

Aalborg Universitet

Sex differences in pharmacological cardioversion in patients with atrial fibrillation

Liu, Yang; Lip, Gregory Y H

Published in: Kardiologia Polska

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.33963/v.kp.98206

Creative Commons License CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Publication date: 2023

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Liu, Y., & Lip, G. Y. H. (2023). Sex differences in pharmacological cardioversion in patients with atrial fibrillation. Kardiologia Polska, 81(11), 1065-1066. https://doi.org/10.33963/v.kp.98206

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from vbn.aau.dk on: December 04, 2025

Sex differences in pharmacological cardioversion in patients with atrial fibrillation

Yang Liu^{1,2}, Gregory Y H Lip^{1,3}

¹Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science at University of Liverpool, Liverpool John Moores University and Liverpool Heart & Chest Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom

²The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, China

³Department of Clinical Medicine, Alborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

Related article

by Wybraniec et al.

Correspondence to:

Prof. Gregory Y H Lip, MD, PhD, Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science at University of Liverpool, Liverpool John Moores University and Liverpool Heart & Chest Hospital, Thomas Drive L14 3PE Liverpool, United Kingdom e-mail: gregory.lip@liverpool.ac.uk Copyright by the Author(s), 2023 DOI: 10.33963/v.kp.98206

Received:

November 8, 2023

Accepted:

November 13, 2023

Early publication date:November 13, 2023

With an increasing incidence and prevalence worldwide, atrial fibrillation (AF) represents a substantial and growing burden on modern healthcare services [1]. Overall, male patients globally have a higher risk of AF, although one US-based cohort study of 25 119 participants showed that women developed a higher risk of AF incidence when weight and height were controlled, emphasizing the importance of AF treatment in women [2]. The lifetime risk of AF does not appear to be significantly different between men and women [3].

Recent guidelines have moved towards a holistic or integrated care approach to AF management [4, 5]. The latter is now based on the Atrial fibrillation Better Care (ABC) Pathway, and includes avoiding stroke; better symptom and rhythm control with patient-centered decisions on rate or rhythm control, and cardiovascular and comorbidity management, including lifestyle changes [6].

As one option for rhythm control (the 'B' in the ABC pathway), pharmacological cardioversion serves as a safe and efficacious method to restore sinus rhythm and control symptoms without sedation in hemodynamically stable patients after consideration of the thromboembolic risk [4]. Antiarrhythmic drugs (AAD) are often used for pharmacological cardioversion and can also enhance the effectiveness of electrical cardioversion [7]. According to guidelines, AAD options for cardioversion include oral or intravenous flecainide, oral or intravenous propafenone, intravenous vernakalant, intravenous amiodarone, and intravenous ibutilide [4]. Other studies have

provided evidence that antazoline, the first-generation antihistamine included in this study, demonstrates some effectiveness in facilitating cardioversion [8].

The study based on CANT-II registry by Wybraniec et al. [8], which included 1365 short-duration AF patients, aimed to compare sex differences on the effect and safety of pharmacological cardioversion. Female patients were more symptomatic, had higher CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores, and greater prevalence of tachyarrhythmia compared to men.

Sex differences exist in the frequency of AAD use. In the study by Wybraniec et al, more female than male patients were treated with amiodarone, while class la AAD antazoline was more frequently used in males, compared with females. In a report from the EORP-AF study, females were more likely to have pharmacological cardioversion (28.2% vs. 22.4%), less likely to receive rhythm control, and more likely to receive rate control, compared to men [9].

Sex differences also exist in the efficiency of AAD. Wybraniec et al. [8] reported that the use of amiodarone or propafenone was associated with cardioversion failure in males, and propafenone has a significantly lower rate of rhythm conversion in males. The success rates and safety of antazoline, as a single AAD, were comparable in both sexes. In a prospective single-center study conducted in the years 2011–2013, female sex showed an association with success of pharmacological cardioversion [10]. Park et al. [11] also found that females after catheter ablation demonstrated a better AAD response after AF recurrence. In the

Finnish CardioVersion study, female sex was reported as one of the independent predictors (odds ratio, 2.1; 95% confidence interval, 1.1-4.0) of thromboembolism within 30 days after cardioversion [12]. In addition, women showed higher cardiovascular mortality and morbidity after rhythm control treatment. Severe adverse effects of AAD were also more common in female patients enrolled in the RACE study [13]. Quality of life under rhythm or rate control treatment generally remained worse for female patients than males after 12 months [13]. In one single-center retrospective study, vernakalant was more effective than flecainide among males (65.2% vs. 36.9%), while no significant differences were found in females [14]. Female sex was linked to higher rate of sinus rhythm restoration (80% vs. 58%), in contrast to men, when using intravenous vernakalant for recent-onset AF [15].

In conclusion, sex differences are evident in drug therapy for AF rhythm control, in terms of medication selection, efficacy, and safety. It would be important to note that safety profiles of different AADs also vary between males and females. Nonetheless, AADs (and rhythm control) are but one part of the holistic care for AF patients. No significant sex differences in outcomes were reported in the prospective mAFA-II trial comparing the ABC pathway versus the usual care [16]. Sex differences in stroke risk associated with AF are also the subject of interest given that female sex is a stroke risk modifier incorporated into the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score [17]. Apart from sex, clinical complexity phenotypes in AF patients can impact outcomes, even in relation to adherence to the ABC pathway [18, 19]. Further studies reporting sex differences in AF management are needed, perhaps with the goal of better personalized treatment decision-making.

Article information

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: None.

Open access: This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, which allows downloading and sharing articles with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl.

