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Abstract
Parametric urban design is a potentially powerful tool for collaborative urban design processes. Rather than making one-off designs which need to be redesigned from the ground up in case of changes, parametric design tools make it possible to keep the design open while at the same time allowing for a level of detailing which is high enough to facilitate an understanding of the generic qualities of proposed designs.

Starting from a brief overview of parametric design, this paper presents initial findings from the development of a parametric urban design tool with regard to developing a structural logic which is flexible and expandable. It then moves on to outline and discuss further development work. Finally, it offers a brief reflection on the potentials and shortcomings of the software – CityEngine – which is used for developing the parametric urban design tool.
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INTRODUCTION
The overall aim of the research presented in this paper is to develop a parametric urban design tool. While the research is in its early stages of development, the aim of the paper is to present some initial results and to outline further development. Parametric design is not new, nor is its application to urban design. Yet, although different approaches to parametric urban design have been developed – from serving analytical purposes to serving design generation purposes – only few attempts (Jacobi et al., 2009) have been made to develop a design tool to facilitate stakeholder involvement.

When undertaking the task of developing a parametric urban design tool, three structural and organizational aspects must be considered: parametric flexibility, structural logic, and interaction design. While parametric flexibility is core to all other considerations and thus must be considered at all times, a structural logic must be developed at the outset and subsequently adapted or moderated according to design development. And while interaction design is ultimately important once the tool is put to use, it need not be the focus of design at the early design stages. Hence, the focus of this paper lies on developing a structural logic for a parametric urban design tool which is parametrically flexible and easy to use.

Different parametric design softwares have different strengths and weaknesses when it comes to meeting these three aspects. For our test case, we have been using CityEngine, which is a procedural design software targeted specifically at urban design. While we will not offer an evaluation of different software packages for their fit with our purpose in the context of this paper, we will, however, briefly discuss the pros and cons of using CityEngine.

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF PARAMETRIC DESIGN APPROACHES

Parametric design is a design method that allows the designer to rapidly evaluate design scenarios based...
Parametric urban design as a method has been developed to involve the use of urban data to facilitate an interactive design system (Beirão et al., 2011). Using a system, or tool, geometries in a computer model are updated instantly according to changes in data or design criteria, whether it is GIS data or stakeholder feedback. This rapid production of new geometries potentially improves the quality of the design, as the design goes through more iterations than when using traditional design methods (Burry, 2005).

Parametric design has been used in various situations and industries, spanning from entertainment to urban planning (Watson, 2008); while parametric design applied in urban planning has the power and potential to be used as an outright simulation tool for urban development (Leach, 2009a), it is often used as an analytical tool for various purposes (Gil & Duarte, 2008; Chiaradia, 2009). Some designers have proposed to take the tool one step further, turning it into a distinct architectural style (Schumacher, 2009), while others only use the tool for visualization of urban data (Koner, 2011).
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fit equally well into any building envelope, and not all facades may fit both housing and offices. Open spaces should be more differentiated in the form of different types of green spaces, paved spaces and functional spaces. Bay windows, porches/terraces, and inlaying balconies should be added to the building envelope repertoire, along with different roof types. Facades should optionally have balcony elements.

As mentioned above, street layouts have not been dealt with in the context of our initial test case. Whether and how street layouts can meaningfully be made subject to parametric design is yet to be clarified. It would be preferable to design street layouts within an integrated process of subsequent design steps. However, they may have to be designed using software other than CityEngine, as at present it does not have very flexible tools for the design of street layouts.

Finally, once the tool is developed and ready to be put to use in a collaborative urban design process, it should offer an easy and intuitive way to interact with the project model. This is important in order to be able to use the model responsively to different interests and ideas that might trigger parametric changes to the model. This is particularly true when non-designers and lay people are involved who are not able to make abstractions about form and space the way designers are.

SOFTWARE CONSIDERATIONS

As parametric urban design makes it possible to rapidly generate different design scenarios using parameters changes, it changes the design process significantly. By traditional techniques, it would be very time-consuming to create mock-up 3D models of different design scenarios. But with parametric design software this can be done in real time by adjusting parameters and rules. Thus, the designer is able to make design decisions on a better and more well-informed basis.

CityEngine is a powerful tool for parametric urban design. It is based on a simple scripting language, making it relatively easy for architects and planners to get a grip of the tool. However, using scripting as the mediator between design ideas and actual geometry presents a challenge when it comes to using the software in a design process involving stakeholders, as this interface is unintuitive to laypersons.

Some functionalities are still missing in making CityEngine a complete parametric design tool. While the content of streets and lots can be generated freely using scripting, the street structures themselves are confined to a number of preset options. This means that street structures in real urban design scenarios have to be created manually. If the shape of streets and parcels could be generated freely using parameters like landscape qualities, line of sight or functional requirements, this part of the design would also be open for parametric experimentation and evaluation.

CONCLUSION

While different approaches to parametric urban design exist, the approach adopted in the research presented in this paper aims at the fast generation of different design scenarios in order to facilitate stakeholder involvement in communicative urban design processes. Some initial results of a test case for the development of an urban design tool have been presented. Working within a sequential hierarchy from terrain to facade, the study has focused on the sequences from site layout over building envelope to facade. Despite the modest scope of the case study, the approach holds promise for the development of a powerful parametric urban design tool.

Nonetheless, much work still lies ahead in at least six areas. The tool must be able to cater for special conditions such as variations in plot size and shape. The repertoire of site layout, building envelope and facade typologies must be expanded. Filters must be made to make sure that elements at different levels in the hierarchy will fit together. More detail must be added. An approach to the design of street layouts must be developed. And finally, the interface of the tool must be considered in order to achieve maximum ease of use.

---

1 Hence, while land use is a geometric category per se, the requirements of different land uses are. For instance, office buildings typically have larger building widths, taller floor heights and more glass on facades than housing.

---

Fig. 1a-d. Different site layouts on the same parcel: a. Linear blocks, b. (semi-) Closed blocks, c. Patio houses, d. Tower blocks; by authors

Fig. 2a-d. Examples of different building envelopes on the same footprint: a. Simple slab, b. Horizontal setbacks, c. Vertical setbacks, d. vertical setbacks and height variations; by authors
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