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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present a novel decomposition for sinusoidal audio coding using an amplitude modulation of sinusoids via a linear combinations of arbitrary basis vectors. The proposed method, which incorporate a perceptual distortion measure, is based on the relaxation of a non-linear least squares minimization, and offers benefits in the modeling of transients in audio signals. Furthermore, it was shown in [5] that it is indeed efficient in terms of rate-distortion to apply AM on a per component basis. Even when dynamic time segmentation is employed, there is a need for efficient coding of transients because of limitations on the minimum segment length [2]. In [3], it was shown that perceptually significant improvements can be achieved by applying AM in a frequency dependent way as opposed to singleband AM (see, e.g., [1]). Sinusoidal models are of interest for handling transients. Without loss of generality, we assume that the L carrier frequencies are distinct, so that \( \omega_k \neq \omega_l \) for \( k \neq l \). Further, to ensure a compact representation, we assume that \( IL < N \), i.e., \( I < N \) and \( L < N \), where \( N \) denotes the data length. The additional flexibility in (1), as compared to traditional constant-amplitude models (CA), with \( \gamma_l(n) = A_l \), enables improved modeling of transient segments. Thus, the CA model is a special case of the proposed AM model. Let \( x_a(n) \) denote the discrete-time “analytical” signal constructed from \( x(n) \) by removing the negative frequency components, such that the resulting signal may be downsampled by a factor two without loss of information [8], assuming that there is little or no signal of interest near 0 and \( \pi \).

Without loss of generality, we assume \( N \) to be even, and introducing

\[
x_a = \begin{bmatrix} x_a(1) & x_a(3) & \cdots & x_a(N-1) \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]

(4)

where \((\cdot)^T\) is the transpose operator, the downsampled discrete-time “analytical” signal may be expressed as

\[
x_a = [(\mathbf{BC}) \odot \mathbf{Z}] \mathbf{a},
\]

(5)

where \( \odot \) denotes the Hadamard (elementwise) product, i.e., \([\mathbf{E} \odot \mathbf{F}]_{kl} = [\mathbf{E}]_{kl} [\mathbf{F}]_{kl} \), with \([\mathbf{E}]_{kl} \) being the \((k,l)\)th element of \( \mathbf{E} \). Further, \( \mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{C}^{N/2 \times L} \) is constructed from the \( L \) complex carriers, i.e., \([\mathbf{Z}]_{kl} = e^{j\omega_l(2k-1)}\), \( \mathbf{a} = [ e^{j\phi_1} \cdots e^{j\phi_L} ]^T \), and...
the amplitude modulating function is written using the known AM basis vectors, $|B|_{kl} = b(2k-1, l)$, and the corresponding coefficients, $|C|_{kl} = c_{k,l}$. Here, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{N/2 \times L}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times L}$.

The problem of interest is given a measured signal, $y(n)$, find an estimate $x(n)$ such that

$$\min_{C(\phi_k), \omega_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |y(n) - x(n)|^2$$

or, equivalently,

$$\min_{C(\phi_k), \omega_k} \|y_a - x_a\|^2$$

where $y_a$ is formed similar to $x_a$, and $\| \cdot \|_2$ denotes the 2-norm. Typically, the nonlinear least squares (NLS) minimization in (7) requires a multidimensional, often multimodal, minimization which is typically computationally infeasible in most practical situations.

It is worth noting that for the sinusoidal estimation problem, several suboptimal approaches based on relaxation of the original problem have been suggested to reduce the computational complexity of the minimization, such as the greedy matching pursuit [9] or recursive methods as RELAX [10]. Herein, we propose an iterative method for the minimization of (7) reminiscent to both the above mentioned methods.

The suggested method exploits the fact that for given frequencies $\{\omega_k\}_{k=1}^{L}$, the minimization with respect to $C$ for fixed $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{L}$ is quadratic, and conversely the minimization of $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{L}$ for fixed $C$. We propose to iteratively estimate $C$ and $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{L}$, minimizing the residual for each frequency in a given finite set of frequencies, $\Omega$.

Let

$$c_k = [c_{1,k} \ldots c_{L,k}]^T.$$  

At iteration $k$, assuming the $k-1$ carriers and corresponding coefficients known (i.e., estimated in prior iterations), we find for each frequency, $\omega \in \Omega$, the model parameters $\hat{\phi}_k$ and $c_k$ minimizing the residual for that particular frequency. The $k$th carrier is then found as the parameter set minimizing the residual over $\Omega$, i.e.,

$$\hat{\omega}_k = \arg \min_{\omega \in \Omega} \|r_k - D_k e^{j\hat{\phi}_k} B c_k\|^2_2,$$

where $D_k$ is the diagonal matrix constructed from the $k$th carrier,

$$D_k = \text{diag}(\{z_1, z^2 \ldots z_{N-1}^k\})$$

with $z_k = e^{j\omega_k}$. Further,

$$r_k = [r_k(1) \ldots r_k(N-1)]^T$$

contains the $k$th residual, obtained as

$$r_k(n) = y_a(n) - \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} b(n, i) c_l e^{j\hat{\phi}_l} e^{-j\omega_l n}.$$  

