7.

Herbertsson, Tryggvi Thor and Paldam, Martin: 2005, Does development aid help poor countries catch up? An analysis of the basic relations, *Working Paper Series (W05:1)*, Institute of Economic Studies, University of Iceland, p. 26.

Hesselberg, P., Kragh, H.E. and Mikkelsen, P.N.: 2001, *New Public Management – indførelse af markedsmekanismer i den offentlige sektor, herunder forsvaret*, Forsvarsakademiet.

Heurlin, Bertel: 2003, *Challenges and Capabilities – NATO in the 21st Century*, Danish Institute for International Studies (DIIS), chapter False Threats to the Survival of NATO or Why NATO Will Prosper, pp. 43–56.

Heurlin, Bertel: 2004, Magtudredningen, *Riget, magten og militæret. Dansk forsvars- og sikkerhedspolitik under forsvarskommissionerne af 1988 og af 1997*, Aarhus Universitetsforlag.

Hillen, John: 1998, *Blue Helmets: The strategy of UN Military Operations (2nd edition)*, Brassey's, Washington.

Hillen, John: 1999, Must US Military Culture Reform?, *Parameters* **29**(3), 9–23.

Hills, Alice: 2006, Fear and Loathing in Falluja, *Armed Forces & Society* 2006; 32; 623 **32**(4), 623–39.

Hoffman, Francis G.: 2006, *The Past as Prologue. The Importance of History to the Military Profession*, Cambridge, chapter History and the future of civil-military relations: bridging the gaps, pp. 247–265.

Holbrooke, Richard: 1998, *To End A War*, Random House.

Holsti, K. J.: 1995, War, Peace, and the State of the State, *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique* **16**(4), 319–33.

Holsti, Ole: 1970, The “Operational Code” Approach to the Study of Political Leaders: John Foster Dulles’ Philosophical and Instrumental Beliefs, *Canadian Journal of Political Science / Revue canadienne de science politique* **3**(1), 123–57.

Howard, Michael Eliot: 1957, *Soldiers and Governments: Nine Studies in Civil-Military Relations*, Eyre & Spottiswoode, London.

Howard, Michael Eliot: 1962, The Use and Abuse of Military History, *Journal of the Royal United Service Institution (RUSI Journal) [Repr. in 'The Army Doctrine and Training Bulletin', Ottawa, June 22, 2003]* **107**(625), 4–8.

Howard, Michael Eliot, Andreopoulos, George J. and Shulman, Mark R.: 1994a, *The laws of war : constraints on warfare in the Western world*, Yale University Press, New Haven ; London. edited by Michael Howard, George J. Andreopoulos, and Mark R. Shulman.25 cm.

Howard, Michael Eliot, Andreopoulos, George J. and Shulman, Mark R. (eds): 1994b, *The laws of war : constraints on warfare in the Western world*, Yale University Press, New Haven ; London, chapter 12 The laws of war: Some Concluding Reflections, p. Paul Kennedy and George J. Andreopoulos. edited by Michael Howard, George J. Andreopoulos, and Mark R. Shulman.25 cm.

Howorth, Jolyon: 2002, The European Security Conundrum: Prospects for ESDP after September 11, 2001, *Notre Europe. Groupement d'etudes et de recherches Policy paper no. 1*.

Huliaras, Asteris C.: 1998, The 'Anglosaxon Conspiracy': French Perceptions of the Great Lakes Crisis, *The Journal of Modern African Studies* **36**(4), 593–609.

Human Rights Watch: 2003, *Sudan, Oil, and Human Rights*.

Hundevadt, Kim: 2008, *I morgen angriber vi igen – Danmarks krig i Afghanistan*, Jyllandsposten.

Huntington, Samuel P: 1957, *The Soldier and the State: The Theory and Politics of Civil-Military Relations*, 1967 edn, The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge MA.

International Criminal Court, The: 2009, *ICC Press Release: "ICC issues a warrant of arrest for Omar Al Bashir, President of Sudan" [ICC-CPI-20090304-PR394]*, International Criminal Court.

International Crisis Group: 2003, Sudan's Other Wars, *Africa Briefing* **14**.

International Crisis Group: 2005, *The AU's Mission in Darfur: Bridging the Gaps. Africa Briefing No. 286 July 2005*, ICG.

Jablonsky, D.: 1994, US Military Doctrine and the Revolution in Military Affairs, *Parameters* pp. 18–36.

Jacobsen, Jesper and Lund, Henning H.: 1990, *FNs fredsbevarende aktioner*, Akademisk Forlag, Kbh.

Jakobsen, Peter Viggo: 1996, *West Use of Coercive Diplomacy after the Cold War: A Challenge for Theory and Practise*, Department of Political Science, University of Aarhus, Denmark.

Jakobsen, Peter Viggo: 2006, *Nordic approaches to peace operations. A New Model in the Making?*, Routledge.

Jakobsen, Peter Viggo: 2009a, *Fra ferie til flagskib: Forsvaret og de internationale operationer*, Forsvarsakademiet.

Jakobsen, Peter Viggo: 2009b, Small States, Big Influence: The Overlooked Nordic Influence on the Civilian ESDP, *Journal of Common Market Studies* **47**(1), 81–102.

James, Alan: 1990, *Peacekeeping in International Politics*, Macmillan and IISS.

Janowitz, Morris: 1960, *The Professional Soldier: A Social and Political Portrait*, Macmillan, London.

Jeffrey, C: 1993, Debacle in Somalia, *Foreign Affairs* **72**(1).

Johnson, Douglas Hamilton: 2003, *The Root Causes of Sudan's Civil Wars*, African Issues, International African Institute / James Currey Publ., Oxford.

Johnston, Alastair Iian: 1995, Thinking about Strategic Culture, *International Security* **19**(4), 32–64.

Jones, James L. and Devold, Kristin Krohn (eds): 2007, *Saving Afghanistan: An Appeal and Plan for Urgent Action*, The Atlantic Council of the United States.

Kagan, Frederick W.: 2003, War and Aftermath, *Policy Review* **120**, 3–27.

Kagan, Robert: 2002, Power and Weakness, *Policy Review* (113).

Kaldor, Mary: 1999, *New and Old Wars: Organized Violence in a Global Era*, Polity Press.

Kant, Immanuel: 1795, *Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch* [Ge.: Zum ewigen Frieden. Ein philosophischer Entwurf], Friedrich Nicolovius, Königsberg.

Kaplan, Fred: 2003, Chop the Chopper: The Army's Apache attack-helicopter had a bad war., *Slate* (www.slate.com/id/2081906) (Apr 23).

Karup Pedersen, Ole: 1965, *FN-tropper*, Dansk Samråd for de Forenede Nationer, København.

Keegan, John: 1989, *The Second World War*., Penguin.

Keegan, John: 1993, *A History of Warfare*, Hutchinson.

Keegan, John: 2005, Bad law is making a Just War so much harder to fight, *Telegraph.co.uk* (Jun 2).

Keithly, David M. and Ferris, Stephen P.: 1999, Auftragstaktik, or Directive Control, in Joint and Combined Operations, *Parameters Autumn*, 118–33.

Kekic, Laza: 2007, The Economist Intelligence Unit's index of democracy, *The Economist* .

Kier, Elizabeth: 1995, Culture and Military Doctrine: France between the Wars, *International Security* **19**(4), 65–93.

Kier, Elizabeth: 1997, *Imagining War. French and British Military Doctrine between the Wars*, Princeton University Press.

Kilcullen, David J.: 2005, Countering Global Insurgency, *The Journal of Strategic Studies* **28**(4), 597–617.

Kilcullen, David J.: 2006, *Twenty-Eight Articles. Fundamentals of Company-level Counterinsurgency*, Small Wars Journal, 'Edition 1, March 2006', dated March 29, 2006 (<http://smallwarsjournal.com/documents/28articles.pdf>).

Klein, Friedhelm: 2001, The Myth of the Prusso-German General Staff, *Baltic Defence Review* **5**(1), 133–44.

Knickmeyer, Ellen and Finer, Jonathan: 2005, British Smash into Irai Jail to Free 2 Detained Soldiers, *Washington Post Foreign Service* (Sep 20).

Knights, Michael: 2003, Iraqi Freedom Displays Transformation of US Air Power, *Jane's Intelligence Review* .

Knudsen, Axel: 2004a, *DeMars – IT platformen som understøtter dansk forsvars management projekt (DeMap)*. [PowerPoint presentation dated 26 Aug, 2004], Dansk IT Forum, projektledergruppen. [Internet ressource www.lysholdt-consulting.com/...].

Knudsen, Axel: 2004b, *DeMars Briefing for DPRN [Danish Pacific Rim Network] 13 OKT 2004* [PowerPoint presentation], Forsvarskommandoen. [Internet ressource www.dprn.dk/data/images/326.ppt].

Knudsen, Tonny Brems: 1999, *Humanitarian Intervention and International Society: Contemporary Manifestations of an Explosive Doctrine*, Department of Political Science, University of Aarhus, Denmark.

Kohn, Richard H.: 1994, Out of Control: The Crisis in Civil Military Relations, *The National Interest* 35 (Spring).

Kohn, Richard H. and Feaver, Peter D.: 2003, *Soldiers and Civilians - The Civil-Military Gap and American National Security*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.

Kold, Claus: 2003, En modstander - som skal hjælpes. Et feltstudie af militær ledelse i Det Danske Forsvars fredsstøttende operationer i Kosovo/a.

Korb, Lawrence J.: 2007, Salute and Disobey?, *Foreign Affairs* (September/October).

Krauss, Eric S. and Lacey, Mike O.: 2002, Utilitarian vs. Humanitarian: The Battle Over the Law of War, *Parameters* Summer, 73–85.

Krepinevich, Andrew F.: 2003, The unfinished revolution in military affairs, *Issues in Science and Technology* 19(4), 65.

Kristof, Nicholas D.: 2005, Day 113 of the President's Silence, *The New York Times* p. May 3.

Ku, Charlotte: 2002, Using Military forces under international auspices and democratic accountability, in DCAF (ed.), *4th Workshop on "Strengthening Parliamentary Oversight of International Military Cooperation and Institutions"*, Geneva.

Kuhn, Thomas: 1996, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, University of Chicago Press [1962].

Kupchan, Charles A.: 2003, *Challenges and Capabilities – NATO in the 21st Century*, Danish Institute for International Studies (DIIS), chapter The Waning days of the Atlantic Alliance, pp. 25–33.

Laity, Mark: 2005, *War and the Media: Reportage and Propaganda, 1900–2003*, I.B. Tauris, chapter Chapter Eighteen. Straddling the Divide – Spinning for Both Sides, pp. 275–291.

Lakatos, Imre: 1973, *Science and Pseudoscience [Transcript by Matteo Motterlini, University of Chicago Press, 2002]*, London School of Economics (www.lse.ac.uk/collections/lakatos/scienceAndPseudoscience.htm).

Lasswell, Harold D.: 1941, The Garrison State, *American Journal of Sociology* 46(4), 455–468.

Leng, Russell J.: 2004, Escalation: Competing Perspectives and Empirical Evidence, *International Studies Review* 6(4), 51–64.

Leonhard, Robert R.: 1998, *The Principles of War for the Information Age*, 2000 edn. edn, Presidio Press, Inc., Novato, CA.

Lewis, William H. (ed.): 1993, *Military Implications of United Nations Peacekeeping Operations [Repr. 2005]*, University Press of the Pacific.

Lichenstein, Charles M.: 1995, We aren't the world: An exit strategy from U.N. peacekeeping, *Policy Review* (72), 62.

Lider, Julian: 1977, *On the Nature of War*, Dartmouth.

Lind, William S.: 2003, *Understanding Fourth generation War* (Dec. 20, 2003), Lew Rockwell www.lewrockwell.com.

