Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Review and Comparison of Radar Rainfall Bias Adjustment Methods

applying a 10-year dataset from Denmark

Thorndahl, Søren Liedtke; Nielsen, Jesper Ellerbæk; Rasmussen, Michael R.

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):
Thorndahl, S. L., Nielsen, J. E., & Rasmussen, M. R. (2013). *Review and Comparison of Radar Rainfall Bias Adjustment Methods: applying a 10-year dataset from Denmark*. Poster presented at The International Precipitation Conference 2013 , Ede-Wageningen, Netherlands.

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from vbn.aau.dk on: February 06, 2025

Review and comparison of radar rainfall bias adjustment methods

– Applying a 10-year dataset from Denmark

1. Concept

- To develop a complete 10-year dataset of the best possible adjusted radar rainfall data in the best possible spatial and temporal resolution.

2. Objectives and Methods

Based on the 10 year dataset we investigate and evaluate the following:

- **Mean Field Bias (MFB) adjustment** with special focus on the temporal integration.
  We assume a constant multiplicative bias between rain gauge observations and radar rainfall estimates (with fixed Z-R relationship) at different time scales.
- **Conditional Mean Field Bias adjustment (CMFB)**
  We allow bias to change as a function of the rain rate in order to improve adjustment during heavy rain (convective events).
- Implementation of **Advection Interpolation** to increase the temporal resolution of radar rainfall estimates.
  We apply weighted forward and backward advection interpolation based on the COTREC scheme (Continuity Tracking Radar Echoes by Correlation).

3. Data

- 10 years of radar observations (2002-2012) from DMI C-band radar at Stevns (EKXS).
- DMI CAPPI product (resolution: 500 x 500 m^2, 10 min.)
- Reflectivity - rain rate conversion following Marshall-Palmer, A=200, B=1.6
- 49-79 rain gauges within the 75 km range (resolution: 0.2 mm, 1 min.)
- Gauge density within the 75 km range: 1 gauge per 260 km^2



4. Performance measures

- Bias
- Nash-Sutcliffe-Efficiency **(NSE)**
- Mean Absolute Error **(MAE)**

5. Nomenclature

- **Blue:** Original temporal resolution of data (Δt_r=10 min.)
- **Red:** Advection interpolated data (Δt_r=1 min.)

6. Daily Mean Field Bias Adjustment



Valid days: 84 61 81 73 110 94 108 132 121 112 110 110



- Reduction of uncertainty (higher NSE and lower MAE) implementing advection interpolation
- Larger mean field biases during summer time (convective events)
- Larger bias variability during summer periods indicating large shifts in biases from day to day

7. Conditional Hourly Mean Field Bias Adjustment



- Conditional bias adjustment improves hourly rainfall estimates compared to hourly mean field bias adjustment (not shown)
- Advection interpolated data provides better rainfall estimates
- Daily rainfall estimates is improved applying hourly bias adjustment (not shown)

8. Performance at different time scales





8. Performance at different time scales (cont.)



- Bias adjustment is crucial
- Hourly and conditional hourly mean field bias adjustment improves rainfall estimates at every time scale compared to daily adjustment (non-interpolated data)
- Applying advection interpolation improves both daily and hourly adjustments at every time scale
- No significant improvement of conditional bias adjustment on advection interpolated data
- Applying advection interpolation it is possible to generate reasonable 10 min. rainfall estimates which is not possible using non interpolated data.

9. Example of an extreme event: 2 July 2011

- This event produced record flooding in the greater Copenhagen area.
- In some areas daily rainfall accumulation exceeded 140 mm (Daily accumulation estimated by hourly bias adjusted interpolated rainfall fields below)



- Daily mean field bias is not sufficient in order to estimate rainfall totals nor peak rain rates.
- Timing is improved significantly implementing advection interpolation.
- Conditional bias adjustment is not crucial when advection interpolation is applied.





AALBORG UNIVERSITY DENMARK

Søren Thorndahl (st@civil.aau.dk)
Jesper Ellerbæk Nielsen (jen@civil.aau.dk)
Michael R. Rasmussen (mr@civil.aau.dk)

Aalborg University
Department of Civil Engineering, Denmark

Data provided by the Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI)

Project:"**Uncertainty in high spatio-temporal resolution rainfall estimation"**

funded by

The Danish Council for Independent Research | Technology and Production Sciences

11th International Precipitation Conference

30 June - 3 July 2013

Ede-Wageningen, The Netherlands