



Aeroelastic Stability of Suspension Bridges using CFD

Stærdahl, Jesper Winther; Sørensen, Niels; Nielsen, Søren R.K.

Published in:

Proceedings of International Symposium of the International Association for Shell and Spatial Structures (IASS) : Structural Architecture - towards the future looking to the past

Publication date:
2007

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Stærdahl, J. W., Sørensen, N., & Nielsen, S. R. K. (2007). Aeroelastic Stability of Suspension Bridges using CFD. In M. Majowiecki (Ed.), *Proceedings of International Symposium of the International Association for Shell and Spatial Structures (IASS) : Structural Architecture - towards the future looking to the past: Venice, Italy, 3-6 December 2007* (pp. 323-324). University Iuav of Venice : Department of Architectural Construction.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Aeroelastic Stability of Suspension Bridges using CFD

Jesper STÆRDAHL
Assistant professor
Aalborg University
Aalborg, Denmark

Niels SØRENSEN
Professor
Aalborg University
Aalborg, Denmark

Søren NIELSEN
Professor
Aalborg University
Aalborg, Denmark

Extended abstract

In recent years large span suspension bridges with very thin and slender profiles have been built without proportional increasing torsional and bending stiffness. As a consequence large deformations at the mid-span can occur with risk of aeroelastic instability and structural failure. Analysis of aeroelastic stability also named flutter stability is mostly based on semi-empirical engineering models, where model specific parameters, the so-called flutter derivatives, need calibration from wind tunnel tests or numerical methods. Several papers have been written about calibration of flutter derivatives using CFD models and the aeroelastic stability boundary has been successfully determined when comparing two-dimensional flow situations using wind tunnel test data and CFD methods for the flow solution and two-degrees-of-freedom structural models in translation perpendicular to the flow direction and rotation around the span axis of the bridge section. These models assume that the main contributing modal modes of the bridge are the first bending mode and the first torsional mode. The present work focuses on numerical evaluation of the flutter instability using an arbitrary number of modes describing the structural deformation. Furthermore, flutter derivatives are evaluated by CFD models using forced motion of a bridge section in a two-dimensional virtual wind tunnel. The parameter region of critical values is shown to be outside measured values. It is shown that a rough extrapolation of the measured values may lead to erroneous results and CFD simulations may be used for extrapolation into the critical region.

In a numerical example the Great Belt Link of Denmark is used with a mid-span of 1624m and outer span of 535m and pylons with a height of 250m. Numerical two-dimensional aerodynamic analyses have been performed on a bridge deck section for identifying the flutter derivatives.




Fig. 1 Definition of notation. a) Principle structural setup of a suspension bridge. b) Cross section of bridge deck.

The grid around the profile is illustrated in Fig. 2a and the velocity vector field results from a steady simulation is visualized in Fig. 2b.




Fig. 3 a) Fine mesh around the bridge section. b) Velocity field visualization.

In Fig. 2 the numerical evaluated flutter derivatives are compared with wind tunnel test data. As seen, the qualitative and quantitative variations are captured by the numerical analyses. However, at large values for $\frac{2\pi V}{\omega B}$ the numerical solution deviates from the extrapolations. CFD results are easily obtained in the entire region and may be used for extrapolating measured flutter derivatives.

Finally, the critical flutter velocity and frequency are determined for different number of modes included in the analysis and using an extrapolation from the measured data and numerical evaluated flutter derivatives, respectively. It is concluded that the flutter analysis is very sensitive to the correct evaluation of flutter derivatives and a rough extrapolation may result in erroneous results.




Fig. 3 Flutter derivatives. (∇) Wind tunnel test results from Reinhold et al. (\square) CFD results. (—) Least square fit to Reinhold test data using 3rd degree polynomials.