Lind, William S.: 2004a, *Operational IEDs*, Lew Rockwell www.lewrockwell.com.

Lind, William S.: 2004b, Understanding Fourth Generation War, *Military Review* 84(5), 12–16.

Lind, William S.: 2004c, *Why They Throw Rocks*, Lew Rockwell www.lewrockwell.com.

Lind, William S.: 2005, *Draft FMFM 1-A [Field Manual]: Fourth Generation War [for the fictitious] Imperial and Royal Austro-Hungarian Marine Corps*, Lew Rockwell www.lewrockwell.com.

Lind, William S.: 2006, *Critics of the Fourth Generation* (January 11), Lew Rockwell www.lewrockwell.com.

Lind, William S., Nightengale, Keith, Schmitt, John F., Sutton, Joseph W. and Wilson, Gary I.: 1989, The Changing Face of War: Into the Fourth Generation, *Marine Corps Gazette* Oct., 22–29.

Lindblom, Charles E. and Woodhouse, Edward J.: 1993, *The Policy-Making Process* (Third Edition), Prentice Hall.

Lindley-French, Julian and Algieri, Franco: 2004, *A European Defence Strategy*, Bertelsmann Foundation.

Lunn, Simon: 2001, In search of a parliamentary dimension to the European security and defence policy (ESDP): Lessons learned from the NATO Parliamentary Assembly. DCAF.

Luttwak, Edward N.: 1999, From Vietnam to Desert Fox: Civil-military relations in modern democracies, *Survival* 4 (Spring)(1).

Luttwak, Edward N.: 2003, *Strategy: The Logic of War and Peace* (rev. ed.), Belknap.

MacAskill, Ewen: 2004, Blair draws up plans to send troops to Sudan, *The Guardian* p. Jul. 22.

Mahnken, Thomas G. and Fitzsimonds, James R.: 2004, Tread-Heads or Technophiles? Army Officer Attitudes Toward Transformation, *Parameters* pp. 57–72.

Mandelbaum, Michael: 1998–99, Is Major War Obsolete?, *Survival* 40(4), 20–39.

Mann, Michael: 1986, *The Sources of Social Power, Vol. I. A History of power from the Beginning to A.D. 1760*, Cambridge University Press.

Mann, Michael: 1993, *The Sources of Social Power, Vol. II. The Rise of classes and nation states, 1760–1914*, Cambridge University Press.

Mann, Michael: 1996, *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond*, Cambridge University Press, chapter Authoritarian and liberal militarism: a contribution from comparative and historical sociology, pp. 221–239.

Mann, Michael: 2004, *Fascists*, Cambridge University Press.

Mann, Michael: 2006, *The sources of social power revisited: a response to criticism*, Cambridge University Press, chapter 16, pp. 343–96.

Mansfield, Edward D. and Snyder, Jack: 2005, *Electing to Fight*, MIT Press.

Manwaring, Max G.: 1998, Peace and stability lessons from Bosnia, *Parameters* **28**(4), 28–.

Maoz, Zeev: 1997, The Controversy over the Democratic Peace: Rearguard Action or Cracks in the Wall?, *International Security* **22**(1), 162–98.

March, James G. and Olsen, Johan P.: 1996, Institutional Perspectives on Political Institutions, *Governance* **9**(3), 247–264.

Marcussen, Martin: 2000, *Ideas and Elites: The Social Construction of Economic and Monetary Union*, Aalborg University Press.

McCubbins, Mathew D. and Schwartz, Thomas: 1984, Congressional Oversight Overlooked: Police Patrols versus Fire Alarms, *American Journal of Political Science* **28**, 165–79.

McInnes, Colin: 1998, Labour's Strategic Defence Review, *International Affairs (RUSI)* **74**(4), 823–45.

McLure, Peggy and Broughton, Walter: 2000, Measuring the Cohesion of Military Communities, *Armed Forces & Society* **26**(3), 473–87.

McMaster, H. R.: 2008, On War: Lessons to be Learned, *Survival* **50**(1), 19–39.

McNerney, Michael J.: 2005/2006, Stabilization and Reconstruction in Afghanistan: Are PRTs a Model or a Muddle?, *Parameters* **35**(4), 32–46.

Mäder, Markus: 2004, Studies in Contemporary History and Security Policy, in Kurt R. Spillmann and Andreas Wenger (eds), *In Pursuit of Conceptual Excellence. The Evolution of British Military-Strategic Doctrine in the Post-Cold War Era, 1989-2002*, Vol. 13, Peter Lang, Center for Security Studies (CSS), ETH Zurich.

Merom, Gil: 2003, *How Democracies Lose Small Wars*, Cambridge.

Meyerstein, Ariel: 2002, Case Study: The Israeli Strike Against Hamas Leader Salah Shehadeh, *Crimes of War Project* [Internet resource, www.crimesofwar.org (Sep 19)].

Miall, Hugh, Ramsbotham, Oliver and Woodhouse, Tom: 1999, *Contemporary Conflict Resolution : the prevention, management and transformation of deadly conflict*, Polity Press, Cambridge.

Müller, Harald: 2004, The Post-11 September World and Transatlantic Relations, *Hampton Roads International Security Quarterly* **IV**(1), 33–37.

Møller, Karsten J.: 2007, *Den videregående officersuddannelse i det 21. århundrede: War Studies eller krigsvidenskab som kernelement? (m. kommentarer af professor Hans Siggaard Jensen)*, Forsvarsakademiet.

Møller, Lars R.: 2001, *Operation Bøllebank: soldater i kamp*, Høst/Narayana Press, Gylling, Denmark.

Møller, Lars R.: 2008, *Det danske Pearl Harbour: Forsvaret på randen af sammenbrud*, Informations Forlagh.

Mockaitis, Thomas R.: 1999, *Peace Operations and Intrastate Conflict: The Sword Or the Olive Branch?*, Greenwood Publishing Group.

Mockaitis, Thomas R.: 2000, *Peace operations between war and peace*, Frank Cass, chapter From Counterinsurgency to Peace Enforcement: New Names for Old Games?, pp. 40–57.

Mockaitis, Thomas R. and Rich, Paul B. (eds): 2003, *Grand Strategy in the War Against Terrorism*, Frank Cass.

Moore, William C.: 2000, Warriors, *The Wall Street Journal* (Jan 20).

Morgan, Matthew J.: 2001, Army officer personnel management and trends in warfighting, *Journal of Political and Military Sociology* **29**, 120–39.

Morris, Tim: 2002, Civil-military relations in Afghanistan, *Forced Migration Review (The Refugees Studies Centre, Oxford University)* (13), 14.

Moskos, Charles C.: 1977, From Institution to Occupation: Trends in Military Organization, *Armed Forces & Society* **4**(1), 41–50.

Moskos, Charles C.: 2001, What Ails the All-volunteer Force: An Institutional Perspective, *Parameters* **31**(2).

Moskos, Charles C., Williams, John Allen and Segal, David R. (eds): 2000, *The Postmodern Military : Armed Forces after the Cold War*, OUP.

Motley, James B.: 1990, U.S. Unconventional Conflict Policy and Strategy, *Military Review* **70**.

Mulvad, Malthe: 2006, NATO's rolle i fremtiden og de afledte konsekvenser for dansk forsvars- og sikkerhedspolitik, *DIIS Report* (1).

Murphy, Robert M.: 2000, *Managing Strategic Change: An Executive Overview of Management*, U. S. Army War College Department of Command, Leadership and Management.

Murray, Williamson: 1999, Does Military Culture Matter?, *Orbis Winter*, 27–42.

Museveni, Yoweri Kaguta: 2008, The Strategy of Protracted People's War: Uganda, *Military Review* **88**(6), 4–13.

Myers, Richard B., Kohn, Richard H., Owens, Mackubin Thomas, Korb, Lawrence J. and Desch, Michael C.: 2007, Salute and Disobey?, *Foreign Affairs* (September/October).

Nagl, John A.: 2002, *Counterinsurgency lessons from Malaya and Vietnam : learning to eat soup with a knife* 2002, Praeger.

Naik, Asmita: 2002, Protecting Children From the Protectors: Lessons from West Africa, *Forced Migration Review* (15).

Nardulli, Bruce R., Perry, Walter L., Pirnie, Bruce, Gordon IV, John and McGinn, John G.: 2002, *Disjointed War: Military Operations in Kosovo, 1999*, RAND, Arroyo Center.

NATO: 2001, *AJP-3.4.1 "Peace Support Operations" (July 2001)*, NATO's Military Agency for Standardisation (MAS).

NATO: 2008a, *ISAF "placemat" (Contributing Nations and Troop Numbers, 10 Jun. 2008)*, SHAPE, NATO.

NATO: 2008b, *KFOR Contributing Nations and Troop Numbers (12 Jun. 2008)*, SHAPE, NATO (Internet resource, www.nato.int).

NATO, Military Council: 2002, *MC411/1: NATO Military Policy on Civil-Military Co-operation (CIMIC)*, NATO, Brussels.

Nielson, Poul: 2004, Darfur victims need more help from the EU, *ABC (Spanish online newspaper, www.abc.es)* Jul. 12.

NIOD: 2002, *Srebrenica - a 'safe' area. Reconstruction, background, consequences and analyses of the fall of a Safe Area*, Netherlands Institute for War Documentation (NIOD), Amsterdam (Internet ressource, <http://213.222.3.5/srebrenica>).

Norton-Taylor, Richard: 2005, Troops bound by human rights law, say judges, *The Guardian* (December 22).

Nørgaard, Katrine: 2004, *Tillidens teknologi. Den militære ethos og viljen til dannelsen*, PhD thesis, Institut for Antropologi, Københavns Universitet.

Nørgaard, Katrine and Holsting, Vilhelm S.: 2006, *Internationale operationer i fokus*, Forsvarsakademiet.

O'Hanlon, Michael E.: 2004, *The Use of Force (Sixth Ed.)*, Rowman & Littlefield, chapter The Afghani War: A Flawed Masterpiece, pp. 270-82.

OIC, [Organization of the Islamic Conference]: 2004, *News Release on Darfur [Sep. 13]*, OIC, Jeddah.

Oren, Michael B.: 2002, *Six Days of War: June 1967 and the Making of the Modern Middle East*, Presidio Press.

Osiel, Mark J.: 1998, Obeying Orders: Atrocity, Military Discipline, and the Law of War, *California Law Review* 86(5), 939-98.

Owens, Mackubin Thomas: 2007, Salute and Disobey?, *Foreign Affairs* (September/October).

Packer, George: 2006, Knowing the Enemy: Can social scientists redefine the "war on terror"? (Issue of 2006-12-18), *The New Yorker*.

Parliament, European: 2004, Humanitarian situation in Sudan (Resolution on Darfur), *Europarl (Internet resource)* (P6_TA(2004)0012), Sep. 16.

PDGS: 1998, *The Organisation and management of Defence in the UK – Crisis Management [Anonymous author, dated 22/06/98]*, The Partnership for Democratic Governance and Security (PDGS), The National democratic Institute for International Affairs (NDI, Washington, D.C.).

Peceny, Mark: 2000, The Democratic Peace and Contemporary U.S. Military Interventions, *International Studies Association, 41st Annual Convention, Los Angeles, CA, March 14-18. [Available at www.ciaonet.org/isa/pem01]*.

Pederson, Nicholas: 2000, The French Desire for Uranium, *ACDIS Occasional Paper series, University of Illinois*.

Perito, Robert M.: 2004, *Where is the Lone ranger When We Need Him? America's Search for a Postconflict Stability Force*, United States Institute for Peace.

Perito, Robert M.: 2005, *The U.S. Experience with Provincial Reconstruction Teams in Afghanistan: Lessons Identified (Special Report No. 152)*, United States Institute of Peace.