REFERENCES

- Burdett P, Lip GYH. Atrial fibrillation in the UK: predicting costs of an emerging epidemic recognizing and forecasting the cost drivers of atrial fibrillation-related costs. Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes. 2022; 8(2): 187–194, doi: 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcaa093, indexed in Pubmed: 33346822.
- Siddiqi HK, Vinayagamoorthy M, Gencer B, et al. Sex differences in atrial fibrillation risk: the VITAL rhythm study. JAMA Cardiol. 2022; 7(10): 1027– -1035, doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2022.2825, indexed in Pubmed: 36044209.
- Mou L, Norby FL, Chen LY, et al. Lifetime risk of atrial fibrillation by race and socioeconomic status: ARIC study (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities). Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2018; 11(7): e006350, doi: 10.1161/CIR-CEP.118.006350, indexed in Pubmed: 30002066.

- Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Eur Heart J. 2021; 42(5): 373–498, doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa612, indexed in Pubmed: 32860505.
- Chao TF, Joung B, Takahashi Y, et al. 2021 focused update consensus guidelines of the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society on stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: executive summary. Thromb Haemost. 2022; 122(1): 20–47, doi: 10.1055/s-0041-1739411, indexed in Pubmed: 34773920.
- Romiti GF, Pastori D, Rivera-Caravaca JM, et al. Adherence to the ,Atrial fibrillation Better Care' pathway in patients with atrial fibrillation: impact on clinical outcomes-a systematic review and meta-analysis of 285 000 patients. Thromb Haemost. 2022; 122(3): 406–414, doi: 10.1055/a-1515-9630, indexed in Pubmed: 34020488.
- Oto E, Okutucu S, Katircioglu-Öztürk D, et al. Predictors of sinus rhythm after electrical cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: results from a data mining project on the Flec-SL trial data set. Europace. 2017; 19(6): 921–928, doi: 10.1093/europace/euw144, indexed in Pubmed: 27377074.
- Wybraniec MT, Maciag A, Miśkowiec D, et al. Antiarrhythmic drugs for pharmacological cardioversion of atrial fibrillation and sex differences: Insights from the CANT II Study. Kardiol Pol. 2023; 81(10), doi: 10.33963/v. kp.97392.
- Lip GYH, Laroche C, Boriani G, et al. Sex-related differences in presentation, treatment, and outcome of patients with atrial fibrillation in Europe: a report from the Euro Observational Research Programme Pilot survey on Atrial Fibrillation. Europace. 2015; 17(1): 24–31, doi: 10.1093/europace/euu155, indexed in Pubmed: 24957921.
- Kriz R, Freynhofer MK, Weiss TW, et al. Safety and efficacy of pharmacological cardioversion of recent-onset atrial fibrillation: a single-center experience. Am J Emerg Med. 2016; 34(8): 1486–1490, doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2016.05.012, indexed in Pubmed: 27292602.
- Park YJ, Park JW, Yu HT, et al. Sex difference in atrial fibrillation recurrence after catheter ablation and antiarrhythmic drugs. Heart. 2023; 109(7): 519– -526, doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2021-320601, indexed in Pubmed: 35332048.
- Airaksinen KE, Grönberg T, Nuotio I, et al. Thromboembolic complications after cardioversion of acute atrial fibrillation: the FinCV (Finnish Cardio-Version) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013; 62(13): 1187–1192, doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2013.04.089, indexed in Pubmed: 23850908.
- Rienstra M, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Hagens VE, et al. Gender-related differences in rhythm control treatment in persistent atrial fibrillation: data of the Rate Control Versus Electrical Cardioversion (RACE) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005; 46(7): 1298–1306, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.05.078, indexed in Pubmed: 16198847.
- Pohjantähti-Maaroos H, Hyppölä H, Lekkala M, et al. Intravenous vernakalant in comparison with intravenous flecainide in the cardioversion of recent-onset atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2019; 8(2): 114–120, doi: 10.1177/2048872617728558, indexed in Pubmed: 28849946.
- Mochalina N, Juhlin T, Öhlin B, et al. Predictors of successful cardioversion with vernakalant in patients with recent-onset atrial fibrillation. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 2015; 20(2): 140–147, doi: 10.1111/anec.12178, indexed in Pubmed: 25040826.
- Guo Y, Corica B, Romiti GF, et al. Efficacy of mobile health-technology integrated care based on the ,Atrial fibrillation Better Care' (ABC) pathway in relation to sex: a report from the mAFA-II randomized clinical trial. Intern Emerg Med. 2023; 18(2): 449–456, doi: 10.1007/s11739-022-03188-2, indexed in Pubmed: 36630000.
- Corica B, Lobban T, True Hills M, et al. Sex as a risk factor for atrial fibrillation-related stroke. Thromb Haemost. 2023, doi: 10.1055/s-0043-1776394, indexed in Pubmed: 37871631.
- Romiti GF, Proietti M, Bonini N, et al. Clinical complexity domains, anticoagulation, and outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation: a report from the GLORIA-AF registry phase II and III. Thromb Haemost. 2022; 122(12): 2030–2041, doi: 10.1055/s-0042-1756355, indexed in Pubmed: 36037828.
- Krittayaphong R, Treewaree S, Wongtheptien W, et al. Clinical phenotype classification to predict risk and optimize the management of patients with atrial fibrillation using the Atrial fibrillation Better Care (ABC) pathway: A report from the COOL-AF registry. QJM. 2023, doi: 10.1093/qjmed/hcad219, indexed in Pubmed: 37788118.