For each frequency $\omega$, we iteratively solve for $\hat{\phi}_k$ and $c_k$ (with superscript $(p)$ denoting the $p$th iteration of the alternating minimization); for given $\hat{\phi}_k^{(p-1)}$,

$$\hat{\phi}_k^{(p)} = \arg \left\{ \sum_{n=1, n \text{ odd}}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{L} b(n, i) \hat{c}_{i,l} e^{-j\omega_l n} r_k(n) \right\}.$$  

Given $\hat{\phi}_k^{(p)}$, the estimate of the AM coefficients reduces to

$$\hat{c}_k^{(p)} = B^+ u_k^{(p)},$$

with

$$B^+ = (B^TB)^{-1} B^T,$$

which can be precomputed. Here, the vector $u_k^{(p)}$ is defined as

$$u_k^{(p)} = \left[ u_k^{(p)}(1) \ldots u_k^{(p)}(N-1) \right]^T$$

which is the real part (recall that $c_{k,l} \in \mathbb{R}$) of the residual shifted towards DC by the carrier, i.e.,

$$u_k^{(p)}(n) = \Re \left\{ r_k(n) e^{-j\omega_n - j\hat{\phi}_k^{(p)}} \right\}.$$  

The estimates in (13) and (14) are then found alternately, given the other, until some stopping criterion is reached. Experiments indicate that the algorithm converges to a global maximum. We note that for the special case of constant amplitude (DC) basis, the estimates (9), (13) and (14) reduce to those of a matching pursuit with complex sinusoids [9].

3. INCORPORATING PERCEPTUAL DISTORTION

As is well-known, the 2-norm error measure does not correlate well with human sound perception; the problem of finding a suitable distortion measure is one of computational complexity and mathematical convenience and tractability. On one hand, we desire a measure that considers as much of the human auditory processing as possible (such measure exist), while on the other, it is preferable to have a measure which defines a mathematical norm leading to efficient, simple estimators and quantizers. Here, we apply the perceptual distortion measure defined in [11]: for a particular segment, the distortion $D$ can then be written as

$$D = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} A(\omega) |F[w(n)e(n)]|^2 d\omega,$$

where $F[.]$ denotes the Fourier transform, $A(\omega) \in \{ x \in \mathbb{R}, x > 0 \}$ is a perceptual weighting function, $w(n)$ is the analysis window, and $e(n) = y(n) - x(n)$ is the modeling error. When the weighting function is chosen as the reciprocal of the masking threshold, the resulting error spectrum will be shaped like the masking threshold. This measure is inherently based on waveform matching as it operates on the Fourier transform of the time domain error. As a result, for example pre-echos will be punished by the measure; the actual distortion values for nonstationary segments, with respect to audibility, should be interpreted with care. In practice, the integral in (18) is calculated as a summation of point-wise multiplications in the frequency domain, corresponding to a circular filtering in the time domain [12], i.e.,

$$D \approx \|H W (y - x)\|^2_2;$$

where $H$ is a circular Toeplitz matrix constructed from the impulse response of the filter corresponding to $A(\omega)$ and $W$ is a diagonal weighting matrix containing the elements of the analysis/synthesis window, e.g., a von Hann window; see [12] for further details. Using this perceptual distortion allows us to minimize (9) in a perceptually preferable way. However, doing so makes
the pseudo-inverse $\mathbf{B}^T$, defined in (15), both frequency and segment dependent, forcing it to be re-calculated for each frequency and segment. Via extensive simulations and experiments, we have found that using the perceptual distortion measure is much more important in the frequency estimation in (9) than in the estimation of the AM coefficients in (14) or in the phase estimation in (13). Thus, in an effort to reduce complexity, we suggest that the perceptual distortion weighting is only applied in (9).

4. AUDIO CODING USING THE AM DECOMPOSITION

Many audio segments are well-modeled using a CA sinusoidal model, and applying the proposed AM decomposition is not always preferable from a rate and distortion perspective. Rather, to enable efficient coding of both stationary and transient segments, we propose the use of combined coder, containing both a CA sinusoidal coder and a coder based on the AM decomposition. Herein, the AM decomposition has been incorporated into the experimental coder described in [5]; based on rate-distortion optimization, it is determined in each segment whether a AM or CA sinusoidal model should be used. We refer to such a combined coder as the AM/CA coder, using the term CA coder for the pure CA-based coder. The problem of rate-distortion optimization under rate constraint (i.e., finding the optimum distribution of $R_k$ bits over $I$ segments) can be written as (see [13] for further details)

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{I} \left[ D_i + \lambda R_i \right] = \min \sum_{i=1}^{I} \left[ D_i + \lambda R_i \right] , \quad (20)$$

with $\lambda \geq 0$, where the right side follows from the assumption that the (nonnegative) distortions $D_i$ and rates $R_i$ are independent and additive over the $i$th segment, meaning that the cost function can be minimized independently for each segment. For a finite, discrete set of coding templates, $T_i = \{ c_1, \ldots, c_{L_c}, a_1, \ldots, a_{L_a} \}$ with $c_k$ being

(Can the below be right?)