Petersen, Andreas Höfer and Tullin, Lise-Lotte: 2006, *The Scorched Earth of Darfur: Patterns in death and destruction reported by the people of Darfur, January 2001 – September 2005*, Bloodhound, Copenhagen (www.bloodhound.se).

Peterson, Scott: 2000, *Me Against My Brother : At War in Somalia, Sudan and Rwanda*, Routledge, New York.

Petraeus, David H. and Mattis, James N.: 2006, *FM 3-24: Counterinsurgency, June 2006 (Final Draft)*, Headquarters, Department of the [U.S.] Army.

Plattner, Denise: 1996, ICRC neutrality and neutrality in humanitarian assistance, *International Review of the Red Cross* (311), 161–79.

Poggi, Gianfranco: 2006, *Political power un-manned: a defence of the Holy Trinity from Mann's military attack*, Cambridge University Press, chapter 7, pp. 135–49.

Popper, Karl: 1934, *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*, Hutchinson (3 impr., 1962).

Porch, Douglas: 2000, Military "Culture" and the Fall of France in 1940: A Review Essay (on Elizabeth Kier *Imaging War: French and British Military Doctrine between the Wars*), *International Security* **24**(4), 157–80.

Posen, Barry R.: 2004 (orig. 1984), *The Use of Force (Sixth Ed.)*, Rowman & Littlefield, chapter The Sources of Military Doctrine [repr.], pp. 23–43.

Potgieter, Jakkie and Gamba, Virginia: 1996, Evolution of a National Doctrine for Peace Support Operations.

Powell, Colin L.: 1992, U.S. Forces: Challenges Ahead, *Foreign Affairs* **71**(5), 32–45.

Powell, Colin L.: 1994, An Exchange on Civil-Military Relations: four reactions to Richard H.Kohn's article in our Spring 1994 issue, together with a response from the author, *The National Interest* **36** (Summer).

Prince Zeid, Al-Hussein: 2005, *A Comprehensive Strategy To Eliminate Future Sexual Exploitation and Abuse in United Nations Peacekeeping Operations*, United Nations, New York.

Pugh, Michael: 2000, Civil-Military Relation in the Kosovo Crisis: An Emerging Hegemony?, *Security Dialogue* **31**(2).

Rajan, Raghuram G. and Subramanian, Arvind: 2005a, Aid and Growth: What Does the Cross-Country Evidence Really Show?, *IMF Working Paper* (127).

Rajan, Raghuram G. and Subramanian, Arvind: 2005b, What Undermines Aid's Impact on Growth?, *IMF Working Paper* **126**.

Rasmussen, Mikkel Vedby: 2004a, *Globale udfordringer og danske dilemmaer. Sikkerheds- og forsvarsopolitik i det 21. århundrede*, Atlantsammenslutningen.

Rasmussen, Mikkel Vedby: 2004b, The revolution in military affairs and the boomerang effect, *DIIS Report* (6).

Rayment, Sean: 2005, 'It was bloody serious right from the start', *The Daily Telegraph* (Sep 24).

Rayment, Sean: 2006, Dozens of troops face threat of court after opening fire in Afghanistan, *Daily Telegraph* ((Filed: 27/08/2006)), www.telegraph.co.uk/core/Content/displayPrintable.jhtml?xml=/news/2006/08/27/nafg27.xml&site=5&page=0.

Record, Jeffrey: 2006, The American Way of War. Cultural Barriers to Successful Counterinsurgency, *Cato Institute. Policy Analysis Series* (577).

Reddy, Sanjay G. and Minoiu, Camelia: 2006, *Development Aid and Economic Growth: A Positive Long-Run Relation*, [Unpublished draft, version 2.14 dated July 11, 2006. Available at www.networkideas.org/featart/sep2006/Development_Aid.pdf].

Reeves, Eric: 2009, *Darfur Enmeshed Within Sudan's Broadening National Crisis (Part 3 of 3) [March 9]*, Sudanreeves.org (www.sudanreeves.org).

Remba, Gidon D.: 2006, *Are Israel's Military Operations in Lebanon Proportional? Is Israel Guilty of War Crimes? What International Law Really Says (Revised July 21)*, (Manuscript).

Richs, Thomas E.: 1999, Is American Military Professionalism Declining?, *Special Warfare* 12(1), 20–25.

Richter, Andrew: 2005, Lessons From the Revolution: What Recent US Military Operations Reveal About the Revolution in Military Affairs and Future Combat, *Journal of Military and Strategic Studies (Centre for Military and Strategic Studies, Canadian Defence & Foreign Affairs Institute)* 7(3).

Righter, Rosemary: 1995, *Utopia Lost: United Nations and World Order*, The Century Foundation, New York.

Ringsmose, Jens: 2007, *DIIS Report 5: Oprørskampens indre dynamikk – fokus på Irak*, Danish Institute for International Studies.

Rome Statute: 2002, *Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (2002 version)*, Public Information and Documentation Section of the ICC.

Rose, Gideon: 1998, The Exit Strategy Delusion, *Foreign Affairs* 77(1), 56–67.

Rosett, Claudia: 2006, How Corrupt is the United Nations? (Commentary), *Foundation for Defence of Democracies April 4*, www.defenddemocracy.org//in_the_media/in_the_media_show.htm?doc_id=365116.

Rothchild, Irving: 2006, *Induction, deduction, and the scientific method. An eclectic overview of the practice of science*, Society for the Study of Reproduction, Madison (WI), USA [Available at www.ssr.org].

Rowe, Peter: 2006, *The Impact of Human Rights Law on Armed Forces*, Cambridge University Press.

Rubin, Elizabeth: 2006, In the Land of the Taliban [two parts], *New York Times Magazine* Oct. 22, Oct. 29.

Ruddick, Sara: 1983, Pacifying the Forces: Drafting Women in the Interests of Peace, *Signs* 8(3 (Women and Violence)), 471–489.

Runge, Peter (ed.): 2003, *NRO position paper: Armed forces as humanitarian aid workers? Scope and limits of co-operation between aid organisations and armed forces in humanitarian aid*, Verband Entwicklungspolitik deutscher Nichtregierungorganisationen e.V. (VENRO).

Saideman, Stephen, Auerswald, David and Tierney, Michael: 2007, *Caveat Emptor: Efforts to Control Contributions to Multilateral Military Interventions*, Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Political Science Association, Chicago, Aug 30, 2007 (Internet resource <http://mjtier.people.wm.edu/CaveatEmptor.doc>).

Sarkesian, Sam C.: 1981, *Beyond the battlefield : the new military professionalism*, Pergamon Press.

Schein, Edgar H.: 1990, Organizational Culture, *American Psychologist* **45**(2), 99.

Schiff, Rebecca L.: 1995, Civil-Military Relations Reconsidered: A Theory of Concordance, *Armed Forces and Society* **21** (Fall)(1).

Schmidt, Kristian: 1994, *Collective Western European Resort to Armed Force. Lessons from Danish, Italian and British experiences in the Gulf and former Yugoslavia*, DUP & DJØFs Forlag, Cph.

Schmidt-Radefelt, Roman: 2002, Ensuring the Parliamentary Accountability of armed forces in the context of NATO, stationing - deployment - multinational integration.

Seet, Benjamin and Burnham, Gilbert M.: 2000, Fatality Trends in United Nations Peacekeeping Operations, 1948–1998, *Journal of the American Medical Association* **284**(5), 598–603.

Shrader, Charles R: 2004, Book review of “Responsibility of Command: How UN and NATO Commanders Influenced Airpower over Bosnia” by Mark A. Bucknam (Colonel, U.S. Air Force). Air University Press, 2003. [ISBN 1-58566-115-5 — unavailable], *The Journal of Military History (Lexington)* **68**(3), 1020.

Silber, Laura and Little, Allan: 1997, *Yugoslavia – Death of a Nation*, Penguin, London.

Simms, Brendan: 2001, *Unfinest Hour : Britain and the Destruction of Bosnia*, Penguin Books, London.

Singer, Peter W.: 2005, Outsourcing War, *Foreign Affairs* **84**(2), 119–.

SIPRI: 2007, *FIRST database (Facts on International Relations and Security Trends)*, Stockholm Institute for Peace Research, (<http://first.sipri.org>).

Sislin, John and Pearson, Frederic: 2006, Arms and Escalation in Ethnic Conflicts: The Case of Sri Lanka, *International Studies Perspectives* **7**, 137–58.

Skern, L. M. K. (ed.): 1976, *Danmark i FNs fredsstyrke [vol. I-II]*, Edvard Henriksens Forlag.

Skov-Christensen, Per: 1998, *FN på vrangen – en militær vurdering*, Borgen, Copenhagen.

Smith, M.L.R.: 2003, Guerrillas in the mist: reassessing strategy and low intensity warfare, *Review of International Studies* **29**, 19–37.

Smith, Rupert: 2005, *The Utility of Force*, Penguin Books.

Snider, Don M. and Watkins, Gayle L.: 2000, The Future of Army Professionalism: A Need for Renewal and Redefinition, *Parameters* pp. 5–20.

Soeters, J. and Recht, R: 1998, Culture and Discipline in Military Academies: An International Comparison, *Journal of Political and Military Sociology* **26**(2 (Winter)), 169–89.

Sørensen, Henning: 2007, *Spændinger i samarbejdet: Danske militære chefers erfaringer fra IFOR/SFOR, Bosnien 1996–1999*, Forsvarsudvalget, Folketinget, Folketingsår 2006–07, bilag 60 (Internet ressource [www.folketinget.dk/...](http://www.folketinget.dk/)).

State Department, US : 2004, *Documenting Atrocities in Darfur (Publication 11182)*, U.S. State Department.

Steidle, Brian: 2005, In Darfur, My Camera Was Not Nearly Enough, *Washington Post Mar. 20*, B02.

Stephens, Dale: 2005, The Lawful Use of Force by Peacekeeping Forces: The Tactical Imperative, *International Peacekeeping* **12**(2).

Stepputat, Finn: 2009, Integrated National Approaches to International Operations. The Cases of Denmark, UK, and the Netherlands, *DIIS Report 14*, Danish Institute for International Studies.

Stoddard, Abby: 2003, *Humanitarian NGOs: challenges and trends (HPG Brief 12)*, Humanitarian Policy Group. Abby Stoddard Center on International Cooperation, New York University, London.

Strachan, Hew: 1997, *The Politics of the British Army*, Oxford University Press.

Strachan, Hew: 2008, Strategy and the Limitation of War, *Survival* **50**(1), 31–54.

Summers, Jr., Harry G.: 1982, *On Strategy - A Critical Analysis of the Vietnam War*.

Summers, Jr., Harry G.: 1992, *On Strategy II: A Critical Analysis of the Gulf War*, Dell Publishing.

Tago, Atsushi: 2007, Why do states join US-led military coalitions?: The compulsion of the coalition's missions and legitimacy, *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific Volume 7 (2007) 179–202* **7**, 179–202.

The Global IDP Database Project: 2003, *Operation Lifeline Sudan: a mechanism to negotiate access for humanitarian agencies (1989-2003)*, Internet ressource: www.db.idpproject.org/Sites/IdpProjectDb/idpSurvey.nsf/wViewCountries/1446COEDDOEEF81BC1256840003B3070.

The Humanitarianism and War Project: 1990, *A Critical Review of Operation Lifeline Sudan: A Report to the Aid Agencies*, Tufts University [Internet resource: <http://hwproject.tufts.edu/publications/abstracts/croo.html>].

Thruelsen, Peter Dahl: 2006, ISAF Operational Challenges in Afghanistan, *Militært Tidsskrift* **135**(4).