$k$ constant amplitude sinusoids and $a_k$ being $k$ amplitude modulated sinusoids for the $i$th segment with associated rates $R(\tau)$ and (perceptual) distortions $D(\tau)$, (or am I just not getting it? :-)

the rate-distortion optimization reduce to selecting the optimum coding template as

$$\tau^*_k = \arg \min_{\tau \in T_i} \left[ D(\tau) + \lambda^* R(\tau) \right] , \quad (21)$$

when the $\lambda$ leading to the target bitrate $R^*$, denoted $\lambda^*$, has been found. This is found by maximizing the concave Lagrange dual function:

$$\lambda^* = \arg \max_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{I} \min_{\tau \in T_i} \left[ D(\tau) + \lambda R(\tau) \right] \right) - \lambda R^* . \quad (22)$$

which is typically done by sweeping over a $\lambda$ (using some fast method exploiting the convexity of $R(D)$) until the rate $R(\lambda)$ is sufficiently close to the target bitrate. (Add reference!) The selection between using an AM or CA sinusoidal model is decided using the following criterion

$$\min_k [D(a_k) + \lambda^* R(a_k)] < \min_k [D(c_k) + \lambda^* R(c_k)] , \quad (23)$$

implying that the AM coding templates $a_k$ are chosen when these are the optimal choice among $T_i$ for a particular segment. (I don’t understand what you mean here)

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

5.1. Configuration

We have configured the AM/CA coder as follows; 50% overlapping von Hann windows of length 30 ms were used for both analysis and overlap-add synthesis. Further, the sinusoidal phases were quantized uniformly using 5 bits/component, whereas both the amplitudes and the frequencies were quantized using a logarithmic scale, with the amplitude quantizer also used to quantize the AM coefficients, using the AM base shown in Figure 1. As entropy (lossless) coding of the quantization indices are commonly used in audio coding, the rates are estimated as the entropies of the quantization indices, yielding approximately 9 bits/component for the frequencies and 6 bits/component for the amplitudes. The quantizers were found to produce perceptually transparent results when compared to un-quantized signals.

(Don’t like the below sentence!)

The rates of the coding templates of the rate-distortion optimization are estimated mean-rates of CA and AM sinusoids, being approximately 20 and 30 bits/component, respectively, with the distortions found using (18).

5.2. Informal Evaluation

Informal listening tests indicate that the AM/CA results in high perceived quality of coded excerpts for both stationary and transient parts. Generally, the type of signals that benefit from AM codings are signals exhibiting sharp onsets and stops, percussive sounds and changing signal types, such as transitions from unvoiced to voiced in speech signals. Often the improvements are perceived as an increase in bandwidth of the decoded signal. An illustrative way of showing the improved coding is by observing the rate-distortion tradeoffs; Figure 2 show the rate-distortion curves (or more correctly the distortion-rate) of a typical CA coder with and without the proposed AM subcoder. These curves were found by sweeping over $\lambda$ in (20), finding the associated optimal rate and distortion pairs. As can be seen from the figure, there is a significant improvement in the rate-distortion tradeoff resulting from the proposed decomposition. It can also be seen that both curves saturates at higher rates. (Add explanation?)

We note that these rates can be reduced significantly by differential encoding [14].
Fig. 2. The rate-distortion curves of a standard CA sinusoidal coder (solid) and that of the AM/CA coder (dashed) for glockenspiel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excerpt</th>
<th>Preference [%]</th>
<th>Significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glockenspiel</td>
<td>AM/CA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castanets</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bass Guitar</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Female</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Results of AB-preference tests.

5.3. Listening Test

An AB preference test was carried out using 7 different excerpts and 8 experienced listeners. The listeners were asked to choose between the CA coder and the AM/CA coder, both operating at a bitrate of approximately 30 kbps. Each experiment was repeated 4 times in a balanced way such that each subject performed a total of 56 comparisons. The results are shown in Table 1. Significance was determined using a one-sided test with a level of significance of 0.05. The rate-distortion optimization was done such that the total entropy of the quantized parameters (including the envelopes) of the AM/CA coder was lower than of the CA coder. The tests clearly show that performance can indeed be improved using the proposed AM/CA coder for low bitrate audio coding.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a linear decomposition technique for amplitude modulated sinusoidal signals, showing that such a method might be used for high quality audio coding. Experiments indicate that a significantly higher rate of convergence, in terms of rate-distortion, can be achieved for transient segments when incorporating the proposed method in a combined coder. This is also confirmed by listening tests, showing that, for a given bitrate, significant improvements are gained for the coder using the proposed decomposition. These results are promising for applications of amplitude modulation in low bitrate audio coding.
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