Thruelsen, Peter Dahl: 2007, NATO in Afghanistan – What lessons are we learning, and are we willing to adjust ?, *DIIS Report 14*, Danish Institute for International Studies.

Tjalve, Vibeke Schou and Henriksen, Anders: 2008, 'Vi diskuterer jo ikke politik på den måde'. *Regeringen, Folketinget og sikkerhedspolitikken*, Dansk Institut for Militære Studier.

Tomes, Robert: 2000, Operation Allied Force and the Legal Basis for Humanitarian Interventions, *Parameters* **Spring**, 38–50.

Tomforde, Maren: 2005, Motivation and Self-Image among German Peacekeepers, *International Peacekeeping* **12**(4), 576.

Trautner, Jeppe Plenge: 1998, *I krig for Danmarks fred - Danmarks deltagelse i FNs fredsbevarende aktioner set i et clausewitziansk perspektiv*, Master's thesis, Institut for Statskundskab, Aarhus Universitet.

Trautner, Jeppe Plenge: 2003c, *Perceptions of warfare: "Senseless Slaughter" or "the Continuation of Politics"? British politicians and the case of Bosnia, May-July 1995 [SPIRIT Working Paper]*, SPIRIT, Aalborg Universitet.

Trautner, Jeppe Plenge: 2005a, "Nytter krig?" – en fortolkningsmodel. *Danske politikeres opfattelse af krig og militærøsen før og efter Verdenskrigen 1914–18*, Paper delivered at the Phd Seminar 'Verdenskrigens skygge' ['The Shadows of the Great War] in Aalborg, Nov. 22–24, 2005.

Trautner, Jeppe Plenge: 2005b, *The Politics of Multinational Crisis Management: The European Union's Response to Darfur*, SPIRIT, Aalborg University.

Trautner, Jeppe Plenge: 2009, *Fra kold til varm krig: Forsvarets omstilling 1988–2009*, Forsvarsakademiet.

UK House of Commons Defence Committee (ed.): 2004, *Lessons of Iraq. Third Report of Session 2003–04 (Vol. I: Report)*, The Stationery Office, London.

UK House of Commons Select Committee on Armed Forces, The: 2006, *First Report on the Armed Forces Bill (25 April 2006)*, [www.parliament.uk] Publications and Records.

UK Joint Chiefs of Staff: 2004, *Interim Joint Doctrine Publication 02. Operations in the UK: The Defence Contribution to Resilience*, UK MoD.

UK MoD: 2001, *British Defence Doctrine (2nd Edition)*, Joint Doctrine and Concepts Centre.

UK MoD: 2002, *Ministry of Defence Policy Paper No.1: Defence Diplomacy*, Ministry of Defence, London.

UK MoD: 2003, *Civil–Military Co-operation (CIMIC). Interim Joint Warfare Publication 3–90*, Chiefs of Staff.

UK MoD: 2004a, *Joint Doctrine Publication 01 Joint Operations*, Chiefs of Staff.

UK MoD: 2004b, *The Military Contributions to Peace Support Operations. Joint Warfare Publications 3–50 (Second Edition)*, The Chiefs of Staff.

UK MoD: 2005, *Departmental Framework*, MoD, London.

UK Select Committee on Foreign Affairs (ed.): 2003, *Supplementary memorandum from the Foreign and Commonwealth Office: Response to Questions from the Committee, 19 June 2003*, House of Commons website (www.publications.parliament.uk).

UN DPKO: 2003, *Handbook on United Nations Multidimensional Peacekeeping Operations (December 2003)*, The Peacekeeping Best Practices Unit, Department of Peacekeeping Operations, United Nations, New York.

UN Security Council: 2000, *Statement by the President of the Security Council, 9 February 2000 on the "Protection of United Nations personnel . . . "*, United Nations.

United Nations: 1994, *Convention on the Safety of United Nations and Associated Personnel*, Office of Legal Affairs, Codification Division.

US Army: 1993, *FM 100-5 Operations (June 1993)*, Headquarters, Department of the Army.

US Department of Defense: 2001, *Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms (Joint Publication 1-02)*, US Department of Defense.

US Joint Chiefs of Staff: 2000, *Joint Publication 3-16: Joint Doctrine for Multinational Operations (5 April 2000)*, Defense Technical Information Center, Washington D.C. (www.dtic.mil).

US Joint Chiefs of Staff: 2007, *Joint Publication 1: Doctrine for the Armed Forces of the United States (14 May 2007)*, The Defense Technical Information Center (www.dtic.mil/doctrine/jel/new_pubs/jp1.pdf).

USMC: 1997, *US Marine Corps: MCDP 1 Warfighting*, Department of the Navy. Headquarters United States Marine Corps, Washington, D.C.

Utley, Rachel: 2002, 'Not to do less but to do better. . .': French military policy in Africa, *International Affairs* **78**(1 (Jan.)), 232.

Valentino, Benjamin, Huth, Paul and Croco, Sarah: 2006, Covenants without the Sword: International Law and the Protection of Civilians in Times of War, *World Politics* **58**(3), 339–77.

Van Evera, Stephen: 2004, *The Use of Force (Sixth Ed., First Ed. 1998)*, Rowman & Littlefield, chapter Offence, Defence and the Causes of War [repr.], pp. 44–69.

Veicherts, Nicolas T.: 2005a, Hvorfor samtænkning af militær og civil indsats er kommet for at blive, *DIIS Brief Sep.*

Veicherts, Nicolas T.: 2005b, Hvorfor samtænkning af militær og civil indsats er nødvendig i felten, *DIIS Brief Sep.*

von Hippel, Karin: 2009, *U.S. Strategy for Afghanistan: Achieving Peace & Stability in the Graveyard of Empires (Statement before U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on the Middle East and South Asia, April 2, 2009)*, CSIS.

von Kielmansegg, Sebastian Graf: 2007, The Meaning of Petersberg; Some Considerations of the Legal Scope of the ESDP Operations, *Common Market Law Review* **44**(3), 629–48.

Vulliamy, Ed: 1996a, Hard truths swept under red carpets, *Guardian Unlimited* (Jun 22).

Vulliamy, Ed: 1996b, Vi dræbte for at redde mennesker, *Politiken* (Apr 4).

Wagner, Johanna Grombach: 2005, An IHL/ICRC perspective on 'humanitarian space', *Humanitarian Exchange Magazine* (32), 24–26.

Wagner, Wolfgang: 2006a, Parliamentary Control of Military Missions: Accounting for Pluralism, *Occasional Paper No. 12*, Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF), Geneva.

Wagner, Wolfgang: 2006b, The democratic control of military power Europe, *Journal of European Public Policy* **13**(2), 200–16.

Walker, Stephen G.: 1990, The Evolution of Operational Code Analysis, *Political Psychology* **11**(2), 403–18.

Ward, Ann and Fott, David: 2006, *Montesquieu on Federalism and the Problem of Liberty in the International System: Ancient Virtue and the Modern Executive*, Vol. (Originally presented as "Ancient Virtue and the Modern Executive: Montesquieu's Reflections on the Problem of Liberty in the International System" for the Annual Conference of the International Studies Association-West, Las Vegas, NV, October 1–3, 2004.), Campion College, University of Regina and Department of Political Science, University of Nevada, Las Vegas (Unpublished Manuscript).

Wayne, Leslie: 2002, America's For-Profit Secret Army, *New York Times* **Oct. 13**, 3.1.

Weiss, Thomas G.: 1999, *Military-Civilian Interactions. Intervening in Humanitarian Crises*, Rowmann & Littlefield, New York.

Weiss, Thomas G.: 2000, The Politics of Humanitarian Ideas, *Security Dialogue* 2000 **31**(1), 11–23.

Wells, Richard S.: 1996, The Theory of Concordance in Civil/Military Relations: A Commentary, *Armed Forces & Society* **23** (Winter)(2).

Wenger, Andreas, Nuenlist, Christian and Locher, Anna (eds): 2004, *Transforming NATO in the Cold .Challenges beyond deterrence in the 1960s*, Routledge.

Weschler, Lawrence: 1999, *Crimes of War: What the Public Should Know*, W. W. Norton & Company, chapter International Humanitarian Law: An Overview, pp. 18–22.

West, Owen and West, Bing: 2007, The Laptop Is Mightier Than the Sword, *The New York Times* (June 15).

Whitaker, Raymond and Dave, Sarah Taejal: 2005, So what were two undercover British soldiers up to in Basra?, *The Independent* (Sep 25).

Whitehead, YuLin G. (Lieut. Col.): 2001, *Civil-Military Relations During Coalition Operations in the Balkans. Independent Research (Course Number 9999)*, National Defence University.

Wilkinson, Philip: 2000, Sharpening the Weapons of Peace: Peace Support Operations and Complex Emergencies, *International Peacekeeping* **7**(1), 63–79.

Williams, Cindy: 2005, From Conscripts to Volunteers. NATO's Transitions to All-Volunteer Forces, *Naval War College Review* **58**(1).

Wilson, James Q.: 1989, *Bureaucracy: What Government Agencies Do and Why They Do It*, St. Martin's Press.

Woodhouse, Tom and Ramsbotham, Oliver: 2005, Cosmopolitan peacekeeping and the globalization of security. By:, *International Peacekeeping* **12**(2), 139–56.

Woodward, Bob: 2008, The War Within – The Inside Story of How Bush's Team Dealt With Its Failing Iraq Strategy [3 parts], *The Washington Post* (Sep 7).

Wæver, Ole: 2000, *International Relations Theory and the Politics of European Integration. Power, Security and Community*, Routledge, chapter 11 The EU as a security actor, pp. 250–94.

Yilmaz, Muzaffer Ercan: 2005, UN Peacekeeping in the Post-Cold War Era, *International Journal on World Peace* **22**(2), 13–28.

Young, Oran R.: 1967, *The intermediaries : Third Parties in International Crises*, Princeton University Press.

Yu, Chong Ho: 1994, *Abduction? Deduction? Induction? Is there a Logic of Exploratory Data Analysis?*, Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, Louisiana, April, 1994 [Internet resource: www.cspeirce.com].

Zachariae, Francis and Dinesen, Per: 2003, *Styringsteknologiers handlerum. En analyse af forsvarets nye styringsteknologi DeMap/DeMars*, Masterafhandling, MPA, Copenhagen Business School.

Index

- A-10 jet plane, 142
- abduction, 6, 219
- African Union, 111, 113, 118, 121
- Albania, 45, 86, 167, 197
- Apache (helicopter), 142
- Auftragstaktik, 131
- Blair, Tony, 118, 119, 121, 192, 199, 202, 204, 210, 214, 224
- block-actoring, 44, 74, 87, 118, 222
 - defined, 43
- Bosnia, 45, 58, 59, 70, 71, 75, 79, 114, 165, 169, 171, 192, 202, 204–206, 211, 232
- career systems, 138, 189
- casualty rates (in UN missions), 78
- CFSP (EU foreign policy), 169, 170
- Chechnya, 45
- China, 116, 117
- Churchill, Sir Winston, 140, 157, 192
- civic literacy, 187
- civil-military cooperation, 105, 107
- civilian supremacy, 155
- Clausewitzian war concept
 - defined, 30
- competition in government, 107, 121
- complex emergencies, 44
- Congo, 60, 69, 70, 78
- conscription, 139, 140
- cosmopolitanism, 47, 52, 114
- counter-insurgency, 101
 - Clausewitzian doctrine, 107–109
 - doctrine, 105, 107, 110, 120
 - doctrines, 42, 50
 - in Darfur, 115, 117, 120
 - Liberal view of aid, 103
 - literature, 101
 - Mil-Tech view, 104
- Croatia, 63, 169
- culturalists, 126, 127
- Darfur, 101, 110–113, 115–121, 222, 223
- DDR (Disarmament . . .), 64, 102
- defence reviews, 130
- Democratic Control
 - ideal aim of, 145
- democratic control
 - academic theories, 145
 - five aims of, 159
- Denmark, 57, 60, 77, 174, 193–197, 199, 225
- deterrence, 73, 78, 79, 82, 83, 96, 98, 132, 168
- discourse, 13, 15, 16, 23, 32, 33, 136, 202
- doctrine
 - counter-insurgency, 121
 - defined, 8
 - development, 21, 49
 - peace enforcement, 65, 69, 77
- economy of war zones, 46, 47, 114, 115
- ESDP (EU defence policy), 169–171
- ethnic cleansing, 46, 47, 81, 120, 215
- EU, 3, 45, 64, 97, 101, 104, 110–112, 115, 117–120, 138, 164, 166, 167, 169–171
- exit strategy, 106
- expeditionary
 - defined, 42
- Falluja (Iraq), 106
- Finland, 60, 139
- Fourth Generation War, 49–51
- France, 45, 57, 63, 70, 86, 97, 116–120, 168–170, 173, 182
- fusionism, 135–138, 140, 153, 154
- garrison state, 159
- Gaza, 45, 96
- gender, 202, 205, 211, 214, 233
- generations (of warfare), 49–51, 53
- Geneva Conventions, 176, 177, 181, 183
 - 1977 Protocols, 181, 182
- Georgia, 168
- Germany, 57, 63, 112, 138, 140, 168–170, 173, 182
- globalisation, 45, 46, 50–52, 155
 - defined, 45

hard threats
defined, 127

hearts and minds (doctrine), 103, 107, 108

human-centric view, 131

humanitarian aid, 58, 59

humanitarian intervention
aims of, 80

humanitarian inviolability, 58
defined, 57

humanitarian law, 185

humanitarian silence, 58

humanitarian space, 57, 226

ICRC (Red Cross), 57, 58, 109

impartiality, 57
defined, 57

India, 45, 63, 86, 182

institution–occupation model, 136

institutions, 7, 11, 13–16, 19, 21, 126, 127, 147, 154, 156

interest groups, 148, 163

International Criminal Court, 113, 118, 177

interventions typology, 55–57

Iraq, 45, 46, 50, 56, 57, 86, 93, 101, 107, 109, 119, 129, 137, 140, 142, 168, 169, 174, 183, 188, 190, 191, 193, 194, 197, 198, 200

Israel, 63, 86, 96, 182

jus ad bellum, 94

jus in bello, 86, 87, 89, 94, 96, 180, 181

just war tradition, 8, 85, 86, 88–90, 99, 176, 222

Kashmir, 45, 63, 64

Keane, Jack (Gen.), 129

Kosovo, 45, 46, 56, 77, 79–82, 86, 167, 169, 173, 177

Krajina (Croatia), 63

Lakatosian theory, 8, 17, 19, 47, 48, 52, 92, 225, 226

Laws of Armed Conflict, 80, 85, 94, 96, 98, 99, 177, 178, 180, 181, 185

Lebanon, 45, 69, 70, 78

legal advisers, 176, 177, 179, 186, 190, 226

legitimacy, 45

Liberal war concept
defined, 28

lift and strike policy (Bosnia), 75, 204

Major, John, 192, 199, 202, 204, 210, 213, 232

mature democracies, 147
defined, 3

mediation, 65, 72, 87, 88, 115

Mil-Tech war concept
defined, 29

military culture, 93, 125–127, 135, 141, 145, 224, 226

military efficiency, 155

military literacy, 187

military policy, 172

military professionalism, 73, 127, 135, 138, 141, 142, 151–153, 156, 158, 161

mission command, 130–132, 143

Montesquieu, 145

national military strategy
defined, 129

NATO, 3, 45, 48, 56, 59, 64, 65, 75–77, 80–82, 94, 101, 104, 107, 108, 118, 130, 138, 164, 166–171, 174, 175, 177, 184, 197, 204, 206

neutrality, 57
defined, 57

new public management, 136, 148

New War theory, 44

objective control, 150, 159, 161

operational control, 171

Ottawa Convention (landmines), 98, 226

Owen, David, 72

pacifism, 31

peace enforcement, 70, 71
defined, 69

peacekeeping
defined, 60

peacekeeping doctrine, 60, 61
Nordic, 60, 61, 71, 197, 198

peacekeeping inviolability, 57

Plato, 145

public administration, 147, 148, 163

rationality, 4, 26–28, 59, 62, 115, 206, 207, 220, 222
bounded, 19
in war, 25, 26, 33, 46, 149, 150, 207, 208

refugees, 59, 110, 204

reverse engineering, 6, 219

Revolution in Military Affairs, 26, 44, 131, 226

Russia, 45, 63, 81, 117, 118, 139, 168, 169

Rwanda, 70

scripted command, 130–132, 143
securitisation theory, 91, 92, 99, 223
Somalia, 45, 70, 86, 114, 165
spoilers, 64–67, 103, 108
 defined, 56
state mercenaries [UN], 167
steering ideology, 127, 132–134, 136, 142, 143, 148
steering logics, 133, 143
Stoltenberg, Thorvald, 72
strategic culture, 4, 5, 21, 125, 126, 142, 223, 224
strategic effectiveness, 187
strategic efficiency, 155
strategic elite groups, 9, 128, 129
 and U.S.’ Iraq surge strategy, 129
 defined, 128
 displacement of, 128
strategic peacekeeping, 72, 73, 76
strategy, 25
 British usage, 25
styles, nat. military, 127, 142, 143, 170, 224
subjective control, 150, 151, 153, 159, 161
surge doctrine, 106, 109, 129, 226
Sweden, 57, 60, 116

targeting civilians, 51, 97
targeting process, 97, 98, 223
technology-centric view, 129, 131, 132
Templer, Sir Gerald, 103, 108, 109
terrorism, 42, 46, 105
three rings model, 25, 29
threshold (for using force), 28, 90–92, 95, 102, 141, 221, 223
total war theory, 85, 91, 93, 94, 99, 223
trinitarian analysis, 43, 44, 50, 53
Tuzla (Bosnia), 79

U.S., 7, 11, 18, 26, 28, 40, 41, 45–47, 63, 65, 75, 77, 81, 82, 86, 93, 94, 97, 101, 106, 109, 110, 113, 117–119, 125, 129, 138, 141, 146, 151, 152, 155, 164, 165, 168, 169, 171, 173, 174, 182, 184, 188

UN, 73, 104
 aid co-ordination, 104
 and Darfur, 113, 116, 118, 121
 corruption, 167
 fatalities, 78
 Human Rights, 118
 in Bosnia, 59, 71, 76, 202, 204, 206, 214, 224

 in Iraq, 109
 inviolability, 57
 military observers, 64
 oversight, 164, 166, 167
 peacekeeping, 57, 60, 62–65, 69, 70, 79, 167, 169, 184, 192, 197
 Security Council, 81, 86, 117, 118, 166, 171
US Marine Corps, 41, 42, 49, 50, 106, 126, 129
utilitarians–humanitarians split, 185
Vegetius, 78
warrior ethos, 79, 137
wars of choice, 90, 94, 99
Weinberger/Powell doctrine, 93
World War I, 140, 225
World War II, 19, 47, 75, 151

Cited authors

A

Abell, Jackie, 126, Gibson and Abell (2004)
Abrahamsson, Bengt, 126, Abrahamsson (1972)
Adler, Emanuel, 13, Adler (1997)
Ahlström, Christer, 95, Ahlström (1992)
Alden, Chris, 119, Alden and Daloz (1996)
Algieri, Franco, 138, Lindley-French and Algieri (2004)
Allin, Dana H., 81, 167, Allin (2002)
Allison, Graham, 21, 128, Allison (1968)
Amnesty International, 118, 175, Amnesty International (2004a), Amnesty International (2004b)
Andersen, Heine, 19, Andersen (1990)
Andersen, Henrik Elsig, 193, Andersen (1998)
Andersen, Louise, 167, Andersen (2007)
Andersen, Niels Åkerstrøm, 15, 16, 219, Andersen (1994), Andersen and Kjær (1996)
Anderson, Kenneth, 57, 58, 109, Anderson (2004)
Andreas, Peter, 78, 114, Andreas (2004)
Andreopoulos, George J., 42, 85, 177, 181, Howard et al. (1994a), Howard et al. (1994b)
Anghel, Suzana, 203, Born et al. (2008)
Anonymous, 59, Anonymous (2004)
Arab League, 118, Arab League (2004)
Auerswald, David, 175, Saideman et al. (2007)
Aylwin-Foster, Nigel, 129, Aylwin-Foster (2005)
Azam, Jean-Paul, 45, Azam (2006)

B

Baetjer, Patrick, 107, 129, Cordesman et al. (2006)
Bailes, Alyson J. K., 169, 170, Bailes (2008)
Baker, Gerald, 168, 169, Baker et al. (2003)
Barber, Ben, 114, Barber (1997)
Barber, Noel, 110, Barber (1971)
Barnes, Fred, 129, Barnes (2008)
Barnett, Thomas P.M., 129, Barnett (2007)
Baylis, John, 19, Baylis et al. (2002)
Beaumont, Roger, 39, Beaumont (1995)
Bellamy, Alex J., 71, Bellamy and Williams (2004)
Belloni, Roberto, 58, Belloni (2005)
Berdahl, Mats, 71, Berdahl (2005)
Bertilsson, Thora Margareta, 6, Bertilsson (2009)
Bethke Elshtain, J., 85, Bethke Elshtain (1992)
Betts, Richard K., 18, 31, 81, 177, Betts (1991), Betts (2000), Betts (2001)
Bhatia, Michael V., 103, Bhatia (2003)
Bjerre, Michael, 183, Bjerre and Stougaard (2008)
Björkdahl, Annika, 170, Björkdahl and Strömvik (2008)
Bland, Douglas L., 18, 154, 155, 160, 161, Bland (1999a), Bland (1999b), Bland (2001)
Blatchford, Christie, 168, Blatchford (2007)
Bloom, Allan, 145, Bloom (1968)
Bolger, Daniel P., 41, Bolger (1995)
Bolia, Robert S., 126, 132, Bolia (2004)
Bonner, Raymond, 116, Bonner (1998)
Boot, Max, 105, Boot (2002)
Born, Hans, 164, 173, 203, 213, Born

(2002), Born (2004), Born et al. (2008), Born et al. (2002), Born and Urscheler (2004)

Boros, Louis L., 171, 172, Boros (2003)

Boulden, Jane, 60, 81, Boulden (2005)

Boutros-Ghali, Boutros, 166, Boutros-Ghali (1992)

Boyd, James M., 61, 76, Boyd (1971)

Brahimi, Lakhdar, 166, Brahimi (2000)

Breitenbauch, Henrik Ø., 101, 107, 194, 198, Breitenbauch (2005), Breitenbauch (2008)

Bremmer, Ian, 101, Bremmer (2006)

Bristol, Nellie, 59, Bristol (2006)

Brooks, David, 129, Brooks (2008)

Broughton, Walter, 126, McLure and Broughton (2000)

Brylle, Torben, 112, Brylle (2007)

Bundeswehr, 135, Bundeswehr (2008)

Burg, Steven L., 59, 63, 71, 72, 75, 78, Burg (2004), Burg and Shoup (2000)

Burk, James, 71, Burk (2000)

Burnham, Gilbert M., 79, Seet and Burnham (2000)

Busch, Heinz, 167, Busch (2001)

Butler, Richard J., 97, 98, Butler (2002)

Buur, Lars, 57, 60, 61, Buur et al. (2002)

C

Call, Charles T., 101, Call and Cook (2003)

Canadian Somalia Commission, 165, Canadian Somalia Commission (1997)

Caparini, Maria, 173, Born et al. (2002)

Cassese, Antonio, 113, Cassese (2005)

Cassidy, Robert M., 75, 82, 93, 105, 125, Cassidy (2003), Cassidy (2004)

Chesterman, Simon, 80, 85, Chesterman (2001)

Chicago Council on Foreign Relations (CCFR), 28, Chicago Council on Foreign Relations (CCFR) and German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF) (2002)

Chickering, Roger, 91, 95, 99, Chickering and Förster (2005), Chickering et al. (2005)

Chilofsky, Elliot J., 6, Chilofsky and Cross II (1990)

Clark, Wesley K., 164, 177, Clark (2001)

Clarke, Michael, 44, Clarke (2001)

Clausewitz, Carl von, 30, 31, 41, 43, 44, 49, 52, 89, 94, 159, 172, 182, 191, Clausewitz (1832)

Clemens, Elisabeth S., 15, Clemens and Cook (1999)

Clemmesen, Michael H., 91, 138, 173, Clemmesen (2006), Clemmesen (2007), Clemmesen (2008)

Clutterbuck, Richard L., 107, 108, 109, 110, Clutterbuck (1966)

Coates, John, 107, 110, Coates (1992)

Cohen, Eliot A., 18, 19, 146, 151, 157, 220, Baylis et al. (2002), Cohen (2002a), Cohen (2002b)

Coleman, J.S., 108, Coleman (1990)

Collins, Robert O., 114, Collins (2004)

Connelly, Marc, 95, Connelly and Welch (2005)

Connaughton, Richard M., 76, Connaughton (1993)

Cook, Fred J., 114, 145, Cook (1962)

Cook, James M., 15, Clemens and Cook (1999)

Cook, Susan E., 101, Call and Cook (2003)

Cooper, Tom, 118, Cooper (2003)

Coram, Robert, 142, Coram (2003)

Cordesman, Anthony H., 19, 107, 129, 168, Cordesman (2003), Cordesman (2006), Cordesman et al. (2006)

Corum, James, 142, Corum (2005)

COSIMO, 40, 41, COSIMO (2003)

Coughlin, Con, 168, Coughlin (2008)

Cox, Michael, 81, 168, Cox (2003)

Crane, Conrad C., 93, 105, 107, 129, Crane and Terrill (2003)

Creveld, Martin van, 19, 50, 52, Creveld (1991)

Crider, James R., 109, Crider (2009)

Croco, Sarah, 45, 91, 95, 97, Valentino et al. (2006)

Croft, Stuart, 119, Croft (1997)

Cross II, James H., 6, Chilofsky and Cross II (1990)

D

Dahl, Robert A., 147, Dahl (1971)

Dalgaard-Nielsen, Anja, 194, Dalgaard-Nielsen and Larsen (2007)

Dallaire, Romeo, 70, Dallaire (2003)

Daloz, Jean-Pascal (Eds.), 119, Alden

and Daloz (1996)

Dandeker, Christopher, 18, 44, 71, 72, 73, 74, 76, 154, 220, Dandeker (2001), Dandeker and Gow (1997), Dandeker and Mason (2003)

Daniel, Donald C., 74, 76, 81, 220, Daniel et al. (1999)

Dave, Sarah Taejal, 191, Whitaker and Dave (2005)

Davidson, Lisa Witzig, 104, Davidson et al. (1996)

Dawson, Pauline, 63, Dawson (1994)

Deery, Phillip, 109, 110, Deery (2002)

Dempsey, Judy, 168, 169, Baker et al. (2003)

Desch, Michael C., 105, 127, 129, 145, 147, 152, 158, 160, 161, 165, 173, Desch (1998), Desch (1999a), Desch (1999b), Desch (2007), Duffield et al. (1999), Myers et al. (2007)

Devold, Kristin Krohn, 168, Jones and Devold (2007)

De Atkine, Norvell B., 125, De Atkine (1999)

de Jonge Oudraat, Chantal, 74, 76, 81, 220, Daniel et al. (1999)

de Waal, Alex, 113, 114, 115, 116, de Waal (2004)

Diehl, Paul F., 71, 81, 220, Diehl et al. (1998)

Dieterich, Sandra, 203, Dieterich et al. (2008)

Dinesen, Per, 136, *Zachariae and Dinesen* (2003)

Dinmore, Guy, 117, Dinmore (2005)

Dixon, Norman, 126, Dixon (1991)

Donald, Dominick, 57, Donald (2002)

Dorman, Andrew, 70, 189, Dorman (2007)

Dowling, Alex, 203, Born et al. (2008)

Druckman, Daniel, 71, 81, 220, Diehl et al. (1998)

Duffield, John S., 127, Duffield et al. (1999)

Dunlap Jr., Charles J., 95, 98, 105, 145, 177, Dunlap Jr. (1992), Dunlap Jr. (1999), Dunlap Jr. (2001)

DUPI, 80, 81, 85, 193, DUPPI (1999)

Dworkin, Anthony, 96, 178, Dworkin (2004), Dworkin (2006)

E

Easton, David, 108, 147, Easton (1953)

Echevarria II, A[ntulio]. J., 51, 52, 135, Echevarria II (1996), Echevarria II (2003)

Ehrhart, Hans-Georg, 170, 171, Ehrhart (2007)

Eide, Espen Barth, 57, Eide et al. (2005)

Elworthy, Scilla, 103, Elworthy and Rifkind (2005)

English, John A., 135, 165, English (1998)

Espersen, Ole, 193, Espersen (1965)

Eurobarometer, 28, Eurobarometer (2003)

F

Farrell, Theo, 127, Duffield et al. (1999)

Feaver, Peter D., 18, 21, 28, 82, 105, 145, 146, 148, 151, 158, 159, 160, 161, 163, 165, 175, 220, Feaver (1999), Feaver (2003), Kohn and Feaver (2003)

Ferris, Stephen P., 131, 164, Keithly and Ferris (1999)

Finer, Jonathan, 191, Knickmeyer and Finer (2005)

Finer, Samuel, 3, 18, 125, 147, 151, 156, 157, 160, 161, Finer (1962)

Fitzsimonds, James R., 126, 135, Mahnken and Fitzsimonds (2004)

Fivecoat, David G., 109, Fivecoat and Schwengler (2008)

Fluehr-Lobban, Carolyn, 116, Fluehr-Lobban (1988)

Forster, Anthony, 18, 132, 146, 148, 151, Forster (2006)

Fortna, Virginia Page, 71, Fortna (2004)

Foster, Gregory D., 45, 126, 132, 145, 155, 156, 160, 161, 187, 199, 220, Foster (2001), Foster (2005)

Fott, David, 145, Ward and Fott (2006)

Foxley, Tim, 103, Foxley (2007)

Franke, Volker, 125, Franke and Heinecken (2001)

Frantzen, Henning-A., 193, Frantzen (2005)

Freedman, Lawrence, 19, 132, Freedman (1998)

Freedom House, 167, Freedom House (2007)

Friis, Finn, 193, Friis (1963), Friis (1970)

Fuior, Teodora, 203, Born et al. (2008)

Fuller, J. F. C., 97, Fuller (1948)

Förster, Stig, 91, 95, 99, Chickering and Förster (2005), Chickering et al. (2005), Förster and Gessler (2005)

G

Gaigals, Cynthia, 112, Gaigals (2007)
 Gall, Carlotta, 168, Gall (2007)
 Gamba, Virginia, 61, Potgieter and Gamba (1996)
 Gat, Azar, 27, 35, Gat (2001)
 German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF), 28, Chicago Council on Foreign Relations (CCFR) and German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF) (2002)
 Gessler, Myriam, 91, Förster and Gessler (2005)
 GHDI, 57, 58, GHDI (2003)
 Ghébali, Victor-Yves, 167, Ghébali (2005)
 Gibson, Stephen, 126, Gibson and Abell (2004)
 Gleditsch, Nils Petter, 40, 41, Gleditsch et al. (2007)
 Gleichmann, Colin, 102, Gleichmann et al. (2004)
 Glennon, Michael J., 22, 85, 86, 168, Glennon (2003)
 Goldschmidt, Verner, 193, Goldschmidt (1976)
 Goldstein, Ritt, 119, Goldstein (2004)
 Goldwyn, David L., 119, Goldwyn and Morrison (2004)
 Gordon IV, John, 81, 82, 177, Nardulli et al. (2002)
 Gordon, Michael R., 129, Gordon (2008)
 Goulding, Marrack, 166, Goulding (1993)
 Goulding Jr., Vincent J., 76, 77, 81, 220, Goulding Jr. (2000)
 Gourlay, Catriona, 203, Gourlay (2004)
 Gow, James, 44, 71, 72, 73, 74, 76, 220, Dandeker and Gow (1997)
 Graham, Robert, 168, 169, Baker et al. (2003)
 Gray, Colin S., 18, 19, 47, 132, Baylis et al. (2002), Gray (1999), Gray (2003), Gray (2006)
 Gregory, Shaun, 119, Gregory (2000)
 Greiner, Bernd, 95, Chickering et al. (2005)
 Grimmett, Richard F., 47, 94, Grimmett (2007)

Grono, Nick, 112, Grono (2007)
 Gross, Eva, 170, Gross (2007)
 Grosse-Kettler, Sabrina, 114, Grosse-Kettler (2004)

H

Haagerup, Niels Jørgen, 193, Haagerup (1956)
 Haass, Richard N., Haass (1994)
 Haidt, Jonathan, 22, Haidt (2007)
 Haine, Jean-Yves, 139, Haine (2004), Haine (2005)
 Halkier, Henrik, 15, Halkier (2003)
 Haltiner, Karl, 173, Born et al. (2002)
 Hamby, Alonzo, 97, Hamby (1995)
 Hammes, Thomas X., 50, 51, 52, 107, Hammes (2004)
 Hartley, Aidan, 78, 167, Hartley (2006)
 Hartmann, Florence, 72, Hartmann (1999)
 Hayes, Bradd C., 74, 76, 81, 220, Daniel et al. (1999)
 Hayes, Margaret Daly, 104, Davidson et al. (1996)
 Hazelzet, Hadewych, 170, Hazelzet (2006)
 Hederstedt, Johan, 57, 60, 61, 71, Hederstedt et al. (1992)
 Hee, Jørn, 57, 60, 61, 71, Hederstedt et al. (1992)
 Hegre, Håvard, 40, 41, Gleditsch et al. (2007)
 Heinecken, Lindy, 125, Franke and Heinecken (2001)
 Heisbourg, Francois, 81, Heisbourg (2001)
 Henriksen, Anders, 198, Tjalve and Henriksen (2008)
 Herbertsson, Tryggyi Thor, 104, Herbertsson and Paldam (2005)
 Hesselberg, P., 136, Hesselberg et al. (2001)
 Heurlin, Bertel, 130, 168, Heurlin (2003), Heurlin (2004)
 Hillen, John, 61, 125, 126, 145, Hillen (1998), Hillen (1999)
 Hills, Alice, 106, 129, Hills (2006)
 Hoffman, Francis G., 127, Hoffman (2006)
 Holbrooke, Richard, 63, 72, Holbrooke (1998)
 Holsti, K. J., 47, Holsti (1995)
 Holsti, Ole, 27, Holsti (1970)
 Holsting, Vilhelm S., 193, Nørgaard and Holsting (2006)

Howard, Michael Eliot, 42, 85, 133, 147, 177, 181, 220, Howard (1957), Howard (1962), Howard et al. (1994a), Howard et al. (1994b)

Howorth, Jolyon, 139, Howorth (2002)

Huliaras, Asteris C., 119, Huliaras (1998)

Human Rights Watch, 116, Human Rights Watch (2003)

Hummel, Hartwig, 203, Dieterich et al. (2008)

Hundevadt, Kim, 179, Hundevadt (2008)

Huntington, Samuel P, 18, 21, 30, 125, 145, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 160, 161, Huntington (1957)

Huth, Paul, 45, 91, 95, 97, Valentino et al. (2006)

I

International Criminal Court, The, 113, International Criminal Court, The (2009)

International Crisis Group, 114, 117, 120, International Crisis Group (2003), International Crisis Group (2005)

J

Jablonsky, D., 64, Jablonsky (1994)

Jacobsen, Jesper, 193, Jacobsen and Lund (1990)

Jakobsen, Peter Viggo, 61, 79, 165, 170, 193, 194, 195, 197, 198, Jakobsen (1996), Jakobsen (2006), Jakobsen (2009a), Jakobsen (2009b)

James, Alan, 60, James (1990)

Janowitz, Morris, 18, 19, 21, 147, 153, 160, 161, Janowitz (1960)

Jeffrey, C, 114, Jeffrey (1993)

Johnson, Douglas Hamilton, 114, Johnson (2003)

Johnston, Alastair Iian, 5, 127, 128, Johnston (1995)

Jones, James L., 168, Jones and Devold (2007)

K

Kagan, Frederick W., 142, Kagan (2003)

Kagan, Robert, 5, 21, 223, Kagan (2002)

Kaldor, Mary, 44, 46, 47, 52, 110, 114, 115, 120, Kaldor (1999)

Kant, Immanuel, 29, Kant (1795)

Kaplan, Fred, 142, Kaplan (2003)

Karup Pedersen, Ole, 193, Karup Pedersen (1965)

Kaspersen, Anja Therese, 57, Eide et al. (2005)

Keegan, John, 28, 52, 75, 180, Keegan (1989), Keegan (1993), Keegan (2005)

Keithly, David M., 131, 164, Keithly and Ferris (1999)

Kekic, Laza, 167, Kekic (2007)

Kent, Randolph, 57, Eide et al. (2005)

Kier, Elizabeth, 21, 126, 127, 128, 130, 139, 140, Kier (1995), Kier (1997)

Kilcullen, David J., 105, 109, 110, Kilcullen (2005), Kilcullen (2006)

Kjær, Peter, 15, 16, Andersen and Kjær (1996)

Klein, Friedhelm, 135, Klein (2001)

Knickmeyer, Ellen, 191, Knickmeyer and Finer (2005)

Knights, Michael, 142, Knights (2003)

Knudsen, Axel, 136, Knudsen (2004a), Knudsen (2004b)

Knudsen, Tonny Brems, 193, Knudsen (1999)

Kohn, Richard H., 18, 28, 105, 148, 152, 165, Kohn (1994), Kohn and Feaver (2003), Myers et al. (2007)

Kold, Claus, 35, 126, 193, Kold (2003)

Korb, Lawrence J., 105, 152, 173, Korb (2007), Myers et al. (2007)

Kragh, H.E., 136, Hesselberg et al. (2001)

Krauss, Eric S., 85, 178, 185, Krauss and Lacey (2002)

Krepinevich, Andrew F., 132, Krepinevich (2003)

Kristof, Nicholas D., 117, Kristof (2005)

Ku, Charlotte, 85, 164, Ku (2002)

Kuhn, Thomas, 17, Kuhn (1996)

Kupchan, Charles A., 168, Kupchan (2003)

L

Lacey, Mike O., 85, 178, 185, Krauss and Lacey (2002)

Laity, Mark, 95, Laity (2005)

Lakatos, Imre, 17, 47, 52, Lakatos (1973)

Landon, James J., 104, Davidson et al. (1996)

Larsen, David Adgill, 194, Dalgaard-Nielsen and Larsen (2007)

Lasswell, Harold D., 18, 145, 159, Lasswell (1941)

Leng, Russell J., 77, 78, Leng (2004)

Lewis, William H., 61, Lewis (1993)

Lichenstein, Charles M., 106, Lichenstein (1995)

Lider, Julian, 4, 21, 22, 27, Lider (1977)

Lind, William S., 44, 49, 50, 51, 52, 109, Lind (2003), Lind (2004a), Lind (2004b), Lind (2004c), Lind (2005), Lind (2006), Lind et al. (1989)

Lindblom, Charles E., 147, 148, 163, Lindblom and Woodhouse (1993)

Lindley-French, Julian, 138, Lindley-French and Algieri (2004)

Little, Allan, 63, 71, 72, 79, 204, 205, Silber and Little (1997)

Locher, Anna, 167, Wenger et al. (2004)

Lund, Henning H., 193, Jacobsen and Lund (1990)

Lunn, Simon, 167, Lunn (2001)

Luttwak, Edward N., 25, 69, Luttwak (1999), Luttwak (2003)

M

MacAskill, Ewen, 118, MacAskill (2004)

Mahnken, Thomas G., 126, 135, Mahnken and Fitzsimonds (2004)

Mandelbaum, Michael, 41, Mandelbaum (1998–99)

Mann, Michael, 11, 12, 13, 29, 223, Mann (1986), Mann (1993), Mann (1996), Mann (2004), Mann (2006)

Mansfield, Edward D., 101, Mansfield and Snyder (2005)

Manwaring, Max G., 104, Manwaring (1998)

Maoz, Zeev, 29, Maoz (1997)

March, James G., 13, 14, 15, 19, 47, 219, 220, March and Olsen (1996)

Marcussen, Martin, 13, Marcussen (2000)

Marschall, Stefan, 203, Dieterich et al. (2008)

Mason, David, 154, Dandeker and Mason (2003)

Mattis, James N., Petraeus and Mattis (2006)

McCubbins, Mathew D., 163, McCubbins and Schwartz (1984)

McGinn, John G., 81, 82, 177, Nardulli et al. (2002)

McInnes, Colin, 130, McInnes (1998)

McLure, Peggy, 126, McLure and Broughton (2000)

McMaster, H. R., 131, 132, 220, McMaster (2008)

McNerney, Michael J., 107, McNerney (2005/2006)

Merom, Gil, 95, Merom (2003)

Meyerstein, Ariel, 96, Meyerstein (2002)

Miall, Hugh, 18, Miall et al. (1999)

Mikkelsen, P.N., 136, Hesselberg et al. (2001)

Minoiu, Camelia, 104, Reddy and Minoiu (2006)

Mockaitis, Thomas R., 69, 76, 114, 220, Mockaitis (1999), Mockaitis (2000), Mockaitis and Rich (2003)

Moller, Sara Bjerg, 107, 129, Cordesman et al. (2006)

Moore, William C., 137, 145, Moore (2000)

Morgan, Matthew J., 126, Morgan (2001)

Morris, Tim, 59, Morris (2002)

Morrison, J. Stephen, 119, Goldwyn and Morrison (2004)

Moskos, Charles C., 136, 147, Moskos (1977), Moskos (2001), Moskos et al. (2000)

Motley, James B., 82, Motley (1990)

Mulvad, Malthe, 168, Mulvad (2006)

Murphy, Robert M., 154, Murphy (2000)

Murray, Williamson, 127, 131, 132, Murray (1999)

Museveni, Yoweri Kaguta, 109, Museveni (2008)

Myers, Richard B., 105, 152, Myers et al. (2007)

Mäder, Markus, 130, 131, Mäder (2004)

Møller, Karsten J., 194, Møller (2007)

Møller, Lars R., 79, 195, 198, Møller (2001), Møller (2008)

Müller, Harald, 168, Müller (2004)

N

Nagl, John A., 110, Nagl (2002)

Naik, Asmita, 167, Naik (2002)

Nardulli, Bruce R., 81, 82, 177, Nardulli et al. (2002)

NATO, 40, 174, NATO (2001), NATO (2008a), NATO (2008b)

NATO, Military Council, 105, NATO (2002)
 Navjord, Bjørn, 57, 60, 61, Buur et al. (2002)
 Nielson, Poul, 110, Nielson (2004)
 Nightengale, Keith, 44, 49, 52, Lind et al. (1989)
 NIOD, 63, 78, 165, NIOD (2002)
 Norton-Taylor, Richard, 178, Norton-Taylor (2005)
 Nuenlist, Christian, 167, Wenger et al. (2004)
 Nørgaard, Katrine, 35, 193, Nørgaard (2004), Nørgaard and Holsting (2006)

O

O'Hanlon, Michael E., 132, O'Hanlon (2004)
 Odenwald, Michael, 102, Gleichmann et al. (2004)
 Ohlsson, Lars, 57, 60, 61, Buur et al. (2002)
 OIC, [Organization of the Islamic Conference], 118, OIC (2004)
 Olsen, Johan P., 13, 14, 15, 19, 47, 219, 220, March and Olsen (1996)
 Oren, Michael B., 63, Oren (2002)
 Osiel, Mark J., 95, 176, 180, 181, Osiel (1998)
 Owens, Mackubin Thomas, 75, 93, 105, 152, Myers et al. (2007), Owens (2007)

P

Packer, George, 129, Packer (2006)
 Paldam, Martin, 104, Herbertsson and Paldam (2005)
 Parliament, European, 111, Parliament (2004)
 PDGS, 189, 191, PDGS (1998)
 Pearson, Frederic, 78, Sislin and Pearson (2006)
 Peceny, Mark, 93, Peceny (2000)
 Pederson, Nicholas, 119, Pederson (2000)
 Peel, Quentin, 168, 169, Baker et al. (2003)
 Perito, Robert M., 101, 102, 103, 105, 107, Perito (2004), Perito (2005)
 Perry, Walter L., 81, 82, 177, Nardulli et al. (2002)
 Petersen, Andreas Höfer, 113, Petersen and Tullin (2006)
 Peterson, Scott, 114, Peterson (2000)

Petraeus, David H., Petraeus and Mat-tis (2006)

Pirnie, Bruce, 81, 82, 177, Nardulli et al. (2002)

Plattner, Denise, 58, Plattner (1996)

Poggi, Gianfranco, 12, Poggi (2006)

Popper, Karl, 17, Popper (1934)

Porch, Douglas, 127, 130, Porch (2000)

Posen, Barry R., 130, Posen (2004 (orig. 1984)

Potgieter, Jakkie, 61, Potgieter and Gamba (1996)

Powell, Colin L., 93, 105, 165, Powell (1992), Powell (1994)

Price, Richard, 127, Duffield et al. (1999)

Prince Zeid, Al-Hussein, 167, Prince Zeid (2005)

Pugh, Michael, 81, Pugh (2000)

R

Rajan, Raghuram G., 104, Rajan and Subramanian (2005a), Rajan and Subramanian (2005b)

Ramsbotham, Oliver, 18, 47, Miall et al. (1999), Woodhouse and Ramsbotham (2005)

Rasmussen, Mikkel Vedby, 132, 194, Rasmussen (2004a), Rasmussen (2004b)

Rayment, Sean, 179, 191, Rayment (2005), Rayment (2006)

Recht, R, 125, 136, Soeters and Recht (1998)

Record, Jeffrey, 173, Record (2006)

Reddy, Sanjay G., 104, Reddy and Minoui (2006)

Reeves, Eric, 118, Reeves (2009)

Remba, Gidon D., 85, Remba (2006)

Rich, Paul B., 220, Mockaitis and Rich (2003)

Richs, Thomas E., 155, Richs (1999)

Richter, Andrew, 132, Richter (2005)

Rifkind, Gabrielle, 103, Elworthy and Rifkind (2005)

Righter, Rosemary, 166, Righter (1995)

Ringsmose, Jens, 194, Ringsmose (2007)

Rome Statute, Rome Statute (2002)

Rose, Gideon, 106, Rose (1998)

Rosett, Claudia, 166, Rosett (2006)

Rothchild, Irving, 6, Rothchild (2006)

Rowe, Peter, 178, Rowe (2006)

Rubin, Elizabeth, 107, 168, Rubin (2006)

Ruddick, Sara, 154, Ruddick (1983)

Runge, Peter, 59, Runge (2003)

S

Saari, Simo, 57, 60, 61, 71, Hederstedt et al. (1992)

Saideman, Stephen, 175, Saideman et al. (2007)

Sarkesian, Sam C., 126, 147, Sarkesian (1981)

Schein, Edgar H., 126, Schein (1990)

Schiff, Rebecca L., 140, 153, Schiff (1995)

Schmidt, Kristian, 193, Schmidt (1994)

Schmidt-Radefelt, Roman, 203, Schmidt-Radefelt (2002)

Schmitt, John F., 44, 49, 52, Lind et al. (1989)

Schwartz, Thomas, 163, McCubbins and Schwartz (1984)

Schwengler, Aaron T., 109, Fivecoat and Schwengler (2008)

Seet, Benjamin, 79, Seet and Burnham (2000)

Segal, David R., 147, Moskos et al. (2000)

Shoup, Paul S., 59, 63, 71, 72, 75, 78, Burg and Shoup (2000)

Shrader, Charles R, 65, Shrader (2004)

Shulman, Mark R., 42, 85, 177, 181, Howard et al. (1994a), Howard et al. (1994b)

Silber, Laura, 63, 71, 72, 79, 204, 205, Silber and Little (1997)

Simms, Brendan, 75, 211, 212, 214, 215, Simms (2001)

Singer, Peter W., 104, Singer (2005)

SIPRI, 41, SIPRI (2007)

Sislin, John, 78, Sislin and Pearson (2006)

Skern, L. M. K., 198, Skern (1976)

Skov-Christensen, Per, 193, Skov-Christensen (1998)

Smith, M.L.R., 39, 40, 47, Smith (2003)

Smith, Rupert, 41, 43, 44, 47, 48, 49, 52, 59, 79, 81, 164, 167, 175, 179, 192, Smith (2005)

Snider, Don M., 134, 145, 155, 173, Snider and Watkins (2000)

Snyder, Jack, 101, Mansfield and Snyder (2005)

Soeters, J., 125, 136, Soeters and Recht (1998)

State Department, US , 113, State De- partment (2004)

Steenken, Kees, 102, Gleichmann et al. (2004)

Steidle, Brian, 113, Steidle (2005)

Stephens, Dale, 175, Stephens (2005)

Stepputat, Finn, 196, Stepputat (2009)

Stoddard, Abby, 59, Stoddard (2003)

Stougaard, Karl Erik, 183, Bjerre and Stougaard (2008)

Strachan, Hew, 188, 220, Strachan (1997), Strachan (2008)

Strömvik, Maria, 170, Björkdahl and Strömvik (2008)

Subramanian, Arvind, 104, Rajan and Subramanian (2005a), Rajan and Subramanian (2005b)

Summers, Jr., Harry G., 88, 97, 164, Summers, Jr. (1982), Summers, Jr. (1992)

Sutton, Joseph W., 44, 49, 52, Lind et al. (1989)

Sørensen, Henning, 193, Sørensen (2007)

T

Tago, Atsushi, 141, Tago (2007)

Terp, Aage, 57, 60, 61, Buur et al. (2002)

Terrill, W. Andrew, 93, 105, 107, 129, Crane and Terrill (2003)

The Global IDP Database Project, 116, The Global IDP Database Project (2003)

The Humanitarianism and War Project, 116, The Humanitarianism and War Project (1990)

Thruelsen, Peter Dahl, 168, 194, Thruelsen (2006), Thruelsen (2007)

Tierney, Michael, 175, Saideman et al. (2007)

Tjalve, Vibeke Schou, 198, Tjalve and Henriksen (2008)

Tomes, Robert, 80, 85, Tomes (2000)

Tomforde, Maren, 35, 126, Tomforde (2005)

Trautner, Jeppe Plenge, 6, 7, 8, 110, 193, 194, 195, Trautner (1998), Trautner (2003c), Trautner (2005a), Trautner (2005b), Trautner (2009)

Tullin, Lise-Lotte, 113, Petersen and Tullin (2006)

Turner, Mark, 168, 169, Baker et al. (2003)

U

UK House of Commons Defence Committee, 189, UK House of Commons Defence Committee (2004)

UK House of Commons Select Committee on Armed Forces, The, 179, UK House of Commons Select Committee on Armed Forces (2006)

UK Joint Chiefs of Staff, 40, UK Joint Chiefs of Staff (2004)

UK MoD, 25, 41, 56, 57, 61, 65, 71, 105, 188, 189, 192, UK MoD (2001), UK MoD (2002), UK MoD (2003), UK MoD (2004a), UK MoD (2004b), UK MoD (2005)

UK Select Committee on Foreign Affairs, 190, UK Select Committee on Foreign Affairs (2003)

UN DPKO, 166, UN DPKO (2003)

United Nations, 57, United Nations (1994)

UN Security Council, UN Security Council (2000)

Urscheler, Marlene, 203, 213, Born and Urscheler (2004)

US Army, 26, 41, 65, US Army (1993)

US Department of Defense, 25, US Department of Defense (2001)

US Joint Chiefs of Staff, 40, 65, 164, US Joint Chiefs of Staff (2000), US Joint Chiefs of Staff (2007)

USMC, 42, 220, USMC (1997)

Utley, Rachel, 119, Utley (2002)

V

Valentino, Benjamin, 45, 91, 95, 97, Valentino et al. (2006)

Van Evera, Stephen, 130, Van Evera (2004)

Veicherts, Nicolas T., 196, Veicherts (2005a), Veicherts (2005b)

Vienola, Hannu, 57, 60, 61, Buur et al. (2002)

Viljaranta, Olli, 57, 60, 61, 71, Hederstedt et al. (1992)

von Hippel, Karin, 57, 107, Eide et al. (2005), von Hippel (2009)

von Kielmansegg, Sebastian Graf, 169, von Kielmansegg (2007)

Vulliamy, Ed, 58, 79, Vulliamy (1996a), Vulliamy (1996b)

W

Wagner, Johanna Grombach, 57, Wag-

Y

ner (2005)

Wagner, Wolfgang, 101, 170, 203, Wagner (2006a), Wagner (2006b)

Walker, Stephen G., 27, Walker (1990)

Wall, James, 71, 81, 220, Diehl et al. (1998)

Ward, Ann, 145, Ward and Fott (2006)

Watkins, Gayle L., 134, 145, 155, 173, Snider and Watkins (2000)

Wayne, Leslie, 63, Wayne (2002)

Weiss, Thomas G., 81, 164, Weiss (1999), Weiss (2000)

Welch, David, 95, Connelly and Welch (2005)

Wells, Richard S., 154, Wells (1996)

Wenger, Andreas, 167, Wenger et al. (2004)

Weschler, Lawrence, 179, Weschler (1999)

West, Bing, 184, West and West (2007)

West, Owen, 184, West and West (2007)

Whitaker, Raymond, 191, Whitaker and Dave (2005)

Whitehead, YuLin G. (Lieut. Col.), 164, Whitehead (2001)

Wilhelmsen, Lars, 40, 41, Gleditsch et al. (2007)

Wilkinson, Adrian, 102, Gleichmann et al. (2004)

Wilkinson, Philip, 76, Wilkinson (2000)

Williams, Cindy, 139, Williams (2005)

Williams, John Allen, 147, Moskos et al. (2000)

Williams, Paul, 71, Bellamy and Williams (2004)

Wilson, Gary I., 44, 49, 52, Lind et al. (1989)

Wilson, James Q., 147, Wilson (1989)

Wirth, James, 19, Baylis et al. (2002)

Woodhouse, Edward J., 147, 148, 163, Lindblom and Woodhouse (1993)

Woodhouse, Tom, 18, 47, Miall et al. (1999), Woodhouse and Ramsbotham (2005)

Woodward, Bob, 129, Woodward (2008)

Wæver, Ole, 91, 92, 223, Wæver (2000)

Z

Zachariae, Francis, 136, Zachariae and
Dinesen (2003)

Ø

Ørum, Nils W., 57, 60, 61, 71, Heder-
stedt et al. (1992)

SPIRIT PhD Series:

1	Christina Fiig	A Feminist Public Sphere - An Analysis of the Habermasian Public Sphere in a Danish Gender Political Context	2004
2	Bjørg Colding	Education and ethnic minorities in Denmark	2004
3	Camilla Elg	Set og overset. Unge kvinder med indvandrerbaggrund i Danmark	2005
4	Lærke K. Holm	Folketinget og Udlændingepolitikken – diskurser om naturaliserede, indvandrere og flygtninge 1973-2002	2006
5	Trine Lund Thomsen	Immigrant Entrepreneurship as Gendered Social Positions - A study on motivations and strategies in a biographical perspective	2006
6	Lars Andersen	Politik og forvaltning i arbejdsskadeforsikringen i Danmark 1898-1933	2006
7	Helene Pristed Nielsen	Deliberative Democracy and Minority Inclusion in Australia and New Zealand	2006
8	Berhanu Balcha	Restructuring State and Society: Ethnic Federalism in Ethiopia	2007
9	Kirsten Hviid	"No Life"- om gadelivsstil, territorialitet og maskulinitet i et forstadskvarter	2007
10	Anette Kanstrup Jensen	Development Theory and the Ethnicity Question - The Cases of Lao People's Democratic Republic and Thailand	2007
11	Poul Duedahl	Fra overmenneske til UNESCO-menneske. En begrebshistorisk analyse af overgangen fra et biologisk til et kulturelt forankret menneskesyn i det 20. århundrede	2007
12	Jens Eistrup	Enhed og inkongruens. En analyse af politiske selvbeskrivelser i Danmark og Frankrig i årene efter Første Verdenskrig - med særlig vægt på relationen mellem Folkeforbundets internationale normativitet og begreber om enhed, suverænitet og demokrati i den nationale politik	2007
13	Sune Q. Jensen	Fremmed, farlig og fræk. Unge mænd og etnisk/racial andenhed - mellem modstand og stilisering	2007

14	Louise N. Kallestrup	Trolddomsforfølgelser og trolddomstro: En komparation af det posttridentine Italien og det luthersk protestantiske Danmark i det 16. og 17. århundrede	2007
15	Corrie Lynn McDougall	Why Food Aid Persists and Food Security Recedes	2008
16	Torsten Rødel Berg	Lokal vandressourceforvaltning i Nepal: Socio-økonomisk forandring og institutionelle reaktioner	2008
17	Andrea Graw-Teebken	Nationaliserede grænserum. En undersøgelse af nationale diskurser i Østfrisland og Slesvig, 1815-1867	2008
18	Mette Frisk Jensen	Korruption og embedsetik - en undersøgelse af det danske styres syn på korruption og embedsetik blandt centraladministrationens embedsmænd i det 19. århundrede	2008
19	Martin Bak Jørgensen	National and Transnational identities: Turkish identity in Denmark, Sweden and Germany	2009
20	Louise Takeda	Transforming Forestry on Haida Gwaii: The Politics of Collaboration and Contestation in the Struggle for Justice and Ecological Integrity	2009
21	Lotte Bloksgaard	Arbejdsliv, forældreskab og køn – forhandlinger af løn og barsel i tre moderne virksomheder	2009
22	Ingeborg Nordbø	Living with tourism. The case of small-scale rural tourism businesses in Norway and Chile	2009
23	Karina M. Smed	Tourism & Identity - Accumulated tourist experience and travel career narratives in tourists' identity construction	2009
24	Jeppe Plenge Trautner	Beliefs and the Politics of Modern Military Interventions. An analysis of how pre-conceptions about the nature of war and armed conflict shape the democracies' generation, use and direction of military force	2009